

## A NONCOMPLETELY CONTINUOUS OPERATOR ON $L_1(G)$ WHOSE RANDOM FOURIER TRANSFORM IS IN $c_0(\hat{G})$

N. GHOUSSOUB AND M. TALAGRAND

ABSTRACT. Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator from  $L_1(G, \lambda)$  into  $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , where  $(G, \lambda)$  is a compact abelian metric group with its Haar measure, and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space. Let  $(\mu_\omega)$  be the random measure on  $G$  associated to  $T$ ; that is,  $Tf(\omega) = \int_G f(t) d\mu_\omega(t)$  for each  $f$  in  $L_1(G)$ .

We show that, unlike the ideals of representable and Kalton operators, there is no subideal  $B$  of  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  such that  $T$  is completely continuous if and only if  $\mu_\omega \in B$  for almost  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ . We actually exhibit a noncompletely continuous operator  $T$  such that  $\mu_\omega \in l_{2+\varepsilon}(\hat{G})$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**I. Random measures associated to operators on  $L_1$ .** Let  $K$  be a separable compact Hausdorff space,  $\lambda$  a Radon probability on  $K$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  a probability space. Denote by  $\mathcal{M}(K)$  the space of all Radon measures on  $K$ . We will call *random measure* on  $K$  every measurable map  $\omega \rightarrow \mu_\omega$  from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  into  $\mathcal{M}(K)$  when  $\mathcal{M}(K)$  is equipped with the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}(K), C(K))$  topology.

The starting point of this paper is the following disintegration theorem established by Kalton [3] and Fakhoury [2].

(a) If  $T$  is a bounded linear operator from  $L_1(K, \lambda)$  into  $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , then there exists an essentially unique random measure  $(\mu_\omega)$  verifying the following properties:

(i) Each  $f$  in  $L_1(K, \lambda)$  belongs to  $L_1(K, |\mu_\omega|)$  for  $P$  almost all  $\omega$ .

(ii)  $Tf(\omega) = \int_K f(t) d\mu_\omega(t)$  for  $P$  almost all  $\omega$ .

(iii)  $\int |\mu_\omega| dP(\omega) \leq M \cdot \lambda$ , where  $M = \|T\|$ .

(b) Conversely, every random measure  $(\mu_\omega)$  verifying (i) and (iii) defines uniquely an operator  $T$  from  $L_1(K, \lambda)$  into  $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  verifying (ii).

One can easily see that the lattice properties of the operators are compatible with the lattice properties of the random measures associated to them; that is, if  $T = T^+ - T^-$  is the canonical decomposition of  $T$  into its positive and negative parts, then  $T^+$  and  $T^-$  are given by the random measures  $(\mu_\omega^+)$  and  $(\mu_\omega^-)$  associated to  $(\mu_\omega)$  by the Hahn-decomposition.

This representation allows us to associate to each order ideal  $B$  in  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  an order ideal of operators  $\mathcal{L}_B(L_1(K), L_1(\Omega))$  in  $\mathcal{L}(L_1, L_1)$  via the formula

$$T \in \mathcal{L}_B \text{ iff } \mu_\omega \in B \text{ for almost all } \omega.$$

In this paper, we investigate whether a reasonable order ideal of operators  $V$  in  $\mathcal{L}(L_1, L_1)$  can be characterized in terms of its associated random measures belonging in a suitable order ideal in  $\mathcal{M}(K)$ . A positive answer was given by Fakhoury [2] in the case of the space of *representable operators* (i.e. the operators  $T$  such that there exists a Bochner integrable function  $\varphi: K \rightarrow L_1(\Omega)$

---

Received by the editors March 15, 1983 and, in revised form, October 12, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47G05; Secondary 47B10.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

with  $Tf = \int_K f \cdot \varphi(t) d\lambda(t)$  for all  $f$  in  $L_1(K)$ . Fakhoury shows that  $T$  is representable if and only if  $\mu_\omega$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  for almost all  $\omega \cdot (\mu_\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{ac}(K))$ . Moreover, Kalton [3] proved that an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(L_1(K), L_1(\Omega))$  does not preserve a nontrivial projection band of  $L_1(K)$  ( $T$  is a non-Kalton operator) if and only if for almost all  $\omega, \mu_\omega$  is a continuous measure on  $K$  ( $\mu_\omega \in \mathcal{M}_c(K)$ ).

Several subideals of  $\mathcal{M}(K)$  can be defined if one assumes that  $K$  is a compact abelian group  $G$ . For instance, let  $\mathcal{M}_{sc}(G)$  be the space of *strongly continuous* measures on  $G$ : these are the bounded regular Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $G$  such that  $|\mu|(g + H) = 0$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ , and all closed subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is infinite. Let  $\mathcal{M}_0(G)$  be the ideal of measures whose Fourier transforms go to zero at infinity. Following Rosenthal [4], we also define  $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(G)$ , the space of  $\Lambda$ -measures: these are the measures  $\mu$  such that for each  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $p > 2$  such that  $H = \{\gamma; \gamma \in \hat{G}, |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| > \delta\}$  is a  $\Lambda_p$  set (i.e. the  $L_p$  and the  $L_1$  norms are equivalent on the linear span of the characters in  $H$ ). Note that

$$\mathcal{M}_{ac}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_0(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{sc}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_c(G).$$

One can define the corresponding order ideals of operators on  $L_1(G)$  via the above representation.

