

K. Kumaresan

A note on idempotent separating congruences on a regular semigroup

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 2, 315–318

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101953>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A NOTE ON IDEMPOTENT SEPARATING CONGRUENCES
ON A REGULAR SEMIGROUP

K. KUMARESAN, Madras

(Received June 8, 1983)

1. INTRODUCTION

Bohdan Zelinka [6] has shown that a compatible tolerance on a group is a congruence. We give an example which shows that a compatible tolerance on a regular semigroup is not a congruence. We prove that a compatible tolerance on a regular semigroup, which is contained in \mathcal{H} , is a congruence and hence an idempotent separating congruence [3]. In [4] Meakin defined a certain relation and proved that it is a maximum idempotent separating congruence on a regular semigroup. By making use of the notion of sandwich sets introduced by K. S. S. Nambooripad [5] we give an alternative elegant proof for the above. Throughout this paper we follow the terminology and notations of [1] and [3].

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

A reflexive and symmetric relation defined on a semigroup is called a *tolerance relation*. A tolerance ξ on a semigroup S is *left weakly compatible* if $(a, b) \in \xi$ implies $(ra, rb) \in \xi$ for every r in S . A *right weakly compatible* tolerance is defined dually. A tolerance on a semigroup is called *weakly compatible* if it is both left and right weakly compatible. A tolerance ξ in a semigroup S is called *strongly compatible* if $(a, b) \in \xi$ and $(c, d) \in \xi$ imply $(ac, bd) \in \xi$. Strong compatibility of a tolerance implies its weak compatibility whereas weak compatibility of a tolerance does not imply its strong compatibility. This is illustrated by the following example.

Let $S = \{e, a, f, b\}$ be a semigroup with the multiplication table:

	e	a	f	b
e	e	a	f	b
a	a	e	b	f
f	f	b	f	b
b	b	f	b	f

A relation $\rho = \{(e, e), (a, a), (f, f), (b, b), (e, a), (a, e), (f, b), (b, f), (a, b), (b, a), (e, f), (f, e)\}$ is a tolerance on S and it is obviously weakly compatible, $(a, b) \in \rho$ and $(a, e) \in \rho$ but (a^2, be) , that is, $(e, b) \notin \rho$. So ρ is not strongly compatible. It can be seen that transitivity and weak compatibility of a binary relation on a semigroup implies its strong compatibility. Zelinka's [6] notion of compatibility is compatibility in the strong sense.

Hereafter S stands for a regular semigroup and E_s denotes its set of idempotents. If $a \in S$ then $V(a)$ denotes the set of inverses of a in S . For $e, f \in E_s$ the sandwich set of e, f as introduced by K. S. S. Nambooripad [5] is $S(e, f) = \{g \in E_s; ge = fg = g, egf = efg\}$.

The following result is due to K. S. S. Nambooripad [5] and A. H. Clifford [2].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $e, f, h, k \in E_s$, $a, b \in S$, $a' \in V(a)$ and $b' \in V(b)$. Then (i) $S(e, f) \neq \square$; (ii) if $e\mathcal{L}h$ and $f\mathcal{R}k$ then $S(e, f) = S(h, k)$; (iii) if $g \in S(a'a, bb')$ then $agb = ab$ and $b'ga' \in V(ab)$.*

An interesting consequence of the above lemma is the following.

Corollary 2.2. *If $g \in S(a'a, bb')$ then (i) $b'g \in V(gb)$, (ii) $ga' \in V(ag)$.*

Following Meakin [4] we define for $a \in S$,

$$EL(a) = \{e \in E_s; L_e \leq L_a\},$$

$$ER(a) = \{e \in E_s; R_e \leq R_a\}.$$

Clearly $EL(a) \neq \square$ and $ER(a) \neq \square$ for every a in S .

Lemma 2.3. *If $g \in S(a'a, bb')$ and $e \in EL(ab)$ then (i) $beb' \in E_s$, (ii) $(gb)e(gb)' \in EL(a)$.*

Proof. $e \in EL(ab)$ implies $e \in Sab \subseteq Sb$. So there exists u in S such that $e = ub$. $beb'beb' = bubb'bubb' = bububb' = beeb' = beb'$. Now $e \in EL(ab) = EL(agb)$ implies $e \in Sagb \subseteq Sgb$. Hence there exists v in S such that $e = vgb$. $(gb)e(gb)' = (gb)e(gb)' = gbvgb'gbvgb'g$ (using Cor. 2.2) = $gbvgggbvgb'g = gbvgbvgb'g = gbvgb'g = gbe(gb)'$. Now $L_{gb'eb'g} \leq L_g = L_{ga'a} \leq L_a$ and so $gbe'g \in L(a)$. Therefore $gbe(gb)' \in EL(a)$.

3. A COUNTER-EXAMPLE AND THE MAIN THEOREM

Example 3.1. A strongly compatible tolerance on a regular semigroup need not be a congruence. The following simple and elegant counterexample is due to Dr. Boris M. Schien.

