# SCIENTIFIC DATA

Received: 29 January 2018 Accepted: 26 April 2018 Published: 7 August 2018

## **OPEN** Data Descriptor: A multi-omic atlas of the human frontal cortex for aging and Alzheimer's disease research

Philip L. De Jager<sup>1,2</sup>, Yiyi Ma<sup>1</sup>, Cristin McCabe<sup>2</sup>, Jishu Xu<sup>2</sup>, Badri N. Vardarajan<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Felsky<sup>1,2</sup>, Hans-Ulrich Klein<sup>1,2</sup>, Charles C. White<sup>2</sup>, Mette A. Peters<sup>3</sup>, Ben Lodgson<sup>3</sup>, Parham Nejad<sup>2</sup>, Anna Tang<sup>2</sup>, Lara M. Mangravite<sup>3</sup>, Lei Yu<sup>4</sup>, Chris Gaiteri<sup>4</sup>, Sara Mostafavi<sup>5</sup>, Julie A. Schneider<sup>4</sup> & David A. Bennett<sup>4</sup>

We initiated the systematic profiling of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex obtained from a subset of autopsied individuals enrolled in the Religious Orders Study (ROS) or the Rush Memory and Aging Project (MAP), which are jointly designed prospective studies of aging and dementia with detailed, longitudinal cognitive phenotyping during life and a quantitative, structured neuropathologic examination after death. They include over 3,322 subjects. Here, we outline the first generation of data including genome-wide genotypes (n = 2,090), whole genome sequencing (n = 1,179), DNA methylation (n = 740), chromatin immunoprecipitation with sequencing using an anti-Histone 3 Lysine 9 acetylation (H3K9Ac) antibody (n = 712), RNA sequencing (n = 638), and miRNA profile (n = 702). Generation of other omic data including ATACseq, proteomic and metabolomics profiles is ongoing. Thanks to its prospective design and recruitment of older, non-demented individuals, these data can be repurposed to investigate a large number of syndromic and quantitative neuroscience phenotypes. The many subjects that are cognitively non-impaired at death also offer insights into the biology of the human brain in older non-impaired individuals.

Design Type(s)	disease state design • individual genetic characteristics comparison design			
Measurement Type(s)	<ul> <li>genetic sequence variation analysis • whole genome sequencing •</li> <li>transcription profiling assay • microRNA profiling assay • transcription factor binding site identification • DNA residue methylation</li> </ul>			
Technology Type(s)	DNA microarray • DNA sequencing • RNA sequencing • Bar-Seq • ChIP- seq assay • DNA methylation profiling assay			
Factor Type(s)	tissue			
Sample Characteristic(s)	Homo sapiens • lymphocyte • blood • dorsolateral prefrontal cortex • brain			

<sup>1</sup>Center for Translational & Computational Neuroimmunology, Department of Neurology, Columbia University Medical Center, 630 West 168<sup>th</sup> street, New York, NY 10032, USA. <sup>2</sup>Cell Circuits Program, Broad Institute, 415 Main street, Cambridge MA 02142, USA. <sup>3</sup>Sage Bionetworks, 1100 Fairview Avenue N. Seattle WA 98109, USA. <sup>4</sup>Rush Alzehimer Disease Center, RUSH University, 600 South Paulina Street, Chicago IL 60612, USA. <sup>5</sup>Departments of Statistics and Medical Genetics and Centre for Molecular Medicine and Therapeutics, University of British Columbia, 950 West 28<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Vancouver, British Columbia BC V5Z 4H4, Canada. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.L.D.J. (email: pld2115@cumc.columbia.edu) or to D.A.B. (email: David A Bennett@rush.edu).

#### **Background & Summary**

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a common neurodegenerative disease of older age with extensive heterogeneity in its onset and course. Despite over three decades of work, there are currently no treatments for AD, and its pathobiology remains incompletely understood. Thus, new insights into the events leading to AD in the older brain are needed, and new forms of data from the target organ will help to support unbiased assessment that will yield such insights. The samples that we have profiled come from two prospective studies of aging-The Religious order Study (ROS) and the Memory and Aging Project (MAP)-that recruit older individuals without known dementia and include (1) detailed cognitive, neuroimaging and other ante-mortem phenotyping and (2) an autopsy at the time of death that includes a structured neuropathologic examination. Both studies are run by the same team of investigators at the Rush Alzheimer Disease Center (RADC), and they were designed to be used in joint analyses to maximize sample size. ROS subjects live in communities distributed throughout the U.S., while MAP subjects live in communities in the Chicago metropolitan area. We refer to the joint dataset as "ROSMAP". Crosssectional assessment of cognitive performance at the last clinical evaluation can be used in analyses with neuropathology or brain omics data; however, the trajectory of cognitive decline is a more pertinent trait for drug discovery as this is the clinical outcome of interest in the vast majority of clinical trials both in the preclinical and clinical AD space. The primary trait that captures this trajectory of decline is the "Global Cognitive Slope". It is derived from the annual neuropsychologic evaluation of each subject. 19 different neuropsychologic tests are common between ROS and MAP (of the 21 tests deployed by one or the other study), and these data are collapsed into a single "Global Cognitive Score." The longitudinal Global Cognitive Scores are then used in a random effects model to estimate person-specific annual rates of cognitive decline controlling for known confounders such as demographics and years of education. The approach used in constructing these traits is described in detail elsewhere<sup>1,2</sup> and can be applied to specific cognitive domains, e.g., episodic memory decline, one of the hallmarks of AD. In addition, cognitive and pathologic data can be integrated to generate new traits that capture the difference between observed cognitive function and the extent of neuropathologies present in each individual's brain: for example, previous studies have generated measures of residual cognitive decline<sup>3</sup> or residual cognition, after accounting for a participant's neuropathologic burden<sup>4</sup>.

Cataloguing multi-omic data in all of the ROSMAP subjects regardless of their disease trajectory can provide insight to the molecular events that contribute to aging-related cognitive decline. We generated complementary sets of data from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) of individuals in the study after their death. The primary function of the DLPFC is to control executive functions, including working memory and cognitive flexibility<sup>5</sup>, both of which are impaired during AD progression. Age-related increase in phosphorylated tau has been observed in the DLPFC°. A meta-analysis of 17 arterial spin labeling studies showed that AD patients have decreased regional cerebral blood flow in the DPLF $C^7$ . Further, the application of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation to the left DLPFC can improve cognitive function, behavior and functionality of AD patients<sup>8</sup> that is comparable to improvement in cognitive performance from the treatment of subjects at 5 other cortical regions. In addition, it was reported that the subjects carrying the well-known AD-risk allele of APOE e4 have a significantly thinner cortex in the DLPFC compared to the APOE e2 carriers<sup>9</sup>. Thus, the DLPFC is a neocortical region that is a hub in cognitive circuits and is affected in AD. All available brains at the time of funding were used in each omic data generation from the DLPFC. Selection of subjects for genome-wide genotyping was different as that was performed from all self-reported non-Latino whites. Whole genome sequencing was limited to subjects with autopsy data. The data described in this report represent data that exist today and are available on Synapse. Numerous additional layers of data, including proteomic and metabolomic data, from tissue samples and purified cell populations are being produced and will become available as the data are finalized. We look forward to this large set of molecular and phenotypic data being repurposed by the neuroscience and other communities of researchers.

