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#### Abstract

Motivated by the well-posedness results in [Nonlinear Anal. Ser. B: RWA. 4(3) (2003), 483-501; Nonlinear Anal. Ser. B: RWA. 11(5) (2010), 3453-3462] for the models describing the propagation of high frequency electromagnetic waves in nonlinear dielectric media, because of their mathematical context, we study a similar model and prove results about existence, uniqueness, the asymptotic behavior and an asymptotic expansion of the solution up to order N in a small parameter $\lambda$ with error $\lambda^{N+\frac{1}{2}}$.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following problem:

[^0]Find a pair $(u, P)$ of functions satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+\alpha(x) u_{t}+\beta(x) P_{t t}(x, t)=f(x, t), 0<x<1,0<t<T,  \tag{1.1}\\
u_{x}(0, t)=h u(0, t)+\lambda u_{t}(0, t), u(1, t)=0, \\
u(x, 0)=\tilde{u}_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=\tilde{u}_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h \geq 0, \lambda>0$ are given constants and $\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{u}_{1}, f, \alpha, \beta$ are given functions satisfying conditions specified later, and the unknown functions $u(x, t)$ and $P(x, t)$ satisfy the following integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x, t)=\tilde{P}_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} g(x, t-s) G(u(x, s), P(x, s)) d s \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<x<1,0<t<T$, where $g, G, \tilde{P}_{0}$ are given functions. Problem (1.1), (1.2) may be considered as the generalizations of mathematical models of high frequency electromagnetic waves in nonlinear dielectric media given in [1], [4]. In [4], by using the Galerkin method, Y. Zaidan proved existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{t t}-E_{z z}+\alpha(z) E_{t}+\beta(z) P_{t t}(z, t)=f(z, t), 0<z<1,0<t<T  \tag{1.3}\\
P_{t}(z, t)=-G(P(z, t))+\gamma E(z, t), 0<z<1,0<t<T \\
E_{z}(0, t)=\lambda E_{t}(0, t), E(1, t)=0 \\
E(z, 0)=\widetilde{E}_{0}(z), E_{t}(z, 0)=\widetilde{E}_{1}(z), P(z, 0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda>0, \gamma$ are given constants and $\widetilde{E}_{0}, \widetilde{E}_{1}, f, G, \alpha, \beta$ are given functions. Problem (1.3) is a mathematical model describing the propagation of high frequency electromagnetic pulses in dielectric materials. It is realistic model that includes a nonlinear function of the polarization $P$ given by the nonlinear Debye equation, the electric field $E$ is polarized with oscillations in the xzplane only, an absorbing boundary condition is placed at $z=0$ to prevent the reflection of waves. In [1], Banks and Pinter also established well-posedness results for the following model describing the propagation of high-intensity electromagnetic waves in a nonlinear medium

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{t t}-E_{z z}+\alpha(z) E_{t}+\beta(z) P_{t t}(z, t)=f(z, t), 0<z<1,0<t<T  \tag{1.4}\\
E_{z}(0, t)=\lambda E_{t}(0, t), E(1, t)=0 \\
E(z, 0)=\widetilde{E}_{0}(z), E_{t}(z, 0)=\widetilde{E}_{1}(z)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z, t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(z, t-s)[E(x, s)+G(E(x, s))] d s \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is given constant and $\widetilde{E}_{0}, \widetilde{E}_{1}, g, G, k, \alpha, \beta$ are given functions.
$\mathrm{Eq}(1.5)$ is a representation of the polarization $P$ by a nonlinear convolution. This formulation can be interpreted as a generalization of the Debye or Lorentz
polarization models in the sense that the polarization dynamics is driven by a nonlinear function of the electric field $E$.

The original ideas in [1], [4] lead to the study of problem (1.1), (1.2) because of their mathematical context.

Applying the methods and techniques used in [5]-[8], we prove existence, uniqueness, asymptotic behavior and asymptotic expansion of the solution of problem (1.1), (1.2).

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents some required preliminaries. The existence and uniqueness of a weak solution to problem (1.1), (1.2) are given in Section 3. At first, by techniques used in [6] and [8], we associate with problem (1.1), (1.2) a linear recurrent sequence $\left\{\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)\right\}$ which is bounded in a suitable space of functions. Next, the proof is done by using the Galerkin method associated to a priori estimates, weak convergence and compactness techniques. Furthermore, based on the methods as in [5] and [7], the asymptotic behavior of solutions as $\lambda \rightarrow 0_{+}$and an asymptotic expansion of solutions up to order $N$ in a small parameter $\lambda$ with error $\lambda^{N+\frac{1}{2}}$ are also discussed in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. The results obtained here may be considered as the generalizations of those in [1], [4].

## 2. Preliminaries

Put $Q_{T}=(0,1) \times(0, T), T>0$. We denote the usual function spaces used in this paper by the notations $C^{m}[0,1], W^{m, p}=W^{m, p}(0,1), L^{p}=W^{0, p}(0,1)$, $H^{m}=W^{m, 2}(0,1), 1 \leq p \leq \infty, m=0,1, \cdots$. Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ be either the scalar product in $L^{2}$ or the dual pairing of a continuous linear functional and an element of a function space. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}}$ the norm in $L^{p}$, with $1 \leq$ $p \leq \infty, p \neq 2$. The notation $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the norm in $L^{2}$ and we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ the norm in the Banach space $X$. We call $X^{\prime}$ the dual space of $X$. We denote by $L^{p}(0, T ; X), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ for the Banach space of real functions $u:(0, T) \rightarrow X$ measurable, such that $\|u\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; X)}<+\infty$, with

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; X)}= \begin{cases}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{X}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}, & \text { if } 1 \leq p<\infty \\ \underset{0<t<T}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\|u(t)\|_{X}, & \text { if } p=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Let $u(t), u^{\prime}(t)=u_{t}(t)=\dot{u}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)=u_{t t}(t)=\ddot{u}(t), u_{x}(t)=\nabla u(t), u_{x x}(t)=$ $\Delta u(t)$, denote $u(x, t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t), \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}(x, t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, t), \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}(x, t)$, respectively. With $G \in C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), G=G(y, z)$, we put $D_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} G=\frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1} G}}{\partial y^{\alpha_{1}}}, D_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} G=\frac{\partial^{\alpha_{2}} G}{\partial z^{\alpha_{2}}}$, and $D^{\alpha} G=$ $D_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} D_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} G=\frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}}{\partial y^{\alpha_{1} \partial z^{\alpha_{2}}}}, \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2},|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \leq k ; D^{(0,0)} G=$ $D^{0} G=G$.

On $H^{1}$, we shall use the following norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{H^{1}}=\left(\|v\|^{2}+\left\|v_{x}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{gather*}
V=\left\{v \in H^{1}: v(1)=0\right\}  \tag{2.2}\\
a(u, v)=\int_{0}^{1} u_{x}(x) v_{x}(x) d x+h u(0) v(0), \text { for all } u, v \in V, h \geq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We remark that $V$ is a closed subspace of $H^{1}$ and three norms $\|v\|_{H^{1}}$, $\left\|v_{x}\right\|$ and $\|v\|_{V}=\sqrt{a(v, v)}$ are equivalent norms on $V$. So are the norms $v \longmapsto\|v\|_{H^{1}}, v \longmapsto\|v\|_{V}$ and $v \longmapsto\left\|v_{x}\right\|$ on $H_{0}^{1}$. Then the following lemmas are known.

Lemma 2.1. The imbedding $H^{1} \hookrightarrow C^{0}[0,1]$ is compact and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{C^{0}[0,1]} \leq \sqrt{2}\|v\|_{H^{1}} \text { for all } v \in H^{1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|v\|_{C^{0}[0,1]}=\sup _{x \in[0,1]}|v(x)|$.
Lemma 2.2. The imbedding $V \hookrightarrow C^{0}[0,1]$ is compact and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) } \quad\|v\|_{C^{0}[0,1]} \leq\left\|v_{x}\right\| \leq\|v\|_{V},  \tag{2.5}\\
\text { (ii) } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|v\|_{H^{1}} \leq\left\|v_{x}\right\| \leq\|v\|_{V} \leq \sqrt{1+h}\left\|v_{x}\right\| \leq \sqrt{1+h}\|v\|_{H^{1}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $v \in V$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{C^{0}[0,1]} \leq\left\|v_{x}\right\| \text { for all } v \in H_{0}^{1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $h \geq 0$. Then the symmetric bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined by (2.3) is continuous on $V \times V$ and coercive on $V$.

According to the definition of $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ and by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial t^{2}}(x, t)= & g(x, 0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(u(x, t), P(x, t))+g^{\prime}(x, 0) G(u(x, t), P(x, t))  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(x, t-s) G(u(x, s), P(x, s)) d s
\end{align*}
$$

we can define the weak solution of (1.1), (1.2) as follows.

