

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1002/AENM.201901431

A New Electrolyte Formulation for Securing High Temperature Cycling and Storage Performances of Na-Ion Batteries — [Source link](#)

[Guochun Yan](#), [Guochun Yan](#), [Kyle G. Reeves](#), [Kyle G. Reeves](#) ...+14 more authors

Institutions: [Collège de France](#), [Centre national de la recherche scientifique](#), [University of Paris](#), [Université Paris-Saclay](#)

Published on: 01 Nov 2019 - [Advanced Energy Materials](#) (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd)

Topics: [Electrolyte](#) and [Battery \(electricity\)](#)

Related papers:

- [Solubility of the Solid Electrolyte Interphase \(SEI\) in Sodium Ion Batteries](#)
- [Means of Using Cyclic Voltammetry to Rapidly Design a Stable DMC-Based Electrolyte for Na-Ion Batteries](#)
- [Assessment of the Electrochemical Stability of Carbonate-Based Electrolytes in Na-Ion Batteries](#)
- [In search of an optimized electrolyte for Na-ion batteries](#)
- [Fluorinated Ethylene Carbonate as Electrolyte Additive for Rechargeable Na Batteries](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/a-new-electrolyte-formulation-for-securing-high-temperature-vxud4r8gaw>



HAL
open science

A New Electrolyte Formulation for Securing High Temperature Cycling and Storage Performances of Na-Ion Batteries

Guochun Yan, Kyle Reeves, Dominique Foix, Zhujie Li, Claudio Cometto, Mariyappan Sathiya, Mathieu Salanne, Jean-marie Tarascon

► **To cite this version:**

Guochun Yan, Kyle Reeves, Dominique Foix, Zhujie Li, Claudio Cometto, et al.. A New Electrolyte Formulation for Securing High Temperature Cycling and Storage Performances of Na-Ion Batteries. *Advanced Energy Materials*, Wiley-VCH Verlag, 2019, 9 (41), pp.1901431. 10.1002/aenm.201901431 . hal-03028024

HAL Id: hal-03028024

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03028024>

Submitted on 27 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 A new electrolyte formulation for securing high temperature cycling and storage
2 performances of Na-ion batteries

3 Guochun Yan^{1,2,†}, Kyle Reeves^{2,3}, Dominique Foix^{2,5}, Zhujie Li^{2,3,4}, Claudio Cometto^{1,2}, Sathiya
4 Mariyappan^{1,2}, Mathieu Salanne^{2,3,4}, Jean-Marie Tarascon^{1,2,3,*}

5 ¹Chimie du Solide-Energie, UMR 8260, Collège de France, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

6 ²Réseau sur le Stockage Electrochimique de l'Energie (RS2E), FR CNRS 3459, Amiens 80039, France

7 ³Sorbonne Université, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France

8 ⁴Maison de la Simulation, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

9 ⁵IPREM/ECP (UMR 5254), Université de Pau, 2 Avenue Pierre Angot, 64053 Pau Cedex 9, France

10 **Abstract**

11 The Na-ion battery is recognized as a possible alternative to Li-ion battery for applications where power
12 and cost override energy density performances. However, the increasing instability of their electrolyte
13 with temperature is still problematic. Thus, a central question remains how to design Na-based
14 electrolytes. Here, we report discovery of a Na-based electrolyte formulation which enlists four additives
15 (vinylene carbonate (VC), succinonitrile (SN), 1, 3-propane sultone (PS) and sodium
16 difluoro(oxalate)borate (NaODFB) in proper quantities that synergistically combined their positive
17 attributes to lead a stable solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) at both negative and positive electrodes
18 surface at 55 °C. Moreover, we rationalized the role of each additive that consists in producing specific
19 NaF coatings, thin elastomers, sulfate-based deposits and so on via combined impedance (EIS) and X-ray
20 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). We demonstrated that empirical electrolyte design rules previously
21 established for Li-ion technology together with theoretical guidance is a vital strategy in the quest for
22 better Na-based electrolytes that can be extended to other chemistries. Overall, this finding, which we
23 implement to practical 18650 cells, widens the route to the rapid development of the Na-ion technology
24 based on the Na₃V₂(PO₄)₂F₃/C chemistry.

[†] Present address: School of Metallurgy and Environment, Central South University, 410083
Changsha, China

25 Introduction

26 Due to its success in the domain of power electronics, the lithium-ion battery is currently being
27 considered as the most promising technology for electric vehicle propulsion and stands as a serious
28 contender for grid applications.^[1] However, the implementation of a lithium-based technology on a large
29 scale faces an important challenge linked to the lithium availability and cost.^[2] In anticipation of such a
30 scenario, new sustainable chemistries have been studied, and the most appealing alternative is to use
31 sodium instead of lithium. Sodium resources are in principle unlimited and sodium is very easy to
32 recuperate. Mindful of these considerations, the sodium-ion battery has regained intensive interest over
33 the last decade with the emergence of new materials for both positive and negative electrodes.^[3]
34 Practically speaking, efforts are presently parted between two technologies, both using carbon negative
35 electrodes, but differing by the nature of positive electrode which can be either layered
36 $(\text{Na}_{2/3}\text{Fe}_{1/2}\text{Mn}_{1/2}\text{O}_2)^{[4]}$ or polyanionic $(\text{Na}_3\text{V}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2\text{F}_3)^{[5]}$ compounds, denoted hereafter as NFM and NVPF,
37 respectively. While the former shows inherently larger capacity in the half-cell configuration, it suffers
38 from limited power rate and low energy density due to its low redox voltage as compared to the NVPF-
39 based sodium-ion systems. That has sealed, our early decision to opt for the development of sodium-ion
40 NVPF/C technology using 1 M NaPF_6 in a 1: 1 (by volume) mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) - dimethyl
41 carbonate (DMC) as electrolyte. This approach has recently led to the assembly 18650 prototypes
42 showing excellent long-term cycling performance (> 3000 cycles) and high-power rate capabilities at room
43 temperature^[6].

44 Further probing this technology, we found that cycling together with self-discharge performances of
45 the NVPF/C sodium-ion cells were rapidly degrading when increasing the temperature above 55 °C when
46 linear carbonates (DMC, ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC), diethyl carbonate (DEC)) were part of the
47 electrolyte composition. Such deterioration was shown through complementary *operando* ultraviolet (UV)
48 spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry (CV) analytical techniques to be nested in the existence of a shuttle
49 mechanism. It enlists the formation of parasitic products at the negative electrode due to the reduction of
50 linear carbonates that pass into solution and move to the positive electrode, hence accounting for the
51 overall poor performance of the cell at high temperatures.^[7] Such a finding came as a surprise, since

52 commercial lithium-ion cells are using linear carbonates based electrolytes without showing such
53 limitations.

