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#### Abstract

Systematic extension of any dc-to-dc converter leads to its $d c$-to-three-phase equivalent characterized by sinusoidal output voltages and fast dynamic responses. This generalization principle is illustrated for the special case of the flyback topology. State-space averaging has modeled with a high degree of accuracy the performance of $d c-t o-d c$ converters. Here it is combined with the stationary-to-rotating coordinate transformation, customarily used in polyphase ac systems, to result in an effective analysis method for switched-mode dc-to-polyphase converters.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

A variety of switched-mode topologies have been used to invert a dc input into three-phase outputs for such applications as ac motor drives. Well-known inverters at the low switching frequency end are the VoltageSource and Current-Source inverters and their modifications [1]. These circuits switch at the inversion frequency, and their outputs consequently are six-stepped square waves of voltage or current. This kind of waveshape inevitably induces harmonic heating and lowfrequency torque pulsation in ac machines. Since amplitude variation is usually exercised through the de link via phase-control, harmonics are sent back into the line. The expensive, bulky inductor embedded in the current-source inverter often limits the response speed and operating frequency range.
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Recently a group of Polyphase Switching Power Amplifiers [2] has emerged as an example of high-frequency switched-mode inversion. Since these amplifiers are constructed from dc-to-dc building blocks, their open-loop phase voltages generally inherit distorted sinusoids riding on high dc offsets. They can thus be categorized as descendents of dc-to-dc converters. Yet to be uncovered is the equivalence to the family of dc-to-dc topologies: the class of dc-to-polyphase converters.

The motivation is thus clear: to search for a compact open-loop configuration capable of generating truly sinusoidal balanced three-phase outputs from a dc source and easy-to-synthesize switching functions.

Section 2 repeats the historical development that originates the flyback dc-to-threephase converter. The state-space averaged description of the system in the stationary abc reference frame is written at the beginning of Section 3 and then solved to confirm the ideal steady-state waveshapes. Section 4 transforms the dynamic equations to the rotating $0\{j b$ axes and discusses the ac smallsignal transfer functions of the converter in this frame. The practical implementation of the new topology is delineated in Section 5; it is then used to confirm earlier theoretical predictions. Section 6 summarizes the salient features of the analysis.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE FLYBACK <br> DC-TO-THREE-PHASE TOPOLOGY

The flyback dc-to-three-phase converter results from a systematic generalization of its dc-to-dc kin. The development procedure for this particular topology is explained in this section with the hope that it helps to visualize the synthesis of the remaining converters (buck, boost, etc.) of the family. A good way to start is to review the essential features of the familiar flyback de-to-dc circuit.

### 2.1 Review of the Flyback Dc-to-Dc-Topology

Figure la sketches a more general version of the familiar flyback dc-to-dc converter. The inductor communicates with the rest of the circuit through two single-pole, double-throw switches. Each throw can be characterized by its own switching function as assigned in Fig. 1b. The time average of a switching function $d(t)$ is called the duty ratio $<d(t)>$, where brackets symbolize an averaged quantity.
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Fig. 1. (a) Flyback dc-to-dc converter and (b) its switching functions.

Figure 1 b defines two distinct switching topologies for the circuit in Fig. la. During $\left\langle d>T_{s}\right.$ the inductor is connected across $v_{g}$ and gets charged while the capacitor support the load. The energy in the inductor is then dumped into the $R C$ combination during $\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\rangle T_{S}$. Both state-space averaging [3] and the volt-second-balance principle predict the steadystate output voltage to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{D}{D^{T}} V_{g} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D \equiv \text { steady-state }\langle\mathrm{d}\rangle \text {, and } \mathrm{D}^{\prime}=1-\mathrm{D}
$$

Ac operation can be extracted from the topology in Fig. la by large-signally modulating $\langle\mathrm{d}>$ and <d'>. Unfortunately, high-quality three-phase voltages cannot be obtained simply by putting three sinusoidally-modulated dc converters together because the nonlinearity in (1) always induces distortion at the outputs. It is thus desirable to introduce a new flyback dc-to-threephase topology that inherently generates clean polyphase power.

### 2.2 F1yback Dc-to-Three-Phase Topology

The sequence of energy storage and transfer in the dc-to-dc circuit can be used as the constructing principle for a three-phase converter, and the new topology may conceivably have only one inductor that is applied across $v_{g}$ during $\left\langle d>T_{s}\right.$ and then is sequentially connected to the three outputs during $\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\rangle T_{s}$. Therefore, the arrangement of $v_{g}$ with $L$ at the input end should be adopted without further modification from the input section of Fig. la. The only difference in going from single-phase to three-phase lies in the output part: there are now three, instead of one, outputs, and so it is logical to add two extra capacitors to make two more outputs.

Two new outputs necessarily require two more throws in each switch. Hence, the inductor now transfers energy through two single-pole, quadruple-throw switches, as pictured in Fig. 2a. Note that two identical throws on the $v_{g}$ side can be merged into one, and so only seven generally distinct switching functions (Fig. 2b) are necessary to characterize the converter. The extension of Fig. 2a to more than three phases is obvious.


Fig. 2. (a) Flyback dc-to-three-phase converter with one inductor and three capacitors and (b) its switching functions.

Regardless of the exact nature of the duty ratios, the topology in Fig. 2a functions similarly to that in Fig. la. The inductor is connected across $v_{g}$ during $\langle d\rangle T_{s}$ and across the output for the remainder of the cycle. In their most versatile forms, the two switches act completely independently of each other during $<\mathrm{d}^{\prime}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$. This flexibility leads to a variety of useful switching strategies that all produce sinusaidal outputs.

One obvious feature of the new converter is that it allows isolation, merely by splitting the inductor into two coupled windings.

The important unanswered question at this point is: what simple duty ratios must be synthesized into the switching functions of Fig. 2b so that the open-loop topology of Fig. 2a indeed produces zero-averaged sinusoidal voltages. To resolve this question and to understand the converter more require the averaged state-space description of the system.

