## A NEW CONSTRUCTION FOR CANCELLATIVE FAMILIES OF SETS

James B. Shearer IBM Research Division T.J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 email: jbs@watson.ibm.com

Submitted: March 25, 1996; Accepted: April 20, 1996

**Abstract.** Following [2], we say a family, H, of subsets of a n-element set is cancellative if  $A \cup B = A \cup C$  implies B = C when  $A, B, C \in H$ . We show how to construct cancellative families of sets with  $c2^{.54797n}$  elements. This improves the previous best bound  $c2^{.52832n}$  and falsifies conjectures of Erdös and Katona [3] and Bollobas [1].

## AMS Subject Classification. 05C65

We will look at families of subsets of a n-set with the property that  $A \cup B = A \cup C \Rightarrow B = C$  for any A, B, C in the family. Frankl and Füredi [2] call such families cancellative. We ask how large cancellative families can be. We define f(n) to be the size of the largest possible cancellative family of subsets of a n-set and f(k, n) to be the size of the largest possible cancellative family of k-subsets of a n-set.

Note the condition  $A \cup B = A \cup C \Rightarrow B = C$  is the same as the condition  $B \triangle C \subseteq A \Rightarrow B = C$  where  $\triangle$  denotes the symmetric difference.

Let  $F_1$  be a family of subsets of a  $n_1$ -set,  $S_1$ . Let  $F_2$  be a family of subsets of a  $n_2$ -set,  $S_2$ . We define the product  $F_1 \times F_2$  to be the family of subsets of the  $(n_1 + n_2)$ -set,  $S_1 \cup S_2$ , whose members consist of the union of any element of  $F_1$  with any element of  $F_2$ .

It is easy to see that the product of two cancellative families is also a cancellative family  $((A_1, A_2) \cup (B_1, B_2) = (A_1, A_2) \cup (C_1, C_2) \Rightarrow (A_1 \cup B_1, A_2 \cup B_2) = (A_1 \cup C_1, A_2 \cup C_2) \Rightarrow A_1 \cup B_1 = A_1 \cup C_1 \text{ and } A_2 \cup B_2 = A_2 \cup C_2 \Rightarrow B_1 = C_1 \text{ and } B_2 = C_2 \Rightarrow (B_1, B_2) = (C_1, C_2)$ . Hence  $f(n_1 + n_2) \geq f(n_1) f(n_2)$ . Similarly  $f(k_1 + k_2, n_1 + n_2) \geq f(k_1, n_1) f(k_2, n_2)$ .

It is easy to show that  $f(n_1+n_2) \geq f(n_1)f(n_2)$  implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}lg(f(n))$  exists (lg means log base 2). Let this limit be  $\alpha$ . Note that  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{n}lg(f(n))$  for any fixed n.

Clearly f(1,n) = n as we may take all the 1-element sets. Let  $H_n$  be the family of all 1-element sets of a n-set. It had been conjectured that the largest cancellative families could be built up by taking products of the families  $H_n$ . For example Bollobas conjectured [1] that

$$f(k,n) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} [(n+i-1)/k]$$
 (1)

which comes from letting  $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$  where the  $n_i$  are as nearly equal as possible and considering the family  $H_{n_1} \times \cdots \times H_{n_k}$ . When k = 2 determining f(2, n) is the same as determining how many edges a triangle-free graph can contain. So in this case (1) follows from Turan's theorem. Bollobas [1] proved (1) for k = 3. Sidorenko [4] proved (1) when k = 4. Frankl and Füredi [2] proved (1) for  $n \leq 2k$ . However, we will show below that (1) is false in general.

Also Erdös and Katona conjectured (see [3]) that (for n > 1) the families achieving f(n) could be built up as products of  $H_3$  and  $H_2$  taking as many  $H_3$ 's as possible. So for example

$$f(3m) = 3^m. (2)$$

This would mean  $\alpha = \frac{lg3}{3} = .52832 +$ . However, as we will see this conjecture is false as well. In fact we show  $\alpha \ge .54797 +$ .

We now describe the construction which is the main result of this paper. Fix m > 3. Chose m-1 integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_{m-1}$  from  $\{0,1,2\}$  so that  $n_1 + \cdots + n_{m-1} \equiv 0 \mod 3$ . Chose an integer h from  $\{1,\ldots,m\}$ . Clearly these choices can be made in  $m3^{m-2}$  ways. We now form a cancellative family of subsets of a 3m-set containing  $m3^{m-2}$  elements as follows. Identify subsets of a 3m-set with 0,1 vectors of length 3m in the usual way. Let the 3m vectors consist of m subvectors of length 3. Let  $v_0 = (100), v_1 = (010), v_2 = (001)$ and w = (111). Form a 3m-vector from our choices above as follows. Let the hth 3subvector be w. Let the remaining m-1 3-subvectors be  $v_{n_1}, \ldots, v_{n_{m-1}}$  in order. Let F be the family consisting of all 3m-vectors we can form in this way. Clearly each of the  $m3^{m-2}$  choices gives a different vector so F contains  $m3^{m-2}$  elements. We claim F is a cancellative family. For let B, C be two different vectors in F and look at  $B\triangle C$ . We claim  $B\triangle C$  contains at least two 3-subvectors with two 1's. There are two cases. If the 3-subvector w is in different positions in B and C then the 3-subvectors in  $B\triangle C$  in these positions contain two 1's. Alternatively, if the 3-subvector w is in the same position in B and C then the condition  $n_1 + \cdots + n_{m-1} \equiv 0 \mod 3$  insures that at least two of the  $n_i$ differ between B and C (assuming B and C are distinct) and the 3-subvectors in these positions of  $B\triangle C$  contain two 1's. However, this means  $B\triangle C\subseteq A\in F$  is impossible (unless B=C) because all elements of F contain only one 3-subvector containing two or more 1's.

Hence we have

$$f(3m) \ge m3^{m-2} \tag{3}$$

$$f(m+2,3m) \ge m3^{m-2}. (4)$$

Clearly (3) is better than (2) for m > 9. We also have  $\alpha \ge \frac{1}{3m} lg(m3^{m-2})$ . This is maximized for m = 24 giving  $\alpha \ge .54797+$ . So we have counter examples to the Erdös and Katona conjecture.

Furthermore (4) is better than (1) for  $m \geq 8$ . So the Bollobas conjecture fails for k > 10.

The idea of the above construction which improves on products of  $H_3$  can be applied to products of other families as well. For example, we can do better than (1) starting with products of  $H_k$  for any k > 3 as well. Or we can start with the families F constructed above. This will allow a very slight improvement in the lower bound found for  $\alpha$  above.

The best upper bound known for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < lg(3/2) = .58496+$ , is due to Frankl and Füredi [2].

The author thanks Don Coppersmith for bringing this problem to his attention.

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás, Three-Graphs without two triples whose symmetric difference is contained in a third, Discrete Mathematics 8 (1974), 21–24.
- [2] P. Frankl and Z. Füredi, *Union-free Hypergraphs and Probability Theory*, European Journal of Combinatorics **5** (1984), 127–131.
- [3] G.O.H. Katona, Extremal Problems for Hypergraphs, Combinatorics, Mathematical Centre Tracts part 2 56 (1974), 13–42.
- [4] A.F. Sidorenko, The Maximal Number of Edges in a Homogeneous Hypergraph containing no prohibited subgraphs, Mathematical Notes 41 (1987), 247-259 (translation from Mathematicheskie Zametki 41 (1987), 433-455).