54 The problematic nature of electrolyte decomposition/instability is simply nested on the fact that
55 Li(Na)-ion batteries operate beyond the thermodynamic stability voltage domain of the electrolytes,
56 which is related to the positions of the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO) and lowest
57 unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO). In most cases, the redox potentials of the positive and negative
58 electrodes fall outside this stability domain, hence triggering the formation of the solid electrolyte
59 interphase (SEI) whose growth is kinetically governed. ^[8] Mastering/controlling parasitic reactions that
60 lead to the formation of the SEI is then essential to enhance battery's cycle life, rate capability and
61 durability. Ideally, the SEI should have a uniform morphology, a good adhesion to the electrode together
62 with a high ionic conductivity for fast charge transfer while being mechanically strong, insoluble and
63 stable upon cycling. Although the sodium-ion technology looks similar in its principle to lithium-ion
64 batteries, the milder acidic character of Na⁺ results in a different (comparatively higher) solubility of the
65 formed SEI components (sodium-based organic/inorganic products) as compared to their lithium
66 counterparts. ^[9] Both the parasitic reactions and solubility of SEI components are more pronounced at
67 high temperatures (55 °C), hence necessitating the impetus to design a new electrolyte formulation for
68 enhancing the performances of sodium-ion cells operating above 55 °C.

69 A common way to reach such an idealized situation is rooted in the use of film-forming electrolyte
70 additives that are added in small amounts while not affecting the bulk electrolyte properties. Many years
71 of experience have taught the need to have several additives that can operate in synergy to provide an
72 optimal SEI, hence the countless amounts of papers and patents on film-forming additives. ^[10] This
73 longstanding effort has yielded a colossal amount of data out of which one can extract several robust
74 experimental trends/rules for selecting the proper additives that work in synergy. Among them is for
75 instance the synergetic effect between triple-bonded and double-bonded moieties early demonstrated by
76 Abe and recently used by J. Dahn to enable the proper functioning of Li-NMC/C lithium-ion cells up to 4.6
77 V or the well-recognized beneficial effect of sulfur-containing electrolyte additives, which upon reduction
78 to sulfites and sulfate species, lead to the robustness of the SEI film formed at the negative electrode. ^[11]

79 Utilizing such experiences and knowledge from Li-ion chemistry together with guidance from
80 computational calculations, we report here a novel electrolyte formulation relying on the synergy
81 between additives which enables high interfacial stability at 55 °C, hence setting a new milestone in the
82 practical development of sodium-ion batteries based on the NVPF/C chemistry. Although well aware of
83 the subtle differences between the lithium and sodium-ion chemistries in terms of electrolytes, we have
84 used as starting point of inspiration the same trends pertaining to the lithium-ion technology for selecting
85 additives to confection and optimize an electrolyte for sodium-ion batteries. This approach led us to the
86 identification of an electrolyte formulation (1M NaPF₆ in EC-PC + a series of additives) that enables
87 NVPF/C sodium-ion cells to operate at 55 °C with limited self-discharge and good cycling stability as none
88 of the reported electrolytes could have done it. Such a finding was rationalized via complementary
89 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

90 Finally, we have extended our studies with a combination of molecular dynamics (MD) and DFT
91 calculations, to ascertain the validity of additive mixtures with the hope to demonstrate their synergy.^[12]
92 Such calculations can estimate the thermodynamic potential domain of electrolytes with and without
93 additives, hence it is expected to provide guidance in identifying a proper electrolyte formulation. Our
94 results show that these calculations are still limited to qualitative understanding only, and they cannot be
95 used to probe a potential synergetic effect. Hence, despite the advances made, we still need to resort to
96 trial and error approaches to identify the best electrolyte additives for new battery chemistries. For
97 reasons of clarity we will first present the electrochemical performances of each additive prior to consider
98 their synergetic effects.

99 **Results and discussion**

100 Mindful of our early results regarding the inadequacy of having linear carbonate such as DMC in the
101 electrolyte,^[7a] we opted for using a 1M NaPF₆ EC-PC (50:50 by volume) electrolyte solution as our
102 "mother" electrolyte. Additives were selected based on both chemical considerations and DFT
103 calculations aiming at determining their LUMO and HOMO. From the chemical survey, our choice boil-
104 down to mainly four additives for reasons listed below. Lithium difluoro(oxalate)borate (LiODFB) was used
105 in the lithium-ion technology because of its proven ability to enhance the SEI stability via the growth of an

106 SEI rich in LiF nanoparticles.^[13] We thus decided to use the equivalent NaODFB salt hoping that it will
107 behave alike in the Li-ion batteries. Getting further inspiration from the lithium-ion technology and the
108 positive synergism between triple and double bonds we selected a combination of succinonitrile (SN) and
109 vinylene carbonate (VC) additives with the former having the ($-C\equiv N$) triple bond and the latter the ($C=C$)
110 double bond. The choice for SN was additionally rooted in its strong nucleophilic character with the hope
111 that it could, via surface interaction, stabilize the high oxidation state of V^{5+} , hence minimizing high
112 voltage parasitic reactions associated to the electrolyte.^[12a, 14] Lastly, bearing in mind the evidence of
113 radical species formed during the formation of the SEI and its evolution upon cycling in NVPF/C Na-ion
114 batteries as previously reported we decided to test the effect on the high-temperature performances of
115 the 1,3-propane sultone (PS), since this molecule is known to trap radicals inside the electrolyte, thus
116 forming reduced sulfite or sulfate inorganic compounds.^[15]

117 To validate this choice, we determine the position of the LUMO-HOMO levels of each of these
118 additives via DFT calculations bearing in mind that the best film-forming additives are the ones with
119 HOMO- and LUMO falling within those of the electrolyte solvent molecules. As shown in supplementary
120 figure 1, the most promising additives based on this criterion are the ODFB⁻ anion and the VC. Albeit to a
121 lesser extent, the two levels of the PS and the LUMO of the SN also stand between the ones of the EC and
122 the PC, which suggests that these molecules may also react at the interfaces to form a SEI. Yet, while
123 providing guidance regarding the selection of individual molecules, such calculations cannot be blindly
124 used for reasons described elsewhere.^[16] Moreover, such calculations fail to predict the synergism
125 between additives, the reason why we have utilized systematic trial-and-error approach to identify the
126 best electrolyte configuration.

