



## A Note on Borel's Density Theorem

Harry Furstenberg

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 55, No. 1. (Feb., 1976), pp. 209-212.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28197602%2955%3A1%3C209%3AANOBDT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-C>

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## A NOTE ON BOREL'S DENSITY THEOREM

HARRY FURSTENBERG

**ABSTRACT.** We prove the following theorem of Borel: *If  $G$  is a semisimple Lie group,  $H$  a closed subgroup such that the quotient space  $G/H$  carries finite measure, then for any finite-dimensional representation of  $G$ , each  $H$ -invariant subspace is  $G$ -invariant.* The proof depends on a consideration of measures on projective spaces.

The following is a relatively elementary proof of A. Borel's "density" theorem [1] (cf. also [5, Chapter V]). This theorem implies, among other things, that if  $\Gamma$  is a lattice subgroup of a connected semisimple real algebraic Lie group  $G$  with no compact factors, then  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense in  $G$ . The main idea of the following proof can be found in [2, p. 347], but the connection with Borel's theorem escaped our notice.

Following von Neumann we call a locally compact topological group  $G$  *minimally almost periodic* (m.a.p.) if any continuous homomorphism of  $G$  into a compact group (equivalently, compact Lie group) is trivial [3]. The outstanding example of a m.a.p. group is a connected semisimple Lie group with no compact factors, but there are also discrete m.a.p. groups. (Cf. [3] and [4]. The semisimple case follows from the fact that the image of a semisimple group in a Lie group is closed.) Note that a m.a.p. group has no proper subgroups of finite index. Also a m.a.p. group is unimodular. In fact, any homomorphism of a m.a.p. group to the reals (indeed, to any abelian group) is trivial, since the reals have enough homomorphisms into compact groups to separate points.

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional linear space.  $P(V)$  will denote the corresponding projective space. If  $v \in V$ ,  $\bar{v}$  will denote the corresponding point of  $P(V)$ ; if  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$ ,  $\bar{W}$  will designate the corresponding linear subvariety of  $P(V)$ . Finite unions of linear subvarieties will be called *quasi-linear* subvarieties. As for all algebraic subvarieties, these satisfy the descending chain condition. This leads to

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $A$  is a subset of  $P(V)$ , there exists a unique minimal quasi-linear subvariety  $q(A) \subset P(V)$  containing  $A$ .*

A set of projective transformations is either relatively compact, or it is possible to extract a sequence of transformations that converges pointwise to

---

Received by the editors February 9, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22E40, 22D40; Secondary 28A65.

Key words and phrases. Semisimple group, lattice, minimally-almost-periodic, representation, linear variety, measure, projective space.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

a transformation that has as its range a quasi-linear subvariety of  $P(V)$ . A precise formulation is as follows.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\tau_n \in GL(V)$  and let  $\bar{\tau}_n$  denote the corresponding projective transformations. Assume  $\det \tau_n = 1$  and  $\|\tau_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is a suitable norm on the linear endomorphisms of  $V$ . There exists a transformation  $\pi$  of  $P(V)$  whose range is a quasi-linear subvariety  $\subsetneq P(V)$ , and a sequence  $\{n_k\}$  with  $\bar{\tau}_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow \pi(x)$  for every  $x \in P(V)$ .

PROOF. Let  $W$  be any subspace of  $V$ . Passing to a subsequence and multiplying by appropriate constants, we can arrange that  $\gamma_n \tau_n$  converges to a nonzero linear map of  $W$  into  $V$ . Calling this map  $\sigma_W$  we find that for  $v \notin \ker \sigma_W$ ,  $\tau_n(\bar{v}) \rightarrow \sigma_W(v)$  along the subsequence. Now inductively define subspaces of  $V$  by setting  $W_0 = V$ ,  $W_1 = \ker \sigma_{W_0}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $W_{i+1} = \ker \sigma_{W_i}$ ,  $\dots$ , where each  $\sigma_{W_i}$  is the limit of successively finer and finer subsequences, appropriately normalised, of  $\tau_n$  on the subspace  $W_i$ . Since  $\dim W_{i+1} < \dim W_i$ , the sequence of subspaces terminates. Now set  $\pi(\bar{v}) = \sigma_{W_i}(v)$  for  $v \in W_i - W_{i+1}$ . We will then have for some subsequence,

$$\tau_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow \pi(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(P(V)) = \bigcup \overline{\sigma_{W_i}(W_i)}.$$

The proof of the lemma will be complete when we observe that  $\det \sigma_V = 0$ , so that  $\sigma_{W_0} = \sigma_V$  is singular and its range is a proper subspace of  $V$ . For  $i > 0$ , the subspaces  $\sigma_{W_i}(V)$  are clearly proper.