#### Methods

#### The Religious order Study and the Memory and Aging Project (ROSMAP)

The ROS and MAP cohorts have been designed for data and sample sharing, and they have been at the forefront of large-scale omic data generation from the human brain and also of sharing such data through efforts such as the DREAM challenge<sup>10</sup> and the AMP-AD research program funded by the National Institute of Aging. Previous reports described the study design and data collection scheme of each study in detail<sup>11,12</sup>. By October 8, 2017, 3,322 ROSMAP participants (72.7% females) were enrolled and completed the baseline assessment, of which 1,702 (67.3% females) had died and 1,475 (67.2% females) had undergone brain autopsies. The autopsy rate in these studies exceeds 86%, ensuring that the autopsied subjects are representative of the study populations. Tables 1 and 2 outline the demographic and selected diagnostic characteristics of the subjects included for each set of data; they also list the most commonly used phenotypes. Fig. 1 illustrates the extent of subject overlap among the different sets of data. All of the studies were approved by the institutional review board of Rush University, Columbia University, and Partners Healthcare/Broad Institute. Informed consent was received from all participants or their representatives.

Data type	N of all files	N of subjects with phenotypes	N of subjects with phenotypes on Synapse	% non- Hispanic white	mean age at death <sup>b</sup>	female (%)	AD (N)	MCI (N)	NCI (N)	other Dementia (N)
GWAS	2090	2090	1036	99	86.7 (4.5)	662 (63.9%)	421	258	331	22
WGS	1196	1179	987	100	86.7 (4.4)	638 (64.6%)	405	245	313	21
RNA-Seq	639	638	638	98.4	86.7 (4.5)	408 (63.9%)	254	169	201	12
miRNA	744	702	691	99	86.4 (4.6)	443 (64.1%)	290	165	219	17
H3K9Ac ChIP- Seq	728	712	701	99.7	86.5 (4.6)	454 (64.8%)	293	171	219	18
DNA methylation	740	740	725	98.7	86.3 (4.7)	460 (63.4%)	305	172	229	19

#### Table 1. Demographic and diagnostic features of the ROS and MAP subjects in each layer of data<sup>a</sup>.

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; NCI no cognitive impairment. <sup>a</sup>Summary statistics are based on the clinical data deposited on the Synapse and the age at death of >90+ were transferred to 90. <sup>b</sup>Values are presented in mean (standard deviation)

Phenotypic data are accruing continually in ROS and MAP, and new phenotypes are periodically added to the routine clinical and pathological data collection. Thus, these new phenotypes become available as additional neuropathologic and other characterizations are performed. The ante-mortem and neuropathologic traits that are currently available can be browsed to assemble biological sample sets and data sets with the features desired by the investigator through the RADC Research Resource Sharing Hub (https://www.radc.rush.edu/). The high-dimensional data described in this manuscript can be obtained through the Accelerating Medicnes Partnership for Alzheimer's disease (AMP-AD) Knowledge Portal that is supported by the National Institute of Aging (https://www.synapse.org/ampad). The phenotypes listed in Table 2 are available through this portal, and additional phenotypic data are available from RADC. Table 3 outlines the data available through the portal. Additional data are being produced and will be available through the portal as they complete quality control analyses.

An important element of the design of the ROS and MAP studies is that all individuals are without known dementia at the time of entry into the study. Their cognitive trajectory is captured using a detailed battery of neuropsychological tests that is deployed annually to all living subjects. Subjects are also evaluated neurologically every year, and, at the time of death, a review of all ante-mortem data leads to a final clinical diagnosis for each participant: each individual receives a diagnosis of syndromic Alzheimer's disease (AD), of mild cognitive impairment (MCI), or of no cognitive impairment (NCI). After the autopsy is concluded, a spectrum of neuropathologic diagnoses are obtained, such as a pathologic diagnosis of AD as defined using the modified NIA Reagan criteria based<sup>13</sup> on a modified Bielschowsky silver stain to visualize amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles. Brain sections are stained with hematoxylin and eosin to measure cerebral infarcts, and immunochemistry is used to measure Lewy bodies. Details of each pathologic diagnosis captured in these cohorts were described previously<sup>14</sup>. However, many other pathologies are present in the brains of older individuals (the mean age of death is 88.8 years old in ROSMAP), and they are catalogued for each participant. As shown by Fig. 2, there are imperfect overlaps of the two types of Alzheimer's dementia diagnoses. There are 95 participants with clinical Alzheimer's dementia without a pathological AD diagnosis, while 174 cognitively non-impaired participants have a pathologic diagnosis of AD.

#### Molecular data generation

The RADC maintains a sample archive that contains DNA samples from each subject as well as the brains of deceased ROS subjects and the brain, spinal cord, selected muscles and nerves of MAP subjects. One hemisphere is cut into coronal slabs and frozen; the other hemisphere is fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Samples can be requested through the RADC website (https://www.radc.rush.edu/ requests/additionalForms.htm/).

#### Genotype data

DNA used for genotyping ROS and MAP participants was collected from postmortem brain tissue, whole blood, or lymphocytes. The majority of samples were genotyped on the Affymetrix GeneChip 6.0 platform (Santa Clara, CA, USA) at the Broad Institute's Center for Genotyping (n=1204) or the Translational Genomics Research Institute (n=674). Additionally, 566 participants were genotyped on the Illumina OmniQuad Express platform at Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. The same QC protocol was applied to all datasets using PLINK<sup>15</sup> (http://zzz.bwh.harvard.edu/plink/). We have limited analyses to participants of European decent. On the SNP level, we applied the following quality control (QC) filters: a genotype call rate>95%, MAF>0.01, misshap test  $1 \times 10^{-9}$ , and a Hardy-Weinberg P < 0.001. The EIGENSTRAT software was used to calculate principle components used to control for population sub-structure; the top three principal components (PC)s are sufficient to correct for residual stratification<sup>16</sup>.