127 All the aforementioned additives were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and dried under molecular
128 sieves prior to be used with the exception of NaODFB that is not commercialized. Thus NaODFB was
129 homemade according to the following reaction via a process derived from the references^[17], which
130 consists in BF_3 reacting with $Na_2C_2O_4$ in a 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) solution in 1:1 ratio, at 80°C under
131 argon for 24 hours. NaODFB is then recovered by the removal of DME, dried under vacuum and stored in

132 an argon glove box prior to be identified as single phase by FTIR, XRD, ^{19}F and ^{23}Na NMR. (see
133 supplementary figures 2-4)

134 For reasons of clarity, we will first present the effects of each additive (NaODFB, PS, VC and SN)
135 separately (for amounts ranging from 1 to 5%) on the electrochemical performances of NVPF/C sodium-
136 ion coin cells using 1M-NaPF₆ in EC-PC as mother electrolyte. Measurements were performed at 55°C, if
137 not otherwise specified, according to an experimental protocol (Fig. 1b) that consists in cycling the cell 10
138 times prior to performing a one week self-discharge at 100% state of charge (~4.3 V) and cycling again at
139 C/10 rate (1C = 128 mA g⁻¹). We solely report the data that has been duplicated twice. 55°C rather than
140 room temperature testing was privileged to enhance the effect of additives onto the electrolyte stability
141 since degradation is usually related to kinetically-driven parasitic reactions. We use four figures of merits
142 to compare the electrolytes: i) the percentage of capacity retention before and after one week of self-
143 discharge defined as $Q_{11\text{th-dis}}/Q_{11\text{th-cha}}$ (discharge capacity divided by the charge capacity of the 11th
144 cycle), ii) the percentage of recovered capacity determined as $Q_{12\text{th-dis}}/Q_{11\text{th-cha}}$, iii) the capacity
145 retention and iv) the cell resistance evolution upon cycling.

146 Figure 1 compares the performances of each additive separately. From the first cycle voltage-
147 capacity curves (Fig. 1a), it can be deduced that the irreversible capacity between the first charge and
148 discharge is smaller for VC and NaODFB implying that such additives can partially mitigate the
149 decomposition of the electrolyte at the carbon electrode by forming an effective SEI layer, as expected
150 based on the DFT calculations. In contrast, the irreversibility is the largest for SN, suggesting its
151 decomposition at the negative electrode consuming the sodium ions. Finally, PS has no effect on the
152 irreversible capacity. The corresponding derivative curves, which we plotted from 2 to 2.8 V for better
153 bring out anomalies (Fig. 1a, inset), reveal a sharp peak that is observed solely for electrolyte having
154 NaODFB. This peak that appears at 2.1 V (in NVPF/ HC full cells) is due to the reduction of NaODFB at hard
155 carbon electrode as confirmed by the cyclic voltammetry experiments (supplementary figures 5). In
156 contrast, no distinct peaks are observed for VC, SN, or PS additives. The absence of a reduction peak for
157 VC contrasts from its commonly observed behavior in lithium-based electrolytes,^[18] suggesting a different
158 reduction mechanism. Cycling-wise (Fig. 1c) NaODFB stands out among the other additives.

159 Fig. 1b shows the effect of various additives on the percentage of capacity retention in the self-
160 discharge cycle (C_S) and capacity recovered in the recovery cycle (C_R) by holding the cells at 55°C for one
161 week in self-discharge. C_S (inset left) and C_R (inset right) values range from 79 to 89.5 %, and 91.6 to 95.4
162 % respectively. Such values bear interesting information if we keep in mind that the self-discharge is the
163 result of cumulative side reactions taking place at the anode and cathode. The feasibility to recover a
164 major fraction of the capacity implies that part of the parasitic reactions is reversible. Through our
165 previous study we have demonstrated that the oxidation reaction taking place at the positive electrode
166 and leading to the reduction of NVPF was nearly fully reversible, in contrast to the parasitic reaction
167 taking place at the carbon electrode.^[7b] Bearing this in mind, we can deduce that the best additives, self-
168 discharge wise, are the ones showing both high C_S and C_R values, which is with minimum amounts of side
169 reactions at the anode and cathode, respectively. On these metrics, both NaODFB and PS perform equally
170 well while SN is the worst candidate, consistent with the fact that it leads to the highest initial irreversible
171 capacity. Lastly, we compared the effect of these additives on the cell resistance and we observed a
172 distinct increase in resistance with cycling for the NaODFB and VC (Fig. 1d), which does not come as a
173 surprise since both of these additives are prone, as disclosed from the DFT calculation (see supplementary
174 figure 1), to react at the interfaces to form a SEI.

175 Altogether, these results indicate that neither of the additives provides sufficient performances for
176 practical applications, but NaODFB stands out as it displays the best figures of merit for three criteria,
177 namely the initial reversible capacity, self-discharge performances and capacity retention. Besides
178 identifying an additive it is also of paramount importance to determine its optimum concentration. From
179 a survey of various electrolytes with increasing percentage content of NaODFB, we identified ~ 0.5 % as
180 the optimum content. Greater contents were experimented to lead to unpractical oxidation of the ODFB
181 anion at the NVPF electrode (see supplementary figure 6). Hence, from now on NaODFB in a content of
182 0.5 % by weight will be used as additive in all new explored electrolytes formulations.

183 Our second experimental iteration aims to lower the cell resistance increase by using NaODFB (Fig.
184 1d). To approach this issue we first surveyed the effects of PS and VC additives on the performances of
185 1M EC-PC electrolyte solutions containing 0.5% of NaODFB. We found the addition of 3% PS gives lower

186 cell resistance (Fig. 2c), a good capacity retention (Fig. 2b) but a large self-discharge (27.9%), while the
187 addition of 5% VC leads to poor capacity retention (Fig. 2b) in agreement with the progressive increase in
188 the cell resistance (Fig. 2c). This does not come as a surprise since an increase in cell resistance was also
189 observed when VC is used as a single additive (Fig. 1).

190 At this stage we extended this work to the exploration of the double-triple bond synergy between
191 additives. A third additive SN ($\text{-C}\equiv\text{N}$) was then added to the VC ($\text{C}=\text{C}$)-based electrolyte but without
192 noticeable improvements. In contrast, low self- discharge and limited resistance increase were observed
193 with the addition of 1% SN to the mixture of 3% PS and 0.5 % NaODFB (Fig. 2a and Fig. 2c). The negative
194 results observed with VC were somewhat surprising owing the well admitted success of VC with the Li-ion
195 technology. Indeed, by its nature VC enhances the stability of the SEI forming at negative electrode via an
196 electrochemically-driven polymerization, provided that it is not used in excess. Otherwise, it can be
197 detrimental to the cell performance due to its copious oxidative decomposition at the positive electrode
198 that generates a cell impedance increase.⁴⁰ Thus, our last iteration has consisted of adding various
199 content of VC to our best three components mixture so far isolated and exploring its electrochemical
200 performance by varying its content from 0, 1, 2, 3 and 5 %. The results summarized in Fig. 3 indicate that
201 the electrolyte consisting of 1M NaPF_6 in EC-PC + 3% PS + 0.5% NaDOFB + 1 % SN + 3% VC, and denoted
202 hereafter as magic A, displays outstanding performances in terms of C_R (99%) and C_S (89.5%) with
203 additionally the highest capacity retention (99%) after 60 cycles while having the lowest cell resistance of
204 all the sodium-based electrolytes tried so far. It is worth mentioning that for VC contents exceeding 3%,
205 alike for the Li-ion technology, a detrimental effect on the cell impedance is observed.^[19]

206 Although this new electrolyte cocktail finding has been achieved through a trial and error approach,
207 we must understand and rationalize the underlying science. Specifically, it is important to characterize the
208 mechanisms by which this electrolyte leads to highly performing SEI. To provide insights into this issue we
209 decided to conduct complementary EIS and XPS experiments since these two techniques allow probing
210 interfacial phenomena.