$L = \{e, f, g\}$ is a commutative idempotent semigroup with the multiplication table

given below:

$$\begin{array}{c} e f g \\ \hline e e e e \\ f e f e \\ g e e g \end{array}$$

A relation $\varrho = \{(e, e), (e, g), (g, e), (g, g), (e, f), (f, e), (f, f)\}$ is obviously a strongly compatible tolerance on L . However, it is not a congruence since $(g, e), (e, f) \in \varrho$ but $(g, f) \notin \varrho$.

Theorem 3.2. *If ξ is a strongly compatible tolerance on S such that $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ then ξ is an idempotent separating congruence on S .*

Proof. Let $(a, b), (b, c) \in \xi$. The condition $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ implies (a, b) and $(b, c) \in \mathcal{H}$. There exist $a' \in V(a), b^*, b' \in V(b)$ and $c^* \in V(c)$ such that $a'a = b'b$ and $aa' = bb'$ and $b*b = c*c$ and $bb^* = cc^*$. \mathcal{H} being transitive we have $(a, c) \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence there exist $a^* \in V(a)$ and $c' \in V(c)$ such that $a'a = b'b = c'c$; $aa' = bb' = cc'$; $a^*a = b^*b = c^*c$; $aa^* = bb^* = cc^*$. Now $(a, b) \in \xi$ and $(b', b') \in \xi$ imply $(ab', bb') \in \xi$. From $(ab', bb') \in \xi$ and $(b, c) \in \xi$ we get $(ab'b, bb'c) \in \xi$. Hence $(aa'a, cc'c) \in \xi$. That is $(a, c) \in \xi$.

Now ξ , being a congruence contained in \mathcal{H} , is an idempotent separating congruence [3].

We observe that only reflexivity and strong compatibility of ξ have been used in the above proof.

Meaking [4] defined a relation $\mu = \{(a, b) \in S \times S: \text{there are inverses } a' \text{ of } a \text{ and } b' \text{ of } b \text{ such that } aea' = beb', \forall e \in EL(a) \cup EL(b) \text{ and } a'fa = b'fb \forall f \in ER(a) \cup ER(b)\}$. Clearly μ is a tolerance in S . It was proved that $\mu \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ [4]. Making use of sandwich sets we prove that μ is strongly compatible; whereas Meakin proves its transitivity and weak compatibility separately [4].

Proposition 3.3. *μ is strongly compatible.*

Proof. Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mu$. Now $(a, b) \in \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ implies $a'a = b'b$ and $(c, d) \in \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ implies $cc' = dd'$ where $a' \in V(a), b' \in V(b), c' \in V(c)$ and $d' \in V(d)$. Hence we get $a'a \mathcal{L} b'b$ and $cc' \mathcal{R} dd'$ which by Lemma 2.1 imply (ii) $S(a'a, cc') = S(b'b, dd')$. Let $e \in EL(ac) \cup EL(bd)$ and $g \in S(a'a, cc') = S(b'b, dd')$.

Now $L_e \leq L_{ac} \leq L_c$ or $L_e \leq L_{bd} \leq L_{bd}$. Therefore $e \in EL(c) \cup EL(d)$, consequently $cec' = ded'$ and $gcec'g = gded'g$. By Lemma 2.3, $gcec'g \in EL(a)$ and $gded'g \in EL(b)$. Hence $gcec'g = gded'g \in EL(a) \cup EL(b)$ which in turn implies $agcec'ga' = bgded'gb'$. That is, $(ac)e(ac)' = (bd)e(bd)'$. Similarly we get $(ac)'f(ac) = (bd)'f(bd)$ where $f \in ER(ac) \cup ER(bd)$. Hence $(ac, bd) \in \mu$. By Theorem 3.2, μ is an idempotent separating congruence on S . It was proved that μ is a maximum idempotent separating congruence on S [4].

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Dr. Vijaya L. Mannepalli for her helpful suggestions in the preparation of this paper and the referee for his valuable comments.

References

- [1] *Clifford A. H. and G. B. Preston:* Algebraic theory of semigroups, Math. Survey vol. *I*. No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence RI(1961).
- [2] *Clifford A. H.:* The fundamental representation of a regular semigroup, Department of Maths, Tulane University (1974).
- [3] *Howie J. M.:* An Introduction to Semigroup Theory, Academic Press, New York (1976).
- [4] *Meakin J.:* The maximum idempotent separating congruence on a regular semigroup, Proceedings of Edinburgh Math. Soc. Vol. 18, series *II* (1972–73) 159–162.
- [5] *Nambooripad K. S. S.:* Structure of regular semigroups I, Semigroup forum 9 (1974) 354–363.
- [6] *Zelinka B.:* Tolerances in algebraic structures, Czech. Math. Journal Vol. 25 (1975) 175–178.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Hindu College, Pattabiram, Madras-72, Tamil Nadu, India.