Ν	Traits	Description
1	Basic demographic variables of population	Include study, sex, education, race, Spanish.
2	Age with the first diagnosis of AD	Float variable for age at cycle where first AD diagnosis was given.
3	Age at death	It is calculated from subtracting date of birth from date of death and dividing the difference by days per year (365.25).
4	Age at the last visit	The maximum age at visit
5	Post-mortem interval in hours	Interval between death and tissue preservation in hours.
6	APOE genotype	Genotyping was performed by Agencourt Bioscience Corporation utilizing high-throughput sequencing of codon 112 (position 3937) and codon 158 (position 4075) of exon 4 of the APOE gene on chromosome 19.
7	Braak Stage	A semiquantitative measure of neurofibrillary tangles and the diagnosis includes algorithm and neuropathologist's opinion.
8	Diagnosis of AD by NIA-Reagan score	Diagnosis of AD by NIA-Reagan score.
9	The Mini Mental State Examination at the first diagnosis of AD.	A widely used, 30 item, standardized screening measure of dementia severity.
10	The Mini Mental State Examination at the last valid level.	A widely used, 30 item, standardized screening measure of dementia severity.
11	Assessment of neuritic plaques	A semiquantitative measure of neuritic plaques and the diagnosis includes algorithm and neuropathologist's opinion.
12	Final clinical consensus diagnosis	At the time of death, all available clinical data were reviewed by a neurologist with expertise in dementia, and a summary diagnostic opinion was rendered regarding the most likely clinical diagnosis at the time of death. Summary diagnoses were made blinded to all postmortem data. Case conferences including one or more neurologists and a neuropsychologist were used for consensus on selected cases.

Table 2. List of traits available in Synapse for each subject. We list all the phenotypic and covariate traits available in Synpase, and provided a basic description of each trait.

The final QC'ed dataset consists of 1709 participants of European ancestry from the Affy 6.0 platform and 384 participants from the Illumina platform. Using Beagle software (version: 3.3.2) and the 1000 Genomes Project (2011, Phase 1b data freeze) as a reference, dosage data were imputed on>35 million SNPs for all genotyped samples who passed QC. We performed imputation separately for each genotyping platform. After removing SNPs with a MAF < 0.01 or an imputation quality info score < 0.3, approximately 7.5 million SNPs remained to analyze. Further information regarding genotyping and imputation can be found in previous publications<sup>17,18</sup>.

Following substantial improvements in phasing software and haplotype reference panels for populations of Caucasian ancestry, a second generation of imputation was performed for the autosomes in March, 2017 on the Michigan Imputation Server (MIS), using Minimac3, the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) reference panel (v.1.1), and Eagle (v2.3) phasing software. Pre-imputation quality control identified 23 subjects from the Affy6.0 platform dataset (initial n = 1709) and 3 subjects from the Illumina platform dataset (initial n = 384) with high proportions of missing genotypes (>0.5) for at least one 20MB region of the genome, yielding final sample sizes of n = 1686 and n = 381 imputed using MIS. After imputation, these datasets were merged into a single n = 2067 fileset. The number of variants imputed with high confidence (INFO score>0.8) was >11.2 million, representing a large increase over the 1000 Genomes Phase 1 imputation dataset in the number of high quality variants available for analyses. Comparisons of subject-level genotype discordance for overlapping SNPs between the 1000 Genomes Phase 1 imputation, and whole genome sequencing (WGS) found an average discordance of 0.7% for MIS and 2.5% for 1000 genomes Phase 1 against WGS across all 22 chromosomes. This is a non-trivial increase in imputation quality and highlights nearly seven years of scientific improvement in the area of genomic imputation.

#### Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS)

A subset of the ROSMAP samples (n = 1200 for 1179 unique deceased participants) underwent whole genome sequencing, with DNA coming from brain tissue (n = 806), whole blood (n = 389) or lymphocytes transformed with EBV virus (n = 5). WGS libraries were prepared using the KAPA Hyper Library Preparation Kit in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1 ug of DNA was sheared using a Covaris LE220 sonicator (adaptive focused acoustics). DNA fragments underwent beadbased size selection and were subsequently end-repaired, adenylated, and ligated to Illumina sequencing adapters. Final libraries were evaluated using fluorescent-based assays including qPCR with the Universal KAPA Library Quanitification Kit and Fragment Analyzer (Advanced Analytics) or BioAnalyzer (Agilent 2100). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq X sequencer (v2.5 chemistry) using 2 x 150 bp cycles.

Sequencing reads were aligned to the human reference using BWA-mem (version 0.7.15)<sup>19</sup>. Resulting BAM files contain all reads (passing or failing vendor quality checks), whether or not they aligned. Duplicate reads were detected and marked using Picard's MarkDuplicates module (version 2.4.1) (http:// broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Local alignment was performed around indels to identify putative insertions or deletions in the region using the GATK<sup>20,21</sup> (version 3.5) indel realignment tool. Base quality score recalibration was performed using the GATK BQSR. This step uses observed data to improve the quality scores for each base in the sequence. GATK HaplotypeCaller and GenotypeGVCFs modules were used to generate individual genotype calls in genomic VCF and VCF format. Following



CHIPseq

**Figure 1. Overlap of the different layers of "omic" data.** The venn diagram illustrates the extent to which the different layers of overlap in the ROS and MAP subjects that have been processed to date. 458 subjects have all layers of data described in this report.

variant calling, we ran the variant quality recalibration step in the GATK pipeline to empirically calibrate high quality variants. To ensure a high level of accuracy in genotype calls from sequencing, variants were filtered for minimum read depth (DP), variant calling confidence score (QD), VQSLOD and mapping and variant quality scores (MQ, GQ). Variant-level QC was performed using PLINK<sup>15</sup> which includes checking genotype concordance using previous GWAS data, excluding variants with excess and/or systematic genotype missingness, examining departure from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium and identifying Mendelian inconsistencies among related individuals. Variants were annotated using ANNOVAR<sup>22</sup>. Variants were annotated with population frequencies in existing variant databases including dbSNP, 1000 Genomes, and the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC). Prediction of variant function was obtained from POLYPHEN<sup>23</sup> and SIFT<sup>24</sup>, cross-species conservation scores were obtained from PhyloP<sup>25</sup>, PhastCons<sup>26</sup> and GERP<sup>25</sup> and disease association were performed using OMIM<sup>27</sup>, HGMD<sup>28</sup>, ClinVar<sup>29</sup>.

