Methodology

BioMed Central

A new biphasic osteoinductive calcium composite material with a negative Zeta potential for bone augmentation

Ralf Smeets^{*1,2}, Andreas Kolk³, Marcus Gerressen¹, Oliver Driemel⁴, Oliver Maciejewski¹, Benita Hermanns-Sachweh⁵, Dieter Riediger¹ and Jamal M Stein⁶

Address: ¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital Aachen, Aachen, Germany, ²Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Research (IZKF) 'BIOMAT', RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany, ³Department of Oral and Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, Technische Universität, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Munich, Germany, ⁴Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Regensburg, Germany, ⁵Department of Pathology, University Hospital Aachen, Aachen, Germany and ⁶Department of Operative Dentistry, Periodontology and Preventive Dentistry, University Hospital Aachen, Aachen, Germany

Email: Ralf Smeets* - rasmeets@ukaachen.de; Andreas Kolk - andreas.kolk@gmx.de; Marcus Gerressen - marcus.geressen@post.rwth-aachen.de; Oliver Driemel - oliver.driemel@klinik.uni-regensburg.de; Oliver Maciejewski - omaciejewski@web.de; Benita Hermanns-Sachweh - bhermanns@ukaachen.de; Dieter Riediger - driediger@ukaachen.de; Jamal M Stein - jstein@ukaachen.de

> Received: 27 August 2008 Accepted: 13 June 2009

* Corresponding author

Published: 13 June 2009

Head & Face Medicine 2009, 5:13 doi:10.1186/1746-160X-5-13

This article is available from: http://www.head-face-med.com/content/5/1/13

© 2009 Smeets et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

The aim of the present study was to analyze the osteogenic potential of a biphasic calcium composite material (BCC) with a negative surface charge for maxillary sinus floor augmentation. In a 61 year old patient, the BCC material was used in a bilateral sinus floor augmentation procedure. Six months postoperative, a bone sample was taken from the augmented regions before two titanium implants were inserted at each side. We analyzed bone neoformation by histology, bone density by computed tomography, and measured the activity of voltage-activated calcium currents of osteoblasts and surface charge effects. Control orthopantomograms were carried out five months after implant insertion. The BCC was biocompatible and replaced by new mineralized bone after being resorbed completely. The material demonstrated a negative surface charge (negative Zeta potential) which was found to be favorable for bone regeneration and osseointegration of dental implants.

Background

To place an implant in the posterior upper jaw often requires augmentation procedures in order to increase the vertical dimension and obtain sufficient anchorage of the implant in the alveolar bone that bears the implant. A common method to increase the amount of bone that receives the implant at the lower portion of the sinus floor is maxillary sinus floor augmentation with bone grafts [1,2]. A variety of bone grafts and bone replacement materials have been recently used for this procedure. Autologous bone has been considered to be the gold standard [3,4]. However, a second surgical site is needed in order to harvest the bone; the size of the graft is often limited, and donor site morbidity frequently represents a problem. For this reason, bone replacement biomaterials such as demineralized freeze-dried bone allografts and xenografts have been recently used for sinus augmentation with good clinical results and various authors recently reported on the resorption and remodeling of the materials [5,6]. Although it seems to be statistically negligible, there is still

a risk to transmit diseases by using xenografts. Therefore, much effort is made to create alternative materials. The development of more effective alloplastic materials may be a useful alternative way.

Among alloplastic biomaterials, hydroxyapatite, tricalcium phosphate (TCP) (a- and B-TCP) and calcium sulfate have been used as bone substitutes. In comparison to some of the hydroxyapatites, it has recently been shown that β -TCP and calcium sulfate were resorbable [7-9] and that they have osteoconductive properties [10,11]. Previous studies indicated that sinus floor augmentation using β -TCP lead to remodeling and formation of new bone. However, the volume of bone formation was significantly reduced in comparison to autologous bone grafts [7,12]. First results of the clinical application of a new biphasic calcium composite (BCC) bone graft material (Fortoss Vital, Biocomposites Ltd., Keele Staffordshire, England) in reconstructive periodontal and periimplant surgery were promising in terms of the osteoconductive and osteogenetic potential of the BCC material [13,14]. BCC consists of a porous β -tricalcium phosphate and a bacteriostatic calcium sulfate phase (Fig. 1). Due to a modified surface activity and ion loading, its osteoconductive behavior seems to be superior if compared to conventional calcium phosphates. Zeta Potential Control (ZPC™; Biocomposites Ltd., Keele Staffordshire, England) is the proprietary process to produce a bioactive bone graft material with a controlled negative surface charge. When placed into healthy bleeding bone, this negative charged surface accelerates the bone growth cascade [15,16]. Several factors determine the body's initial response to an implanted bone graft material (the host response). Cells will not interact directly with the surface of the implanted material, either in-vitro or in-vivo [11], and the process of cell interaction with an implant material is highly dynamic.

