

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



OPEN

A Multifunctional Interlayer for Solution Processed High Performance Indium Oxide Transistors

Adrica Kyndiah¹, Abdulheziz Ablat^{1,2}, Seymour Guyot-Reeb¹, Thorsten Schultz³, Fengshuo Zu^{3,4}, Norbert Koch^{3,4}, Patrick Amsalem³, Stefano Chiodini^{5,7}, Tugbahar Yilmaz Alic⁶, Yasemin Topal^{6,9}, Mahmut Kus^{6,8}, Lionel Hirsch¹, Sophie Fasquel¹ & Mamatimin Abbas¹

Multiple functionality of tungsten polyoxometalate (POM) has been achieved applying it as interfacial layer for solution processed high performance In_2O_3 thin film transistors, which results in overall improvement of device performance. This approach not only reduces off-current of the device by more than two orders of magnitude, but also leads to a threshold voltage reduction, as well as significantly enhances the mobility through facilitated charge injection from the electrode to the active layer. Such a mechanism has been elucidated through morphological and spectroscopic studies.

Wide band gap metal oxide based thin film transistors (TFT) have been attracting intensive research attention as they have strong application potential in display technology¹. The most appealing properties of these transistors are their high electrical performance and optical transparency^{2–6}. Recently, remarkable progress has been achieved in the development of fabricating such oxide based transistors using low-cost solution processing techniques^{7,8}, even on flexible substrates when the curing step was done by a photochemical method⁹.

One such metal oxide semiconductor that has been investigated extensively is indium oxide (In_2O_3). In_2O_3 transistors intrinsically behave as n-type transistors. They exhibit large electron field effect mobility. However, due to high charge carrier concentration, the Fermi level of In_2O_3 is quite close to the conduction band minimum, resulting in high off current in transistor devices¹⁰. Early efforts had been dedicated to applying binary or ternary compositions using Zn and Ga to improve the electrical characteristics, notably current on/off ratio, but at the expense of reduced mobility compared to single composite In_2O_3 ¹⁰. Several recent studies have been reported on improving single composite In_2O_3 based solution processed TFTs, due to the simplicity of solution formulation, which is appreciated for large scale production. One of them was blend approach, in which it was demonstrated that by doping In_2O_3 with an electron rich polymer (polyethylenimine), it was possible to disturb crystallization and control the carrier concentration, so that improved electrical characteristics as well as enhanced electron mobility of about $9 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ can be achieved¹¹. Another approach proposed using bilayer $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{ZnO}$, where doping top layer ZnO with Li metal led to increased electron mobility, albeit with decreased current on/off ratio and negative shift of threshold voltage¹².

In this work, we propose using an interfacial layer with multi-functionality to improve the overall performance of solution processed In_2O_3 TFTs. A number of criteria were set for such an interlayer. First, it should have high resistance so that current flow between the source and drain electrode can be minimized. Second, it should

¹CNRS, Université Bordeaux, Laboratoire de l'Intégration du Matériau au Système (IMS), UMR 5218, ENSCBP, 16 avenue Pey Berland, 33607, Pessac Cedex, France. ²School of Physical Science and Technology, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, 830046, People's Republic of China. ³Institut für Physik and IRIS Adlershof, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany. ⁴Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie GmbH, 12489, Berlin, Germany. ⁵Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz 3, Cantoblanco, 28049, Madrid, Spain. ⁶Advanced Technology Research and Application Center, Selçuk University, 42031, Campus, Selçuklu, Konya, Turkey. ⁷Present address: Instituto de Nanociencia de Aragón (INA), Universidad de Zaragoza, 50018, Zaragoza, Spain. ⁸Present address: Gebze Technical University, Institute of Energy Technologies, 41400, Gebze, Kocaeli, Turkey. ⁹Present address: Pamukkale University, Cal Vocational School, 20700, Denizli, Turkey. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.A. (email: mamatimin.abbas@ims-bordeaux.fr)




Figure 1. Bottom gate, top contact device architecture of TFTs: Highly doped Si substrate acted as the gate electrode with thermally grown SiO_2 as dielectric layer. The polyhedral representation of $\alpha\text{-K}_9\text{PW}_9\text{O}_{34}\cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$, which is a trilacunary Keggin type polyoxometalate is also shown. Tetrahedral core represents PO_4 , while each of the nine octahedral represents KWO_6 . Reference device is without POM layer.