Folder	syn Number for folder	Files	syn Number for files
Clinical_data	syn3157322	ROSMAP_IDkey.csv	syn3382527
		ROSMAP_clinical.csv	syn3191087
		ROSMAP_clinical_codebook.pdf	syn3191090
Genotypes	syn3157325	ROSMAP genotype data chop_Illumina	syn7824841
		ROSMAP_arrayGenotype.bed	syn3221153
		ROSMAP_arrayGenotype.bim	syn3221155
		ROSMAP_arrayGenotype.fam	syn3221157
Genotypes imputed	syn3157329	ROSMAP imputed dosage chop_Illumina	syn2426141
		AMP-AD_ROSMAP_Rush-Broad_AffymetrixGenechip6_Imputed.fam	syn5879839
		AMP-AD_ROSMAP_Rush-Broad_AffymetrixGenechip6_Imputed_chr1.dosage.gz	syn5879161
		AMP-AD_ROSMAP_Rush-Broad_AffymetrixGenechip6_Imputed_chr22.dosage.gz	syn5879838
Whole genome sequencing (WGS)	syn10901595	AMP-AD_rosmap_WGS_id_key.csv	syn11384589
		DEJ_11898_B01_GRM_WGS_2017-05-15_22.recalibrated_variants.annotated.clinical.txt	syn10997292
		DEJ_11898_B01_GRM_WGS_2017-05-15_22.recalibrated_variants.annotated.coding.txt	syn10996387
		DEJ_11898_B01_GRM_WGS_2017-05-15_22.recalibrated_variants.annotated.coding_rare.txt	syn10996457
		DEJ_11898_B01_GRM_WGS_2017-05-15_22.recalibrated_variants.annotated.txt	syn10998318
		DEJ_11898_B01_GRM_WGS_2017-05-15_22.recalibrated_variants.annotated.vcf.gz	syn10996945
		DEJ_11898_B01_GRM_WGS_2017-05-15_22.recalibrated_variants.annotated.vcf.gz.tbi	syn10997466
		DEJ_11898_B01_GRM_WGS_2017-05-15_22.recalibrated_variants.vcf.gz	syn10996484
		DEJ_11898_B01_GRM_WGS_2017-05-15_22.recalibrated_variants.vcf.gz.tbi	syn10996504
RNA-Seq	syn3388564	ROSMAP RNAseq BAM files	syn4164376
		ROSMAP RNAseq Picard metrics	syn4299317
		ROSMAO_RNAseq_FPKM_gene.tsv	syn3505720
		ROSMAP_RNAseq_FPKM_gene_plates_1_to_6_normalized.tsv	syn3505732
		ROSMAP_RNAseq_FPKM_gene_plates_7_to_8_normalized.tsv	syn3505724
		ROSMAP_RNAseq_FPKM_isoform.tsv	syn3505744
		ROSMAP_RNAseq_FPKM_isoform_plates_1_to_6_normalized.tsv	syn3505746
		ROSMAP_RNAseq_FPKM_isoform_plates_7_to_8_normalized.tsv	syn3505745
miRNA profile	syn3387325	ROSMAP_arraymiRNA.gct	syn3387327
		ROSMAP_arraymiRNA_covariates.csv	syn5857921
		ROSMAP_arraymiRNA_raw.zip	syn5856115
H3K9Ac ChIP-Seq	syn4896408	ROSMAP H3K9 Acetylation ChIPSeq BAM Files	syn5958425
		ROSMAP_ChIPseq_covariates.csv	syn5964518
		ROSMAP_ChIPseq_metaData.csv	syn5963810
DNA Methylation profile     syn3157275     IDAT Files       ROSMAP_arrayMethylation_covariates.tsv		IDAT Files	syn7357283
		ROSMAP_arrayMethylation_covariates.tsv	syn5843544
		ROSMAP_arrayMethylation_imputed.tsv.gz	syn3168763
		ROSMAP_arrayMethylation_metaData.tsv	syn3168775
		ROSMAP_arrayMethylation_raw.gz	syn5850422

Table 3. ROSMAP files deposited in AMPAD portal. We list the available data types available in Synapse and the example files for each type.

#### **RNA Sample Preparation**

Approximately 100 mg of gray matter tissue from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) were sectioned while still frozen and shipped on dry ice overnight from the RADC to the Broad Institute. These sections were partially thawed on ice prior to dissection with a scalpel to separate the gray from the white matter and vasculature. Between 50 mg and 100 mg of gray matter was then added to 1 ml of Trizol and homogenized with a 5mm stainless steel bead for 30 s at 30 Hertz using the Qiagen TissueLyser II. Following a quick spin to settle the foam, we would invert the tube 2-3 times to observe if the sample was fully homogenized. If chunks of tissue were still observed the sample was put back in the TissueLyser for another round. Homogenate was incubated at room temp for 5 min and then frozen at -80 °C. Samples



Figure 2. Overlaps between pathologic and clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's dementia in ROSMAP.

We illustrate the distribution of clinical diagnoses found in the ROSMAP subjects that meet a pathologic diagnosis of AD and in those that do not. We used the NIA-REAGAN guidelines for a pathologic diagnosis of AD, and all subjects were diagnosed as either having AD ( $AD_REGAN = 1$ ) or not ( $AD_RAEGAN = 0$ ). The clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's dementia was performed based on a review of all available clinical data by neurologists with expertise in dementia. Participants not fulfilling diagnostic criteria for AD dementia were classified as having mild cognitive impairment, being cognitively non-impaired, and having another form of dementia.

were later thawed and processed in batches of 12–24 samples for RNA extraction using the Qiagen MiRNeasy Mini (cat no. 217004) protocol, including the optional DNAse digestion step. This protocol yields total RNA that includes miRNA. Samples were quantified by nanodrop and/or the RiboGreen assay; for each sample, the RNA Integrity Number (RIN) was measured using the Agilent Bioanalyzer Eukaryotic Total RNA Nano chip.

#### RNA Sequencing (RNA-Seq)

Samples were submitted to the Broad Institute's Genomics Platform for transcriptome library construction following the dUTP protocol<sup>30</sup> and Illumina sequencing. 5 micrograms of total RNA as measured by RiboGreen at a concentration of 50 nanogram/microliter with RNA Integrity Number (RIN) score of 5 or better were submitted for cDNA library construction. RIN score affects the fragment lengths of RNA inserts for library construction, and therefore we batched samples according to RIN scores so that library pools would have uniform insert sizes. 582 subjects in 6 batches/plates containing up to 92 samples, were processed using the dUTP method, barcoded and pooled for sequencing. Subsequently, 52 samples in a single batch were processed using the newer Illumina TruSeq method modified by The Broad Institute Genomics Platform to be strand specific and to use larger insert sizes. The resulting library closely resembles the library obtained by the dUTP method. The Truseq method uses only 250 nanograms of RNA input. Sequencing was carried out using the Illumina HiSeq2000 with 101 bp paired end reads for a targeted coverage of 50M paired reads.

The average sequencing depth was 50 million paired reads per sample. All reads were originally aligned by Tophat<sup>31</sup> to the whole human genome reference (hg19) with Bowtie1 as the aligner. Several Picard metrics (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) were collected from alignment results. Based on those Picard metrics, we implemented a paralleled and automatic RNAseq pipeline, in order to achieve higher quality of alignment and better estimation on gene expression levels. This pipeline includes identifying and trimming low quality bases (Q10) from beginning and end of each reads, identifying and trimming adapter sequencing from reads, detecting and removing rRNA reads and aligning reads to a transcriptome reference by a non-gap aligner (Bowtie1). The expression levels of gene and transcripts were estimated by RSEM package<sup>32</sup>. The Gencode V14 annotation were used by RSEM in the quantification process. Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) values were the final output of our RNA-Seq pipeline. 638 subjects passed QC from these two batches of samples.