Surface charge is one of these factors, as bone acquires a surface charge when brought into contact with an aqueous environment. The separation in charge between the solid phase of bone and the body's extracellular matrix creates a potential difference between the solid and the liquid called the Zeta potential, which influences the type and nature of proteins and cells harnessed by the surface. The magnitude of the negative polarity of the zeta potentials of the BCC increases with increasing sintering temperature [17]. Various researchers have already reported that negatively charged surfaces have a positive effect on the heterogeneous nucleation of alloplastic materials in a supersaturated simulated body fluid solution, whereas this nucleation is inhibited on positively charged surfaces [18]. This phenomenon is believed to be caused by the electrostatic accumulation of Ca2+ ions near the negatively charged surfaces, which seems to trigger the initial nucleation.





The ZPC[™] process enables the manufacturing of a synthetic bone graft with a controlled negative surface charge (Fig. 2). The analysis of this biomaterial concerning the suitability for sinus floor augmentation has not been studied yet.

The purpose of our study is to analyze and demonstrate the osteogenic, histologic and radiographic qualities of the BCC bone graft fabricated through the Zeta potential process, which enables production of a bioactive bone graft material with a controlled negative surface charge and which, when placed in apposition to healthy bleeding bone, accelerates the bone growth cascade [15,16].

Methods

Clinical background and surgical procedure

Due to generalized horizontal bone loss and chronic periodontitis in the upper and lower jaw (Fig. 3), standardized maxillary sinus floor augmentation was performed



Tigure ∠ **ZPC™ Graft surface activation**. Step 1. Negative surface charge interacts with the extracellular matrix. Step 2. Protein adsorption at the charged surface. Step 3. Cell attachment and proliferation.

prior to the placement of dental implants in a 61 year old male patient. The molars of the upper jaw and the second premolar in the first quadrant were missing. Due to a bone thickness of the sinus floor of less than 4 mm, a twostage surgery was indicated. Both the right and left sinus



Figure 3 Pre-operative panoramic radiograph.

were augmented according to the method of Boyne and James [1]. In brief, a full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was detached; a rectangular window was reamed into the lateral sinus wall and infractured. The internal mucosa that covers the maxillary sinus was carefully elevated and displaced inwards (towards medial) together with the bone window. The space beyond the prepared membrane and bone window was filled with the BCC bone graft (Fig. 4). The material was compacted and the mucoperiosteal flap was readapted and sutured. The postoperative period was uneventful. Six months after the augmentation of both sinuses, two 11 × 4 mm implants (Osseotite Certain; 3i Implant Innovations; West Palm Beach, FL, USA) were placed on each side in the augmented regions corresponding to the first right upper molar, second right upper premolar and first and second left upper molars. Prior to the insertion of the implants, dental computed tomography (CT; Twin Elsent, Maconi Philips, Best, Netherlands) was made in order to evaluate the dimension and density of the augmented sinus floors.



Figure 4 Sinus floor augmentation with the biphasic calcium composite material.

Analysis of voltage-activated calcium currents

Patch clamp physiological techniques were used to characterize the voltage-activated calcium currents expressed in the plasma membrane of osteoblastic cells which are influenced by the surface loading of the bone substitute. Preparations enriched with osteoblasts were isolated by collagenase digestions of newly formed bone and cultured under different conditions which affected cell proliferation. The Zeta potential was measured by using the Zetasizer 3000 device (Malvern, Herrenberg, Germany). Ethanol, isopropanol and methanol were used as liquid phases in reagent grade. Measurements were performed in saturated calcium phosphate solution, in 0.05 mol/l sodium phosphate solutions and in deionized water. The potential was determined six times; the mean values and standard deviations were calculated. The initial setting time of the cements was measured according to the Gilmore needle test in a humidity chamber at 37°C and a humidity of >90% [19].