### 2.3 The "abc" State-Space Averaged Equations

The following analysis assumes ideal conditions, such as balanced three-phase components, perfect switches, sufficiently fast switching frequencies, etc. Among the fundamental approximations of state-space averaging [3] that must be strictly observed is the small, linear ripple assumption. Furthermore, the highest frequency component in any duty ratio should be well below half the switching frequency for the prediction to be valid.

It is convenient to introduce a refined, systematic approach to obtain the averaged state-space equations for a complex multiplepole, multiple-throw (MPMT) suitching structure such as that in Fig. 2a. This method realizes a fundamental modeling difficulty rarely encountered in two-topology switching networks, namely, both the number and the type of switching topologies in MPMT switching converters may vary from cycle to cycle if at least one duty ratio is time-varying. Fortunately, firstorder approximations in the state-space averaging process are insensitive to these variations, and it is possible to show that all switching cycles can be characterized by a unique set of statespace averaged equations. These equations, in turn, can be expediently obtained by replacing each switching function in the exact time-domain state-space equations by its corresponding duty ratio. For instance, if the capacitor common is chosen as the reference during $\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\rangle T_{S}$, then the exact dynamic equation for the inductor is

$$
\begin{align*}
L \frac{d i}{d t}= & d v_{g}+\left(d_{2 a}^{\prime} v_{a}+d_{2 b}^{\prime} v_{b}+d_{2 c}^{\prime} v_{c}\right)- \\
& \left(d_{1 a}^{\prime} v_{a}+d_{l b}^{\prime} v_{b}+d_{1 c}^{\prime} v_{c}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (2) represents the application of $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{g}}$ to L during $<d>T_{S}$; the second and third terms describe the waveforms at the positive and negative ends, respectively, of the inductor during $\left\langle\mathrm{d}^{\prime}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$. The state-space averaged equation for $L$ can now be constructed by substitution of $\langle d>$ for $d$, $\left\langle d_{1 a}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for $d_{1 a}^{\prime}$, etc., in (2):

$$
\begin{align*}
L \frac{d i}{d t}= & -\left(\left\langled_{1 a}^{\prime}>-\left\langle d_{2 a}^{\prime}>\right) v_{a}-\left(\left\langle d_{1 b}^{\prime}>-\left\langle d_{2 b}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) v_{b}-\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left(\left\langled_{1 c}^{\prime}>-\left\langle d_{2 c^{\prime}}^{\prime}>\right) v_{c}+\left\langle d>v_{g}\right.\right.\right. \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Dynamic equations for the capacitors can be derived in a similar fashion. Since each pair $<\mathrm{d}_{1 \alpha}^{\prime}>$ and $<\mathrm{d}_{2 \alpha}^{\prime}>(\alpha \equiv \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$ always appear together as ${ }^{1 \alpha}\left(<\mathrm{d}_{1 \alpha}^{\prime}>-<\mathrm{d}_{2 \alpha}^{\prime}>\right)$, it is convenient to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\left\langle d_{1 \alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle d_{2 \alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle \text { for } \alpha \equiv a, b, c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The capacitor equations can now be grouped with (3) to form the system matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \frac{d \underline{x}}{d t}=A \underline{x}+B \underline{u} \tag{5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{x}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
i & v_{a} & v_{b} & v_{c}
\end{array}\right]^{T}, \underline{u}=v_{g}  \tag{5b,c}\\
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -d_{a}^{\prime} & -d_{b}^{\prime} & -d_{c}^{\prime} \\
d_{a}^{\prime} & -2 / 3 R & 1 / 3 R & 1 / 3 R \\
d_{b}^{\prime} & 1 / 3 R & -2 / 3 R & 1 / 3 R \\
d_{c}^{\prime} & 1 / 3 R & 1 / 3 R & -2 / 3 R
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5d}\\
B=\left[\begin{array}{c}
<d> \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \text { and } \quad P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
L & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & C & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C
\end{array}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

The inductor contributes the first term on the right-hand side of each capacitor equation, and the load gives rise to the remaining terms. Equations (5) are called the "abc" state-space averaged equations because they are derived in the usual stationary time coordinate that characterizes three balanced three-phase quantities as $a, b$, and $c$ components.

Nothing has been said so far about the exact nature of the duty ratios $\langle d\rangle$ and $\langle d i \alpha$ ( $i=1,2$ and $\alpha \equiv a, b, c$ ). It is desirable that they contain only simple functions like dc or sinusoids. They also ought to be positive to be realizable. An obvious choice of $\left\langle d_{i \alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ that satisfies these criteria is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle d_{l a}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\rangle}{3}+\frac{d_{m}^{\prime}}{3} \cos \theta  \tag{6a}\\
& \left\langle d_{l b^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\rangle}{3}+\frac{d_{m}^{\prime}}{3} \cos \left(\theta-120^{\circ}\right)  \tag{6b}\\
& \left\langle d_{l c}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\rangle}{3}+\frac{d_{m}^{\prime}}{3} \cos \left(\theta+120^{\circ}\right) \tag{6c}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{a}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{c}}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{\left\langle\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right\rangle}{3} \tag{6d}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\rangle=1-\langle d\rangle, \quad d_{m}^{\prime}\left\langle\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad \theta=\int_{0}^{t} \omega(\tau) d \tau \quad(6 e, f, g)\right.$
In the above, $d_{m}^{\prime}$ and $\omega$ are instantaneous modulation amplitude and frequency, respectively. In general, $\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{2 \alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ can contain ac modulation whose amplitude and phase differ from those of $<d_{1}^{\prime}>$. However, as a special case, the ac component of $\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{2 \alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is set to zero by virtue of (6d).

Equations (6a) through (6d) can be used in
(4) to compute $d_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a}^{\prime}=\frac{d_{m}^{\prime}}{3} \cos \theta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on for $d_{b}^{\prime}$ and $d_{c}^{\prime}$. Equations (4) through (7) completely characterize the steady-state and dynamic behaviors of the flyback dc-to-threephase converter. The steady-state results will be discussed first in the following section.