Recently, the data were reprocessed in parallel with other AMP-AD RNAseq datasets, and this second version of the data are available as well. The input data for the RNAseq reprocessing effort was aligned reads in bam files that were converted to fastq using the Picard SamToFastq function. Fastq files were realigned to the GENCODE24 (GRCh38) reference genome using STAR with twopassMode set as Basic. Gene counts were computed for each sample by STAR by setting quantMode as GeneCounts, and transcript abundance estimated using Sailfish (see https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn9702085/ for details).

#### miRNA profile

The RNA samples used to generate the RNAseq data were also submitted to the Broad Institute's Genomics Platform for processing on the Nanostring nCounter platform to generate miRNA profiles for 800 miRNAs using the Human V2 miRNA codeset. The complete list of miRNAs is available at https:// www.nanostring.com/. 100 ng of each total RNA sample was used in the following Nanostring protocol:

	Braak score	CERAD score	Mini-mental state exam	Age at death
Braak score	1.0	$-0.4 \ (P = 6.7 \times 10 - 47)$	$-0.6 (P = 9.3 \times 10 - 98)$	0.3 $(P = 4.3 \times 10 - 27)$
CERAD score		1.0	$0.4 (P = 6.7 \times 10 - 35)$	$-0.2 (P = 5.1 \times 10 - 10)$
Mini-mental state exam			1.0	$-0.2 (P = 9.6 \times 10 - 10)$
Age at death				1.0

Table 4. Correlation matrix of cognitive traits with age at death<sup>a</sup>. We present the correlations between age at death and cognitive traits. Data were represented by correlation coefficient and corresponding P value. <sup>a</sup>Data were presented with correlation coefficient (P value).

(1) multiplexed annealing of specific tags to their target miRNAs, (2) hybridization at 65 °C for 16 h, (3) enzymatic purification to remove unligated material, (4) scanning for 600 fields of view on the nCounter Digital Analyzer. Raw data were normalized using the internal positive spike-in controls and the average counts of all endogenous miRNAs in each lane to account for the variability in both the hybridization process and sample input. A metric yielding a detection call at a confidence level of 95% (P < 0.05) was determined.

The miRNA from the Nanostring RCC files were re-annotated to match the definitions from the miRBase v19. The raw data from the Nanostring RCC files were accumulated and the probe-specific backgrounds were adjusted according to the Nanostring guidelines with the corrections provided with the probe sets. After correcting for the probe-specific backgrounds, a three-step filtering of miRNA and sample expressions was performed. First, miRNA that had less than 95% of samples with an expression level were removed. This is followed by removing samples that had less than 95% of miRNAs with expression measures. Thus, the call-rates for the samples and the miRNA are set at 95%. Finally, all miRNA whose absolute value is less than 15 in at least 50% of the samples were removed to eliminate miRNA that had negligible expression in brain samples. After the miRNA and sample filtering, the dataset consisted of 309 miRNAs and 702 subjects. A combination of quantile normalization and Combat<sup>33</sup>, specifying the cartridges as batches for the miRNA data, was used to normalize the data sets.

#### H3K9Ac ChIP-Seq

We identified the Millipore anti-H3K9Ac mAb (catalog # 06-942, lot: 31636) as a robust monoclonal antibody for our chromatin immunoprecipitation experiment. 50 milligrams of gray matter was dissected on ice from biopsies of the DLPFC of each ROS and MAP subject. The tissue was minced in a wash of ice cold PBS containing the Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche 11 836 170 001) and cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde at room temperature for 15 mins and quenched with 0.125M Glycine. The tissue was then homogenized in cell lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 85 mM KCl, 0.5% NP 40) using the Tissue Lyser and a 5mm stainless steel bead. Then the nuclei were lysed in nucleus lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, 1% NP 40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) and chromatin was sheared using a Branson Sonifier 250 set to 40% amplitude for 0.7 s on and 1.3 s off for 6 minutes with the thermal block set at -6 °C to generate the optimal majority fragment size range between 200 and 600 bp. Samples were then centrifuged to pellet debris and 500ul of the supernatant – which is roughly half of the total volume-was incubated overnight at 4 °C with 2.5uL of the antibody with a final volume of 3 mL using the ChIP Dilution Buffer (0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM Tris-HCl pH8.1, 167 mM NaCl). Chromatin labeled with the H3K9Ac mark and bound to the antibody was purified with protein A sepharose beads, and the captured chromatin fragments were reverse cross-linked overnight in 250 mM Tris-HCl, pH6.5, 62.5 mM EDTA pH8.0, 1,25M NaCl, 5 mg/mL Proteinase K, 62.5 ug RNaseA at 65°C. The captured DNA fragments were then extracted using a phenol:chloroform phase separation, and prepared for library construction using the END-IT DNA repair kit (Epicenter Cat. No. ER0720), and single 3'-adenine overhangs were added using Klenow(3'-5' exo-) (New England Biolabs, Cat. No. M0212L). Qiagen MiniElute spin columns were used to clean up each of these reactions. Barcoded Illumina adapters prepared by the Broad Institute's Genomic's platform, were ligated to cleaned DNA fragments with DNA ligase (New England Biolabs, Cat. No. M2200S) and subsequently cleaned using 0.7X AMPure XP beads with 70% freshly prepared ethanol washes two times. The libraries were then amplified by PCR using PFU ULTRA II HS 2X Master Mix (Agilent Cat. No. 600852). Size selection was carried out by cutting the section between 275 bp-600 bp after running electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel using a 100 bp ladder (NEB-N3231S). The final library was extracted from the excised gel fragments using the Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen-28706). Libraries were quantified by Qubit in triplicate and pooled for sequencing in 4-plex or 8-plex and sequenced for 36 bp single end reads on Illumina's HiSeq2000 splitting the two cohorts across the pools as evenly as possible and targeting about 20M reads per sample.