Sample preparation

During implant placement, a bone biopsy was taken from the augmented area in the first quadrant using a trephine bur. In order to focus on the augmented region, only the cranial bone portion of the bone cavity for the 4×11 mm implant was harvested resulting in a 4×6 mm sample which was available for histologic evaluation. The specimen was immediately rinsed in saline, fixed in 4% formalin in phosphate buffer for 24 hours at room temperature and demineralized by using EDTA solution. The samples were cut (1–2 µm thickness) and stained in hematoxylineosin and Ladewig stain. Microscopic evaluation was carried out on light microscopy in the magnifications 25×, 100× and 400×.

Results and discussion Zeta potential

The BCC material demonstrated a negative Zeta potential in organic media (-5.2 \pm 1.3 mV in ethanol and -3.4 \pm 1.4 mV in isopropanol), as well as in aqueous antibiotic solution [-20.4 \pm 2.1 mV in gentamicine and -24.6 \pm 1.8 mV in amoxicillin]. For comparison, it demonstrated -19.6 \pm 1.1 mV in water. The particle surfaces only showed a slight electrostatic charge in the solvents. The mean particle size was 1.9 to 2.1 (mm) depending upon the organic suspension medium. According to the value of the Zeta potential, the minor particle size correlated with an increasing electrostatic charge of the particle surface.

Histology

During the procedure of implant site preparation in the first quadrant, a tissue specimen of 4 × 6 mm was taken and stored for further analysis in 4% formalin in phosphate buffer. The sections of the biopsy showed a portion of the bone tissue, characterized by marginally compact trabecular bone with regular lamellar and woven structure as well as a fatty non-fibrosing bone marrow (Fig. 5). No BCC particles could be identified. However, sporadic osteoclasts indicated a preceding resorption activity (Fig. 5c) and little cementum lines showed the formation of new mineralized bone (Fig. 5). A thin strand of osteoid, stained in blue color in Ladewig stain (Fig. 5), represented the margins of the bony trabecules and was surrounded by osteoblasts. Singular lymphocytes were also visible in the bone marrow. There were no signs of acute inflammatory or foreign body reactions.

Dental computed tomography

The bone quality of the augmented sinus floor was evaluated in a dental CT of the upper jaw prior to implant placement (Fig. 6). Radiological signs of marginal osseointegration of the graft could be shown. Bone density was assessed by using the Hounsfield classification. The measurements corresponded to a bone quality of Q3 to Q2. There were no radiological signs of inflammation visible. In the region of the roots of the upper jaw, a regular bone structure was found. Also, sinus maxillaris was free of inflammation and was normally ventilated.

Panoramic radiograph

A postoperative panoramic radiograph (orthopantomogram) was made five months after the insertion of the implants prior to crown restoration on the implants (Fig. 7). The radiograph shows gain of sufficient bone volume in the sinus floor area of both sides surrounding the osseointegrated implants. The augmented sinus floors were characterized by homogenous bone with a regular



Figure 5

Histologic sections of the sample A, Overview of the sample in H&E, B, and in Ladewig staining (both magnification × 25). C, Signs of bone remodeling with presence of osteoclasts (arrows) in higher magnification, surrounding bone marrow without fibrosing and fatty degeneration(*), H&E, magnification ×40. D, Sporadic cement lines indicating new bone formation (arrows), H&E, magnification ×100. E, A small osteoid line borders the bone trabecules, H&E, magnification ×100 F, In Ladewig stain this line appears in blue color and is margined by a layer of osteoblasts (arrows), magnification ×400.

trabecular structure and similar density compared to the residual bone. No signs of inflammation were evident.

