

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1029/2019WR025910

## **A Network Approach for Delineating Homogeneous Regions in Regional Flood Frequency Analysis** — [Source link](#)

Xudong Han, Taha B. M. J. Ouarda, Ataur Rahman, Khaled Haddad ...+2 more authors





**Institutions:** University of New South Wales, Institut national de la recherche scientifique, University of Sydney

**Published on:** 01 Mar 2020 - Water Resources Research (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd)

**Topics:** Network theory

Related papers:

- [Hydrologic system complexity and nonlinear dynamic concepts for a catchment classification framework](#)
- [Complex network theory, streamflow, and hydrometric monitoring system design](#)
- [Complex networks for streamflow dynamics](#)
- [Complex networks, community structure, and catchment classification in a large-scale river basin](#)
- [Quality of flood extents delineated by a non-hydrodynamic GIS tool](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/a-network-approach-for-delineating-homogeneous-regions-in-44cqvaslvq>

## Supporting Information for: Temperature-induced molecular reorganization on Au(111) driven by oligomeric defects

F. De Marchi,<sup>1,#</sup> G. Galeotti,<sup>1,2,#</sup> M. Simenas,<sup>3</sup> M.C. Gallagher,<sup>4,\*</sup> E. Hamzehpoor,<sup>5</sup> O. MacLean,<sup>1</sup> R. M. Rao,<sup>5</sup>  
Y. Chen,<sup>5</sup> D. Dettmann,<sup>2</sup> G. Contini,<sup>2,6</sup> E. E. Tornau,<sup>7</sup> M. Ebrahimi,<sup>1,&\*</sup> D.F. Perepichka,<sup>5,\*</sup> F. Rosei<sup>1,\*</sup>

1. Centre Energie, Matériaux et Télécommunications, Institut National de la Recherche Scientifique, 1650 Boulevard Lionel-Boulet, Varennes, Québec, Canada J3X 1S2
2. Istituto di Struttura della Materia, CNR, Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133 Roma, Italy
3. Faculty of Physics, Vilnius University, Saulėtekio 9, LT-10222 Vilnius, Lithuania
4. Department of Physics, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Rd, Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada P7B 5E1
5. Department of Chemistry, McGill University, 801 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Québec, Canada H3A 0B8
6. Department of Physics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma, Italy
7. Semiconductor Physics Institute, Center for Physical Sciences and Technology, Saulėtekio 3, LT-10222 Vilnius, Lithuania

# These authors contributed equally

\* Corresponding author e-mail: mcgallag@lakeheadu.ca; mebrahim@lakeheadu.ca; dmitrii.perepichka@mcgill.ca; rosei@emt.inrs.ca

& Present address: Department of Chemistry, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada P7B 5E1

## 1. STM vs DFT distances




Figure S 1. 2.5x2.5 nm<sup>2</sup> STM image of TBTANG on Au(111) at RT. b) gas phase DFT model of the intact model, with the calculated Br-Br distance, compared with the experimental one obtained from STM line profile (c).




Figure S 2. 3x3 nm<sup>2</sup> STM image of TBTANG on Au(111) at 100 °C. b) gas phase DFT model of a dimer, with the calculated N-N distance, compared with the experimental one obtained from STM line profile (c).

## 2. Additional XPS data




Figure S 3. C1s, Br3p, O1s and N1s XPS spectra of TBTANG at different temperatures.

## 3. Additional DFT data




Figure S 4. Electrostatic potential (ESP) maps showing the interactions within a pair of molecules in the (a) Br...Br phase, showing Br-Br and Br-H halogen bonding and (b) the Br...O phase, showing primarily a Br-O interaction. The double polarization of the C-Br bond is reflected in the positive charge (blue) in the bond direction ( $\sigma$ -hole) and negative charge (red) around the Br atom (belt). The ESPs are expressed in atomic units (a.u., Rydberg/e) on 0.006 e/Bohr<sup>3</sup> isodensity surfaces with a color scale range of  $\pm 0.02$  a.u..

## 4. Details of Monte Carlo simulations

The main intermolecular interactions between the TBTANG molecules used for the MC simulations were determined by using DFT (B3LYP, 6-31G(d,p)). We calculated five different pair

interactions (1-2, 1-5, 2-4, 2-5, 3-4) of the six-molecule hexagon of the Br $\cdots$ Br phase (Figure S5a) and also the main nearest neighbor interaction of the Br $\cdots$ O phase (Figure S5b). The molecular pairs for the calculations of the intermolecular energy were isolated without further optimization from the extended structures of both phases as obtained by the PBC DFT explained in the main text.

The obtained interaction energies are given in Table S1 demonstrating that the dominant interaction in the Br $\cdots$ Br phase is between the molecules “1” and “2”. This interaction corresponds to  $e_1$  in our model for the MC simulations (Figure 4a). In the halogen-halogen bonding scheme the 1-2 interaction is classified as combined X-bond and H-bond three-center binding motif (X<sub>2</sub>H synthon).<sup>1</sup> Other calculated interactions are rather weak or weakly repulsive. Interaction 7-8 corresponds to  $e_2$  interaction in our model for TBTANG ordering (Figure 4b). Note that  $e_2 \approx 0.5e_1$ .




Figure S 5. a) Six-molecule hexagon of the Br $\cdots$ Br phase and b) fragment of the Br $\cdots$ O phase as obtained by the PBC DFT.

Table S1: Intermolecular interaction energies calculated by DFT (B3LYP, 6-31G(d,p)) using molecular arrangements obtained by the PBC DFT.

Phase	Br $\cdots$ Br					Br $\cdots$ O
Interacting molecules	1-2	1-5	2-4	2-5	3-4	7-8
Energy (kcal/mol)	-5.692	1.144	-0.284	0.139	0.586	-2.886

## Bibliography

1. Gutzler, R.; Fu, C.; Dadvand, A.; Hua, Y.; MacLeod, J. M.; Rosei, F.; Perepichka, D. F., Halogen bonds in 2D supramolecular self-assembly of organic semiconductors. *Nanoscale* **2012**, 4 (19), 5965-5971.