Definition 2.4. We say that $(u, P)$ is a weak solution of (1.1), (1.2) if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u, P \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right), u_{t}, P_{t} \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; V), \\
& u_{t t}, P_{t t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right), u_{t t}(0, \cdot) \in L^{2}(0, T),
\end{aligned}
$$

and a pair $(u, P)$ satisfies the following variational equation

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\left\langle u_{t t}(t), v\right\rangle & +a(u(t), v)+\lambda u_{t}(0, t) v(0)+\left\langle\alpha u_{t}(t), v\right\rangle  \tag{2.8}\\
& +\left\langle\beta g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(u, P), v\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta g^{\prime}(0) G(u, P), v\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\beta \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(t-s) G(u(s), P(s)) d s, v\right\rangle=\langle f(t), v\rangle \\
P(x, t)= & \tilde{P}_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} g(x, t-s) G(u(x, s), P(x, s)) d s
\end{align*}\right.
$$

for all $v \in V$, a.e., $t \in(0, T)$ together with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=\tilde{u}_{0}, u_{t}(0)=\tilde{u}_{1} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Existence and uniqueness of a weak solution

Let $T^{*}>0$. We make the following assumptions:
$\left(H_{0}\right) h \geq 0, \lambda>0$;
$\left(H_{1}\right) \alpha, \beta \in L^{\infty}$;
$\left(H_{2}\right)\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{u}_{1}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \in\left(V \cap H^{2}\right) \times V \times\left(V \cap H^{2}\right)$;
$\left(H_{3}\right) f, f^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T^{*} ; L^{2}\right)$;
$\left(H_{4}\right) g \in H^{3}\left(Q_{T^{*}}\right) \cap L^{1}\left(0, T^{*} ; H^{2}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T^{*} ; L^{\infty}\right), g^{\prime}, g^{\prime \prime} \in L^{1}\left(0, T^{*} ; L^{2}\right)$;
$\left(H_{5}\right) G \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $G(0,0)=0$.
Let $M>0$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{M}(G)=\|G\|_{C^{2}\left([-M, M]^{2}\right)}=\sup _{(y, z) \in[-M, M]^{2}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2}\left|D^{\alpha} G(y, z)\right| . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $T \in\left(0, T^{*}\right]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{T}=\left\{u \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; V): u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; V), u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $X_{T}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{X_{T}}=\max \left\{\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)},\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)},\left\|v^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $T \in\left(0, T^{*}\right]$ and $M>0$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{T}(M)=\left\{v \in X_{T}:\|v\|_{X_{T}} \leq M\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall choose the first initial term $\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right) \equiv\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)$. Suppose that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{m-1}, P_{m-1} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right),  \tag{3.5}\\
\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and associate with problem (2.8), (2.9) the following problem:
Find $u_{m}, P_{m} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$ satisfying the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (i) } P_{m}(t)=\tilde{P}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right) d s,  \tag{3.6}\\
\text { (ii) }\left\langle u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t), v\right\rangle+a\left(u_{m}(t), v\right)+\lambda u_{m}^{\prime}(0, t) v(0)+\left\langle\alpha u_{m}^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle=\left\langle F_{m}(t), v\right\rangle, \\
\quad \text { for all } v \in V, \text { a.e., } t \in(0, T),
\end{array}\right.
$$

together with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(0)=\tilde{u}_{0}, \quad u_{m}^{\prime}(0)=\tilde{u}_{1}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{m}(t)= & f(t)-\beta g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)-\beta g^{\prime}(0) G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& -\beta \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(t-s) G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $\left(H_{0}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$ hold and the initial data $\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{u}_{1}\right) \in$ $\left(V \cap H^{2}\right) \times V$ satisfy the compatibility condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{0 x}(0)=h \tilde{u}_{0}(0)+\lambda \tilde{u}_{1}(0) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist positive constants $M, T>0$ such that, for $\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right) \equiv\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)$, there exists a recurrent sequence $\left\{\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)\right\}$ defined by (3.6)-(3.8) and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}, P_{m} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right), \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof consists of two parts.
Part 1. We show that there exist positive constants $M, T>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we need the following lemma, its proof will be presented in the appendix.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose that (3.5) holds. Then
(i) $\left\|G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq K_{M}(G)$,
(ii) $\left\|G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\left\|G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+2 T M K_{M}(G)$,
(iii) $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 2 M K_{M}(G)$,
(iv) $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\|$

$$
\leq\left\|D_{1} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}+D_{2} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) g(0) G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|
$$

$$
+2 T M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G),
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (v) }\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \leq 2 M K_{M}(G), \\
& \text { (vi) }\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|+2 T M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G),  \tag{3.12}\\
& \text { (vii) }\left\|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \leq 2 M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G), \\
& \text { (viii) }\left\|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq K_{M}(G)\left[4 \sqrt{2} M^{2}+(1+2 \sqrt{2} M)\left(\left\|\Delta u_{m-1}(t)\right\|+\left\|\Delta P_{m-1}(t)\right\|\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we computing partial derivatives of $P_{m}(x, t): P_{m x}(t), P_{m}^{\prime}(t), P_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)$, $P_{m x}^{\prime}(t), P_{m x x}(t)$ and note

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{m-1}(1, s) & =P_{m-1}(1, s)=G(0,0)=0, \\
P_{m}(1, t)= & \tilde{P}_{0}(1)+\int_{0}^{t} g(1, t-s) G\left(u_{m-1}(1, s), P_{m-1}(1, s)\right) d s=0, \\
P_{m}^{\prime}(1, t)= & g(1,0) G\left(u_{m-1}(1, t), P_{m-1}(1, t)\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(1, t-s) G\left(u_{m-1}(1, s), P_{m-1}(1, s)\right) d s=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it is clear that $\left(H_{4}\right),\left(H_{5}\right)$ and (3.5) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m} \in X_{T} \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the following estimates are valid
(ix) $\left\|P_{m x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}$

$$
\leq\left\|\tilde{P}_{0 x}\right\|+K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|g_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+2 M\|g\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}\right],
$$

(x) $\left\|P_{m x}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \left\|g_{x}(0)\right\|\left\|G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& +2 T M K_{M}(G)\left(\left\|g_{x}(0)\right\|+(1+2 M)\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

(xi) $\left\|P_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}$

$$
\leq\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|D_{1} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}+D_{2} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) g(0) G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|
$$

$$
+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|\left\|G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

$$
+K_{M}(G)\left[2 T M\left((1+2 M)\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|\right)+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}\right],
$$

hence we can choose $T>0$ small enough and $M>0$ sufficiently large such that $\left\|P_{m}\right\|_{X_{T}} \leq M$. Thus $P_{m} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$.
Part 2. We prove that there exists $u_{m} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$ satisfying $\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M$. It consists of three steps.
Step 1: The Faedo-Galerkin approximation (introduced by Lions [3]).
Let $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ be a denumerable base of $V \cap H^{2}$. We find an approximate solution of problem (2.8), (2.9) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}^{(k)}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{m j}^{(k)}(t) w_{j} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{m j}^{(k)}$ satisfy the following system of linear differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle+a\left(u_{m}^{(k)}(t), w_{j}\right)+\lambda \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, t) w_{j}(0)+\left\langle\alpha \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle  \tag{3.16}\\
\quad=\left\langle F_{m}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle, 1 \leq j \leq k \\
u_{m}^{(k)}(0)=\tilde{u}_{0}, \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)=\tilde{u}_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By (3.5), system (3.16) has a unique solution $c_{m j}^{(k)}(t), 1 \leq j \leq k$ on $[0, T]$, let us omit the details (see [2]).
Step 2. A priori estimates.
For all $j=1,2, \ldots k$, multiplying $(3.16)_{1}$ by $\dot{c}_{m j}^{(k)}(t)$, summing on $j$, and integrating with respect to the time variable from 0 to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m}^{(k)}(t)=-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\alpha \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle F_{m}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m}^{(k)}(t)=\left\|\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right\|^{2}+a\left(u_{m}^{(k)}(t), u_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right)+2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by differentiating (3.16) $)_{1}$ with respect to $t$ and substituting $w_{j}=$ $\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t)$, after integrating with respect to the time variable from 0 to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{m}^{(k)}(t)=-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\alpha \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle F_{m}^{\prime}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{m}^{(k)}(t)=\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right\|^{2}+a\left(\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t)\right)+2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t)=X_{m}^{(k)}(t)+Y_{m}^{(k)}(t) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, it follows from (3.17)-(3.21), that

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t)= & S_{m}^{(k)}(0)-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left\langle\alpha \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle\alpha \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle\right] d s \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left\langle F_{m}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s+\left\langle F_{m}^{\prime}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle\right] d s  \tag{3.22}\\
= & S_{m}^{(k)}(0)+I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall estimate the integrals on the right hands of (3.22) as follows. Using $\left(H_{1}\right),(3.18),(3.20)$ and (3.21) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & =-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left\langle\alpha \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle\alpha \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle\right] d s \\
& \leq 2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) d s  \tag{3.23}\\
& \leq 2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t} S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2} & =2 \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left\langle F_{m}(s), \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle d s+\left\langle F_{m}^{\prime}(s), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s)\right\rangle\right] d s  \tag{3.24}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\| d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left\|F_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|\right) S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