The surface potential of bone substitutes in direct contact to bone is of great interest in the recent time. However, until now the exact system of the implant to bone bond can not completely be explained. Surface functional groups such as carboxyl and hydroxyl ions determine the surface charge, the degree to which such surface groups alter the resulting bone substitute to osteoblast or precursor cells or bone is not fully understood [20]. To our knowledge this is the first report of biphasic calcium composite material for sinus floor augmentation. The clinical, radiographic, histological and patch clamp results of our study suggest that this biomaterial might be a more effective bone substitute for maxillary sinus augmentation than other alloplastic bone substitutes. The histological analysis six months after sinus augmentation showed osteoblasts and evidence of new bone formation in the augmented regions. Moreover, enhanced presence of osteoclasts revealed the tendency of ongoing accelerated resorption processes. Interestingly, there were no BCC particles visible anymore, suggesting a fast and complete remodeling of the material.

There are only limited data available on the dynamics of resorption of bone replacement materials after maxillary sinus floor augmentation. For bovine hydroxyapatite, a slow resorption is known to occur after maxillary sinus floor augmentation. Although in animal models [21,22] and in humans [6] an increase of new bone formation in an osteoconductive manner has been shown [23], in most studies available bovine hydroxyapatite particles were not or not fully absorbed after a period of six months [24], twelve months [6,21,25] or even later [25]. Similar findings were made with the use of conventional tricalcium phosphates. However, data suggest a faster resorption rate with these materials [23,26,27]. In a case control study, Eggli et al. showed a TCP resorption of 85% compared to 5.4% for hydroxyapatite six months after implantation in cancellous bone of rabbits [28]. In a comparative histomorphometric analysis, Artzi et al. reported a better resorption rate of TCP than bovine hydroxyapatite [29]. However, a complete resorption of calcium phosphate particles with simultaneous osteoconductive bone formation six months after sinus augmentation as shown in the present study with the BCC has not been reported yet. The reason for this observation might be based on special surface properties of this biomaterial. Both components of the BCC, the porous calcium phosphate and implant grade calcium sulfate, biodegrade within weeks to months. Biodegradation of calcium sulfate leads to a developing macroporosity. To replace the initial microporosity in between calcium phosphate particles, cells and nutrient fluids draw in [30]. Calcium phosphate particles are then able to interact with osteogenic cells. In this interaction, the ZPC[™] principle plays an important role [13].

Based on this unique concept, the surface of the material will be charged negatively in an aqueous environment. A number of studies have shown that a material that has an electronegative surface charge (negative Zeta potential) is more accessible for the attachment and proliferation of osteoblasts than surfaces with no or even positive electric charge [31-34]. The Zeta potential as one of the surface properties is strongly influenced by the reactivity of the calcium phosphate particles. It plays an important role due to the manufacturing and application process of the fine grain powder mixtures of BCC. Suspension media leading to a high surface charge of the Zeta potential and particle sizes of this material ground in several organic media particles lead to electrostatic stabilization of the particle surface, minimized agglomerization resulting in the splitting of the particles instead of agglomer-



Dental-CT: Layers B1 – B12 = regio 18 – 14; Distance between the layers: 2.5 mm (1:1).

ates. As a reaction, small rapidly diffusing positively charged proteins initially attach to the graft material. These are then replaced by larger, positively charged proteins with a strong affinity to the surface that show chemotactic and adhesive properties. Through this mechanism of adsorption of positively charged proteins at the surface, the material is accessible for a rapid attachment of osteoblasts [35] as the negatively charged species (mesenchymal cells and osteoblasts) are drawn into intimate contact [36]. Once the osteoblasts have attached, they undergo the process of cellular adhesion which is slower than the adsorption of charged proteins. During cellular adhesion, the osteoblasts accomplish a true biological attachment, enable cell proliferation and benefit bone formation (Fig. 2).