## 3. STEADY-STATE ANALYSIS

## 31 Steady-State Solutions

The control inputs to the converter can easily be identified as $\langle\mathrm{d}\rangle, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}, \omega$, and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{g}}$ from (5) and (7). Under steady-state condition, they consist of only dc components correspondingly denoted as $D, D_{m}^{\prime}, \Omega$, and $V_{g}$. The next step is to solve (5) that is already nonlinear due to the products $d_{\alpha}^{\prime} v_{\alpha}$ and $d_{\alpha}^{\prime}$. Since the original objective asserts that the proposed topology is worthy only when its outputs are purely sinusoidal, the capacitor voltages can only be

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{a}=v_{o s}+v \cos \left(\Omega t-\Phi_{v}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where capital letters imply de steady states, and so on for $v_{b}$ and $v_{c}$. Observe that the expected solutions inciude a dc offset component $V_{0 s}$ that may exist and yet affects neither the inductor nor the load. With the voltages constituting a balanced three-phase set as described in (8), the instantaneous output and, hence, input powers are constant. Since $D$ and $V_{g}$ are already dc, constant instantaneous input power implies $d c$ inductor current, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \equiv I \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

during steady state.
It remains to substitute (8) and (9) into (5) and solve for $I, V, \Phi_{V}$, and $V_{O S}$. After straightforward algebraic manipulation, the results are

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=6 \frac{D V_{g}}{D_{m}^{\prime 2} R}\left[1+\left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega_{p}}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{10a}\\
& V e^{-j \Phi} v=2 \frac{D V_{g}}{D_{m}^{\prime}}\left(1-\frac{j \Omega}{\omega}\right) \tag{10b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o s} \equiv \text { indeterminate } \tag{10c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{RC}} \tag{10d}
\end{equation*}
$$

The current in (10a) and the voltage in (10b) obviously satisfy the conservation-of-power requirement. Note that phasor notation is used in (10b) to establish a consistency in symbolizing steady-state solutions: all these quantities are now characterized by constants. Under this convention, a real constant (Eq. (10a)) represents dc steady state while a complex constant (Eq. (10b)) indicates sinusoidal steady state.

### 3.2 Comments on Steady-State Solutions

Equation (10a) confirms the assumption that the inductor current is dc. Most eminent is the dependence of $I$ on $\Omega^{2}$ that ultimately imposes a power restriction on high-frequency operation. To understand why the current increases at such a fast rate requires a look at the voltage behavior.

The very existence of (10b) proves that the new topology indeed generates clean sinusoidal three-phase voltages. Closer investigation of this equation, however, reveals an unexpected, interesting phenomenon: the steady-state voltage phasor possesses a "right half-plane (rhp) zero" in its frequency dependence. To be more descriptive, the voltage amplitude keeps on increasing while the phase (referenced to $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}$ ) continues lagging as the modulation frequency is swept upward. Although this odd behavior defies intuition, it can be explained in simple words once the phase variation is separated from the voltage trend.


Fig. 3. Virtual parallel connection of $R$ and $C$ fed by the inductor current to explain the steady-state phase lag.

Figure 3 accounts for the phase change by modeling the inductor as a frequency-dependent current source (Eq. (5)) feeding into the virtually parallel RC combination of phase a (Fig. 2a). Linear circuit theory can be used to show that $v_{a}$ always lags $d_{a}^{\prime}$ by $\tan ^{-1}\left(\Omega / \omega_{p}\right)$, the same as the angle given in (10b). Once the phase change has been established, the amplitude rise can be explained by interpreting the volt-second-balance criteria in (5). The output voltages supply volt-seconds through the sum ( $d_{a}^{\prime} v_{a}+d_{b}^{\prime} v_{b}+d_{c}^{\prime} v_{c}$ ) that is proportional to $V \cos \Phi_{\mathrm{V}}$. As the output waveform "slips" away from the duty ratio with increasing frequency, $\cos \Phi_{V}$ decreases to reflect the less efficient contribution from the voltages. The amplitude $V$ then has to increase to compensate for this loss, and hence the "rhp zero."

Apart from the frequency dependence, the amplitude of ( 10 b ) looks similar to that of (1). The "effective $D^{\prime \prime}$ " is $D_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime} / 2$, the factor $1 / 2$ resulting from the particular assignment of duty ratios in (6). The dc-to-three-phase converter thus can step the input both up and down. Control of the output amplitude can easily be achieved at the signal level through $D$ and $D_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}$ although it can be less efficiently done at the power level via $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$.

Leakage across real-life capacitors cures the arbitrariness of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OS}}$ (Eq. ( 10 c )) by fixing this dc offset to zero; so practical converters indeed have zero-averaged, sinusoidal outputs. The oncoming dynamic analysis effectively ignores $v_{\text {os }}$ because this voltage does not participate in the power inversion process.

## 4. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

### 4.1 The "Ofb" Transformation

As was demonstrated, state-space averaging modeled a switching network by combining all sets of linear, time-invariant differential equations describing the various switching topologies into a unique set of linear, timevariant differential equations characterizing an equivalent continuous circuit. In dc-to-dc converters the small-signal analysis then follows by perturbing the $d c$ control variables embedded in the system equations. Such an approach, however, does not extend directly to the case of dc-to-three-phase inverters because many steady-state quantities in these networks are already sinusoidally time-varying. Fortunately, a variety of analytical tools are available in the literature to treat the dynamics of polyphase machines, and they can be readily borrowed for the small-signal study of the polyphase topology being presented.

The aforementioned methods center around the choice of a suitable frame of reference in which the state-space averaged equations exhibit time-invariant steady-state behavior. Many such frames exist for the topology being studied owing to its ideal waveshapes. The one chosen for this paper is the "06b" reference frame, and the corresponding transformation that converts balanced three-phase sinusoids to complex constants is named the "ofb" transformation [4].