We need estimate $\int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s$. By (3.8) and (3.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{m}(t)\right\| \\
& \leq\|f(t)\|+K_{M}(G)\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\left[2 M\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0 . T ; L^{2}\right)}\right] \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \leq \Phi_{M}^{(1)}(T) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{M}^{(1)}(T)= & 2\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+2 T\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} K_{M}^{2}(G) \\
& \times\left[2 M\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T^{*} ; L^{2}\right)}\right]^{2} . \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate $\int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\| d s$. By (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{m}^{\prime}(t) \\
& =f^{\prime}(t)-\beta g(0) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)-\beta g^{\prime}(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)  \tag{3.28}\\
& \quad-\beta g^{\prime \prime}(0) G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)-\beta \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(t-s) G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|F_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq & \left\|f^{\prime}(t)\right\|+\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} K_{M}(G)\left[2 M(1+2 M)\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\right. \\
& \left.+2 M\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0 . T^{*} ; L^{2}\right)}\right] . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\| d s \leq \Phi_{M}^{(2)}(T) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{M}^{(2)}(T)= & \left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+T\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} K_{M}(G)\left[2 M(1+2 M)\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\right. \\
& \left.+2 M\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0 . T^{*} ; L^{2}\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2} \leq \Phi_{M}^{(1)}(T)+\Phi_{M}^{(2)}(T)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left\|F_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|\right) S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to estimate $S_{m}^{(k)}(0)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(0)=\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|^{2}+a\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{u}_{0}\right)+a\left(\tilde{u}_{1}, \tilde{u}_{1}\right)+\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, letting $t \rightarrow 0_{+}$in (3.16) ${ }_{1}$, multiplying the result by $\ddot{c}_{m j}^{(k)}(0)$ and using the compatibility (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left\langle-\tilde{u}_{0 x x}+\alpha \tilde{u}_{1}, \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)\right\rangle=\left\langle F_{m}(0), \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)\right\rangle, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)\right\| \leq\left\|-\tilde{u}_{0 x x}+\alpha \tilde{u}_{1}\right\|+\left\|F_{m}(0)\right\| . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{m}(0)\right\| \\
& \leq\|f(0)\|+\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}} \| D_{1} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}  \tag{3.35}\\
& \quad+D_{2} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) g(0) G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\|+\| \beta\left\|_{L^{\infty}}\right\| g^{\prime}(0)\| \| G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \|_{L^{\infty}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)\right\| \leq\left\|-\tilde{u}_{0 x x}+\alpha \tilde{u}_{1}\right\|+\left\|F_{m}(0)\right\| \leq \bar{C}_{01} \text { for all } m, \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{C}_{01}$ is a constant depending only on $\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{u}_{1}, \tilde{P}_{0}, \alpha, \beta, g, f, G$.
By (3.32) and (3.36) then there exists a positive constant $\bar{C}_{02}$ depending only on $\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{u}_{1}, \tilde{P}_{0}, \alpha, \beta, f, g, h$ and $G$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(0) \leq \bar{C}_{02}, \text { for all } m \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.22), (3.23), (3.31) and (3.37), that

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq & \bar{C}_{02}+\Phi_{M}^{(1)}(T)+\Phi_{M}^{(2)}(T)  \tag{3.38}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|F_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|\right) S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$ and (3.27), (3.30) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow 0_{+}} \Phi_{M}^{(1)}(T)=\lim _{T \rightarrow 0_{+}} \Phi_{M}^{(2)}(T)=0 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, with $M, T>0$ chosen in Part 1 , it can be seen that $M^{2} \geq 2 \bar{C}_{02}$ and $T \in\left(0, T^{*}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2} M^{2}+\Phi_{M}^{(1)}(T)+\Phi_{M}^{(2)}(T)\right) \leq M^{2} \exp \left[-T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)-\Phi_{M}^{(2)}(T)\right] \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{T}=5 d(M, T) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right]<1, \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d(M, T)=\sqrt{T d_{1}^{2}(M, T)+d_{2}^{2}(M, T)+d_{3}^{2}(M, T)}, \\
d_{1}(M, T)=\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} K_{M}(G)\left[(1+2 M)\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}\right], \\
d_{2}(M, T)=K_{M}(G)\left[T\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}\right], \\
d_{3}(M, T)=K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|g_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+(1+2 M)\left\|g_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}\right] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to (3.38) and (3.40), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq & M^{2} \exp \left[-T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)-\Phi_{M}^{(2)}(T)\right]  \tag{3.42}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|F_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|\right) S_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

By using Gronwall's lemma, the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}^{(k)}(t) \leq M^{2}, \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T], \quad \text { for all } m \text { and } k . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for all $m$ and $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}^{(k)} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right), \quad \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Limiting process.
We deduce from (3.44) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|u_{m}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M, \quad\left\|\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M,  \tag{3.45}\\
\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \leq M, \\
\left\|\ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{2 \lambda}}, \quad \text { for all } m \text { and } k .
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (3.46), there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{m}^{(k)}\right\}_{k}$, it is still so denoted, such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{m}^{(k)} \rightarrow u_{m} & \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}(0, T ; V) \text { weak* }  \tag{3.46}\\ \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)} \rightarrow w_{m}^{(1)} & \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}(0, T ; V) \text { weak* } \\ \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)} \rightarrow w_{m}^{(2)} & \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \text { weak }^{*}, \\ \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow \bar{w}_{m}(\cdot) & \text { in } \quad L^{2}(0, T) \text { weak }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M, \quad\left\|w_{m}^{(1)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M,  \tag{3.47}\\
\left\|w_{m}^{(2)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \leq M, \\
\left\|\bar{w}_{m}(\cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{2 \lambda}}, \text { for all } m \text { and } k .
\end{array}\right.
$$

First we show that $w_{m}^{(1)}=u_{m}^{\prime}, w_{m}^{(2)}=u_{m}^{\prime \prime}$, in $V$ and $\bar{w}_{m}(\cdot)=u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)$ in $L^{2}(0, T)$.

For each $m, k$ we have that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{m}^{(k)}(t)=u_{m}^{(k)}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s  \tag{3.48}\\
\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(t)=\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(s) d s \\
\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, t)=\dot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0,0)+\int_{0}^{t} \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, s) d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

By (3.46), passing to the limit in (3.48) ${ }_{1,2}$ with sense of "weak*" and in (3.48) ${ }_{3}$ with sense of "weak", we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{m}(t)=\tilde{u}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} w_{m}^{(1)}(s) d s  \tag{3.49}\\
u_{m}^{\prime}(t)=\tilde{u}_{1}+\int_{0}^{t} w_{m}^{(2)}(s) d s \\
u_{m}^{\prime}(0, t)=\tilde{u}_{1}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \bar{w}_{m}(s) d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

where (3.49) ${ }_{1,2}$ hold in $V$ for each $t \in[0, T]$. Thus (3.49 $)_{1,2}$ imply that $w_{m}^{(1)}=$ $u_{m}^{\prime}, w_{m}^{(2)}=u_{m}^{\prime \prime}$, while from $(3.49)_{3}$ we can conclude that $u_{m}^{\prime}(0, t)$ exists and it is continuous in $t$. Therefore $u_{m}^{\prime}(0, t)$ is absolutely continuous in $[0, T]$, so $\bar{w}_{m}(t)=u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0, t)$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.

Consequently, (3.46) and (3.47) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m} \in B_{T}(M), \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}u_{m}^{(k)} \rightarrow u_{m} & \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}(0, T ; V) \text { weak }^{*},  \tag{3.51}\\ \dot{u}_{m}^{(k)} \rightarrow u_{m}^{\prime} & \text { in } L^{\infty}(0, T ; V) \text { weak }^{*}, \\ \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)} \rightarrow u_{m}^{\prime \prime} & \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \text { weak }^{*}, \\ \ddot{u}_{m}^{(k)}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot) & \text { in } L^{2}(0, T) \text { weak. }\end{cases}
$$

Passing to limit in (3.16), we have $u_{m}$ satisfying (3.6) ${ }_{(i i)},(3.7)$ in $L^{2}(0, T)$.
On the other hand, it follows from $(3.6)_{(i i)},(3.8)$ and $(3.51)_{3}$ that

$$
u_{m x x}=u_{m}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha(x) u_{m}^{\prime}-F_{m}(t) \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right),
$$

hence $u_{m} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$, Theorem 3.1 follows.
Theorem 3.3. Let assumptions $\left(H_{0}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$ and (3.9) hold. Then
(i) There exist positive constants $M$ and $T$ such that problem (2.8), (2.9) has a unique solution $(u, P)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u, P \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right), \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) On the other hand, the linear recurrent sequence $\left\{\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)\right\}$ defined by (3.6)-(3.8) converges to the solution $(u, P)$ of problem (2.8), (2.9) strongly in the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{1}(T)=\left\{(u, P) \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; V \times V):\left(u^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right) \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2} \times L^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{m}-u\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}+\left\|P_{m}-P\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}+\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \\
& +\left\|P_{m}^{\prime}-P^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)-u^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq C k_{T}^{m}, \tag{3.54}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where the constant $k_{T} \in(0,1)$ is defined as in (3.41) and $C$ is a constant depending only on $T, \tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{u}_{1}, \tilde{P}_{0}, \alpha, \beta, f, g, G$ and $k_{T}$.