211 For the EIS experiments, another set of NVPF/C sodium-ion coin cells were assembled with either 1M
212 NaPF_6 in EC-PC or magic A as the electrolyte. As before, the tests were performed at 55°C with the cells

213 having been activated by carrying out 10 cycles prior to allowing each cell to self-discharge for one week
214 and ultimately cycling it back afterwards. EIS spectra were collected at the end of discharge after 1st, 10th,
215 11th and 61st cycle for both electrolytes and the Nyquist spectra are reported in Fig. 4a for EC-PC and Fig.
216 4b for magic A. The data is also reported for the 62nd cycle when the cell was cooled down to 25°C; they
217 all show a similar shape with a depressed semi-circle covering high frequency (interphase contacts,
218 surface film) and high-medium frequency (charge transfer) phenomena with a low frequency Warburg tail
219 restricted to solid phase Na⁺ diffusion. The trends for variations of R_{sf} (surface film resistance) and R_{ct}
220 (charge transfer resistance) vs. state of cycling for both electrolytes are deduced by fitting with the
221 equivalent circuit model shown in Fig. 4d and reported in Fig. 4c and e, respectively. Whatever the
222 electrolyte, R_{sf} continuously increases upon cycling, but at a lower rate for magic A, indicative of the
223 formation of a thinner SEI forming layer. Note that after the 61st cycle, R_{sf} for EC-PC electrolyte is nearly
224 twice larger than that for magic A when cooling down the cell from 55°C to 25°C. Interestingly, no change
225 in R_{sf} is observed when the cell based on magic A is cycled back to 25°C as opposed to a noticeable
226 increase for EC-PC suggesting the presence of soluble species (alkyl-carbonates, alkyl-oxides) that might
227 precipitate upon returning to room temperature. For the sake of completeness, similar measurements
228 were done for an EC-DMC electrolyte for which we had previously demonstrated the presence of such
229 species in solution and found an increase of nearly 45 % in the cell impedance (see supplementary figure
230 7).

231 Turning to the charge transfer resistance (Fig. 4e), the same trend is observed with an even more
232 pronounced effect of magic A since R_{ct} is three times smaller after the 61st cycle for magic A as compared
233 to the mother electrolyte. Moreover, the similar trend followed by both R_{sf} and R_{ct} is linked to the fact
234 that a growth of the SEI (increase in R_{sf}) will lead to poor ion transport that is reflected by an increase of
235 the charge transfer, hence R_{ct}. As previously noted, returning to room temperature does not produce any
236 changes in R_{ct} for magic A as opposed to a 30% increase for the EC-PC electrolyte, which simply translates
237 by a prominent increase in the cell polarization between 55°C and 25°C. Altogether, these results nicely
238 support the positive attributes of magic A over the EC-PC electrolyte that is rooted in a lower growing rate

239 of the SEI forming film, but did not bring any clues about its composition, hence limiting chances to know
240 how it forms.

241 To grasp more insights into the composition of the SEI films formed at both negative and positive
242 electrodes through our 55 °C testing protocol, we embarked into the study of electrodes recovered after
243 the 1st, 10th, 11th and 61st by means of XPS. Once the targeted cycling was over, the cells were opened in
244 an argon glove box, washed with DMC to remove the residual electrolyte, and dried prior to being packed
245 into a hermetically sealed aluminum plastic bag for transportation. To prevent any sample exposure to
246 moisture/air on the analysis site, the NVPF or carbon electrode samples were removed from the plastic
247 bag within a glove box directly connected to the XPS spectrometer. The C_{1s}, O_{1s}, F_{1s}, P_{2p} and S_{2p} spectra
248 were collected for each NVPF and C samples recovered from the aforementioned NVPF/C cells. For
249 reasons of conciseness, we solely report the data for the C_{1s} (NVPF), O_{1s} (NVPF), F_{1s} (HC), and P_{2p} (HC) core
250 spectra that show the most prominent changes in Fig. 5 (other results are shown in supplementary figures
251 8-10).

252 We first report the C_{1s} and O_{1s} spectra for NVPF in Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b respectively, for both mother
253 electrolyte (EC-PC) and magic A electrolyte (left and right in each panel) with underneath the quantitative
254 variation of each identified components as deduced from analysis of the core spectra. The C_{1s} spectrum
255 for EC-PC shows signals pertaining to conductive carbon black and PVdF binders. By integration of these
256 signals we could plot their variation as a function of the cycling number and observe noticeable decrease
257 (Fig. 5a bottom left), which implies they are masked by the growth of a cathode electrolyte interface (CEI).
258 Such an effect still persists with our magic A electrolyte but in a lesser extent as witnessed (Fig. 5a bottom
259 left), implying indirectly the formation of a thinner SEI layer with magic A electrolyte, hence confirming
260 our EIS results. Besides the peaks associated to C black and PVDF, the C_{1s} core spectra reveals upon
261 cycling the appearance of 3 new peaks located at 285.9eV, 287.4eV and 289.2eV, which can be assigned
262 to C-CO(=O) or C-CO₃, C=O or O-C-O, and OC=O or CO₃, respectively. We estimated the variation in
263 amplitude of these signals as a function of cycling and the results are shown in Fig. 5a bottom. Their
264 evolution reveals a rapid increase for the EC-PC electrolyte as opposed to a minor increase for our magic
265 A electrolyte, reminiscent of the formation of a stable CEI.