For the BCC material presented in this study, the formation of a negative Zeta potential had recently been demonstrated in vivo and in vitro: Cooper and Hunt evaluated

the expression of selected osteogenic markers (alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin, osteopontin, core binding factor alpha-1 (CBFA1) and collagen type 1) in vitro by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in a culture of osteoblasts in contact to different calcium phosphate materials with positive and negative Zeta potential values [37,38]. They demonstrated a strong correlation of a negative Zeta potential with the expression of several osteogenic markers. Other authors recently reported on the significance of relative Zeta potentials of bone and different biomaterials and their influence on protein adsorption [34]. They demonstrated that the adsorption of specific extracellular matrix proteins onto biomaterial surfaces provided sites for an integrin-mediated osteoblast attachment. In the case of BCC with its negatively charged surface, all osteogenic markers were expressed while the conventional pure phase TCP with a positively charged surface only induced the expression of



Figure 7 Panoramic radiograph five months after implant insertion.

osteopontin and alkaline phosphatase. Moreover, it is well known that rapid resorption of the calcium sulfate matrix alone promotes osteogenic activity due to formation of a calcium phosphate lattice [39,40] promoting osteogenic activity. It mimics the mineral phase of bone and is resorbed at the rate of bone formation [41].

The density of the newly formed bone corresponded to a quality of Q2 to Q3 according to the Hounsfield classification, as verified by determination of the specific Hounsfield units by CT scans. This quality of bone can be compared with the local origin trabecular bone and is even denser than the original bone matrix of the posterior maxillary region. This confirms our histologic findings and the stable osseointegration of the implants, which are surrounded by sufficient bone as seen in the postoperative panoramic radiograph.

The authors are aware of the limitations of the present study, whose data base on the analysis of samples from one patient. Therefore, we regard this report as to indicate a trend. We also cannot draw general conclusions or definitive statements about the possible shrinkage of the grafted volume due to degradation of BCC, which has been observed with other bone replacement materials [42,43]. From a practical point of view, however, we felt that careful but sufficient compaction of the material during sinus augmentation might contribute to minimize a possible shrinkage due to resorption processes. Nevertheless, this study indicates previously unknown properties of a new composition of two materials with a special biodegradation dynamic and surface potential as this could be demonstrated by the patch clamp technique. Nevertheless, the presented experiences are encouraging since the final aim of the maxillary sinus floor augmentation procedure is osseointegration of implants into vital bone.

Conclusion

We could show that BCC is a promising and effective alloplastic biocompatible bone replacement material with superior osteoconductive properties due to the negative Zeta potential. The negative Zeta potential has biological important effects *in vivo*. BCC seems to be very suitable for maxillary sinus floor augmentation and allows stable osseointegration of dental implants compared to conventional pure phase β -TCP. Case control studies with histomorphometric analysis should confirm the promising preliminary results and might verify further indications.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

RS and JMS conceived the study, participated in the design of the study and coordinated the work. MG contributed to the analysis, interpretation and discussion of the data and performed the proofreading of the manuscript. OM, AK, BH, DR and OD conceived the study, participated in the design of the study, carried out experimental work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- 1. Boyne PJ, James RA: Grafting of the maxillary sinus floor with autogenous marrow and bone. J Oral Surg 1980, 38:613-616.
- 2. Tatum H Jr: Maxillary and sinus implant reconstructions. Dent Clin North Am 1986, **30:**207-229.
- 3. Wood RM, Moore DL: Grafting of the maxillary sinus with intraorally harvested auogenous bone prior to implant placement. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1988, 3:209-214.
- Kent JN, Block MS: Simultaneous maxillary sinus floor bone grafting and placement of hydroxylapatite-coated implants. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1989, 47:238-242.
- Small SA, Zinner ID, Panno FV, Shapiro HJ, Stein JI: Augmenting the maxillary sinus for implants: report of 27 patients. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1993, 8:523-528.
- Lee YM, Shin SY, Kim JY, Kye SB, Ku Y, Rhyu IC: Bone reaction to bovine hydroxyapatite for maxillary sinus floor augmentation: histologic results in humans. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2006, 26:471-481.
- Zerbo IR, Zijderveld SA, de Boer A, Bronckers AL, de Lange G, ten Bruggenkate CM, Burger EH: Histomorphometry of human sinus floor augmentation using a porous beta-tricalcium phosphate: a prospective study. Clin Oral Implants 2004, 15:724-732.
- Szabó G, Suba Z, Hrabák K, Barabás J, Németh Z: Autogenous bone versus beta-tricalcium phosphate graft alone for bilateral sinus elevations (2- and 3-dimensional computed tomographic, histologic, and histomorphometric evaluations): preliminary results. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2001, 16:681-692.
- Guarnieri R, Bovi M: Maxillary sinus augmentation using prehardened calcium sulfate: a case report. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2002, 22:503-508.
- Albrektsson T, Johansson C: Osteoinduction, osteoconduction and osseointegration. Eur Spine J 2001, 10(Suppl 2):S96-S101.
- Scarano A, Degidi M, Iezzi G, Pecora G, Piattelli M, Orsini G, Caputi S, Perrotti V, Mangano C, Piattelli A: Maxillary sinus augmentation with different biomaterials: a comparative histologic and histomorphometric study in man. Implant Dent 2006, 15:197-207.
- Zerbo IR, Bronckers AL, de Lange GL, van Beek GJ, Burger EH: Histology of human alveolar bone regeneration with a porous tricalcium phosphate. A report of two cases. *Clin Oral Implants* Res 2001, 12:379-384.
- El-Ghannam A, Hamazawy E, Yehia A: Effect of thermal treatment on bioactive glass microstructure, corrosion behavior, Zeta potential, and protein adsorption. J Biomed Mater Res 2001, 55:387-395.
- 14. Le Nihouannen D, Saffarzadeh A, Gauthier O, Moreau F, Pilet P, Spaethe R, Layrolle P, Daculsi G: **Bone tissue formation in sheep**