Proof. (i) Existence of the solution.
First, we note that $W_{1}(T)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm (see Lions [3]) below

$$
\begin{align*}
\|(u, P)\|_{W_{1}(T)}= & \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}+\|P\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}  \tag{3.55}\\
& +\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall prove that $\left\{\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_{1}(T)$. Let $v_{m}=$ $u_{m+1}-u_{m}, Q_{m}=P_{m+1}-P_{m}$. Then $\left(v_{m}, Q_{m}\right)$ satisfies the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{m}(t)=P_{m+1}(t)-P_{m}(t)  \tag{3.56}\\
=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\left[G\left(u_{m}(s), P_{m}(s)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right] d s, \\
\left\langle v_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t), v\right\rangle+a\left(v_{m}(t), v\right)+\lambda v_{m}^{\prime}(0, t) v(0)+\left\langle\alpha v_{m}^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle F_{m+1}(t)-F_{m}(t), v\right\rangle, \forall v \in V, \\
v_{m}(0)=v_{m}^{\prime}(0)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{m+1}(t)-F_{m}(t) \\
& =-\beta g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\beta g^{\prime}(0)\left[G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right]  \tag{3.57}\\
& \quad-\beta \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(t-s)\left[G\left(u_{m}(s), P_{m}(s)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right] d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $v=v_{m}^{\prime}$ in (3.56) $)_{2}$, after integrating in $t$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{m}(t) \leq\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m+1}(s)-F_{m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{m}(t)=\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+a\left(v_{m}(t), v_{m}(t)\right)+2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|v_{m}^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\eta_{m}(t)= & Z_{m}(t)+\left\|Q_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{m x}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.60}\\
\bar{\eta}_{m}(t)= & \left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{m x}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{m x}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|v_{m}^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s, \\
\gamma_{m}= & \left\|\left(v_{m}, Q_{m}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}+\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{m}(t)=\bar{\eta}_{m}(t)+h v_{m}^{2}(0, t) \geq \bar{\eta}_{m}(t) . \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need estimate $\int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{m+1}(s)-F_{m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\| & F_{m+1}(t)-F_{m}(t) \| \\
\leq & \|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right]\right\| \\
& +\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|\left\|G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}  \tag{3.62}\\
& +\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(t-s)\right\| \\
& \times\left\|G\left(u_{m}(s), P_{m}(s)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} d s .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall estimate the terms on the right hands of (3.62) as follows. From the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right] \\
& =D_{1} G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right) v_{m-1}^{\prime}+\left[D_{1} G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right] u_{m-1}^{\prime}  \tag{3.63}\\
& \quad+D_{2} G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right) Q_{m-1}^{\prime}+\left[D_{2} G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right] P_{m-1}^{\prime},
\end{align*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right]\right\| \\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left\|v_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|D_{1} G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad+K_{M}(G)\left\|Q_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|D_{2} G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right\|\left\|P_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left\|v_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|+M K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|v_{m-1}\right\|+\left\|Q_{m-1}\right\|\right]  \tag{3.64}\\
& \quad+K_{M}(G)\left\|Q_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|+M K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|v_{m-1}\right\|+\left\|Q_{m-1}\right\|\right] \\
& =K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|v_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|Q_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|\right]+2 M K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|v_{m-1}\right\|+\left\|Q_{m-1}\right\|\right] \\
& \leq(1+2 M) K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|v_{m-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|Q_{m-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right]  \tag{3.65}\\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(t-s)\right\|\left\|G\left(u_{m}(s), P_{m}(s)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} d s \\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(t-s)\right\| d s \\
& =K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\| d s  \tag{3.66}\\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we deduce from (3.62)-(3.66) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{m+1}(t)-F_{m}(t)\right\| \\
& \leq\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right]\right\| \\
& \quad+\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|\left\|G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \quad+\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(t-s)\right\|\left\|G\left(u_{m}(s), P_{m}(s)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} d s \\
& \leq\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}(1+2 M) K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \\
& \quad+\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\| K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}  \tag{3.67}\\
& \quad+\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \\
& =\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} K_{M}(G)\left[\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}(1+2 M)+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad \times\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \\
& \equiv
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
d_{1}(M, T)=\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} K_{M}(G)\left[(1+2 M)\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}\right]
$$

Thus, we deduce from (3.58) and (3.67) that

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{m}(t) \leq & \left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s  \tag{3.68}\\
& +T d_{1}^{2}(M, T)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we shall estimate $\left\|Q_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{m x}(t)\right\|^{2}$.
From the following equation

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{m}^{\prime}(t)= & g(0)\left[G\left(u_{m}(t), P_{m}(t)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right] \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)\left[G\left(u_{m}(s), P_{m}(s)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right] d s \tag{3.69}
\end{align*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq d_{2}(M, T)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{2}(M, T)=K_{M}(G)\left[T\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}\right]$.
Similarly, by

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{m x}(t)= & \int_{0}^{t} g_{x}(t-s)\left[G\left(u_{m}(s), P_{m}(s)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right] d s  \tag{3.71}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[G\left(u_{m}(s), P_{m}(s)\right)-G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right] d s
\end{align*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{m x}(t)\right\| \leq d_{3}(M, T)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}, \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{3}(M, T)=K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|g_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+(1+2 M)\left\|g_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}\right]$.
Combining (3.60), (3.61), (3.68), (3.70) and (3.72) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\eta}_{m}(t) & \leq \eta_{m}(t)=Z_{m}(t)+\left\|Q_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{m x}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.73}\\
& \leq d^{2}(M, T)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}^{2}+\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \bar{\eta}_{m}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $d(M, T)=\sqrt{T d_{1}^{2}(M, T)+d_{2}^{2}(M, T)+d_{3}^{2}(M, T)}$.
Using Gronwall's lemma, we deduce from (3.73) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\eta}_{m}(t) & \leq d^{2}(M, T)\left\|\left(v_{m-1}, Q_{m-1}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}^{2} \exp \left[T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right]  \tag{3.74}\\
& \leq d^{2}(M, T) \exp \left[T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right] \gamma_{m-1}^{2}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall t \in[0, T] .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq \sqrt{\bar{\eta}_{m}(t)} \leq d(M, T) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right] \gamma_{m-1} \\
\left\|v_{m x}(t)\right\| \leq \sqrt{\bar{\eta}_{m}(t)} \leq d(M, T) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right] \gamma_{m-1} \\
\left\|Q_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq \sqrt{\bar{\eta}_{m}(t)} \leq d(M, T) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right] \gamma_{m-1} \\
\left\|Q_{m x}(t)\right\| \leq \sqrt{\bar{\eta}_{m}(t)} \leq d(M, T) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right] \gamma_{m-1} \\
\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq \sqrt{\bar{\eta}_{m}(t)} \leq d(M, T) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right] \gamma_{m-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{m}=\left\|\left(v_{m}, Q_{m}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}+\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \\
&=\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+\left\|v_{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}+\left\|Q_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \\
&+\left\|Q_{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}+\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|v_{m}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)},
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{m} \leq k_{T} \gamma_{m-1}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k_{T}=5 d(M, T) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} T\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\right]<1$ defined in (3.41), which implies that for all $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-\left(u_{m+p}, P_{m+p}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}+\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)-u_{m+p}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}  \tag{3.76}\\
& \leq \gamma_{0}\left(1-k_{T}\right)^{-1} k_{T}^{m} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $\left\{\left(u_{m}, P_{m}, u_{m}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $W_{1}(T) \times L^{2}(0, T)$. Then there exists $(u, P, \xi) \in W_{1}(T) \times L^{2}(0, T)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right) \rightarrow(u, P) & \text { strongly in } & W_{1}(T),  \tag{3.77}\\
u_{m}^{\prime}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow \xi & \text { strongly in } & L^{2}(0, T) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

On the other hand, from (3.50), there exists a subsequence $\left\{\left(u_{m_{j}}, P_{m_{j}}\right)\right\}$ of $\left\{\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{m_{j}} \rightarrow u & \text { in } L^{\infty}(0, T ; V) \text { weak}^{*},  \tag{3.78}\\ u_{m_{j}}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} & \text { in } L^{\infty}(0, T ; V) \text { weak* }^{*}, \\ u_{m_{j}}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime} & \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \text { weak }^{*}, \\ u_{m_{j}}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot) & \text { in } L^{2}(0, T) \text { weak, }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u, P \in B_{T}(M), \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $(3.77)_{2}$ and $(3.78)_{4}$, that $\xi=u^{\prime}(0, \cdot)$.
On the other hand, by the compactness lemma of Lions ([3], p.57) and the imbedding $H^{2}(0, T) \hookrightarrow C^{1}([0, T])$, (3.78) leads to the existence of a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{\left(u_{m_{j}}, P_{m_{j}}\right)\right\}$, such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
u_{m_{j}} \rightarrow u & \text { strongly in } & L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right),  \tag{3.80}\\
u_{m_{j}}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} & \text { strongly in } & L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\
u_{m_{j}}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow u(0, \cdot) & \text { strongly in } & C^{1}([0, T]) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In order to obtain the result $(3.80)_{1,2}$, we use the following.

Theorem 3.4. (The compactness Lemma of Lions, [3], p.57) Let $B_{0}, B, B_{1}$ be three Banach spaces, with
(i) $B_{0} \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow B_{1}$, with $B_{0}, B_{1}$ are reflection;
(ii) The imbedding $B_{0} \hookrightarrow B$ is compact.

Let $1<p_{0}, p_{1}, T<+\infty$, then

$$
W(0, T)=\left\{v \in L^{p_{0}}\left(0, T ; B_{0}\right): v^{\prime} \in L^{p_{1}}\left(0, T ; B_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

is the Banach space with respect the norm

$$
\|v\|=\|v\|_{L^{p_{0}\left(0, T ; B_{0}\right)}}+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}\left(0, T ; B_{1}\right)} .
$$

Therefore, the imbedding $W(0, T) \hookrightarrow L^{p_{0}}(0, T ; B)$ is compact.
Consider $p_{0}=p_{1}=2, B_{0}=V, B=B_{1}=L^{2}$. In this case, $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)=$ $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and the imbedding

$$
W(0, T)=\left\{v \in L^{2}(0, T ; V): v^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right\} \hookrightarrow L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)
$$

is compact. Hence, it follows that $X_{T} \hookrightarrow L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ with the imbedding is compact.