Figure 2. XPS spectra of O 1s (a) and In 3d (b) core levels of In_2O_3 and $\text{POM}/\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ films. Fitting was applied after subtracting the background. Dashed lines are the guide to the eye for the positions of the deconvoluted peaks.

have suitable energy levels to facilitate the charge injection from the electrode to the active layer. Third, transparency should be guaranteed for future application of TFTs. Last but not the least, compatible processability is another factor to be taken into account. To this aim, we investigated the role of an interlayer made of kegging type polyoxotungstate ($\alpha\text{-K}_9\text{PW}_9\text{O}_{34}\cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$, POM). POM is an inorganic oxide material that exhibits favorable electron transporting properties and good optical properties due to its optical transparency. It is easily processed by wet chemistry using polar solvents such as water or alcohols^{13,14}. Recently, POM was used as an efficient interlayer in organic photovoltaic cells (OPVs). Reports show that OPVs, where POM was inserted as an interlayer, showed enhanced OPV device performances¹⁵. The same group also incorporated POM as an electron injection layer in their polymer light emitting diodes where an interlayer of POM was inserted between the aluminum cathode




Figure 3. (a) Transfer characteristics of TFTs in saturation regime: reference devices (blue curves, square markers) and POM inserted devices (red curves, circle markers); (b) Charge carrier mobility curves in saturation regime as a function of V_{GS} . The mobility of the reference devices is depicted by the blue curve (square markers) and POM inserted devices by the red curve (circle markers); (c) Output characteristics curves of reference devices; (d) Output characteristics curves of POM inserted devices.

and the polymer layer¹⁶. We present in this work the first successful integration of POM in a transistor device with high performance. Device structure of our TFT and polyhedral representation of POM are shown in Fig. 1.

Results and Discussion

In order to investigate the evolution of the surface states following POM layer deposition on the In_2O_3 , we carried out XPS analysis of O 1s and In 3d core levels as presented in Fig. 2. O 1s spectrum of In_2O_3 was fitted with two peaks: *a* at 530.5 eV coming from indium-oxygen bonds and *c* at higher binding energy of 532.1 eV from oxygen vacancies¹⁷. In the case of POM/ In_2O_3 film, two more peaks appeared: peak *b* at 531.5 eV corresponding to oxygen bonding in KWO_3 and peak *d* at 532.9 eV related to $-\text{OH}$ groups. First notable observation is the presence of high percentage oxygen vacancies up to 33% in In_2O_3 film, which is usually the case for solution processed oxide films¹⁷. Another important observation is that these oxygen vacancies diminished significantly to about 7% when POM layer was deposited on In_2O_3 film. Subsequent fitting of In 3d spectra also validates this trend. Lower binding energy peaks of *a* at 444.7 eV ($3d_{5/2}$) and *c* at 452.2 eV ($3d_{3/2}$) can be attributed to the oxygen vacancies, while




Figure 4. Atomic force microscopy height images of In_2O_3 films without (left) and with (right) POM layer.

	Mobility (cm^2/Vs)	V_{th} (V)	SS (V/dec)	$I_{\text{ON}}/I_{\text{OFF}}$
Reference	3.9 ± 0.2	-10.3 ± 0.7	3.1 ± 0.6	10^5
With POM	10.8 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 0.3	10^7

Table 1. TFT performance parameters with and without POM interlayer.

b at 445.1 eV ($3d_{5/2}$) and *d* at 452.6 eV ($3d_{3/2}$) to the indium-oxygen bonds, which clearly confirm the reduction of oxygen vacancies with the deposition of POM layer.