This transformation is based on the principle that the rotating sum of polyphase space phasors properly displaced in time phase appears stationary in a coordinate rotating at the same instantaneous velocity. Let the abc voltage vector, the ofb voltage vector, and the ofb transformation be respectively defined as

$$
\underline{v}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
v_{a} & v_{b} & v_{c}
\end{array}\right]^{T}, \quad \underline{v}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
v_{o} & v_{f} & v_{b} \tag{11a,b}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

and

$$
T_{v}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & e^{-j \theta_{T}} & e^{j \theta} T  \tag{llc}\\
1 & e^{-j\left(\theta_{T}-120^{\circ}\right)} & e^{j\left(\theta_{T}-120^{\circ}\right)} \\
1 & e^{-j\left(\theta_{T}+120^{\circ}\right)} & e^{j\left(\theta_{T}+120^{\circ}\right)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{T}=\int_{0}^{t} \omega(\tau) d \tau-\Phi_{T} \tag{11d}
\end{equation*}
$$

and script denotes complex variables. Then if $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}=0$, the operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{v}=T_{v} \underline{v} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

transforms $\underline{v}$, whose steady-state components are given in (8), into

So $v_{0}$, the "zero-sequence component," reflects the dc offset while $v_{b}$, the "backward component," characterizes the voltage phasor. The "forward component" $v_{\mathrm{f}}$ is actually redundant but is nevertheless carried along to maintain mathematical symmetry.

The transformed variables clearly eliminate all time dependence. Interest can now be directed toward deriving the transformed state-space averaged equations.

### 4.2 The "ofb" State-Space Averaged

Under the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{x}=T \underline{x} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\underline{x}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathbf{i} & v_{o} & v_{f} & v_{b}\end{array}\right]^{T}, \quad T=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & \underline{0}^{T} \\ \underline{0} & \tau_{\mathrm{v}}\end{array}\right]$
where

$$
T_{\mathrm{v}}=(11 \mathrm{c}) \text { with } \dot{\Phi}_{\mathrm{T}}=0
$$

(5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \frac{d \underline{x}}{d t}=A \underline{x}+B \underline{u} \tag{16a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$A=\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & -d_{m}^{\prime} / 2 \sqrt{3} & -d_{m}^{\prime} / 2 \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d_{m}^{\prime} / 2 \sqrt{3} & 0 & -1 / R+j \omega C & 0 \\ d_{m}^{\prime} / 2 \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & -1 / R-j \omega C\end{array}\right]$
and $\underline{\chi}, \underline{u}, B$, and $P$ are as defined in (15a) and (5). Note that the ofb transformation is invoked only once to act upon the sinusoidal voltages. The inductor current, being dc, is passed straight to the new frame.

In the rotating ofb coordinate, the system description (Eq. (16)) consists of a set of nonlinear inhomogeneous differential equations with constant complex coefficients. The zero second row and column in the A matrix decouple $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}$ from the other states and confirm the indeterminacy of this component, and so $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and its corresponding rows and columns in (16) can be deleted to bring the order of the system from four down to three. Further rearrangement then culminates in the following more illuminating format:
$P^{\prime} \frac{d \underline{x}^{\prime}}{d t}=\left(A_{R}+d_{m e}^{\prime} A_{d},+j \omega A_{\omega}\right) \underline{x}^{\prime}+\langle d\rangle B_{d_{g}}$
where
$d_{m e}^{\prime}=\frac{d_{m}^{\prime}}{2 \sqrt{3}}, \underline{x}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}i & v_{f} & v_{b}\end{array}\right]^{T}, B_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{T}$ ( $17 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ )
$A_{d^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right], A_{R}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 / R & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 / R\end{array}\right]$
$A_{\omega}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -C\end{array}\right], P^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C\end{array}\right]$
Equation (17a) clearly identifies the control parameters as the real variables $\langle\mathrm{d}\rangle, \mathrm{d}_{\text {me }}^{\prime}, \omega$, and $v_{g}$. Its steady-state vector, which ${ }^{\text {me }}$ is consistent with (10), can be used in the next linearized dynamic analysis.

### 4.3 The ofb Variational Equations

For this derivation, the control variables consist of ac small-signal perturbations, symbolized by careted lowercase, superimposed on dc quiescent values, symbolized by uppercase, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\mathrm{d}\rangle=\mathrm{D}+\hat{\mathrm{d}}, \quad \mathrm{~d}_{\text {me }}^{\prime}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{me}}^{\prime}+\hat{d}_{\text {me }}^{\prime} \\
& \omega=\Omega+\hat{\omega}, \quad \text { and } \quad v_{g}={v_{g}}_{g}+\hat{v}_{g} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Naturally, the state vector also contains the corresponding constituents, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{x}^{\prime}=\underline{x}^{\prime}+\underline{\hat{x}}^{\prime} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linearized variational equation around a quiescent operating condition can now be attained by substitution of (18) and (19) into (17a) and neglect of second- and higher-order terms. Laplace transformation of both sides of the resulting equation then leads to the equivalent frequency-domain description
$\left[s P^{\prime}-\left(A_{R}+D_{m e}^{\prime} A_{d},+j \Omega A_{\omega}\right)\right] \underline{\hat{\chi}}^{\prime}(s)=A_{d^{\prime}} \underline{X}^{\prime} \hat{d}_{m e}^{\prime}(s)+$ $A_{\omega} X^{\prime} j \hat{\omega}(s)+B_{d} V_{g} \hat{d}(s)+D B_{d} \hat{v}_{g}(s)$
The phasor $\hat{v}_{b}$ or $\hat{v}_{f}$ as provided by (20) is not measurable owing to its complex nature. So its real part $\hat{v}_{r}$, imaginary part $\hat{v}_{i}$, or magnitude $\hat{v}_{m}$ needs to be defined in a state-to-output equation for measurement purposes. The state $\hat{i}$ can be used directly as an output because it is already real. The state-to-output relationship thus takes the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{i}^{(s)}  \tag{21}\\
\hat{v}_{\mathrm{r}}(s) \\
\hat{v}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
\hat{v}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 \\
0 & j / 2 & -j / 2 \\
0 & V_{\mathrm{b}} / 2 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} & v_{\mathrm{f}} / 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{m}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{i}(s) \\
\hat{v}_{\mathrm{f}}(s) \\
\hat{v}_{\mathrm{b}}(s)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m}^{2}(t)=v_{f}(t) v_{b}(t) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (21) serves with (20) as the foundation for the ac small-signal analysis.