To quantify histone acetylation, after sequencing, single-end reads were aligned to the GRCh37 reference genome by the BWA algorithm, and duplicated reads were flagged using picard tools. Reads mapping to multiple locations were marked by setting the mapping quality to 0 and were excluded from subsequent processing. Peaks were detected by MACS2 using the option for broad peaks and a stringent q-value cutoff of 0.001. Pooled DNA of 7 samples was used as negative control. A combination of five

ChIP-seq quality measures were employed to detect low quality samples: samples that did not reach  $(i) \ge 15 \times 10^6$  uniquely mapped unique reads, (ii) non-redundant fraction  $\ge 0.3$ , (iii) cross correlation  $\ge 0.03$ , (iv) fraction of reads in peaks  $\ge 0.05$  and (v)  $\ge 6000$  peaks were removed. Samples passing quality control were used to define a common set of peaks termed H3K9Ac domains. Each base overlapped by a peak in at least 100 samples (~15%) was considered as part of an H3K9Ac domain. Domains within 100 bp distance were merged. Subsequently, H3K9Ac domains of less than 100 bp width were removed resulting in a total of 26,384 H3K9Ac domains with a median width of 2,829 bp. Finally, uniquely mapped unique reads were extended towards their 3'-end to the estimated fragment size, and the number of reads overlapping each domain was computed for each sample. In total, read count data and bam files are available for 712 subjects.

#### **DNA Methylation profile**

As was done in the RNA extraction effort, gray matter was dissected from white matter while on ice from a sample of frozen DLPFC. This cortical sample was then processed using the Qiagen QIAamp mini protocol (Part number 51306) to extract DNA. Samples were evaporated to increase concentration to 50 ng/ul and submitted to the Broad Institute's Genomics Platform for processing on the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip<sup>34</sup>.

Because of the use of different thermocyclers during data generation process, a strong batch effect was observed, and we applied a series of strategies of quality control and data analysis to counter such batch effect. On the probe level QC, at first, we selected good quality probes according to the detection P value < 0.01 across all samples. We further removed those probes predicted to cross-hybridize with the sex chromosomes<sup>35</sup> and those having overlaps with known SNP with MAF  $\geq$ 0.01 ( $\pm$ 10 bp) based on the 1000 Genomes database. On the subject level QC, we at first used principal component analysis (PCA) based on 50 000 randomly selected probes to select subjects that were within  $\pm$ 3 s.d. from the mean of a principal component (PC) for PC1, PC2, and PC3. Secondly, we filtered out those subjects with poor bisulfite conversion efficiency. We have compared data normalization strategy of COMBAT<sup>33</sup> and independent component analysis (ICA) (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/fastICA/index.html)with the adjustment of batch variable in the analysis, and we found that the adjustment of the batch variable outperforms the other two strategies.

 $\beta$  values reported by the Illumina platform were used as the measurement of methylation level for each CpG probe tagged on the chip. We imputed those missing  $\beta$  values using a *k*-nearest neighbor algorithm for *k* = 100. The primary data analysis includes adjustment of age, sex, and experiment batch variable. We estimated the proportion of NeuN+ cells (primarily neurons) in each brain sample using DNA methylation data<sup>36</sup>, but we did not find it had significant associations with a pathologic diagnosis of AD (*P*=0.08). Overall, we have methylation profiles for 740 subjects.

#### Code Availability

We used the default versions of code to process our datasets. For genotype data, we applied PLINK v1.07 for QC to filter out those SNPs with genotype call rate < =95%, MAF < =0.01, misshap test  $< 1 \times 10^{-9}$ , and a Hardy-Weinberg P > = 0.001. Based on these genotyped information, we used the Beagle software v3.3.2 with the 1000 Genomes Project (2011 Phase 1b data freeze) and Minimac3 & Eagle v2.3 with the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) reference panel of Caucasian ancestry v.1.1 to yield imputed dosage information of genotypes. For the whole genome sequencing project, we used BWA-mem v0.7.15 for the alignment and GATK v3.5 for the genotype calling. RNAseq dataset were aligned by Tophat v2.0 and v2.1 and transcript enrichments were estimated by RSEM package. The ChipSeq data were aligned by the BWA algorithm and peaks were detected by MACS2. Quality metrics of the above mentioned sequencing data were provided by Picard, which were also used to mark duplicated reads. Within-batch normalization was conducted through QUMBAT.

#### **Data Records**

For high-dimensional data, the NIA-supported AMP-AD Knowledge Portal on the Synapse platform is the preferred distributor (Data Citation 1), and additional samples as well as phenotypic and other data are available through the RADC Research Resource Sharing Hub (https://www.radc.rush.edu/). Data from each unique participant is assigned the same 6 digit study ID, facilitating the relation of different data types. To download files see the 'How to Download' guide on the folder to download all folder content, and the Synapse documentation for more details: http://docs.synapse.org/articles/downloading\_data.html. The following are the key files: (1) Study description (Data Citation 2), (2) Clinical data, codebook and assay ID key (Data Citation 3), (3) Genotypes (Data Citation 4), (4) Genotypes imputed (Data Citation 5), (5) Whole genome sequencing: (Data Citation 6), (6) RNA-Seq (Data Citation 7), (7) miRNA profile: (Data Citation 8), (8) H3K9Ac ChIP-Seq (Data Citation 9), (9) DNA methylation profile (Data Citation 10).

#### **Technical Validation**

## Data derived based on DNA: Genotype, imputation, whole genome sequence, and methylation

All DNA samples go through the same rigorous quality control process before and after genotype generation, so we see no difference in data quality based on source of DNA. Affymetrix GeneChip 6.0 platform and Illumina OmniQuad Express platform are well validated platforms for genotyping. Detailed QC pipeline was described in ref. 18. Briefly, the standard QC measures for SNPs (HWE P>0.001; MAF>0.01; genotype call rate>0.95; misshap test> $1 \times 10$ -9) and subjects (genotype success rate>0.95; genotype-derived gender concordant with reported gender, excess inter/intra-heterozygosity) were applied. The top hits of the genotype data were successfully replicated in another independent dataset<sup>18</sup>. For the whole genome sequencing data, base quality score recalibration was performed using the GATK BQSR and the empirical calibration of the variant quality was done using GATK pipeline. Variants were further filtered for minimum read depth (DP), variant calling confidence score (QD), VQSLOD and mapping and variant quality scores (MQ, GQ). For the methylation data, we applied both probe-level (detection  $P \ge 0.01$  across all samples; not cross-hybridize with the sex chromosomes; not overlapped with known SNPs with MAF  $\geq$  0.01 within 10 bp region) and subject-level QC (within 3 s.d. from the mean of a principal component (PC) for PC1, PC2 and PC3, and those with poor bisulfite conversion efficiency). The top hits were also successfully replicated in an independent sample<sup>34</sup>. Experimental replicates and controls were designed to calibrate data.

#### RNA derived data: RNAseq and miRNA

The RNA extraction protocol, Qiagen MiRNeasy Mini (cat no. 217004) protocol, has been validated to be effective to purify both total RNA and miRNA<sup>37–42</sup>. For each sample, the RIN score was measured using the Agilent Bioanalyzer Eukaryotic Total RNA Nano chip. Those RNA samples with RIN score of 5 or better were submitted for cDNA library construction. RIN score affects the fragment lengths of RNA inserts for library construction, and therefore we batched samples according to RIN scores so that library pools would have uniform insert sizes. In order to get correct alignment, we trimmed the reads if they have low quality bases (Q10) from beginning and end or those reads derived from adapters or rRNA sequences. Experimental replicates and controls were designed to calibrate data.