Figure 3(a) illustrates the typical transistor transfer curves in saturation regime with and without the POM interlayer. As seen from the curves, the device with POM (red curve) clearly shows an enhanced electrical characteristic as compared to the reference devices (blue curve). It should be noted that no channel can be formed in POM, as In_2O_3 is in touch with SiO_2 dielectric, at the interface of which the channel is formed. This is not a bilayer dielectric, as In_2O_3 is the semiconducting active layer. The most notable effect is the increase of the current on/off ratio from 10^5 for reference devices to 10^7 for POM/ In_2O_3 devices. High conductivity of the In_2O_3 is mainly due to the high concentration of oxygen vacancies, as verified by previous XPS analysis. The addition of POM between the In_2O_3 and the Al source-drain electrodes drastically reduces the source-drain current flow in the highly conductive In_2O_3 film thus resulting in more than two orders of magnitude lower off current. When the TFT is at off-state, the drain current is controlled by the conductivity of the film. As the resistance of POM is much larger than that of In_2O_3 , when In_2O_3 is separated from the electrodes by POM interlayer, current flow between source and drain electrodes decreases. Moreover, there is also the effect of POM layer in oxygen vacancy reduction on In_2O_3 surface as evidenced in XPS analysis above, hence resulting in the lower off-current. One common feature in In_2O_3 based TFTs is the negative shift in the threshold voltage, most probably coming from negative local electric field due to the presence of high density of oxygen deficiencies¹⁷, which is also evident in our reference devices. The threshold voltage is positively shifted back from -10.3 V to 1.5 V with the addition of POM layer, indicating the removal of such a local field at this interface. Subthreshold slope (SS) also improved from 3.1 V/dec to 2.2 V/dec. A slight increase in the hysteresis of POM based devices can be due to the presence of hydroxyl groups which can act as electron traps¹⁸. Current on/off ratio, threshold voltage and subthreshold slope are not the only parameters that improved by the insertion of POM layer in our devices.

Figure 3(b) shows the derived charge carrier mobility versus gate voltage (V_{GS}) plot for both reference and POM/ In_2O_3 devices. The trend in which the mobility varies as a function of V_{GS} is clearly different. In reference devices, the mobility increases, reaching a maximum of $3.9 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ for a gate voltage of 10V and then begins to decrease at higher V_{GS} . The initial rise in mobility corresponds to initiation of electron injection from the source electrode into the channel. Electrons injected into the channel first fill in bulk and interface trap states and then, as more charges are injected, transport takes place fully along the channel of the transistor leading to further increase in the charge carrier mobility. In amorphous and polycrystalline systems, charge carrier mobility increases with higher carrier concentration^{19,20}. However, the decrease in the mobility as V_{GS} further increased can be attributed to strong contact resistance at the electrode and active layer interface²¹. This is due to the fact that when V_{GS} increases, the channel resistance decreases, consequently, the difference between channel and contact resistance becomes smaller, leading to higher potential drop at the contact rather than at the channel. In the case of POM/ In_2O_3 devices, the mobility increases continuously as a function of V_{GS} , revealing that contact resistance is strongly minimized with the addition of POM layer. The maximum mobility of POM/ In_2O_3 transistors reached $10.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$, which is significantly higher than that of the reference devices. The output curves for both reference and POM/ In_2O_3 transistors are shown in Fig. 3(c,d) respectively, with obviously better saturation behavior for the latter device due to largely decreased off current comparing to the reference device. In the linear part, slow onset of output curve in the reference device is an indication of high contact resistance. Contact resistance is drain voltage dependent. At low drain voltage, high contact resistance leads to large potential drop at the contact rather than the channel, thus suppressing the modulated current. TFT parameters are provided in




Figure 5. (a) Secondary electron cut-off of In₂O₃ and POM, yielding work functions of 4.78 eV and 4.42 eV, respectively; (b) Combined UPS and IPES measurements of valence and conduction band region for bare In₂O₃ and POM. The VBM and CBM of In₂O₃ are at 3.3 eV below and 0.95 eV above the Fermi-level, respectively, resulting in a band gap of 4.25 eV. The VBM and CBM of the POM are at 3.6 eV below and 0.55 eV above the Fermi-level, respectively. Inset shows the shift in emission onset. (c) Schematic energy level diagram of the In₂O₃/POM/Al structure on the basis of UPS/IPES measurements. The insertion of the POM interlayer reduces the electron injection barrier.

Table 1. When compared to the optimum device reported by Huang *et al.*, with similar V_{th} and I_{on}/I_{off} ratio, our device with POM shows higher mobility¹¹. Although, Khim *et al.* reported similar mobility values for optimized doping concentration, I_{on}/I_{off} ratio is two orders of magnitude lower than that of our device¹².