### 4.4 Poles of the Converter

Equations (20) and (21) represent a system of multiple outputs excited by multiple inputs. It is of interest to obtain the responses of the circuit when only one control is perturbed at a time, the others being constant. For example, if $\hat{\omega}=\hat{d}=\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{g}}=0$, (20) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\hat{x}^{\prime}(s)}{\hat{d}_{\operatorname{me}}^{\prime}(s)}\right|_{\hat{\omega}=\hat{d}=} ^{\hat{v}_{g}=0} 10\left[s P^{\prime}-\left(A_{R}+D_{m e}^{\prime} A_{d^{\prime}}+j \Omega A_{\omega}\right)\right]^{-1} A_{d^{\prime}} \underline{X^{\prime}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining transfer functions from $\hat{\omega}, \hat{d}$, and $\hat{v}_{g}$ can be obtained in a similar fashion.

A11 dynamic responses turn out to share a common denominator:

$$
\begin{align*}
K(s)= & 1+\left(R C+\frac{\Omega^{2} L C^{2} R}{2 D_{m e}^{\prime 2}}+\frac{L}{2 D_{m e}^{\prime 2} R}\right) s+\frac{L C}{D_{m e}^{\prime 2}} s^{2}+ \\
& \frac{L C^{2} R}{2 D_{m e}^{\prime 2}} s^{3} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

born from the characteristic polynomial of ( $A_{R}+D_{m e}^{\prime} A_{d}^{\prime}+j \Omega A_{\omega}$ ). It is reassuring that this equation emerges real amid all complex variable manipulations. This fact is a must as the original system is a realizable converter constructed from real $L, C$, and $R$. Owing to the nonlinear nature of the topology, the eigenvalues move as a function of the load as well as of the steady-state amplitude and frequency of the duty ratio modulation. A root locus for (24) is too cumbersome to be presented here; only results for the more practical case of low $\Omega$ will be discussed.

When the converter operates at a frequency sufficiently lower than the effective LC corner

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{o}}=\sqrt{2} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{me}}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{LC}}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\left(\Omega / \omega_{0}\right)^{2} \ll 1$ and $\Omega$ can be dropped out of (24), the poles approximately satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s) \simeq\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}\right)\left[1+\frac{1}{Q} \frac{s}{\omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{26a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{p}=\frac{1}{R C} \quad \text { and } \quad Q=2 \frac{D_{m e}^{\prime 2}}{\omega_{o} L}
$$

$(26 b, c)$

The complex corners resemble those of a flyback dc-to-dc converter: they are associated with the LC corner and directly proportional to the modulation amplitude $D_{\text {me. }}$. The real pole is just the RC combination encountered earlier in the steady-state formulas.

### 4.5 Zeros and Dc Gains of the Transfer Functions

The various control-to-output relations are obtained by combining (21) with (23) or other equations similar to (23). The poles of these responses have been analyzed in the preceding paragraphs, and some of their dc gains and zeros are tabulated in Table 1 below. This table actually features all the zeros that may occur in the outputs although it includes only five entries. Note that the results for $\hat{v}_{\text {g }}$ are not listed because they differ from those of $\hat{d}$ only in the dc gains.

| Functions | DC Gains | Zeros |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l\|l} \frac{\hat{v}_{m}}{\hat{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{me}}^{\prime}} & \begin{array}{l} \hat{\omega}=\hat{d}= \\ \hat{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{g}}=0 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $-\frac{\mathrm{DV}}{\mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{me}}^{\prime 2} \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{p}}}\right)^{2}}$ | $\left(1-\frac{s}{\omega_{z}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z 1}}\right)$ |
|  | $\frac{\mathrm{DV}_{\mathrm{g}}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{me}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{R}} \frac{\Omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}$ | $1+\frac{s}{\omega_{z 2}}$ |
|  | $-\frac{D V_{g}}{D_{m e}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2 \omega_{p}}$ | $1+\frac{1}{Q_{q}} \frac{s}{\omega_{0}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}$ |
|  | $\frac{v_{g}}{2 D_{m e}^{\prime 2}}\left[1+\left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega_{p}}\right)^{2}\right]$ | $\underline{1+\frac{1}{Q_{z 3}} \frac{s}{\omega_{z 3}}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{z 3}}\right)^{2}}$ |
|  | $\frac{v_{g}}{\text { 2D me }}$ | $1+\frac{s}{\omega_{p}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { where } \\ & \omega_{0}=\sqrt{2} \frac{D_{\text {me }}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\text { LC }}} \\ & \omega_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{RC}}, \\ & \omega_{\mathrm{z} 2}=2 \omega_{\mathrm{p}}, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & , Q_{q}=\frac{2 D_{m e}^{\prime 2}}{\omega_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{~L}[1-(\Omega / 4} \\ & \omega_{z}=\frac{2 D_{m e}^{\prime 2} R}{\left[1+\left(\Omega / \omega_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{L}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{2]} \\ & \omega_{z 1}=\left[1+\left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega_{p}}\right)^{2}\right] \omega_{p} \\ & Q_{z 3}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega_{p}}\right)^{2}} . \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1. Dc gains and zeros of some transfer functions.