muscles induced by a biphasic calcium phosphate ceramic and fibrin glue composite. J Mater Sci Mater Med 2008, 19:667-675.

- Ohgaki M, Kizuki T, Katsura M, Yamashita K: Manipulation of selective cell adhesion and growth by surface charges of electrically polarized hydroxyapatite. J Biomed Mater Res 2001, 57:366-373.
- Teng NC, Nakamura S, Takagi Y, Yamashita Y, Ohgaki M, Yamashita K: A new approach to enhancement of bone formation by electrically polarized hydroxyapatite. J Dent Res 2001, 80:1925-1929.
- Tanimoto Y, Shibata Y, Kataoka Y, Miyazaki T, Nishiyama N: Osteoblast-like cell proliferation on tape-cast and sintered tricalcium phosphate sheets. Acta Biomater 2008, 4:397-402.
- Kokubo T: Formation of biologically active bone-like apatite on metals, polymers by a biomimetic process. Thermochimica Acta 1996, 280/281:479-90.
- Bergera G, Ullnerb Ch, Neumann G, Marx H: New Characterization of Setting Times of Alkali Containing Calcium Phosphate Cements by Using an Automatically Working Device According to Gillmore Needle Test. Key Engineering Materials 2006, 309-311:825-828.
- Harding IS, Rashid N, Hing KA: Surface charge and the effect of excess calcium ions on the hydroxyapatite surface. *Biomaterials* 2005, 26:6818-6826.
- McAllister BS, Margolin MD, Cogan AG, Taylor M, Wollins J: Residual lateral wall defects following sinus grafting with recombinant human osteogenic protein-1 or Bio-Oss in the chimpanzee. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 1998, 18:227-239.
- Haas R, Donath K, Födinger M, Watzek G: Bovine hydroxyapatite for maxillary sinus grafting: comparative histomorphometric findings in sheep. Clin Oral Implants Res 1998, 9:107-116.
- Horch HH, Sader R, Pautke C, Neff A, Deppe H, Kolk A: Synthetic, pure-phase beta-tricalcium phosphate ceramic granules (Cerasorb) for bone regeneration in the reconstructive surgery of the jaws. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006, 35:708-713.
 Yildirim M, Spiekermann H, Biesterfeld S, Edelhoff D: Maxillary
- Yildirim M, Spiekermann H, Biesterfeld S, Edelhoff D: Maxillary sinus augmentation using xenogenic bone substitute material Bio-Oss in combination with venous blood. A histologic and histomorphometric study in humans. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2000, 11:217-229.
- Valentini P, Abensur D, Densari D, Graziani JN, Hämmerle C: Histological evaluation of Bio-Oss in a 2-stage sinus floor elevation and implantation procedure. A human case report. Clin Oral Implants Res 1998, 9:59-64.
- 26. Trisi P, Rebaudi A, Calvari F, Lazzara RJ: Sinus graft with biogran, autogenous bone, and PRP: a report of three cases with histology and micro-CT. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2006, 26:113-125.
- 27. Guarnieri R, Grassi R, Ripari M, Pecora G: Maxillary sinus augmentation using granular calcium sulfate (surgiplaster sinus): radiographic and histologic study at 2 years. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2006, 26:79-85.
- Eggli PS, Müller W, Schenk RK: Porous hydroxyapatite and tricalcium phosphate cylinders with two different pore size ranges implanted in the cancellous bone of rabbits. A comparative histomorphometric and histologic study of bony ingrowth and implant substitution. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1988, 232:127-138.
- 29. Artzi Z, Nemcovsky CE, Tal H, Dayan D: Histopathological morphometric evaluation of 2 different hydroxyapatite-bone derivatives in sinus augmentation procedures: a comparative study in humans. J Periodontol 2001, 72:911-920.
- Fernández E, Vlad MD, Gel MM, López J, Torres R, Cauich JV, Boher M: Modulation of porosity in apatitic cements by the use of alpha-tricalcium phosphate-calcium sulphate dihydrate mixtures. Biomaterials 2005, 26:3395-3404.
- Suzuki T, Yamamoto T, Toriyama M, Nishizawa K, Yokogawa Y, Mucalo MR, Kawamoto Y, Nagata F, Kameyama T: Surface instability of calcium phosphate ceramics in tissue culture medium and the effect on adhesion and growth of anchorage-dependent animal cells. J Biomed Mater Res 1997, 34:507-517.
- Ohgaki M, Kizuki T, Katsura M, Yamashita K: Manipulation of selective cell adhesion and growth by surface charges of electrically polarized hydroxyapatite. J Biomed Mater Res 2001, 57:366-373.