#### H3K9Ac ChIP-Seq

Pooled DNA of 7 samples was used as negative control. A combination of five ChIP-seq quality measures were employed to detect low quality samples: samples that did not reach (i)  $\ge 15 \times 10^6$  uniquely mapped unique reads, (ii) non-redundant fraction  $\ge 0.3$ , (iii) cross correlation  $\ge 0.03$ , (iv) fraction of reads in peaks  $\ge 0.05$  and (v)  $\ge 6000$  peaks were removed.

#### **Usage Notes**

All data are publically available following the completion of a data use agreement that can be completed through the RUSH University ADC (https://www.radc.rush.edu/requests/additionalForms. htm/) or the Synapse platform (https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn2954404). The ROS and MAP cohorts have useful features that allow the data generated from their subjects to be repurposed for many different analyses and to render results relevant to the population of older individuals. Most importantly, all subjects are community-dwelling without known dementia at the time of enrollment. All testing is performed in the participants' homes, and the only inclusion criteria are age and willingness to sign the informed consent and Anatomical Gift Act. Thus, participants capture the full spectrum of phenotypes found in an aging human population. Further, both ROS and MAP include longitudinal rigorous clinical, functional, neuropsychologic and magnetic resonance imaging characterization of participants while they are alive, as well as a structured clinical and quantitative neuropathologic assessment at autopsy. The application of standard clinical scales to the collected data provides both syndromic diagnoses and semiquantitative measures such as the many cognitive function tests that allow the comparison of results from ROS and MAP to those from other collections of subjects. These simpler phenotypes also enables us to contribute data to consortia for joint or meta-analyses, as has been done for a wide range of clinical, imaging and pathologic phenotypes<sup>43-45</sup>. As clinical and pathologic phenotypes do not occur in isolation, the deep clinical and neuropathologic phenotyping of each subject enables investigators to resolve the contribution of a given molecular feature to multiple different intermediate traits that ultimately contribute to cognitive decline and other common conditions of aging.

We also note that certain limitations must be taken into account when interpreting results from these cohorts. (1) These cohorts sample a large spectrum of the older population but are not a random sample of the overall population; nonetheless, they capture a much larger spectrum of the aging population than most autopsy series that rely on the subset of individuals coming to the attention of the health care system because of their symptoms and often have highly selective recruitment criteria. (2) The mean age at study entry is 78.9 (SD = 7.5, range 55.4-102.1), and the mean age at death is 89 (SD = 6.6, range 65.9-108.3). Since subjects are older and without known demented at study entry, there is a bias in study entry stemming both from survival to older age from all causes of early mortality and from surviving to study entry without significant cognitive impairment. (3) The range of age at the time of death is broad but restricted to the older segment of the age distribution of the North American

population. (4) Finally, agreement for organ donation likely introduces a subtle bias. However, it should be noted that essentially all risk factors for AD dementia identified in the cohort have been replicated in other cohort studies.

We also note that many of these neuropsychologic and neuropathologic traits are correlated (Table 4) and that many of these traits correlate with advancing age. The age and sex of subjects have very strong effects on the brain's epigenome and transcriptome; these two variables are important confounders when performing any analyses of ROS and MAP data. Further, one must carefully consider the molecular effects of neuropathologies that confound aging-related analyses as we have shown with the methylome<sup>46</sup>. Finally, both circadian and seasonal rhythms influence the epigenome and the transcriptome, introducing an important source of variation for many genes that is rarely appreciated<sup>47</sup>.

The ROS and MAP cohorts have been designed for data and sample sharing, and they have been at the forefront of large-scale omic data generation from the human brain and also of sharing such data through efforts such as the DREAM challenge<sup>10</sup> and the AMP-AD research program funded by the National Institute of Aging. The data described in this report represent data that exist today and are available on Synapse. Numerous additional layers of data, including proteomic and metabolomic data, from tissue samples and purified cell populations are being produced and will become available as the data are finalized. We look forward to this large set of molecular and phenotypic data being repurposed by the neuroscience and other communities of researchers.

#### References

- Hird, M. A., Egeto, P., Fischer, C. E., Naglie, G. & Schweizer, T. A. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of On-Road Simulator and Cognitive Driving Assessment in Alzheimer's Disease and Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Journal of Alzheimer's disease: JAD* 53, 713–729 (2016).
- 2. Guo, X. M., Liu, H. & Qian, J. Daily iron supplementation on cognitive performance in primary-school-aged children with and without anemia: a meta-analysis. *International journal of clinical and experimental medicine* **8**, 16107–16111 (2015).
- 3. Yu, L. et al. Residual decline in cognition after adjustment for common neuropathologic conditions. Neuropsychology 29, 335–343 (2015).
- 4. White, C. C. et al. Identification of genes associated with dissociation of cognitive performance and neuropathological burden: Multistep analysis of genetic, epigenetic, and transcriptional data. PLoS Med 14, e1002287 (2017).
- 5. Kaplan, J. T., Gimbel, S. I. & Harris, S. Neural correlates of maintaining one's political beliefs in the face of counterevidence. Sci Rep 6, 39589 (2016).
- Braak, H., Thal, D. R., Ghebremedhin, E. & Del Tredici, K. Stages of the pathologic process in Alzheimer disease: age categories from 1 to 100 years. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 70, 960–969 (2011).
- 7. Ma, H. R. et al. Aberrant pattern of regional cerebral blood flow in Alzheimer's disease: a voxel-wise meta-analysis of arterial spin labeling MR imaging studies. Oncotarget 8, 93196–93208 (2017).
- 8. Alcala-Lozano, R. et al. Similar clinical improvement and maintenance after rTMS at 5 Hz using a simple vs. complex protocol in Alzheimer's disease. Brain Stimul 8, 625–627 (2017).
- 9. Fan, M. et al. Cortical thickness is associated with different apolipoprotein E genotypes in healthy elderly adults. Neurosci Lett 479, 332–336 (2010).
- Allen, G. I. et al. Crowdsourced estimation of cognitive decline and resilience in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 12, 645–653 (2016).
- 11. Bennett, D. A., Schneider, J. A., Arvanitakis, Z. & Wilson, R. S. Overview and findings from the religious orders study. Curr Alzheimer Res 9, 628-645 (2012).
- 12. Bennett, D. A. et al. Overview and findings from the rush Memory and Aging Project. Curr Alzheimer Res 9, 646–663 (2012).
- Newell, K. L., Hyman, B. T., Growdon, J. H. & Hedley-Whyte, E. T. Application of the National Institute on Aging (NIA)-Reagan Institute criteria for the neuropathological diagnosis of Alzheimer disease. *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* 58, 1147–1155 (1999).
- 14. Schneider, J. A., Arvanitakis, Z., Bang, W. & Bennett, D. A. Mixed brain pathologies account for most dementia cases in community-dwelling older persons. *Neurology* 69, 2197–2204 (2007).
- 15. Purcell, S. et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 81, 559–575 (2007).
- 16. Price, A. L. et al. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nature genetics 38, 904–909 (2006).
- 17. Shulman, J. M. et al. Genetic susceptibility for Alzheimer disease neuritic plaque pathology. JAMA Neurol 70, 1150–1157 (2013).
- De Jager, P. L. *et al.* A genome-wide scan for common variants affecting the rate of age-related cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Aging* 33, 1017 e1011–e1015 (2012).
- 19. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760 (2009).
- McKenna, A. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome research* 20, 1297–1303 (2010).
- 21. DePristo, M. A. *et al.* A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet* **43**, 491–498 (2011).
- 22. Wang, K., Li, M. & Hakonarson, H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic acids research* **38**, e164 (2010).
- Adzhubei, I., Jordan, D. M. & Sunyaev, S. R. Predicting functional effect of human missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. Current protocols in human genetics / editorial board, Jonathan L. Haines... [et al] Chapter 7(Unit7): 20 (2013).
- Kumar, P., Henikoff, S. & Ng, P. C. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. *Nature protocols* 4, 1073–1081 (2009).
- Cooper, G. M. et al. Distribution and intensity of constraint in mammalian genomic sequence. Genome research 15, 901–913 (2005).
- Siepel, A. et al. Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast genomes. Genome research 15, 1034–1050 (2005).
- 27. McKusick, V. A. Mendelian Inheritance in Man and its online version, OMIM. Am J Hum Genet 80, 588-604 (2007).