Such a strong reduction of contact resistance with the addition of POM can originate from either improved surface morphology or facilitated charge injection, as both can have impact on the contact resistance. In order to verify the mechanism, we first carried out atomic force microscopy (AFM)²² measurement on the active layer surface before and after POM deposition. We did not observe noticeable differences in the surface morphology

of indium oxide by the addition of POM as shown in Fig. 4. The root mean square roughness of the oxide film is (0.88 ± 0.12) nm and after POM deposition is (1.0 ± 0.1) nm, suggesting that the surface morphology is not the factor behind improved performance. Therefore, we further looked at the energy levels at the interface.

We present in Fig. 5 the results of the ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) and inverse photoemission spectroscopy (IPES) measurements on In_2O_3 and POM/ In_2O_3 . From the secondary electron cut-off in Fig. 5(a), the work function can be directly determined, yielding values of 4.42 eV for In_2O_3 and 4.78 eV for POM. Our results are in good agreement with literature values for In_2O_3 work function, ranging from 4.3 to 5.0 eV^{23–25}. The VBM (valence band maximum) and CBM (conduction band minimum) are located 3.3 eV below and 0.95 eV above the Fermi-level (Fig. 5(b)), indicating n-doping of the In_2O_3 layer. When POM is deposited on In_2O_3 , the resulting work function slightly increases to 4.78 eV. The CBM of POM is located very close to the Fermi-level (0.55 eV), whereas the VBM is further away from the Fermi-level (3.6 eV) than the VBM of the In_2O_3 . These values are in good agreement with previously reported values by Palilis *et al.*¹⁶. A corresponding energy level diagram is shown in Fig. 5(c). As seen from the diagram, electron injection from Al electrode to In_2O_3 through POM is easier than direct injection, which can be the reason for reduced contact resistance at the interface, consequently improved electrical characteristics.

In summary, we investigated the role of tungsten polyoxometalate (POM) as interfacial layer in high performance solution processed In_2O_3 thin film transistors. Multiple functionalities were achieved, which led to overall improvement of device parameters. Separation of the electrode from the active layer improved operational threshold voltage, while high resistance coupled with reduced oxygen vacancies decreased the off current more than two orders of magnitude. Suitable energy levels facilitated the electron injection from the electrode to the active layer through POM layer, which was verified by UPS/IPES measurements, and pushed charge carrier mobility over $10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$, one of the highest values for single component In_2O_3 solution processed TFTs with high current on/off ratio and low threshold voltage. Our results reveal the potential of a single interfacial layer in radically improving device performance of solution processed TFTs.