The first row of Table 1 displays $\hat{v}_{m} / \hat{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ' when other control inputs are held constant. Since $d_{\text {me }}^{\prime}=d_{m}^{\prime} / 2 \sqrt{3}$, $\hat{d}_{\text {me }}^{\prime}$ implies amplitude perturbation of the sinusoidal duty ratio modulations. The response of $\hat{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ to this perturbation contains a rhp and a lhp zero, both moving around with $D_{m}^{\prime}$ and $\Omega$. Not shown are $\hat{\mathrm{i}} / \hat{\mathrm{d}}_{\text {me }}^{\prime}$ with lhp zeros $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}} \omega_{\mathrm{z} 1}$ and $\omega_{z 2}$, $\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{r}} / \hat{\mathrm{d}}_{\text {me }}^{\prime}$ with a 1 hp zero at $\omega_{p}$ and a rhp zero at $\omega_{z}$, and $\hat{v}_{i} / \hat{\mathrm{d}}_{\text {me }}^{\prime}$ also with a rhp zero at $\omega_{\mathrm{z}}$.

The next two rows of Table 1 exhibit $\hat{\mathbf{i}} / \hat{\omega}$ and $\hat{v}_{i} / \hat{\omega}$ when other perturbations are suppressed. Although it seems contrived to calculate the frequency modulation dynamics for a resistive load, the steps are useful when applied to, say, an induction motor load: Most interesting are the complex zeros in $\hat{v}_{i} / \hat{\omega}$ that stay nicely in the left half-plane when $\Omega$ is less than $\omega_{p}$ but then migrate into the right half-plane when $\Omega$ is greater than $\omega_{p}$. Not included in Table 1 are $\hat{v}_{\mathrm{r}} / \hat{\omega}$ with a zero at the origin and a lhp zero at $2 \omega_{p}$ and $\hat{v}_{\text {fl }} / \omega$ with a rhp zero at $\omega_{z}$.

The last two rows of Table 1 describe $\hat{i} / \hat{d}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{r}} / \hat{\mathrm{d}}$ when other control inputs are constant. The pair of ihp zeros in $\hat{i}$ give this transfer function the attractive single-pole characteristic. Not listed are $\hat{v}_{\mathrm{m}} / \hat{d}$ with a 1 hp zero at $\omega_{z l}$ and $\hat{v}_{i} / \hat{d}$ without any zeros.

It is worth emphasizing that all results derived thus far, whether desirable or not, are for $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}=0$, where $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ is the phase by which the 0 of frame lags the duty ratio modulation of phase $a$, as given by (lld). In practice, letting $\Phi_{T}$ be zero is not always the best thing to do. Judicious choice of $\Phi_{T}$ can be proven to lead to more favorable dynamics. Even more encouraging are that results for $\Phi_{T} \neq 0$ can be constructed from those already computed for $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}=0$ and that measurements for $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}} \neq 0$ are just as convenient as those for $\Phi_{T}=0$. These words will be verified experimentally in the next section.

## 5. EXPERTMENTAL VERIFICATION

### 5.1 Measurement Principle

The inductor current poses no measurement difficulty as it is dc and can be read directly using a current probe. Additional circuitry, however, must be added to "transform" the sinusoidal capacitor voltages to their equivalent dc descriptions. Straightforward implementation of the definitions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}} \cos \left(\theta-\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}} \cos \left(\theta-\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}-120^{\circ}\right)+ \\
\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}} \cos \left(\theta-\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}+120^{\circ}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}}=\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}} \sin \left(\theta-\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}} \sin \left(\theta-\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}-120^{\circ}\right)+ \\
\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}} \sin \left(\theta-\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}+120^{\circ}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right.  \tag{27b}\\
& \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \tag{27c}
\end{align*}
$$

obtainable from (ll) is not very elegant as it requires excessive hardware. Fortunately, simple trigonometric manipulation of (11) suggests an alternative algorithm based on

$$
\left.v_{\mathrm{r}}\right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}}=\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 1}} \cos \left(\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}-\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 1}\right)-\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 1}} \sin \left(\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}-\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 1}\right)
$$

and
$\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}}=\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 1}} \sin \left(\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}-\Phi_{\mathrm{Tl}}\right)+\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|_{\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 1}} \cos \left(\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}-\Phi_{\mathrm{Tl}}\right)$
where $\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 1}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}$ are any two arbitrary angles. Thus $v_{r}$ and $v_{i}$, or any linear combination thereof, of one $0 \% 6$ coordinate are always expressible as linear combinations of $v_{r}$ and $v_{i}$ of any other ofb coordinate, the two coordinates differing in phase by an arbitrary constant amount. This important statement opens the gate to the dynamic analysis in rotating frames of non-zero phase lag touched upon at the end of Section 4. It also suggests that as long as $\Phi_{T}$ is allowed to vary, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is all that is needed to completely characterize the voltage phasor $v_{\mathrm{b}}$. For example, if $\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}=0$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{T} 1}=270^{\circ}$ in (28b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\left.\right|_{0^{\circ}}}=\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}\right|_{270^{\circ}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., all transfer functions involving $\hat{v}_{i}$ derived for $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}=0$ can be checked by reading $\hat{v}_{\mathrm{r}}$ at $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}=270^{\circ}$. Likewise, if $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}$ is set to Фv (Eq. (10b)), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m}=\left.v_{r}\right|_{\Phi_{v}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which represents an efficient way to verify the $\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{m}}$ frequency responses.

It is obvious that incorporation of a phase shifter in the measurement setup is beneficial. The following part will illustrate how this can be accomplished.

### 5.2 System Block Diagram

Figure 4 highlights the salient features of the signal processing circuits before and
after the power stage. Observe that even though the actual inputs ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{g}}$, d , and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i} \alpha}$, where $i=1,2$ and $\alpha \equiv a, b, c$ ) and outputs ( $v_{a}, v_{b}$, and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) of the converter itself may contain sinusoidally time-varying components, all inputs ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{g}},\langle\mathrm{d}\rangle,\left\langle\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}$, and $\omega$ ) and outputs (i, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{r}}$, $v_{i}$, and $v_{m}$ ) on the periphery of the overall system are purely de under steady-state condition. Thus the box labeled "Flyback Dc-to-Three-Phase Converter" belongs to the $a b c$ reference frame while the remaining blocks act like the "transformation" that converts the $a b c$ waveforms to the equivalent of $b$ values.