266 Next we explored the O_{1s} spectra (Fig. 5b), and observed the presence of three signals: two located
267 at 532.2 eV (blue) and 533.6 eV (orange) associated to oxygenated carbon species previously spotted with
268 the C_{1s} spectra, and another one at 531.4 eV (red) corresponding to the oxygen pertaining to the
269 phosphate group in $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_2F_3$. Interestingly, the amplitude of this signal remains constant upon
270 cycling for magic A electrolyte, while it decreases to the expense of the blue and orange ones for the EC-
271 PC electrolyte, indicating its copious growth. We also collected the P_{2p} and V_{2p} core spectra for cycled
272 NVPF electrodes and further confirm the same trend (see supplementary figure 8). Altogether, these
273 results indicate a much greater stability of the CEI in magic A than in EC-PC electrolyte.

274 To grasp some light on the growth and nature of SEI forming at the negative electrode we next
275 collected the F_{1s} and P_{2p} core spectra as shown in Fig. 5c and 5d respectively. From the F_{1s} spectra, we
276 observe the presence of a peak at 684.6 eV after the 1st cycle that we can ascribed to NaF derived from
277 the decomposition of $NaPF_6$ with its amplitude in EC-PC being more than 10 times larger than the one
278 observed for magic A. Moreover, the C_{1s} core spectra of the carbon electrode for magic A revealed signals
279 located at 290.7, 284.1, 286.6, and 288.8 eV and corresponding to C-F, C-H, C-O and O=C-O functional
280 groups, respectively, with nearly the same groups appearing for the EC-PC electrolyte at the exception of
281 C-F (see supplementary figure 9 and table 1, respectively). Lastly, from the S_{2p} core spectra (see
282 supplementary figure 10), we could nicely spot the emergence of a signal at 169.0 eV and corresponding
283 to sodium sulfate (Na_2SO_4) which originated from the decomposition of the PS. These differences clearly
284 indicate the key role played by the additives in controlling the composition and growth of the SEI.

285 Altogether, these results indicate that the SEI forming at the negative electrode cycled in EC-PC
286 electrolyte is composed of large amounts of NaF, alkyl carbonates and PO/ POF_y . The SEI formed in
287 presence of magic A contains nearly the same amount of alkali carbonates or phosphate species, but
288 drastically differs by the lower amount of NaF and the presence of sulfate and B-based species (see
289 supplementary note 1), hence justifying the use of PS and NaODFB additives. We believe that the positive
290 attribute of the NaODFB additives is similar to what had been previously observed, to form deposits of
291 NaF nanocrystallites forming thin and dense SEI layer (See EDS mapping in supplementary Figure 11). In
292 contrast, the composition of the CEI layer forming at the positive electrode upon cycling mainly consists of

293 organic compounds with its thickness being quite thinner (supplementary Figure 11) in presence of magic
294 A as compared to EC-PC electrolyte.

295 Overall, complementary XPS and EIS measurements have shown that the gained electrochemical
296 performances display by magic A with respect to EC-PC based electrolyte is mainly rooted in the
297 composition of the formed CEI at the NVPF positive and SEI formed at the carbon negative electrodes. We
298 could identify the role played by individual additives NaODFB or PS while having no clues regarding their
299 synergy. Motivated by the added knowledge and efficiency that predicting additive synergies could
300 provide in designing new electrolytes, we next conducted further DFT calculations to shed further light on
301 the effect of the four additives. Indeed, although the results provided in supplementary figure 1 (Figure
302 isolated molecules) are instructive, they were performed on single molecules in a polarizable continuum
303 to represent the solvent and therefore do not account for eventual synergetic interactions between
304 electrolyte and additive molecules. We therefore investigate the entire electrolyte system—with the
305 presence of all additives and an explicit solvent— following the example of Ong *et al.*^[20] In short, DFT-
306 based molecular dynamics (DFT-MD) is used to simulate a NaPF₆ electrolyte in EC/PC solvent with the
307 molecular additives at the same concentrations as in experiments in order to more closely examine the
308 electronic structure of individual molecules and relate this to the average reactivity of each molecule. This
309 is done by projecting the density of states (DOS) onto an atomic orbital basis set for ten different atomic
310 configurations spaced 2 picoseconds apart throughout the molecular dynamics simulations. The
311 electrochemical windows were determined by identifying the band edges (HOMO and LUMO) nearest to
312 the computed Fermi energy, as shown on [Figure 6](#).

313 In agreement with the isolated molecules calculations, we notice that the ODFB anion and the VC are
314 likely to be the more electrochemically active of the additive molecules and to participate in the SEI layer
315 formation at the electrode interface. The condensed phase calculations also show that the PS and SN
316 levels now are aligned with the ones of the solvent, which suggests that this molecules will not react
317 directly but rather in a second step with the newly formed species at the SEI.

318 **Conclusions:**

319 We have reported the design of a new electrolyte formulation 1 mol L⁻¹ NaPF₆ in EC-PC + 0.5%
320 NaODFB + 3% PS + 1 % SN + 3% VC, which we have implemented into the assembly of practical 18650 Na-
321 ion batteries that displays attractive high temperature performances (55 °C) in terms of self-discharge and
322 cycling (Supplementary Figure 12). The search for optimum electrolyte formulation still relies on trial and
323 error approaches, as theory presents limitations that we could not presently account for the synergetic
324 effect between the various additives. Over the years, with the knowledge gained so far, several robust
325 empirical rules have emerged such as for instance the synergy between double and triple bond that we
326 have used herein together with theoretical guidance to identify our best electrolyte formulation. In the
327 near future, let's hope the development of artificial intelligence and robotics lines for rapidly conducting
328 surveys, based on the rich existing data bank, will ingress the battery field and provide robust trends non-
329 identified yet for selecting additives working in synergy.

330 Besides the right choice of additives, we have shown that their amounts can be critic. VC is for
331 instance needed to create a stable SEI at the negative electrode but its role in large excess can be counter-
332 productive because of its oxidative decomposition leading to copious deposits at the positive electrode.
333 Equally, we found that amounts of NaODFB > 3% were leading, because of parasitic decomposition
334 reactions were leading to the growth of thick deposits at the positive electrode and hence a drastic
335 increase in the cell impedance. Through XPS measurement, the role of PS was identified as an enrichment
336 of the SEI layer in sulfates, which is an ingredient known to be beneficial for SEI at least in Li-ion batteries.
337 Lastly, SN in combination with VC appears to be beneficial for the CEI formed at the NVPF positive
338 electrode although we could not explain by which mechanism it happens.

339 Application-wise, it is worth mentioning that a weakness of our magic A electrolyte is nested in its
340 poor wettability which complicates its use with today's commercial celgard separators. Linear carbonates
341 (DMC, DEC...) or fluorinated ethers (HFE) solvents having good wettability could alleviate this issue, but to
342 the expense of poor high temperature performance as we demonstrated by adding DMC to magic A
343 (Supplementary Figure 13). Obvious improvement of this work calls for the development of an electrolyte
344 with greater wettability while preserving all other figures of merits and also for the use of a fewer number
345 of additives. Although this seems like squaring a circle, this new type of electrolyte is being successfully

346 developed and will be the subject of an upcoming paper, which further widens the implications of our
347 findings.