Putting

$$
\begin{align*}
F(t)= & f(t)-\beta g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(u, P)-\beta g^{\prime}(0) G(u, P)  \tag{3.81}\\
& -\beta \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(t-s) G(u(s), P(s)) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

By

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G(u, P)\right\| \leq K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-(u, P)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}  \tag{3.82}\\
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[G\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-G(u, P)\right]\right\| \leq(1+2 M) K_{M}(G)\left\|\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)-(u, P)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(3.8) and (3.81) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{m_{j}}(t)-F(t)\right\| \\
& \leq\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} K_{M}(G)\left[(1+2 M)\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}\right]  \tag{3.83}\\
& \quad \times\left\|\left(u_{m_{j}-1}, P_{m_{j}-1}\right)-(u, P)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, combining (3.77) $)_{1}$ and (3.83) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m_{j}}(t) \rightarrow F(t) \text { strongly in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) . \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by $(3.77)_{1}$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P(t)-\tilde{P}_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) G(u(s), P(s)) d s\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|P-P_{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}  \tag{3.85}\\
& \quad+K_{M}(G)\|g\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}\left\|\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)-(u, P)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \\
& \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)-\tilde{P}_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) G(u(s), P(s)) d s=0 \tag{3.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, passing to limit in (3.6)-(3.8) as $m=m_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, it implies from (3.77), (3.78), (3.84) and (3.86) that there exists $(u, P)$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u, P \in B_{T}(M), \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M  \tag{3.87}\\
P(t)=\tilde{P}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) G(u(s), P(s)) d s \\
\left\langle u^{\prime \prime}(t), v\right\rangle+a(u(t), v)+\lambda u^{\prime}(0, t) v(0)+\left\langle\alpha u^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle=\langle F(t), v\rangle
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $v \in V$ and the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=\tilde{u}_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=\tilde{u}_{1} . \tag{3.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by $\left(H_{1}\right)$, we obtain from $(3.78)_{2,3},(3.84)$ and $(3.87)_{2}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}=u^{\prime \prime}+\alpha(x) u^{\prime}-F(t) \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right), \tag{3.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$. Thus $u \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$. We also have $P \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P_{x x}(t)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\tilde{P}_{0 x x}\right\|+K_{M}(G) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g_{x x}(s)\right\| d s+4 M K_{M}(G) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g_{x}(s)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} d s \\
& \quad+\|g\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} K_{M}(G) \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left\|u_{x x}(s)\right\|+\left\|P_{x x}(s)\right\|\right] d s  \tag{3.90}\\
& \quad+\|g\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)} K_{M}(G) \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left\|u_{x}^{2}(s)\right\|+2\left\|u_{x}(s) P_{x}(s)\right\|+\left\|P_{x}^{2}(s)\right\|\right] d s \\
& \leq D_{T}^{(1)}(M)+D_{T}^{(2)}(M) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|P_{x x}(s)\right\| d s,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{T}^{(1)}(M)  \tag{3.91}\\
=\left\|\tilde{P}_{0 x x}\right\|+K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|g_{x x}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+4 M\left\|g_{x}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}\right] \\
\quad+K_{M}(G) T\|g\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\left[(1+3 \sqrt{2} M)\left\|u_{x x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+4 \sqrt{2} M^{2}\right] \\
D_{T}^{(2)}(M)=K_{M}(G)\left(\|g\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\sqrt{2} M\|g\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Gronwall's inequality we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{x x}(t)\right\| \leq D_{T}^{(1)}(M) \exp \left(T D_{T}^{(2)}(M)\right) . \tag{3.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $P_{x x} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)$, hence $P \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$. It follows that $P \in$ $B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right)$. The existence proof is completed.
(ii) Uniqueness of the solution.

Let $\left(u_{i}, P_{i}\right), i=1,2$ be two solutions of problem (2.8), (2.9). Then $(u, P)$, with $u=u_{1}-u_{2}, P=P_{1}-P_{2}$ satisfies the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{i}, P_{i} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right),  \tag{3.93}\\
\sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{i}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M, i=1,2 \\
P(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \bar{G}(s) d s \\
\left\langle u^{\prime \prime}(t), v\right\rangle+a(u(t), v)+\lambda u^{\prime}(0, t) v(0)+\left\langle\alpha u^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle=\langle F(t), v\rangle,
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $v \in V$, a.e., $t \in(0, T)$, together with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F(t)=-\beta g(0) \bar{G}^{\prime}(t)-\beta g^{\prime}(0) \bar{G}(t)-\beta \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(t-s) \bar{G}(s) d s  \tag{3.95}\\
\bar{G}(t)=G\left(u_{1}(t), P_{1}(t)\right)-G\left(u_{2}(t), P_{2}(t)\right), \bar{G}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We take $v=u^{\prime}$ in $(3.93)_{2}$ and integrate in $t$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(t) \leq\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\|F(s)\|^{2} d s \tag{3.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(t) & =\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+a(u(t), u(t))+2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|u^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s  \tag{3.97}\\
& \geq\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{x}(t)\right\|^{2} \equiv \bar{Z}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(t) & =\bar{Z}(t)+\left\|P^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{x}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{x}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|P^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{x}(t)\right\|^{2} \tag{3.98}
\end{align*}
$$

and $M=\max _{i=1,2}\left\|\left(u_{i}, P_{i}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}$, we estimate all terms of (3.95) as follows
(i) $\|\bar{G}(t)\| \leq K_{M}(G)[\|u(t)\|+\|P(t)\|] \leq 2 K_{M}(G) \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\rho(s)} d s$,
(ii) $\|\bar{G}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|u_{x}(t)\right\|+\left\|P_{x}(t)\right\|\right] \leq 2 K_{M}(G) \sqrt{\rho(t)}$,
(iii) $\left\|\bar{G}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq(1+2 M) K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|P^{\prime}\right\|+\|u\|+\|P\|\right]$

$$
\leq 2(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) \sqrt{\rho(t)}
$$

(iv) $\left\|\bar{G}_{x}(t)\right\| \leq(1+2 M) K_{M}(G)\left(\left\|u_{x}(t)\right\|+\left\|P_{x}(t)\right\|\right)$ $\leq 2(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) \sqrt{\rho(t)}$,
(v) $\left\|P^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq 8 K_{M}^{2}(G)\left[\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} T+\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{t} \rho(s) d s$ $\equiv \eta_{1}(M, T) \int_{0}^{t} \rho(s) d s$,
(vi) $\left\|P_{x}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq 8 K_{M}^{2}(G)\left[1+(1+2 M)^{2}\right]\left\|g_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \rho(s) d s$ $\equiv \eta_{2}(M, T) \int_{0}^{t} \rho(s) d s$.

It follows from (3.95) ${ }_{1}$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|F(t)\| \leq & \|\beta g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\bar{G}^{\prime}(t)\right\|+\left\|\beta g^{\prime}(0)\right\|\|\bar{G}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& +\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(t-s)\right\|\|\bar{G}(s)\|_{L^{\infty}} d s  \tag{3.100}\\
\leq & \eta_{3}(M) \sqrt{\rho(t)}+\eta_{4}(M) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}(t-s)\right\| \sqrt{\rho(s)} d s
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{3}(M)=2 K_{M}(G)\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\left[(1+2 M)\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|\right],  \tag{3.101}\\
& \eta_{4}(M)=2 K_{M}(G)\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{t}\|F(s)\|^{2} d s & \leq 2\left(\eta_{3}^{2}(M)+\eta_{4}^{2}(M) T\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \rho(s) d s  \tag{3.102}\\
& \equiv \eta_{5}(M, T) \int_{0}^{t} \rho(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.96), (3.97) and (3.102), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Z}(t) \leq Z(t) \leq 2\left(1+\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}+\eta_{5}(M, T)\right) \int_{0}^{t} \rho(s) d s \tag{3.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.98), (3.99) $)_{v, v i}$ and (3.103), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(t) \leq\left[2\left(1+\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}+\eta_{5}(M, T)\right)+\eta_{1}(M, T)+\eta_{2}(M, T)\right] \int_{0}^{t} \rho(s) d s \tag{3.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Gronwall's inequality we obtain that $\rho(t)=0$ on $(0, T)$, i.e., $u=u_{1}-u_{2} \equiv$ $0, P=P_{1}-P_{2} \equiv 0$, and hence the solution is unique. Passing to the limit as $p \rightarrow+\infty$ for $m$ fixed, we obtain estimate (3.54) from (3.76). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Remark 3.5. Under assumptions of Theorem 3.1, the existence and uniqueness of a local weak solution are established. If we strengthen assumption $\left(H_{5}\right)$ by $\left(\widehat{H}_{5}\right)$ as below, it means that $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ is global Lipschitz which allows for applicability of the methods used as above, with less complicated techniques in order to get existence and uniqueness of a global weak solution. This is also an extension of the result obtained in [4].
$\left(\widehat{H}_{5}\right) \quad G \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $|G(y, z)| \leq m_{1}(1+|y|+|z|), \forall y, z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad m_{1}>0$;
(ii) $\left|D_{1} G(y, z)\right|+\left|D_{2} G(y, z)\right| \leq L, \forall y, z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad L>0$.

## 4. ASYMptotic behavior of a weak solution as $\lambda \rightarrow 0_{+}$

In this section, we let $h \geq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta, f, g$ and $G$ satisfy assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)$, $\left(H_{3}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$. We also assume that
$\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{u}_{1}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \in\left(V \cap H^{2}\right) \times H_{0}^{1} \times\left(V \cap H^{2}\right)$ satisfy the compatibility condition $\tilde{u}_{0 x}(0)=h \tilde{u}_{0}(0)$.