Recall that an operator  $T: L_1(G) \rightarrow L_1(\Omega)$  is said to be a *completely continuous operator* or a *Dunford-Pettis operator* (resp. an *Enflo operator*) if  $T(\text{Ball}(L_\infty(G)))$  is norm compact (resp. if there exists a subspace  $X$  of  $L_1(G)$ , isomorphic to  $L_1$ , such that  $T|_X$  is an isomorphism). It is well known [4] that a convolution operator  $T_\mu$  on  $L_1(G)$  is Dunford-Pettis if and only if  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(G)$  and  $T_\mu$  is a non-Enflo operator whenever  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(G)$ . In the following, we shall prove that the random analogues to these properties do not hold, and that unlike the representable and the Kalton operators, there is no ideal in  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  which can characterize the Dunford-Pettis and the non-Enflo operators.

We shall need the following fact [1]: If  $(X_n)$  is a sequence of mean zero Bernoulli random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  then

$$(*) \quad P \left[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^m X_i \right| > \lambda \right] < e^{-2\lambda^2/m} \quad \text{for each } 0 \leq \lambda \leq m/2.$$

LEMMA. For each  $m$ , there exists a nonnegligible set  $A_m$  in  $\{-1, 1\}^m$  such that  $|\int_{A_m} \chi d\lambda| \leq 2\sqrt{m} \cdot 2^{-m/2}$  for each character  $\chi$  of  $\{0, 1\}^m$  which is not the identity.

PROOF. Let  $\{\chi_k; 1 \leq k \leq 2^m\}$  be an enumeration of the characters. For each  $k$ , write  $\chi_k = \chi_{B_k^1} - \chi_{B_k^2}$ , where  $B_k^i$  is the complement of  $B_k^1$ , and let  $\{x_j^i; 1 \leq j \leq 2^{m-1}\}$  be an enumeration for the elements of each set  $B_k^i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

Define now, on the probability space of the subsets of  $\{0, 1\}^m$  (which can be identified with  $\{0, 1\}^{2m}$ ), the following Bernoulli random variables:

$$X_{x_j}^i(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_j \notin B_k^i \cap A, \\ 1 & \text{if } x_j \in B_k^i \cap A, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We get from (\*) that

$$P \left( A; \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^{m-1}} X_{x_j}^i(A) - 2^{m-2} \right| > \alpha 2^{(m-1)/2} \right) < e^{-2\alpha^2}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

whenever  $0 < \alpha \leq 2^{m-3/2}$ . In other words if we denote by  $\Omega_k^i$  the set

$$\{A; |\text{card}(A \cap B_k^i) - 2^{m-2}| \leq \alpha 2^{m-1/2}\},$$

we have

$$P\left(\bigcap_{\substack{k=1 \\ i=1,2}}^{2^m} \Omega_k^i\right) \geq 1 - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ i=1,2}}^{2^m} P([\Omega_k^i]) \geq 1 - 2^{m+1} \cdot e^{-2\alpha^2}.$$

If we take  $\alpha = \sqrt{m}$ , we get that there exists  $A_m$ , such that for each  $\{B_k^i; i = 1, 2, 1 \leq k \leq 2^m\}$ ,

$$(**) \quad |\text{card}(A_m \cap B_k^i) - 2^{m-2}| \leq \sqrt{m} \cdot 2^{m/2}.$$

Let  $\chi_k = \chi_{B^1} - \chi_{B^2}$ ; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{A_m} \chi_k \, d\lambda \right| &= 2^{-m} [|\text{card}(A_m \cap B_k^1) - \text{card}(A_m \cap B_k^2)|] \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 2^{-m} \cdot \sqrt{m} \cdot 2^{m/2} = 2\sqrt{m} \cdot 2^{-m/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can prove the following

**THEOREM.** *There exists a compact abelian group  $G$  and an Enflo operator  $T: L_1(G) \rightarrow L_1(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$  such that the Fourier transform of the random measure associated to  $T$  is in  $l^{2+\varepsilon}$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

**PROOF.** For each  $m$ , define the random measure  $\mu^m: \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}^m$  by  $\mu_0^m = \lambda_{A_m} / \lambda(A_m)$  and  $\mu_1^m = \lambda_{A_m^c} / \lambda(A_m^c)$ , where  $\lambda_B$  denotes the restriction of the Haar measure on  $\{-1, 1\}^m$  on the set  $B$ .