- 28. Stenson, P. D. et al. Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD): 2003 update. Human mutation 21, 577-581 (2003).
- Wasserman, J. K. & Schlichter, L. C. White matter injury in young and aged rats after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Exp Neurol* 214, 266–275 (2008).
- 30. Levin, J. Z. et al. Comprehensive comparative analysis of strand-specific RNA sequencing methods. Nature methods 7, 709-715 (2010).
- Trapnell, C. et al. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat Protoc 7, 562–578 (2012).
- 32. Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12, 323 (2011).
- Johnson, W. E., Li, C. & Rabinovic, A. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. Biostatistics 8, 118–127 (2007).
- 34. De Jager, P. L. et al. Alzheimer's disease: early alterations in brain DNA methylation at ANK1, BIN1, RHBDF2 and other loci. Nat Neurosci 17, 1156–1163 (2014).
- 35. Chen, Y. A. *et al.* Discovery of cross-reactive probes and polymorphic CpGs in the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 microarray. *Epigenetics* **8**, 203–209 (2013).
- 36. Guintivano, J., Aryee, M. J. & Kaminsky, Z. A. A cell epigenotype specific model for the correction of brain cellular heterogeneity bias and its application to age, brain region and major depression. *Epigenetics* **8**, 290–302 (2013).
- Almeida, A. L. et al. Serological under expression of microRNA-21, microRNA-34a and microRNA-126 in colorectal cancer. Acta Cir Bras 31(Suppl 1): 13–18 (2016).
- 38. Kacperska, M. J. *et al.* Selected extracellular microRNA as potential biomarkers of multiple sclerosis activity--preliminary study. *J Mol Neurosci* 56, 154–163 (2015).
- 39. Ge, Q. et al. miRNA in plasma exosome is stable under different storage conditions. Molecules 19, 1568-1575 (2014).
- 40. Yu, J. et al. miR-202 expression concentration and its clinical significance in the serum of multiple myeloma patients. Ann Clin Biochem 51, 543–549 (2014).
- 41. Ragusa, M et al. MicroRNAs in vitreus humor from patients with ocular diseases. Mol Vis 19, 430-440 (2013).
- Glynn, C. L., Khan, S., Kerin, M. J. & Dwyer, R. M. Isolation of secreted microRNAs (miRNAs) from cell-conditioned media. *Microrna* 2, 14–19 (2013).
- Matteini, A. M. *et al.* GWAS analysis of handgrip and lower body strength in older adults in the CHARGE consortium. *Aging Cell* 15, 792–800 (2016).
- 44. Hibar, D. P. et al. Novel genetic loci associated with hippocampal volume. Nat Commun 8, 13624 (2017).
- 45. Sims, R. et al. Rare coding variants in PLCG2, ABI3, and TREM2 implicate microglial-mediated innate immunity in Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 49, 1373–1384 (2017).
- 46. Yang, J. et al. Association of DNA methylation in the brain with age in older persons is confounded by common neuropathologies. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 67, 58–64 (2015).
- 47. Lim, A. S. *et al.* Diurnal and seasonal molecular rhythms in human neocortex and their relation to Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14931 (2017).

#### **Data Citations**

- 1. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn2580853 (2016).
- 2. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn3219045 (2016).
- 3. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn3157322 (2016).
- 4. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn3157325 (2016).
- 5. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn3157329 (2016).
- 6. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn10901595 (2017).
- 7. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn3388564 (2016).
- 8. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn3387325 (2016).
- 9. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn4896408 (2016).
- 10. Synapse https://dx.doi.org/10.7303/syn3157275 (2017).

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the participants in the Religious Order Study, the Memory and Aging Project. This work is supported by the US National Institutes of Health [U01 AG046152, R01 AG043617, R01 AG042210, R01 AG036042, R01 AG036836, R01 AG032990, R01 AG18023, RC2 AG036547, P50 AG016574, U01 ES017155, KL2 RR024151, K25 AG041906-01, R01 AG30146, P30 AG10161, R01 AG17917, R01 AG15819, K08 AG034290, P30 AG10161 and R01 AG11101.

#### **Author Contributions**

P.L.D. and D.A.B. designed the study. C.M., A.T., J.A.S., and P.N. collected, prepared and generated data from the samples. J.X., B.N.V., D.F., H.U.K., C.C.W., L.Y., C.G., and S.M. processed the data into the analysis-ready formats. M.A.P., B.L., and L.M.M deposited data onto Synapse portal. P.L.D., D.A.B. and Y.M. wrote the manuscript. All of the authors critically reviewed the manuscript.

#### **Additional information**

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

How to cite this article: De Jager, P. L. *et al.* A multi-omic atlas of the human frontal cortex for aging and Alzheimer's disease research. *Sci. Data* 5:180142 doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.142 (2018).

**Publisher's note**: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/ applies to the metadata files made available in this article.

© The Author(s) 2018