We consider the following perturbed problem, where $\lambda>0$ is a small parameter:

$$
\left(L_{\lambda}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle u_{t t}(t), v\right\rangle+a(u(t), v)+\lambda u_{t}(0, t) v(0)+\left\langle\alpha u_{t}(t), v\right\rangle \\
+\left\langle\beta g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(u, P), v\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta g^{\prime}(0) G(u, P), v\right\rangle \\
+\left\langle\beta \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(t-s) G(u(s), P(s)) d s, v\right\rangle=\langle f(t), v\rangle, \forall v \in V \\
u(0)=\tilde{u}_{0}, u_{t}(0)=\tilde{u}_{1} \\
P(t)=\tilde{P}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) G(u(s), P(s)) d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, for every $\lambda>0$, by Theorem 3.1, problem $\left(L_{\lambda}\right)$ has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda}, \quad P_{\lambda} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right), \quad \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

depending on $\lambda$. We shall consider asymptotic behavior of this solution as $\lambda \rightarrow 0_{+}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $h \geq 0$ and $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$ hold. Then
(i) Problem $\left(L_{0}\right)$ corresponding to $\lambda=0$ has a unique solution $\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}, P_{0} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) The solution $\left(u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}\right)$ converges strongly in $W_{1}(T)$ to $\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right)$, as $\lambda \rightarrow$ $0_{+}$. Furthermore, we have the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(u_{\lambda}-u_{0}, P_{\lambda}-P_{0}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)}+\sqrt{\lambda}\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)-u_{0}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \leq C \sqrt{\lambda}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\lambda$.
Proof. Let $\lambda \in(0,1]$. First, we note that a priori estimates of the linear recurrent sequence $\left\{\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)\right\}$ for problem $\left(L_{\lambda}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}, P_{m} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right), \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{m}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a constant independent of $\lambda$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Hence, the limit ( $u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}$ ) of the sequence $\left\{\left(u_{m}, P_{m}\right)\right\}$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$, in suitable function spaces is a unique solution of problem $\left(L_{\lambda}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda}, \quad P_{\lambda} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right), \quad \sqrt{2 \lambda}\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq M \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.5) that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
&\left\|u_{\lambda}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{H^{1}(0, T)}=\sqrt{\left\|u_{\lambda}(0, \cdot)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|^{2}}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\left\|u_{\lambda x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}+\left\|u_{\lambda x}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}} \leq M_{1}, \\
& \sqrt{\lambda}\left\|u_{\lambda}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{H^{2}(0, T)}=\sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{\left\|u_{\lambda}(0, \cdot)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|^{2}} \\
& \leq M_{1}, \\
&\left\|G\left(u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq M_{1} ;\left\|D_{1} G\left(u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq M_{1} ; \\
&\left\|D_{2} G\left(u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq M_{1},
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $M_{1}$ always indicates a constant independent of $\lambda$.
Let $\lambda_{m}$ be a sequence such that $\lambda_{m} \rightarrow 0^{+}$as $m \rightarrow \infty$. From (4.5), (4.6), there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right)\right\}$, it is still so denoted, such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right) & \text { in } & L^{\infty}(0, T ; V \times V) & \text { weakly*, }  \tag{4.7}\\
\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime}, P_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}^{\prime}, P_{0}^{\prime}\right) & \text { in } & L^{\infty}(0, T ; V \times V) & \text { weakly*, } \\
\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime \prime}, P_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}^{\prime \prime}, P_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) & \text { in } & L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2} \times L^{2}\right) & \text { weakly*, } \\
u_{\lambda_{m}}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow u_{0}(0, \cdot) & \text { in } & H^{1}(0, T) & \text { weakly, } \\
\sqrt{\lambda_{m}} u_{\lambda_{m}}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow \eta_{0} & \text { in } & H^{2}(0, T) & \text { weakly, } \\
G\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{0} & \text { in } & H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) & \text { weakly, } \\
D_{1} G\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{1} & \text { in } & H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) & \text { weakly, } \\
D_{2} G\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{2} & \text { in } & H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) & \text { weakly. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the compactness lemma of Lions ([3], p.57) and the imbeddings $H^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), H^{1}(0, T) \hookrightarrow C^{0}([0, T]), H^{2}(0, T) \hookrightarrow C^{1}([0, T])$, we can deduce from (4.7) the existence of a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right)\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{cases}\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right) & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \times L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right),  \tag{4.8}\\ \left(u_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime}, P_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}^{\prime}, P_{0}^{\prime}\right) & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \times L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\ u_{\lambda_{m}}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow u_{0}(0, \cdot) & \text { strongly in } C^{0}([0, T]), \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{m}} u_{\lambda_{m}}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow \eta_{0} & \text { strongly in } C^{1}([0, T]), \\ G\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{0} & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\ D_{1} G\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{1} & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\ D_{2} G\left(u_{\lambda_{m}}, P_{\lambda_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \chi_{2} & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

By $\sqrt{\lambda_{m}} u_{\lambda_{m}}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow \eta_{0}$ strongly in $C^{1}([0, T])$, we deduce from (4.8) $)_{3}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{0}=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (4.8) ${ }_{4}$ and (4.9) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\lambda_{m}} u_{\lambda_{m}}^{\prime}(0, \cdot) \rightarrow 0 \text { strongly in } C^{0}([0, T]) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by $(4.8)_{1,2,5-7}$, we can to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{0}=G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right), \chi_{1}=D_{1} G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right), \chi_{2}=D_{2} G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right) . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By passing to the limit, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we conclude that ( $u_{0}, P_{0}$ ) is a unique solution of problem $\left(L_{0}\right)$ corresponding to $\lambda=0$ satisfying the a priori estimates (4.2). Put

$$
u=u_{\lambda}-u_{0}, \quad P=P_{\lambda}-P_{0}
$$

then $(u, P)$ satisfy the variational problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) H_{\lambda}(s) d s  \tag{4.12}\\
\left\langle u^{\prime \prime}(t), v\right\rangle+a(u(t), v)+\lambda u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(0, t) v(0)+\left\langle\alpha u^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle F_{\lambda}(t), v\right\rangle, \forall v \in V \\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{\lambda}(t)=-\beta g(0) H_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)-\beta g^{\prime}(0) H_{\lambda}(t)-\beta \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime \prime}(t-s) H_{\lambda}(s) d s  \tag{4.13}\\
H_{\lambda}(t)=G\left(u_{\lambda}(t), P_{\lambda}(t)\right)-G\left(u_{0}(t), P_{0}(t)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We take $w=u^{\prime}$ in (4.12) ${ }_{2}$ and integrate over $t$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
S(t) \leq & \left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s-2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t} u_{0}^{\prime}(0, s) u^{\prime}(0, s) d s  \tag{4.14}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\|F_{\lambda}(s)\right\|^{2} d s
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+a(u(t), u(t))+2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|u^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t) \geq\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{x}(t)\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|u^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s \equiv \bar{S}(t) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=\bar{S}(t)+\left\|P^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{x}(t)\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By similar argument as in proof of Theorem 3.1, we can estimate $X(t)$ and the results are

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}(t) \leq & 2 \lambda\left\|u_{0}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}^{2} \\
& +2\left(1+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}+2 \xi_{1}^{2}(M)+2 T \xi_{2}^{2}(M, T)\right) \int_{0}^{t} X(s) d s \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{1}(M)=K_{M}(G)\left[2(1+2 M)\|\beta g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\sqrt{2}\left\|\beta g^{\prime}(0)\right\|\right] \\
\xi_{2}(M, T)=\sqrt{2} K_{M}(G)\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 2 K_{M}^{2}(G)\left[4 T(1+2 M)^{2}\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}+\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{t} X(s) d s  \tag{4.19}\\
& \leq 2 K_{M}^{2}(G)\left[4 T(1+2 M)^{2}\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}+\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{t} X(s) d s, \\
& \left\|P_{x}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|g_{x}(t-s)\right\|\left\|H_{\lambda}(s)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\|g(t-s)\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_{\lambda}(s)\right\| d s\right)^{2}  \tag{4.20}\\
& \leq 2 K_{M}^{2}(G)\left[\left\|g_{x}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}+(1+2 M)^{2}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{t} X(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.17)-(4.20) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t) \leq 2 \lambda\left\|u_{0}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}^{2}+\xi(M, T) \int_{0}^{t} X(s) d s \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi(M, T)$ is a positive constant that depends only on $M, T$. Using Gronwall's lemma, we obtain $X(t) \leq C \lambda$ and the estimate (4.3) follows. Theorem 4.1 is proved.

## 5. An asymptotic expansion of a weak solution

In this section, we assume that $h \geq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta, f, g$ and $G$ satisfy assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(H_{3}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$. The next result gives an asymptotic expansion of the solution $\left(u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}\right)$ up to order $N$ in $\lambda$ with error $\lambda^{N+\frac{1}{2}}$, for $\lambda$ sufficiently small. We make the following assumptions:

$$
\left(H_{5}^{(N)}\right) \quad G \in C^{N+2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \text { satisfies } G(0,0)=0
$$

We use the following notation. For a multi-index $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}$, and $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{N}, \quad \alpha!=\alpha_{1}!\ldots \alpha_{N}!, \quad x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{N}^{\alpha_{N}} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 5.1. Suppose $m, N \in \mathbb{N}, x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} \lambda^{i}\right)^{m}=\sum_{i=m}^{m N} \Psi_{i}^{[m]}[x] \lambda^{i}, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $\Psi_{i}^{[m]}[x], m \leq i \leq m N$ depending on $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ are defined by the formula

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Psi_{i}^{[m]}[x]=\sum_{\alpha \in A_{i}^{(m)}} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} x^{\alpha}, m \leq i \leq m N  \tag{5.3}\\
A_{i}^{(m)}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}:|\alpha|=m, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N} j \alpha_{j}=i\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is not difficult, hence we omit the details.