Methods

First, $\alpha\text{-K}_{7-x}\text{Na}_x\text{PW}_{11}\text{O}_{39}\cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was synthesized. In a solution of $\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (181.5 g, 0.550 mol) in 300 mL water, 50 mL of H_3PO_4 1 M and 88 mL of glacial CH_3COOH were added. The solution was refluxed for one hour, then KCl (60 g, 0.805 mol) was added; the white precipitate which appeared was filtered, washed with water and dried in air. In the next step, 60 mL of K_2CO_3 2 M was added to a solution of 64 g of $\alpha\text{-K}_{7-x}\text{Na}_x\text{PW}_{11}\text{O}_{39}\cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 200 mL of water, the white precipitate which appeared was filtered, washed with alcohol and dried in air²⁶. The transistors were fabricated on heavily n-doped silicon substrate with a 200 nm thermally grown SiO_2 . Prior to the deposition of In_2O_3 , the substrates were cleaned by ultra-sonicating them for 10 minutes in acetone, ethanol and isopropanol. After drying the samples, the substrates were then treated with UV ozone for 10 minutes. Indium oxide precursor was prepared by dissolving 30 mg of indium nitrate hydrate $(\text{InNO}_3)_3\cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 1 mL of ethylene glycol monomethyl ether. The solution was subjected to rigorous stirring at room temperature for more than 12 hours before using. Oxide layers were deposited from as-prepared precursors by spin coating at 4000 rpm for 60 seconds, followed by thermal-annealing process at 350 °C for 1 hour. Both the deposition and thermal calcination processes were performed in ambient environment. POM solution was prepared by dissolving 1 mg of polyoxotungstate in 1 mL of deionized water. Indium oxide layer was treated by UV ozone for 5 minutes prior to the deposition of POM in order to improve the wettability of the indium oxide surface. POM layer was deposited by spin coating the prepared solution on the indium oxide layer at 5000 rpm for 60 seconds. The sample was annealed on a hot plate at 125 °C for 10 minutes. POM layer thickness is about 10 nm (measured with AFM height profile). Following the deposition of the layers, the substrates were transferred into a nitrogen glove box (O_2 and H_2O level < 0.1 ppm), where the rest of the fabrication and testing were performed. For the source and drain contacts, 15 nm of aluminum was thermally evaporated using the electron beam deposition technique. The shadow mask used for aluminum deposition defines the source and drain channel length of 50 μm and channel width of 500 μm . The device structure used in this study with a bottom gate, top contact configuration is depicted in Fig. 1 together with the polyhedral representation of POM. The transistors were measured in the glove box using Keithley 4200 semiconductor analyzer system. Source-drain current I_{DS} measurement was performed in saturation regime by sweeping the source gate voltage V_{GS} from -30V to $+30\text{V}$, keeping the source grounded and source-drain voltage V_{DS} at $+30\text{V}$. The saturation mobility was derived by taking the derivative of I_{DS} vs V_{GS} . The ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurements were conducted at Humboldt-University, using a He discharge lamp (21.2 eV of excitation energy), a SPECS Phoibos 100 hemispherical energy analyzer (resolution 150 meV) and a base pressure of 10^{-10} mbar. The secondary electron cut-off (SECO) was measured with the sample bias of -10V to clear the analyzer work function. The work function (WF) and valence band maximum (VBM) were determined by a linear extrapolation of the SECO and the valence band onset, respectively. Inverse photoelectron spectroscopy (IPES) measurements were performed in the isochromat mode using incident electron energy of 5–13 eV and a NaCl-coated photocathode. The conduction band minimum (CBM) was determined analogously to the VBM. XPS measurements were carried out at ElorprintTec platform in Bordeaux using monochromatic Al K α source. The spectrometer chamber is equipped with a SPECS Phoibos 100 hemispherical energy analyzer. The AFM images were obtained using a commercial Cypher AFM (Asylum Research, Oxford Instruments). The used AFM cantilever was a PPP-NCHAuD (Nanosensors) with a nominal spring constant $k = 42 \text{ N/m}$ and a resonance frequency $f \approx 270 \text{ kHz}$. The AFM imaging was performed in air ($Q \approx 600$), at a scan rate of about 1 Hz and 512 pixels per line. The roughness measurements were done by Gwyddion, taking the root mean square of the height profile.