The input section of Fig. 4 includes three PROM-D/A converter combinations to synthesize the balanced set of three-phase duty ratio modulations $d_{a}^{\prime}, d_{b}^{\prime}$, and $d_{c}^{\prime}(E q$. (7)). The reference input to the $D / A$ converters, $d_{m}^{\prime} / 3$, controls the modulation amplitude. The analog input to the VCO, $\omega$, governs the instantaneous modulation frequency. A11 ac $\left(<d_{1} \alpha^{\rangle}\right)$and dc $\left(<\mathrm{d}_{2 \alpha}^{\prime}>\right)$ duty ratios go through additional analog and digital signal processings before arriving at the power stage as drive waveforms.

The signal output section of Fig. 4 employs three D/A converters to multiply the three-phase outputs to three cosinusoidal functions. The products are then summed up to give either $v_{r}$ (Eq. (27a)), $v_{i}$ (Eq. (29)), or $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (Eq. (30)), depending on the input to the phase shifter. The phase shifter is simply a binary adder inserted between the address buses of the input and output PROMs. Since the corresponding PROMs are programmed with identical sinusoids, their phase difference translates into the offset between their addresses. Note that the scheme used guarantees the instantaneous speed of the ofb coordinate equals the instantaneous inversion frequency $\omega$ (Eq. (11d)).


Fig. 4. System block diagram: the left half synthesizes duty ratios and switching functions; the right half transforms sinusoidal outputs into equivalent de quantities.


Fig. 5. Flyback dc-to-three-phase converter employing one energy transfer inductor, three output filter capacitors, and seven transistor/diode pairs to generate clean sinusoidal outputs.

### 5.3 Practical Implementation of the Power Stage

The realization of the flyback dc-to-three-phase converter of Fig. 2a centers around the two switches. Each switch has four different throws sequentially placed in series with the inductor, and since the inductor current is always dc, each throw has to carry only unidirectional current. The ac nature of the circuit then forces the off voltage across a throw to be the bipolar line-to-line voltage, as is obvious from Fig. 2a. This kind of two-quadrant-in-voltage throw can be implemented by cascading a transistor, which blocks the positive voltage, and a diode, which withstands the negative excursion, as illustrated with the rest of the circuit in Fig. 5. Note that more than two transistors in the whole structure can be simultaneously turned on without incurring any destruction.

A low power ( 10 W ) experimental unit was built to verify the steady-state and ac small-signal analyses. Formulas for steadystate current and voltages (Eq. (10)) guide the choice of semiconductor stresses. Fast switching devices with low on-drops and onresistances are essential to minimize undesirable nonidealities. The following part will focus on the steady-state results.

### 5.4 Steady-State Waveforms

The oscillogram in Fig. 6 compares the three-phase outputs $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}}$, and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (second, third, and fourth trace, respectively) to the duty ratio modulation $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}$ (first trace). Only close scrutiny reveals a slight amount of distortion in the lower three waveforms. Absent from the picture is the cross-over distortion commonly found in switched-mode amplifiers [5]. This type of distortion is due to the change in polarity of the finite switch drop when the switch current crosses zero. The flyback topology can circumvent this shortcoming because its switch current, being dc, never "crosses over" even though the output currents and voltages do. Note $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}$ lags $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}$ by approximately $45^{\circ}$ as predicted by (10b).

The data in Fig. 7 depict an overall drop of $60^{\circ}$ in phase and an upward trend in the amplitude to confirm the "rhp zero" behavior in the steadystate capacitor voltages.


Fig. 6. $v_{a}, v_{b}$, and $v_{c}$ (lower three traces) in contrast to $d_{a}^{\prime}$ (top trace) for $V_{g}=15 \mathrm{~V}$, $F=100 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and $C=10 \mu \mathrm{~F}$. Vertical scales: $2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{div}$ (top) and $20 \mathrm{~V} /$ div (lower three). Horizontal scale: $2 \mathrm{~ms} /$ div.


Fig. 1. Normalized amplitude and phase of $v_{a}$ to confirm the "rhp" zero behavior. $C=10 \mu \mathrm{~F}$.

In Figs. 8 a fast sweep rate is used to look at the switching waveforms at the two ends or the inductor (Fig. 5). Each waveform has four intervals whose lengths indicate the duty ratios. The modulation edges are frozen at zero frequency in Fig. 8a to prove that the converter operates all the way down to dc. Observe in the last three intervals that the duty ratios, as well as the phase voltages, still constitute a set of dc three-phase values. As the frequency increases to 60 Hz in Fig. 8b, the four transition points within $D^{\prime} T_{S}$ of $v_{1}$ trace out four beautiful ellipses to characterize simultaneous sinusoidal motions in both vertical, or voltage, and horizontal, or duty ratio, directions. The corresponding points in $v_{2}$ simply draw two vertical lines because $\mathrm{d}_{2}{ }_{\alpha}$ is dc. All useful information, such as $D, D_{m}^{\prime}, V$, and $\Phi_{v}$ (Eq. (10b)), and a rough estimate of the waveform quality can be interpreted from Fig. 8b.
a)

b)


Fig. 8. $v_{1}$ (top trace) and $v_{2}$ (bottom trace) of Fig. 5 when $V_{g}=15 V$ and $C=10 \mu F$ for (a) $F=0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and (b) $F=60 \mathrm{~Hz}$. vertical scale: $10 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{div}$. Horizontal scale: $5 \mu \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{div}$.

### 5.5 Experimental Frequency Responses

Owing to the dc nature of all inputs and outputs, the well-known measurement techniques developed for dc-to-dc converters can be adapted to obtain the frequency responses of the new family of dc-to-three-phase converters. In this experiment the Automatic Measurement System [6] takes all the data and plots them in the familiar Bode format. The results are then overlaid on the theoretical curves predicted by the Switching Converter Analysis Program [6]. Proper modifications, however, have to be entered in the measurement program to condition it for noisy data. "Noise" used here refers to the harmonics that always exist owing to the finiteness of switching frequency, storage time modulation, and other component nonidealities. It dominates the small-signal responses, especially during fast highfrequency roll-off, although it is barely discernable at the power level of the test circuit adopted here.