Let $\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right)$ be a solution of problem $\left(L_{0}\right)$ as in Theorem 4.1.

$$
\left(L_{0}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{0}(t)=\tilde{P}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) G\left(u_{0}(s), P_{0}(s)\right) d s,  \tag{5.4}\\
\left\langle u_{0}^{\prime \prime}(t), w\right\rangle+a\left(u_{0}(t), w\right)+\left\langle\alpha u_{0}^{\prime}(t), w\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi_{0}(t), w\right\rangle, \forall w \in V, \\
u_{0}(0)=\tilde{u}_{0}, u_{0}^{\prime}(0)=\tilde{u}_{1}, \\
\left\langle\Phi_{0}(t), w\right\rangle=\langle f(t), w\rangle \\
\quad-\left\langle\beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) G\left(u_{0}(s), P_{0}(s)\right) d s, w\right\rangle, \forall w \in V, \\
u_{0}, P_{0} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us consider solutions $\left(u_{i}, P_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, defined by the following problems:

$$
\left(\bar{L}_{i}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{i}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) C_{i}(s) d s  \tag{5.5}\\
\left\langle u_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t), w\right\rangle+a\left(u_{i}(t), w\right)+\left\langle\alpha u_{i}^{\prime}(t), w\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi_{i}(t), w\right\rangle, \quad \forall w \in V, \\
u_{i}(0)=u_{i}^{\prime}(0)=0, \\
u_{i}, P_{i} \in B_{T}(M) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V \cap H^{2}\right), i=2, \ldots, N,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left.\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle\Phi_{1}(t), w\right\rangle= \\
\left\langle\Phi_{i}(t), w\right\rangle= \\
\\
\quad-\left\langle\beta \frac { u ^ { 2 } } { \partial t ^ { 2 } } \left(\int_{0}^{t} g(0, t) w(0) \partial^{2}(t)\right.\right. \\
\partial t^{2}
\end{array} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) C_{i}(s) d s\right), w\right\rangle, i=2, \ldots, N,
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{r}
C_{i}(t)=\sum_{|\gamma|=1}^{i} \frac{1}{\gamma!} D^{\gamma} G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right) \sum_{j \in A_{i}(\gamma)} \Psi_{j}^{\left[\gamma_{1}\right]}[u] \Psi_{i-j}^{\left[\gamma_{2}\right]}[P], i=1, \ldots, N, \\
A_{i}(\gamma) \equiv A_{i}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}: \gamma_{1} \leq j \leq N \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \leq i-j \leq N \gamma_{2}\right\}, \tag{5.7}
\end{array}
$$ with $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right), P=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{N}\right)$. Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{4}\right),\left(H_{5}^{(N)}\right)$ hold. Then, there exist positive constants $M$ and $T$ such that, for every $\lambda$ with $0<\lambda \leq 1$, problem $\left(L_{\lambda}\right)$ has a unique solution $\left(u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}\right)$ satisfying the asymptotic estimation up to order $N$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(u_{\lambda}-\sum_{i=0}^{N} u_{i} \lambda^{i}, P_{\lambda}-\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i} \lambda^{i}\right)\right\|_{W_{1}(T)} \\
& +\sqrt{\lambda}\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)-\sum_{i=0}^{N} u_{i}^{\prime}(0, \cdot) \lambda^{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)} \leq C \lambda^{N+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $\lambda$ and $\left(u_{i}, P_{i}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, N$, are the solutions of problems $\left(L_{0}\right),\left(\bar{L}_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, N$, respectively.

Proof. Let $(u, P) \equiv\left(u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}\right)$ be a unique solution of $\left(L_{\lambda}\right)$. Then $(v, Q)$, with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v=u-\sum_{i=0}^{N} u_{i} \lambda^{i} \equiv u-U \equiv u-u_{0}-U_{1}  \tag{5.9}\\
Q=P-\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_{i} \lambda^{i} \equiv P-\eta \equiv P-P_{0}-\eta_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

satisfies the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)[G(v+U, Q+\eta)-G(U, \eta)] d s+\bar{E}_{\lambda}(t)  \tag{5.10}\\
\left\langle v^{\prime \prime}(t), w\right\rangle+a(v(t), w)+\left\langle\alpha v^{\prime}(t), w\right\rangle \\
=-\lambda v^{\prime}(0, t) w(0) \\
\quad-\left\langle\beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)[G(v+U, Q+\eta)-G(U, \eta)] d s\right), w\right\rangle \\
\quad+\left\langle E_{\lambda}(t), w\right\rangle, \forall w \in V \\
v(0)=v^{\prime}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle E_{\lambda}(t), w\right\rangle  \tag{5.11}\\
=-\lambda U_{1}^{\prime}(0, t) w(0)-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda^{i}\left\langle\Phi_{i}(t), w\right\rangle \\
\quad-\left\langle\beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\left[G\left(u_{0}+U_{1}, P_{0}+\eta_{1}\right)-G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right)\right] d s\right), w\right\rangle \\
\bar{E}_{\lambda}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s)\left[G\left(u_{0}+U_{1}, P_{0}+\eta_{1}\right)-G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right)\right] d s \\
\quad-\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i}(t) \lambda^{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 5.3. Let $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{4}\right),\left(H_{5}^{(N)}\right)$ hold. Then
(i) $2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle E_{\lambda}(s), v^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle d s \leq D_{T} \lambda^{2 N+1}+\lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|v^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s$ $+3 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s$,
(ii) $\left\|\bar{E}_{\lambda x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \leq \bar{C}_{1 N} \lambda^{N+1}$,
(iii) $\left\|\bar{E}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \leq \bar{C}_{2 N} \lambda^{N+1}$,
for all $\lambda \in(0,1]$, where $D_{T}, \bar{C}_{1 N}, \bar{C}_{2 N}, \bar{C}_{3 N}$ are constants depending only on $N, T, G$ and $\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)},\left\|u_{i}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)},\left\|P_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)},\left\|P_{i}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)}$, $(i=0,1, \ldots, N)$.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. (i) In the case of $N=1$, the proof of Lemma 5.3 is easy, hence we omit the details.

Now, we consider $N \geq 2$. Putting

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U=u_{0}+U_{1}, \quad U_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{i} \lambda^{i}  \tag{5.13}\\
\eta \equiv P_{0}+\eta_{1}, \quad \eta_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i} \lambda^{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using Taylor's expansion of the function $G(U, \eta)=G\left(u_{0}+U_{1}, P_{0}+\eta_{1}\right)$ around the point ( $u_{0}, P_{0}$ ) up to order $N$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(u_{0}+U_{1}, P_{0}+\eta_{1}\right)= & G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq|\gamma| \leq N} \frac{1}{\gamma_{!}} D^{\gamma} G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right) U_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \eta_{1}^{\gamma_{2}}  \tag{5.14}\\
& +\lambda^{N+1} R_{N}^{(1)}\left[G, u_{0}, P_{0}, U_{1}, \eta_{1}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{N+1} R_{N}^{(1)}\left[G, u_{0}, P_{0}, U_{1}, \eta_{1}\right] \\
& =\sum_{|\gamma|=N+1} \frac{N+1}{\gamma!} U_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \eta_{1}^{\gamma_{2}} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\theta)^{N} D^{\gamma} G\left(u_{0}+\theta U_{1}, P_{0}+\theta \eta_{1}\right) d \theta . \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 5.1, we obtain from (5.14), after some rearrangements in the order of $\lambda$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(u_{0}+U_{1}, P_{0}+\eta_{1}\right)-G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i}(t) \lambda^{i}+\lambda^{N+1} R_{N}^{(2)}(t) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{i}(t), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, defined by (5.7) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{N+1} R_{N}^{(2)}(t) \equiv \lambda^{N+1} R_{N}^{(2)}\left[G, u_{0}, P_{0}, U_{1}, \eta_{1}\right] \\
& =\lambda^{N+1} R_{N}^{(1)}\left[G, u_{0}, P_{0}, U_{1}, \eta_{1}\right]  \tag{5.17}\\
& \quad+\sum_{1 \leq|\gamma| \leq N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} D^{\gamma} G\left(u_{0}, P_{0}\right) \sum_{i=N+1}^{N|\gamma|} \sum_{j \in A_{i}(\gamma)} \Psi_{j}^{\left[\gamma_{1}\right]}[u] \Psi_{i-j}^{\left[\gamma_{2}\right]}[P] \lambda^{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining $\left(L_{0}\right),\left(\bar{L}_{i}\right),(5.6),(5.7),(5.11)$ and (5.16) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle E_{\lambda}(t), w\right\rangle \\
& =-\lambda^{N+1} u_{N}^{\prime}(0, t) w(0)-\lambda^{N+1}\left\langle\beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) R_{N}^{(2)}(s) d s\right), w\right\rangle,  \tag{5.18}\\
& \bar{E}_{\lambda}(t)=\lambda^{N+1} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) R_{N}^{(2)}(s) d s . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