348

349 **Methods**

350 **Electrolyte preparation.** The electrolytes were prepared by dissolving one molar NaPF₆ (Stella, Japan) in a
351 battery-grade solvent selected from DMC, PC (BASF, USA), and EC (Mitsubishi chemical, Japan) in the Ar-
352 filled glovebox (MBraun MB200B), prior to adding the certain amount of additives that was purchased
353 from Sigma-Aldrich except the home made NaODFB. All solvents and additives were dried by adding
354 molecular sieve (4 Å, Sigma) till their water contents become lower than 10 ppm as determined by Karl-
355 Fisher titration (Metrohm 899 coulometer).

356 **Electrochemical measurements of NVPF/C cells.** Na₃V₂(PO₄)₂F₃ (NVPF, 12.0 mg/cm²) tapes were casted
357 by spreading the slurries (NVPF materials, polyvinylidene difluoride and carbon black (the weight ratio is
358 90:4:6) within N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone) onto Al foil. For the hard carbon (C, 6.0 mg/cm²) tapes, it were
359 casted by spreading the slurries (hard carbon materials, carboxymethyl cellulose and carbon black (the
360 weight ratio is 95:2:3) within deionized water) onto Al foil. All of the electrodes were dried in a Buchi oven
361 under vacuum (lower than 100 mbar) at 80 degrees for 24 h prior to be transferred into glovebox. The
362 electrochemical performance of NVPF/C full cells were evaluated in 2032-type coin cells separated by one
363 layer of glass fiber containing 150 μL of desired electrolytes. All cells were galvanostatically cycled by
364 using VMP3 or MPG2 potentiostat (Bio-Logic, France) at 55 °C or 25 °C, and the cycling rates were
365 calculated on the basis of NVPF material (1 C =128 mA g⁻¹). The impedance measurements of the NVPF/C
366 cells after 1st, 10th, 11th, and 61st in discharged state were conducted by Bio-Logic MPG2 between 100 kHz
367 and 10 mHz with an amplitude of 10 mV signal at 55 °C, and the following 62nd cycle at 25 °C.

368 **X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements.** To carry out the XPS measurements, another series of
369 NVPF/C cells were cycled, which were disassembled after the 1st, 10th, 11th, and 61st cycled in the glove
370 box to recover the NVPF and C electrodes. The obtained electrodes were washed with DMC to remove the
371 residual electrolyte, and dried prior to being packed into a hermetically sealed aluminum plastic bag for
372 transportation. XPS measurements were carried out with a Kratos Axis Ultra spectrometer, using focused
373 monochromatic Al K_α radiation (hν = 1486.6 eV). The XPS spectrometer was directly connected through a

374 transfer chamber to an argon dry box, in order to avoid moisture/air exposure of the samples. The
375 analyzed area of the samples was $300 \times 700 \mu\text{m}^2$. Peaks were recorded with constant pass energy of 20 eV.
376 For the Ag $3d_{5/2}$ line the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) was 0.58 eV under the recording conditions.
377 The pressure in the analysis chamber was around 5×10^{-9} mbar. Short acquisition time spectra were
378 recorded before and after each normal experiment to check that the samples did not suffer from
379 degradation during the measurements. The binding energy scale was calibrated using the C 1s peak at
380 285.0 eV from the hydrocarbon contamination for the negative electrodes HC, and using the C 1s peak at
381 290.9 eV from the PVdF CF_2 functions, for the positive electrodes NVPF. Core peaks were analyzed using a
382 nonlinear Shirley-type background^[21]. The peak positions and areas were optimized by a weighted least-
383 squares fitting method using 70 % Gaussian, 30 % Lorentzian line shapes. Quantification was performed
384 on the basis of Scofield's relative sensitivity factors^[22]. The curves fit for core peaks were obtained using a
385 minimum number of components in order to fit the experimental curves.

386 **Single-Molecule Calculations.** To compute the HOMO and LUMO levels of EC, PC, VC, PS, SN and ODFB⁻,
387 we perform density functional theory calculations using the CP2K code using the Quickstep algorithm.^[23]
388 The relaxed geometry of each molecule was computed using the self-consistent continuum solvation
389 (SCCS) model.^[24] The dielectric constant used in the SCCS model was set to 75, a value that falls roughly
390 halfway between pure EC (90.5 at 40°C) and pure PC (65.5 at 25°C).^[25] TZV2P-MOLOPT-GTH basis sets^[26]
391 were used to construct the Kohn-Sham wave functions, and an energy cutoff of 600 Rydberg was used. A
392 GGA and a hybrid exchange-correlation functional (PBE^[27] and B3LYP^[28] respectively) were each tested to
393 compare the computed HOMO and LUMO energies as well as their relative positions between solvent and
394 additive molecules. Goedecker-Teter-Hutter pseudopotentials^[29] were used to describe core electrons.

395 **Molecular Dynamics Calculations.** i) Classical Molecular Dynamics. Classical MD simulations were
396 performed using LAMMPS code^[30] to generate the initial atomic structure for two electrolyte systems.
397 The first electrolyte system without additives contains 38 EC molecules, 30 PC molecules, 7 NaPF_6 pairs,
398 while the system with additives consists of 38 EC molecules, 30 PC molecules, 3 VC molecules, 2 PS
399 molecules, 1 SN molecule, 1 ODFB anion, 6 PF_6 anions, and 7 Na cations. The simulation cells were
400 constructed to match the experimental density. An all-atoms model was used for each of the two

401 systems, in which the OPLS force field^[31] was used for EC, PC and NaPF₆ molecules. The additive molecules
402 were treated as rigid bodies without considering any intra-molecular interactions. Simulations were
403 conducted in NVT ensemble with Nose- Hoover thermostat. All the systems were equilibrated at 353.15 K
404 for 15ns with a timestep of 1fs. ii) Density Functional Theory. We performed first-principles simulations
405 using density functional theory molecular dynamics. NVT simulations were performed using the Perdew-
406 Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA).^[27] The Quickstep algorithm as
407 implemented in the CP2K code was employed with an energy cutoff of 280 Ryd. Van der Waals
408 corrections were included at the level of DFT-D3.^[32] The temperature of the simulation was regulated
409 using canonical sampling through velocity rescaling (CSVR) at a temperature of 328 K. The timestep used
410 was 0.5 fs. The simulation cells were identical in dimensions to those used in the classical simulations
411 along with periodic boundary conditions, and the final state of the classical MD was used as a starting
412 configuration. The large size of the simulation cells allows us to perform calculations using only a single Γ
413 k-point. Simulations for both systems were run for a total of 20 ps.