Our principal tool is the next lemma.

LEMMA 3. Suppose  $G$  is a m.a.p. group. Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation of  $G$  on  $V$  and let  $\bar{\rho}$  be the corresponding representation on  $P(V)$ . If  $\bar{\rho}(G)$  preserves a finite measure  $\mu$  on  $P(V)$ , then  $\mu$  is concentrated on  $\bar{\rho}(G)$ -invariant points.

PROOF.  $\rho(G)$  cannot be relatively compact unless  $\rho(G) = 1_V$ . Assuming then that  $\rho$  is not trivial, we can find  $g_n \in G$  with  $\|\rho(g_n)\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Passing to an appropriate subsequence, we can assume, according to the foregoing lemma, that the projective transformations  $\bar{\rho}(g_n)$  converge pointwise to a transformation  $\pi$  whose range is a proper quasi-linear subvariety  $Q \subset P(V)$ . Now let  $D(x)$  denote the distance, in some metric, from  $x \in P(V)$  to the set  $Q$ . By the invariance of  $\mu$  and the Lebesgue convergence theorem we have

$$\int D(x) d\mu(x) = \int D(\bar{\rho}(g_n)x) d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that  $\mu$  is concentrated on the subvariety  $Q$ .

We now apply Lemma 1 and let  $X$  be the minimal quasi-linear subvariety containing the support of  $\mu$ .  $X \subset Q$  and so  $X$  is a proper subvariety of  $P(V)$ . Since  $\bar{\rho}(G)$  preserves  $\mu$ , it preserves its support, and so each  $\bar{\rho}(g)$  must permute the components of  $X$ . There being only finitely many components in  $X$ , it follows that the elements of some subgroup of finite index in  $\bar{\rho}(G)$  leave each component of  $X$  invariant. Since  $G$  has no proper subgroups of finite index, this is true for each  $\bar{\rho}(g)$ . Suppose now that  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$  with  $\bar{W}$  a component of  $X$ .  $W$  is then an invariant subspace of  $\rho(G)$ . We could now repeat the entire argument for  $\rho(G)|_W$  and the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\bar{W}$ . If

$\rho(G)|W \neq 1_W$ , we could find a proper quasi-linear subvariety of  $\overline{W}$  that could replace  $\overline{W}$  in  $X$ . Since this contradicts the definition of  $X$ , we must have  $\rho(G)|X = 1$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

DEFINITION. A pair of groups  $(G, H)$  is called a *Borel pair* if  $G$  is a m.a.p. group and  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  such that the quotient space  $G/H$  supports a finite  $G$ -invariant measure.

In particular, if  $G$  is a semisimple Lie group which is connected and has no compact factors, and  $\Gamma$  is a lattice subgroup, then  $(G, \Gamma)$  is a Borel pair.

LEMMA 4. Let  $(G, H)$  be a Borel pair,  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  a finite-dimensional representation of  $G$ , and assume that  $\rho(H)$  leaves a 1-dimensional subspace  $L \subset V$  invariant. Then  $\rho(G)$  leaves  $L$  invariant.

PROOF. Let  $u \in P(V)$  correspond to  $L$ . Since  $\bar{\rho}(H)u = u$ , there is a continuous map  $\pi: G/H \rightarrow P(V)$  with  $\pi(gH) = \bar{\rho}(g)u$ .  $\pi$  carries the invariant measure on  $G/H$  to an invariant measure on  $P(V)$ , and  $u$  is clearly in the support of this measure. By Lemma 3,  $u$  is fixed by  $\bar{\rho}(G)$  and this proves the lemma.