Let now  $G$  be the group  $\prod_m \{-1, 1\}^m$  and define the random measure  $\mu: \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow G$  by  $\mu_{(x_1, x_2, \dots)} = \bigotimes_m \mu_{x(m)}^m$ .

Let  $T$  be the operator from  $L_1(G) \rightarrow L_1\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , defined by

$$Tf(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \int_G f(t) \, d\mu_{(x_1, x_2, \dots)}(t).$$

To prove that  $T$  is bounded, it is enough to notice that for each set  $A$  in  $G$ , we have

$$\int \mu_{(x_1, x_2, \dots)}(A) \, d\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \mu(A),$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Haar measure on  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on  $G = \prod_m \{-1, 1\}^m$ . For each finite set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_F = \{\chi; \chi = \prod_{k \in F} \chi^k$  and  $\chi^k$  is a character on  $\{-1, 1\}^k$  which is different from one}. Note that

$$\sum_{\chi \in A_F} |TX|^{2+\varepsilon} \leq 2^{L_F} \cdot \left( \prod_{k \in F} 2\sqrt{k} 2^{-k/2} \right)^{2+\varepsilon} \leq \prod_{k \in F} (4k)^{1+\varepsilon/2} \cdot 2^{-\varepsilon k/2},$$

where  $L_F = \sum_{k \in F} k$ .

Therefore, for each  $q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\text{card}(F)=q} \sum_{\chi \in A_F} |T\chi|^{2+\varepsilon} &\leq \sum_{\text{card}(F)=q} \prod_{k \in F} (4k)^{1+\varepsilon/2} \cdot 2^{-\varepsilon k/2} \\ &\leq (q!)^{-1} \left( \sum_k (4k)^{1+\varepsilon/2} \cdot 2^{-\varepsilon k/2} \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\sum_{\chi} |T\chi|^{2+\varepsilon} \leq \exp \left( \sum_k (4k)^{1+\varepsilon/2} \cdot 2^{-\varepsilon k/2} \right) < \infty \quad \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Hence for each  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  in  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_x \in l_{2+\varepsilon}(\hat{G})$ .

Now let  $B_m = \{(y_n) \in \prod_n \{-1, 1\}^n; y_m \in A_m\}$ . Note that

$$TX_{B_m}(x) = \mu_{x_m}^m(A_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_m = 1, \end{cases}$$

from which follows that  $T$  is not a Dunford-Pettis operator. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\{B_m; m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and if we let  $S$  be the operator  $T$  restricted to  $L_1[G, \mathcal{F}]$ , one can easily see that the random measures  $\nu_{(x_1, x_2, \dots)}$  associated to  $S$  are Dirac measures, which implies that  $S$  is a Kalton operator and that  $T$  is an Enflo operator.

**COROLLARY.** *There is no subset  $B$  and  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  such that the ideal of Dunford-Pettis operators (or the non-Enflo operators) is equal to  $\mathcal{L}_B(L_1(G))$ .*

**PROOF.** If there exists such a  $B$  for the Dunford-Pettis operators (resp. non-Enflo operators) then by considering convolution operators we must have  $M_0(G) \subseteq B$  (resp.  $M_\Lambda(G) \subseteq B$ ). But the above example shows the existence of an Enflo operator  $T$  such that the corresponding random measures belong to  $M_0(G)$  and hence to  $B$ , which is a contradiction.

**REMARK.** There exists a Dunford-Pettis operator on  $L_1$  of a group  $G$  such that none of the random measures associated to it belongs to  $M_0(G)$ . For that, it is enough to take  $G = \prod_n \{-1, 1\}^{\{0,1\}^n}$  and, for each  $s$  in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , let  $A_s^n = \{y \in \{-1, 1\}^{\{0,1\}^n}; y(s) = 1\}$  and  $\nu_s^n = 2\mu_{A_s^n}^n$  (the restriction of the Haar measure  $\mu^n$  of  $\{-1, 1\}^{\{0,1\}^n}$  on the set  $A_s^n$ ). We leave it to the reader to check that the operator  $T: L_1(G) \rightarrow L_1\{\prod_n \{0, 1\}^n\}$  associated to the random measure  $\nu: \prod_n \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$  defined by  $\nu(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \bigotimes_n \nu_n^{x_n}$  verifies the claimed properties.

REFERENCES

1. H. Chernoff, *A measure of asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations*, Ann. Math. Statist **23** (1952), 493–509.
2. H. Fakhoury, *Représentations d'opérateurs à valeurs dans  $L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$* , Math Ann. **240** (1979), 203–213.
3. N. Kalton, *The endomorphisms of  $L_p$  ( $0 < p \leq 1$ )*, preprint, 1979.
4. H. P. Rosenthal, *Convolution by a biased coin*, The Altgeld book 1975/1976, University of Illinois Functional Analysis Seminar.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA V6T 1W5

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI, JUSSIEU, FRANCE