- Teng NC, Nakamura S, Takagi Y, Yamashita Y, Ohgaki M, Yamashita K: A new approach to enhancement of bone formation by electrically polarized hydroxyapatite. J Dent Res 2001, 80:1925-1929.
- Smith IO, Baumann MJ, McCabe LR: Electrostatic interactions as a predictor for osteoblast attachment to biomaterials. J Biomed Mater Res A 2004, 70:436-441.
- 35. Meyer U, Meyer T, Jones DB: No mechanical role for vinculin in strain transduction in primary bovine osteoblasts. *Biochem Cell Biol* 1997, **75:**81-87.
- Smith IO, Baumann MJ, McCabe LR: Electrostatic interactions as a predictor for osteoblast attachment to biomaterials. J Biomed Mater Res A 2004, 70:436-441.
- Cooper JJ, Hunt JA: The Significance of Zeta Potential in Osteogenesis. Society for Biomaterials, editors. Transactions of the 31st Annual Meeting for Biomaterials. Pittsburgh 2006:592.
- Malvern Industries, Zetasizer 3000: Principles of Operation PCS Theory. Manual Number MAN 0152 1996.
- Orsini G, Ricci J, Scarano A, Pecora G, Petrone G, lezzi G, Piattelli A: Bone-defect healing with calcium-sulfate particles and cement: an experimental study in rabbit. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 2004, 68:199-208.
- Damien CJ, Ricci JL, Christel P, Alexander H, Patat JL: Formation of a calcium phosphate-rich layer on absorbable calcium carbonate bone graft substitutes. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1994, 55:151-158.
- Tay BK, Patel VV, Bradford DS: Calcium sulphate- and calcium phosphate-based bone substitutes. Mimicry of the mineral phase of bone. Orthop Clin North Am 1999, 30:615-623.
- Pecora GE, De Leonardis D, Della Rocca C, Cornelini R, Cortesini C: Short-term healing following the use of calcium sulfate as a grafting material for sinus augmentation: a clinical report. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1998, 13:866-873.
- De Leonardis D, Pecora GE: Augmentation of the maxillary sinus with calcium sulfate: one-year clinical report from a prospective longitudinal study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1999, 14:869-878.