THEOREM. Let  $(G, H)$  be a Borel pair and  $\rho$  a finite-dimensional representation of  $G$  on a space  $V$ . If  $W$  is a  $\rho(H)$ -invariant subspace, it is also  $\rho(G)$ -invariant.

PROOF. Let  $\dim W = r$  and form the exterior power  $\Lambda^r \rho$  on  $\Lambda^r V$ .  $W$  corresponds to a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\Lambda^r V$  and the foregoing lemma gives the desired result.

From this theorem we may deduce the remaining results of [1].

COROLLARY 1. Let  $(G, H)$  be a Borel pair and let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $G$ . Then every matrix of  $\rho(G)$  is a linear combination of matrices in  $\rho(H)$ .

PROOF. The space spanned by  $\rho(H)$  is  $\rho(H)$ -invariant. So it is  $\rho(G)$ -invariant. Since the identity matrix belongs to it so does all of  $\rho(G)$ .

COROLLARY 2. Let  $(G, H)$  be a Borel pair and let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $G$ . Then the centralizer of  $\rho(H)$  in  $\rho(G)$  is the center of  $\rho(G)$ .

This is clear by Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 3. Let  $(G, H)$  be a Borel pair where  $G$  is a connected Lie group. Then the centralizer of  $H$  in  $G$  coincides with the center  $Z(G)$  of  $G$ .

PROOF. Consider  $\rho = \text{Ad}$  and let  $u \in$  centralizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . By the foregoing  $\text{Ad } u$  is in the center of  $\text{Ad } G$  and for any  $g \in G$ ,  $ugu^{-1}g^{-1} \in Z(G)$ . Now one verifies that the map  $g \rightarrow ugu^{-1}g^{-1}$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  into its center. But since  $G$  is m.a.p. this map is trivial. Hence  $u \in Z(G)$ .

Finally one proves the following, essentially as in [1].

COROLLARY 4. Let  $(G, H)$  be a Borel pair where  $G$  is a connected Lie group. If  $L$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  with finitely many components such that  $L \supset H$ , then  $L = G$ . In particular, if  $G$  is an algebraic group, then  $H$  is Zariski dense in  $G$ .

PROOF. Let  $L_0$  be the identity component of  $L$ , and let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be its Lie algebra.

$H$  normalizes  $L_0$  so  $\text{Ad } H$  leaves  $\Gamma$  invariant. By the theorem,  $\text{Ad } G$  leaves  $\Gamma$  invariant so that  $L_0$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  preserves a finite measure on  $G/H$  and  $G/L$  is an equivariant image of  $G/H$ ,  $G/L$  also possesses a  $G$ -invariant measure. Now  $G/L_0$  is a finite covering space of  $G/L$ , and so it too has an invariant measure. But it is a group and so must be compact. Since  $G$  is m.a.p.,  $L_0 = G$ , and so  $L = G$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Borel, *Density properties of certain subgroups of semisimple groups without compact components*, Ann. of Math. (2) **72**(1960), 179–188. MR23 #A964.
2. H. Furstenberg, *A Poisson formula for semi-simple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **77**(1963), 335–386. MR26 #3820; **28**, p. 1246.
3. J. von Neumann, *Almost periodic functions in a group*. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **36**(1934), 445–492.
4. J. von Neumann and E. P. Wigner, *Minimally almost periodic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **41**(1940), 746–750. MR2, 127.
5. M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

## LINKED CITATIONS

- Page 1 of 1 -



*You have printed the following article:*

### **A Note on Borel's Density Theorem**

Harry Furstenberg

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 55, No. 1. (Feb., 1976), pp. 209-212.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28197602%2955%3A1%3C209%3AANOBDT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-C>

---

*This article references the following linked citations. If you are trying to access articles from an off-campus location, you may be required to first logon via your library web site to access JSTOR. Please visit your library's website or contact a librarian to learn about options for remote access to JSTOR.*

## **Bibliography**

### <sup>3</sup> **Almost Periodic Functions in a Group. I**

J. V. Neumann

*Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 36, No. 3. (Jul., 1934), pp. 445-492.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9947%28193407%2936%3A3%3C445%3AAPFIAG%3E2.0.CO%3B2-N>

**NOTE:** *The reference numbering from the original has been maintained in this citation list.*