Dynamic results are displayed in Figs. 9 through 12. Most prominent is the wide bandwidth of the circuit that leads to fast responses useful in applications such as motor drives. The captions beneath some plots indicate that the converter functions smoothly at as high as $1 \mathrm{kHz}(20 \mathrm{kHz}$ is the switching frequency) where, apart from the ripples in the steady-state waveforms, all empirical data are still consistent with the state-space averaged predictions.

Figure 9 plots the amplitude-to-amplitude transfer function for $C=1 \mu F$ and $F=200 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The circuit values place the real pole slighty below 1 kHz and the complex poles around 1.1 kHz . The net change of $270^{\circ}$ in phase and the single-slope asymptote indicate the presence of both lhp and rhp zeros.


Fig. 9. $\hat{v}_{m} / \hat{a}_{m p}$ with $\operatorname{lhp}$ and rhp zeros at 1 kHz . $C=1 \mu \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}=200 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=15 \mathrm{~V}$.

Figures 10 were devised to track the zeros in $\hat{v}_{i} / \hat{\omega}$ (Table 1 ). Again, $C=1 \mu F$ in order to place the RC corner at about 1 kHz , and measurements are made for $F$ slightly below (Fig. 10a) and above (Fig. IOb) this corner. The similar amplitude plots in both figures confirm that the zeros are complex and have very high $Q$. The phase for $F<1 \mathrm{kHz}$, however, drops only $90^{\circ}$ to indicate that the zeros are in the left half-plane while that for $F>1 \mathrm{kHz}$ plunges down $450^{\circ}$ to warn that they have migrated into the right half-plane!

b)


Fig. 10. $\hat{v}_{i} / \hat{\omega}$ with zeras in the ehp when $F=900 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (a) moving into the rhp when $F=1.1 \mathrm{kHz}$ (b). $6 p=1 \mathrm{kHz}$ and $V_{g}=14 \mathrm{~V}$.

Arong the "best" transfer functions is $\hat{i} / \hat{d}$ with a dominantly single-pole behavior (Table l). Prediction and measurement both substantiate this fact in Fig. 11 for $C=10 \mu \mathrm{~F}$. The zeros arrive at 220 Hz and dominate the earlier real pole at 70 Hz only to be overcome by the complex poles at 400 Hz .


Fig. 11. $\hat{i} / \hat{d}$ with complex lhp zeros at 220 Hz . $\mathrm{C}=10 \mu \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F}=200 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=10 \mathrm{~V}$.

All results reported up to this point were taken with $\Phi_{T}$ (Fig. 4) set at zero. Favorable dynamics, however, may exist in $0 f b$ frames with proper non-zero $\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}$. This claim is verified for $\hat{v}_{r} / \hat{\omega} \mid-\Phi_{T}=55^{\circ}$ in Fig. 12. Accentuating the graph are the sharp glitches owing to the complex lhp zeros. The ensuing single-slope roll-off is a reward for having selected the optimal frame of reference.


Fig. 12. Advantage of non-zero $\Phi_{T}$ : complex lhp zeros in $\hat{v}_{r} / \hat{\omega}$ at $-\Phi_{T}=55^{\circ}$. same condition as in Fig. 11.

As has been demonstrated, theory and experiment agree remarkably well in describing steady-state as well as dynamic performances of the flyback dc-to-threephase converter. The validity of state-space averaging assisted by the ofb transformation is thus justified as a generalized, systematic analysis technique for the new class of dc-to-three-phase topologies.
6. CONCLUSION

A retrospective survey of the dc-to-ac inversion field has culminated in the discovery of a unified class of open-loop switching topologies that generate sinusoidal polyphase outputs from a dc input and easy-to-synthesize switching functions. As an example, a straightforward, logical algorithm is developed to synthesize the flyback dc-to-three-phase converter from its dc-to-dc counterpart. The resulting circuit, delineated in Fig. 5, retains the single energy transfer inductor and filters the switched current by three output capacitors. Two multiple-throw switches, realized by seven transistors and diodes, apply the inductor across the input and output voltages in the topology; their sinusoidal duty ratio modulations can have independent amplitudes and phases.

The new converter inverts power over a broad frequency range, starting from dc and approaching approximately a decade below the switching frequency. Steady-state analysis of the system in the stationary abc reference frame confirms zero-averaged sinusoidal threephase capacitor voltages as well as de inductor current. Dc inductor current, in turn, prevents any cross-over distortion in practical circuits. The steady-state voltage phasor exhibits an interesting "rhp zero" behavior to maintain volt-second balance across the inductor at higher inversion frequencies. Additional features include isolation and voltage step up/down capabilities.

Dynamic analysis is carried out in the rotating ofb coordinate system in which all steady-state outputs appear as real (inductor current) or complex (capacitor voltages) constants. In practical situations where the converter frequency is sufficiently low, the real pole coincides with the RC corner while the complex ones originate from an effective LC combination dependent on the modulation amplitude. The zeros in general vary with loading, modulation amplitude, and most pronouncedly, modulation frequency. They are found in both right and left halves of the s-plane. The banduidth of the system is generally wide owing to fast switching frequency. Proper choice of phase for the ofb reference frame promises more optimal frequency responses.

Extension of this treatment to a motor load is straightforward: the conventional dynamic equations of the machine can be appended to the state-space averaged equations of the converter in a suitable reference frame. The smaller values of the reactive elements of the converter, as compared to those of the motor, usually simplify the analysis by decoupling the various modes of response.

The analysis techniques presented in this paper certainly apply to the buck, boost, etc., dc-to-three-phase converters as well. The topologies themselves can also be extended to other areas of energy conversion, the most trivial example being polyphase-to-dc rectification. The study of these generalized topics invites further discussion in the future.
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