414 To perform the analysis of the projected density of states (DOS) calculations, we identify ten
415 different atomic configurations spaced 2ps apart throughout the molecular dynamics simulations. For
416 each set of configurations, we used Quantum Espresso code^[33] to compute the ground state electron
417 density in order to be projected onto an atomic orbital basis set. We verify the completeness of the
418 atomic orbital basis using the computed spilling parameter. The projection onto the atomic orbital
419 basis^[34] has a corresponding spilling parameter between 0.0126 and 0.0127 for the electrolyte system
420 with additives and between 0.0123 and 0.0124 for the electrolyte system, and thus we concluded that
421 this projection can be used to sufficiently construct the density of states. This projection scheme allows us
422 to parse contributions of individual molecules. Therefore, we can approximate the contribution of an
423 individual molecule to the total density of states or alternatively determine the average contribution of a
424 single type of molecule. The electrochemical windows were determined by identifying the band edges
425 (HOMO and LUMO) nearest to the computed Fermi energy. These values for the ten configurations were
426 used to determine the average and standard deviations for ϵ_{HOMO} and ϵ_{LUMO} . Mean values and their
427 standard deviations are reported for HOMO and LUMO levels for each molecule.

428 **Acknowledgments**

429 J.-M.T. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council (ERC) (FP/2014)/ERC, Grant-
430 Project 670116-ARPEMA; G. Yan. thanks the National Natural Science Foundation of China for
431 funding (Grant No. 51804344). The authors thank Arnaud Demortière and Kirill Cherednichenko for
432 electronic microscopy measurements, and Alix Ladam for assembling the 18650 cells.

433

434 **References**

- 435 [1] a) B. Dunn, H. Kamath, J.-M. Tarascon, *Science* **2011**, 334, 928; b) Z. G. Yang, J. L. Zhang, M. C.
436 W. Kintner-Meyer, X. C. Lu, D. W. Choi, J. P. Lemmon, J. Liu, *Chemical Reviews* **2011**, 111,
437 3577; c) R. Schmich, R. Wagner, G. Hörpel, T. Placke, M. Winter, *Nature Energy* **2018**, 3, 267.
438 [2] a) D. Larcher, J.-M. Tarascon, *Nature chemistry* **2015**, 7, 19; b) C. P. Grey, J. M. Tarascon,
439 *Nature Materials* **2017**, 16, 45.
440 [3] a) N. Yabuuchi, K. Kubota, M. Dahbi, S. Komaba, *Chemical Reviews* **2014**, 114, 11636; b) B. L.
441 Ellis, L. F. Nazar, *Current Opinion in Solid State & Materials Science* **2012**, 16, 168; c) H. L. Pan,
442 Y. S. Hu, L. Q. Chen, *Energy & Environmental Science* **2013**, 6, 2338; d) P.-F. Wang, Y. You, Y.-
443 X. Yin, Y.-G. Guo, *Advanced Energy Materials* **2017**, 1701912; e) J.-Y. Hwang, S.-T. Myung, Y.-
444 K. Sun, *Chemical Society Reviews* **2017**, 46, 3529.
445 [4] N. Yabuuchi, M. Kajiyama, J. Iwatate, H. Nishikawa, S. Hitomi, R. Okuyama, R. Usui, Y.
446 Yamada, S. Komaba, *Nature materials* **2012**, 11, 512.
447 [5] R. Gover, A. Bryan, P. Burns, J. Barker, *Solid State Ionics* **2006**, 177, 1495.
448 [6] a) L. Cailloce, *Vol. 2015*, **2015**; b) S. Roberts, E. Kendrick, *Nanotechnology, science and*
449 *applications* **2018**, 11, 23.
450 [7] a) G. Yan, D. Alves-Dalla-Corte, W. Yin, N. Madern, G. Gachot, J.-M. Tarascon, *Journal of The*
451 *Electrochemical Society* **2018**, 165, A1222; b) G. Yan, R. Dugas, J.-M. Tarascon, *Journal of The*
452 *Electrochemical Society* **2018**, 165, A220.
453 [8] a) J. B. Goodenough, Y. Kim, *Chemistry of Materials* **2010**, 22, 587; b) C. Liu, Z. G. Neale, G.
454 Cao, *Materials Today* **2015**, 19, 109; c) D. Aurbach, Y. Talyosef, B. Markovsky, E. Markevich, E.
455 Zinigrad, L. Asraf, J. S. Gnanaraj, H.-J. Kim, *Electrochimica Acta* **2004**, 50, 247.
456 [9] a) G. G. Eshetu, S. Grugeon, H. Kim, S. Jeong, L. Wu, G. Gachot, S. Laruelle, M. Armand, S.
457 Passerini, *ChemSusChem* **2016**, 9, 462; b) R. Mogensen, D. Brandell, R. Younesi, *ACS Energy*
458 *Letters* **2016**, 1173.
459 [10] a) K. Xu, *Chemical Reviews* **2004**, 104, 4303; b) K. Xu, *Chem Rev* **2014**, 114, 11503; c) S. S.
460 Zhang, *Journal of Power Sources* **2006**, 162, 1379; d) D. Aurbach, *Journal of Power Sources*
461 **2003**, 119-121, 497.
462 [11] a) K. Abe, K. Miyoshi, T. Hattori, Y. Ushigoe, H. Yoshitake, *Journal of Power Sources* **2008**, 184,
463 449; b) L. Ma, J. Xia, J. R. Dahn, *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* **2015**, 162, A1170; c) L.
464 Madec, R. Petibon, J. Xia, J.-P. Sun, I. G. Hill, J. R. Dahn, **2015**, 162, A2635.
465 [12] a) L. Cheng, R. S. Assary, X. Qu, A. Jain, S. P. Ong, N. N. Rajput, K. Persson, L. A. Curtiss, *The*
466 *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2015**, 6, 283; b) P. Jankowski, W. Wiczorek, P.
467 Johansson, *Journal of Molecular Modeling* **2016**, 23, 6; c) Y. Wang, S. Nakamura, K. Tasaki, P.
468 B. Balbuena, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2002**, 124, 4408.
469 [13] a) S. Jurng, Z. L. Brown, J. Kim, B. L. Lucht, *Energy & Environmental Science* **2018**, 11, 2600; b)
470 S. J. Lee, J. G. Han, I. Park, J. Song, J. Cho, J. S. Kim, N. S. Choi, *Journal of the Electrochemical*