By the boundedness of the functions $\left(u_{i}, P_{i}\right),\left(u_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i}^{\prime}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, N$, in the function space $W_{1}(T)$, we obtain after some lengthy calculation from (5.15) and (5.17), that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|R_{N}^{(2)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R_{N}^{(2)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} R_{N}^{(2)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}  \tag{5.20}\\
& \leq \bar{C}_{0 N}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{C}_{0 N}$ is a constant depending only on $N, T, G$ and $\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)}$, $\left\|P_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)},(i=0,1, \ldots, N), \sup _{|y|,|z| \leq M}\left|D^{\gamma} G(y, z)\right|,|\gamma| \leq N+2$. By (5.18)
and (5.20), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle E_{\lambda}(s), v^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle d s \\
& \leq \lambda^{2 N+1}\left\|u_{N}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}^{2}+\lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|v^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s \\
& \quad+\lambda^{2 N+2}\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \bar{C}_{0 N}^{2}\left[\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}\right]  \tag{5.21}\\
& \quad+3 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \leq D_{T} \lambda^{2 N+1}+\lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|v^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s+3 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{T}= & \left\|u_{N}^{\prime}(0, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}^{2} \\
& +\|\beta\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \bar{C}_{0 N}^{2}\left[\|g(0)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}+\left\|g^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}\right] . \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) By (5.19), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{\lambda x}(t)=\lambda^{N+1} \int_{0}^{t} g_{x}(t-s) R_{N}^{(2)}(s) d s+\lambda^{N+1} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} R_{N}^{(2)}(s) d s . \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\bar{E}_{\lambda x}(t)\right\| \leq & \lambda^{N+1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|g_{x}(t-s)\right\|
\end{align*}\left\|_{N}^{(2)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)} d s
$$

(iii) Similary, by (5.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda^{N+1}\left[g(0) R_{N}^{(2)}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s) R_{N}^{(2)}(s) d s\right] . \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\bar{E}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right\| & \leq \lambda^{N+1}\left\|R_{N}^{(2)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)}\left[\|g(0)\|+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|g^{\prime}(t-s)\right\| d s\right] \\
& \leq \bar{C}_{0 N} \lambda^{N+1}\left[\|g(0)\|+\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}\right] \equiv \bar{C}_{2 N} \lambda^{N+1} . \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies (5.12), Lemma 5.3 follows.
Lemma 5.3 is the key to obtain the asymptotic expansion of a weak solution ( $u_{\lambda}, P_{\lambda}$ ) of order $N+1$ in a small parameter $\lambda$. Indeed, we take $w=v^{\prime}$ in (5.10) ${ }_{1}$ and after integration over $t$, we find without difficulty from Lemma 5.3, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}(t) \leq D_{T} \lambda^{2 N+1}+\left(3+2\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+J \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{S}(t)=\left\|v^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v_{x}(t)\right\|^{2}+\lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left|v^{\prime}(0, s)\right|^{2} d s, \\
& J=-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\beta \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{s} g(s-r)[G(v+U, Q+\eta)-G(U, \eta)] d r\right), v^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle d s . \tag{5.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t)=\bar{S}(t)+\left\|Q^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|Q_{x}(t)\right\|^{2} . \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply similar methods as in above sections, we can estimate all the terms of $\sigma(t)$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t) \leq \eta_{1}(M, T) \lambda^{2 N+1}+\eta_{2}(M, T) \int_{0}^{t} \sigma(s) d s \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{1}(M, T), \eta_{2}(M, T)$ are positive constant depending only on $M, T$. Using Gronwall's lemma, we get (5.8). Theorem 5.2 is proved.

Appendix. Proof of Lemma 3.2.
(i) Prove that $\left\|G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq K_{M}(G)$. By

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\left\|u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq\left\|u_{m-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M \\
& \text { and }\left\|P_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\left\|P_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq\left\|P_{m-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M, \tag{a1}
\end{align*}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right| \leq\|G\|_{C^{0}\left([-M, M]^{2}\right)} \leq K_{M}(G), \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{a2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (i) holds.
(ii) Prove that $\left\|G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\left\|G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+2 T M K_{M}(G)$.

Let (iii) holds. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)=G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right) d s \tag{a3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (iii) and (a3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq\left\|G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} d s \\
& \leq \|\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)  \tag{a4}\\
& \leq G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \|_{L^{\infty}}^{L^{\infty}}+\int_{0}^{t} 2 M K_{M}(G) d s \\
& =2 T M K_{M}(G) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (ii) holds.
(iii) Prove that $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 2 M K_{M}(G)$.

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right) \\
& =D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right) u_{m-1}^{\prime}(t)+D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right) P_{m-1}^{\prime}(t) \tag{a5}
\end{align*}
$$

By

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq\left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M, \\
& \left\|P_{m-1}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\left\|P_{m-1}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq\left\|P_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M,  \tag{a6}\\
& \left|D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right| \leq K_{M}(G), \\
& \left|D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right| \leq K_{M}(G),
\end{align*}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right| & \leq K_{M}(G)\left[\left|u_{m-1}^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|P_{m-1}^{\prime}(t)\right|\right]  \tag{a7}\\
& \leq 2 M K_{M}(G) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (iii) holds.
(iv) Prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \leq & \left\|D_{1} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}+D_{2} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) g(0) G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& +2 T M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let (vii) holds. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right) \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right|_{t=0}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right) d s \\
& =D_{1} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}+D_{2} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) g(0) G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)  \tag{a8}\\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (vii) and (a8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|D_{1} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}+D_{2} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) g(0) G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right\| d s \\
& \leq\left\|D_{1} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}+D_{2} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) g(0) G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|  \tag{a9}\\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t} 2 M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) d s \\
& \leq\left\|D_{1} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) \tilde{u}_{1}+D_{2} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right) g(0) G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad+2 T M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (iv) holds.
(v) Prove that $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \leq 2 M K_{M}(G)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \\
& =D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}+D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x} . \tag{a10}
\end{align*}
$$

By

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}(t)\right\|=\left\|u_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq\left\|u_{m-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M, \\
& \left\|\frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x}(t)\right\|=\left\|P_{m-1}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq\left\|P_{m-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} \leq M, \tag{a11}
\end{align*}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| & \leq K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|\frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}(t)\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x}(t)\right\|\right]  \tag{a12}\\
& \leq 2 M K_{M}(G) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (v) holds.
(vi) Prove that

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|+2 T M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right] d s ; \\
& \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right]\right\| d s ; \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right]  \tag{a13}\\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1} \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right]\right.\right. \\
& =D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial u_{m-1}^{\prime}}{\partial x}+D_{11} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) u_{m-1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x} \\
& \quad+D_{12} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) P_{m-1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x} \\
& \quad+D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial P_{m-1}^{\prime}}{\partial x}+D_{21} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) u_{m-1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x} \\
& \quad+D_{22} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) P_{m-1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x} . \\
& \quad\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right]\right\| \\
& \quad \leq K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|\frac{\partial u_{m-1}^{\prime}}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|P_{m-1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right\|\right] \\
& \quad+K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|\frac{\partial P_{m-1}^{\prime}}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|P_{m-1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right\|\right]  \tag{a14}\\
& \quad \leq 2 M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (a13) and (a14), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(u_{m-1}(s), P_{m-1}(s)\right)\right]\right\| d s  \tag{a15}\\
& \leq\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G\left(\tilde{u}_{0}, \tilde{P}_{0}\right)\right\|+2 T M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (vi) holds.
(vii) Prove that $\left\|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \leq 2 M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \\
& =D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) u_{m-1}^{\prime \prime}+D_{11} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\left|u_{m-1}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad+D_{12} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) P_{m-1}^{\prime} u_{m-1}^{\prime}  \tag{a16}\\
& \quad+D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) P_{m-1}^{\prime \prime}+D_{21} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) u_{m-1}^{\prime} P_{m-1}^{\prime} \\
& \quad+D_{22} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\left|P_{m-1}^{\prime}\right|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime \prime}\right\|+\left\|\left|u_{m-1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right\|+\left\|P_{m-1}^{\prime} u_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|\right]  \tag{a17}\\
& \quad+K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|P_{m-1}^{\prime \prime}\right\|+\left\|u_{m-1}^{\prime} P_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|\left|P_{m-1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right\|\right] \\
& \leq 2 M(1+2 M) K_{M}(G) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (vii) holds.
(viii) Prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}(t), P_{m-1}(t)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left[4 \sqrt{2} M^{2}+(1+2 \sqrt{2} M)\left(\left\|\Delta u_{m-1}(t)\right\|+\left\|\Delta P_{m-1}(t)\right\|\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \\
& = \\
& D_{1} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \Delta u_{m-1}+D_{11} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\left|\frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right|^{2}  \tag{a18}\\
& \quad+D_{12} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x} \\
& \quad+D_{2} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \Delta P_{m-1}+D_{21} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right) \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x} \\
& \quad+D_{22} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\left|\frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} G\left(u_{m-1}, P_{m-1}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq  \tag{a19}\\
& \leq K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|\Delta u_{m-1}\right\|+\left\|\left|\frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right|^{2}\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right\|\right] \\
& \quad+K_{M}(G)\left[\left\|\Delta P_{m-1}\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|\left|\frac{\partial P_{m-1}}{\partial x}\right|^{2}\right\|\right] \\
& \leq
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (viii) holds. The Lemma 3.2 is proved completely.
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