References

1. Ullah, M. *et al.* Hybrid Light-Emitting Transistors Based on Low-Temperature Solution-Processed Metal Oxides and a Charge-Injecting Interlayer. *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **4**, 231–237 (2016).
2. Nomura, K. *et al.* Thin-Film Transistor Fabricated in Single-Crystalline Transparent Oxide Semiconductor. *Science* **300**, 1269–1272 (2003).
3. Nomura, K. *et al.* Room-temperature fabrication of transparent flexible thin-film transistors using amorphous oxide semiconductors. *Nature* **432**, 488–492 (2004).
4. Nomura, K. *et al.* Amorphous oxide semiconductors for high-performance flexible thin-film transistors. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **45**, 4303–4308 (2006).
5. Fortunato, E. M. C. *et al.* Wide-bandgap high-mobility ZnO thin-film transistors produced at room temperature. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85**, 2541–2543 (2004).
6. Fortunato, E. M. C. *et al.* Fully transparent ZnO thin-film transistor produced at room temperature. *Adv. Mater.* **17**, 590–594 (2005).
7. Choi, K. *et al.* High-Performance Amorphous Indium Oxide Thin-Film Transistors Fabricated by an Aqueous Solution Process at Low Temperature. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **52**, 60204 (2013).
8. Han, S., Herman, G. S. & Chang, C. Low-Temperature, High-Performance, Solution-Processed Indium Oxide Thin-Film Transistors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 5166–5169 (2011).
9. Kim, Y.-H. *et al.* Flexible metal-oxide devices made by room-temperature photochemical activation of sol–gel films. *Nature* **489**, 128–132 (2012).
10. Fortunato, E., Barquinha, P. & Martins, R. Oxide semiconductor thin-film transistors: A review of recent advances. *Adv. Mater.* **24**, 2945–2986 (2012).
11. Huang, W. *et al.* Metal Oxide Transistors via Polyethylenimine Doping of the Channel Layer: Interplay of Doping, Microstructure, and Charge Transport. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **26**, 6179–6187 (2016).
12. Khim, D. *et al.* Modulation-Doped In₂O₃/ZnO Heterojunction Transistors Processed from Solution. *Adv. Mater.* **29**, 1605837 (2017).
13. Pope, M. T. & Müller, A. Polyoxometalate chemistry - An old field with new dimensions in several disciplines. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **30**, 34–48 (1991).
14. Long, D., Karakawa, M. & Noh, Y.-Y. Improvement of performance in n-channel organic field effect transistors with N-phenyl[60]fulleropyrrolidines by molecular doping. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **1**, 23904–23909 (2016).
15. Palilis, L. C. *et al.* Solution processable tungsten polyoxometalate as highly effective cathode interlayer for improved efficiency and stability polymer solar cells. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **114**, 205–213 (2013).
16. Palilis, L. C., Vasilopoulou, M., Georgiadou, D. G. & Argitis, P. A water soluble inorganic molecular oxide as a novel efficient electron injection layer for hybrid light-emitting diodes (HyLEDs). *Org. Electron. physics, Mater. Appl.* **11**, 887–894 (2010).
17. Kim, Y. G., Kim, T., Avis, C., Lee, S. H. & Jang, J. Stable and High-Performance Indium Oxide Thin-Film Transistor by Ga Doping. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **63**, 1078–1084 (2016).
18. Yoon, M. H., Kim, C., Facchetti, A. & Marks, T. J. Gate dielectric chemical structure-organic field-effect transistor performance correlations for electron, hole, and ambipolar organic semiconductors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 12851–12869 (2006).
19. Germs, W. C. *et al.* Charge transport in amorphous InGaZnO thin-film transistors. *Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **86**, 1–8 (2012).
20. Abbas, M. *et al.* Temperature dependent charge transport in organic field-effect transistors with the variation of both carrier concentration and electric field. *J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys.* **46**, 495105 (2013).
21. Horowitz, G., Hajlaoui, R., Fichou, D. & Kassmi, A. E. Gate voltage dependent mobility of oligothiophene eld-effect transistors. *J. Appl. Phys.* **85**, 3202–3206 (1999).
22. García, R. & Pérez, R. *Dynamic atomic force microscopy methods. Surface Science Reports* **47** (2002).
23. Pan, C. A. & Ma, T. P. Work function of In₂O₃ film as determined from internal photoemission. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **37**, 714–716 (1980).
24. Klein, A. Electronic properties of In₂O₃ surfaces. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **77**, 2009 (2000).
25. Lang, O. *et al.* Thin film growth and band lineup of In₂O₃ on the layered semiconductor InSe. *J. Appl. Phys.* **86**, 5687–5691 (1999).
26. Contant, R. Relations entre les tungstophosphates apparentés à l'anion PW 12O₄₀³⁻. Synthèse et propriétés d'un nouveau polyoxotungstophosphate lacunaire K 10 P 2 W₂₀O₇₀•24H₂O. *Can. J. Chem.* **65**, 568–573 (1987).

Acknowledgements

The project is supported by Aquitaine regional grant “SMOLED” (2014-1R60306). The work in Berlin was supported by the DFG (SFB951 and AM 419/1-1). A. Ablat thanks the financial support of China Scholarship Council (CSC). Authors are thankful to the ANR as part of the “Investissements d’avenir” program (reference: ANR-10-EQPX-28-01/Equipex ELORPrintTec) and technical support of Dr. Roland Lefevre in XPS measurement.

Author Contributions

M.A. devised the concept and coordinated the project. A.K., A.A. and S.G.-R. fabricated and characterized the devices; T.S., F.Z., N.K. and P.A. carried out UPS-IPES measurement; S.C. did AFM measurement and analysis; T.Y.-A., Y.T. and M.K. synthesized POM materials; L.H. and S.F. assisted in coordination of the project; A.K. and M.A. wrote the manuscript. All the authors read and corrected the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2018