- 471 *Society* **2014**, 161, A2012; c) L. Zhang, Y. Ma, X. Cheng, P. Zuo, Y. Cui, T. Guan, C. Du, Y. Gao,
472 G. Yin, *Solid State Ionics* **2014**, 263, 146.
- 473 [14] a) G. Y. Kim, J. R. Dahn, *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* **2015**, 162, A437; b) Y.-S. Kim,
474 T.-H. Kim, H. Lee, H.-K. Song, *Energy & Environmental Science* **2011**, 4, 4038.
- 475 [15] J. Pires, L. Timperman, A. Castets, J. S. Pena, ErwanDumont, S. Levasseur, R. Dedryvere, C.
476 Tessier, M. Anouti, *RSC Advances* **2015**, 5, 42088.
- 477 [16] P. Peljo, H. H. Girault, *Energy & Environmental Science* **2018**, 11, 2306.
- 478 [17] a) J. L. Allen, S.-D. Han, P. D. Boyle, W. A. Henderson, *Journal of Power Sources* **2011**, 196,
479 9737; b) J. Chen, Z. Huang, C. Wang, S. Porter, B. Wang, W. Lie, H. K. Liu, *Chemical*
480 *Communications* **2015**, 51, 9809.
- 481 [18] H. Ota, Y. Sakata, A. Inoue, S. Yamaguchi, *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* **2004**, 151,
482 A1659.
- 483 [19] A. Rezqita, M. Sauer, A. Foelske, H. Kronberger, A. Trifonova, *Electrochimica Acta* **2017**, 247,
484 600.
- 485 [20] S. P. Ong, O. Andreussi, Y. Wu, N. Marzari, G. Ceder, *Chemistry of Materials* **2011**, 23, 2979.
- 486 [21] D. A. Shirley, *Physical Review B* **1972**, 5, 4709.
- 487 [22] J. H. Scofield, *Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena* **1976**, 8, 129.
- 488 [23] a) J. Hutter, M. Iannuzzi, F. Schiffmann, J. VandeVondele, *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews-*
489 *Computational Molecular Science* **2014**, 4, 15; b) J. VandeVondele, M. Krack, F. Mohamed, M.
490 Parrinello, T. Chassaing, J. Hutter, *Computer Physics Communications* **2005**, 167, 103.
- 491 [24] a) O. Andreussi, I. Dabo, N. Marzari, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2012**, 136; b) J. L. Fattebert,
492 F. Gygi, *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **2002**, 23, 662.
- 493 [25] Y. Chernyak, *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data* **2006**, 51, 416.
- 494 [26] J. VandeVondele, J. Hutter, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2007**, 127, 114105.
- 495 [27] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Physical Review Letters* **1996**, 77, 3865.
- 496 [28] a) A. D. Becke, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1993**, 98, 5648; b) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr,
497 *Physical Review B* **1988**, 37, 785.
- 498 [29] S. Goedecker, M. Teter, J. Hutter, *Physical Review B* **1996**, 54, 1703.
- 499 [30] S. Plimpton, *Journal of Computational Physics* **1995**, 117, 1.
- 500 [31] W. L. Jorgensen, D. S. Maxwell, J. Tirado-Rives, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*
501 **1996**, 118, 11225.
- 502 [32] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2010**, 132, 154104.
- 503 [33] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L.
504 Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. Dal Corso, S. de Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R.
505 Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos, N. Marzari, F.
506 Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G.
507 Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari, R. M. Wentzcovitch, *Journal of Physics:*
508 *Condensed Matter* **2009**, 21, 395502.
- 509 [34] D. Sanchez-Portal, E. Artacho, J. M. Soler, *Solid State Communications* **1995**, 95, 685.

511 **Figure captions**

512 **Figure 1** The electrochemical performance of NVPF/C cells using electrolytes with single additives cycled
513 at 55 °C: **a.** the voltage-capacity curve with the corresponding dQ/dV plot as inset. The positive current
514 shown in the dQ/dV plot of the full cells correspond to the reduction process in the hard carbon electrode;
515 **b.** the voltage-time curves of NVPF/C cell at 11th cycle (self-discharge cycle) and 12th (recovery cycle), and
516 the corresponding C_S ($Q_{11th-dis}/Q_{11th-cha}$), and C_R ($Q_{12th-dis}/Q_{11th-cha}$) value; **c-d.** the capacity-retention
517 and direct current resistance evolution during the cycling at C/10 respectively.

518 **Figure 2** The electrochemical performance of NVPF/C cells cycled in the two or three additives added
519 electrolyte at 55 °C. **a.** the C_S and C_R values at 11th cycle (self-discharge cycle) and 12th (recovery cycle); **b-c.**
520 the capacity-retention and direct current resistance evolution during the cycling at C/10.

521 **Figure 3** The electrochemical performance of NVPF/C cells having electrolytes with four additives with
522 varying amount of VC cycled at 55 °C. **a.** the C_S and C_R values at 11th cycle (self-discharge cycle) and 12th
523 (recovery cycle); **b.** the representative voltage-capacity curve at 10th, 20th, and 30th cycle; **c-d.** the
524 capacity-retention and direct current resistance evolution during the cycling at C/10. Notes: to avoid
525 overwhelm describe the detailed compositions of electrolyte samples, we formatted that one color
526 represents one sample in Fig. 3 a, b, and d.

527 **Figure 4** The change in impedance of NVPF/C cells with cycling. The cells were cycled at 55 °C for 61 cycles
528 and cooled to 25 °C. The EIS data were recorded in discharged state for 1st, 10th, 11th, and 61st cycle at 55
529 °C and at the following 62nd cycle at 25 °C. The Nyquist plots of NVPF/C cells using **a.** EC-PC electrolyte, **b.**
530 magic electrolyte. The empty circles show the experimental data and the solid line represents the fitting
531 results using the equivalent circuit model in (d). The red and blue semi-circles in (a) and (b) gives the
532 deconvoluted surface film (R_{sf}) and charge transfer (R_{ct}) resistance values and their evolution on cycling
533 with different electrolyte formulation are shown in c and e respectively.

534 **Figure 5** The XPS spectra of pristine NVPF and C electrodes, and those cycled electrodes at 1st, 10th, 11th,
535 and 61st under 55 °C by using EC-PC or magic A electrolyte. **a.** the C_{1s} spectra of NVPF electrode with
536 corresponding atomic ratio underneath; **b.** the O_{1s} spectra of NVPF electrode with corresponding atomic
537 ratio underneath; **c.** the F_{1s} spectra of carbon electrode; **d.** the P_{2p} spectra of carbon electrode.

538 **Figure 6** The computed HOMO and LUMO energy levels of ten snapshots throughout a 10ps density
539 functional theory based molecular dynamics simulation of the Magic A electrolyte. Energies are
540 represented as box and whisker plots, and are aligned with respected to the Fermi energy of each
541 snapshot. The filled box represents the HOMO energy levels, and the hollow box show the LUMO energy
542 levels.











