

A note on odd cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers

Benny Sudakov *

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
and Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
`bsudakov@math.princeton.edu`

Submitted: February 15, 2001; Accepted: December 4, 2001.

AMS Subject Classifications: 05D10, 05C69, 05C38

Abstract

The Ramsey number $r(C_l, K_n)$ is the smallest positive integer m such that every graph of order m contains either cycle of length l or a set of n independent vertices. In this short note we slightly improve the best known upper bound on $r(C_l, K_n)$ for odd l .

1 Introduction

The Ramsey number $r(C_l, K_n)$ is the smallest positive integer m such that every graph of order m contains either cycle of length l or a set of n independent vertices. In this note we give an improved asymptotic bounds on $r(C_l, K_n)$ for odd $l > 5$.

Erdős et al. [5] proved that

$$r(C_l, K_n) \leq c(l)n^{1+1/k} \quad \text{where } k = \lceil l/2 \rceil - 1,$$

and $c(l)$ is a positive constant depending on l . A general lower bound for $r(C_l, K_n)$ was given by Spencer [8]. Later the asymptotics of $r(C_3, K_n)$ was determined up to a constant factor in [1] and [6]. For other values of l the result of Erdős et al. was slightly improved by Caro et al. [4]. In particular they showed that $r(C_{2k}, K_n) \leq c(k)(n/\ln n)^{k/(k-1)}$ for k fixed where n tends to infinity, and that $r(C_5, K_n) \leq cn^{3/2}/\sqrt{\ln n}$. In [4] the authors also

*Research supported in part by NSF grants DMS-0106589, CCR-9987845 and by the State of New Jersey.

suggested that one should be able to obtain a similar improvement for the cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers for odd cycles of length greater than 5. Here we give such an improvement of the bound of Erdős et al. for $r(C_{2k+1}, K_n)$ for all remaining $k > 2$. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *For every fixed integer k and $n \rightarrow \infty$ the Ramsey numbers*

$$r(C_{2k+1}, K_n) \leq c(k) \frac{n^{1+1/k}}{\ln^{1/k} n}.$$

2 Proof of main result

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. We will assume whenever this is needed that n is sufficiently large and make no attempt to optimize our absolute constants. First we need the following well known bound ([3], Lemma 15, Chapter 12) on the independence number of a graph containing few triangles (see also [2] for a more general result).

Proposition 2.1 *Let G be a graph on n vertices with average degree at most d and let h be the number of triangles in G . Then G contains an independent set of order at least*

$$0.1 \frac{n}{d} \left(\ln d - 1/2 \ln(h/n) \right).$$

From this proposition we can immediately deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2 *Let G be a graph on n vertices with maximal degree d which does not contain a cycle of length $2k + 1$. Then the independence number of G is at least*

$$\alpha(G) \geq 0.05 \frac{n}{d} \left(\ln d - \ln k \right).$$

Proof. Since G has no cycle of length $2k + 1$ it is easy to see that the neighborhood $N(v)$ of any vertex v contains no $2k$ -vertex path. On the other hand it is well known that the graph with minimal degree $2k$ contains such a path. Therefore any induced subgraph of $G[N(v)]$ should contain vertex of degree smaller than $2k$. Delete from graph $G[N(v)]$ the vertex of minimal degree and repeat this procedure until the graph is empty. Note that at every step we remove at most $2k$ edges and in the end of the process we remove all the edges of $G[N(v)]$. Hence we obtain that $N(v)$ spans at most $2k|N(v)| \leq 2kd$ edges and the number of triangles in G , containing v is at most $2kd$. This implies that G contains at most $h = 2kdn/3$ triangles. Thus from Proposition 2.1 it follows that

$$\alpha(G) \geq 0.1 \frac{n}{d} \left(\ln d - 1/2 \ln(h/n) \right) \geq 0.1 \frac{n}{d} \left(\ln d - 1/2 \ln(kd) \right) = 0.05 \frac{n}{d} \left(\ln d - \ln k \right). \quad \blacksquare$$

For the next statement we need to introduce some notations. Let G be a graph and v be an arbitrary vertex of G . Denote by $d(v, u)$ the length of the shortest path from v to u and let $N_i(v) = \{u \mid d(v, u) = i\}$ be the set of all vertices which are in distance exactly i from v . The following useful result about graphs without short cycles was proved by Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [5].

Proposition 2.3 *Let G be a graph which has no cycles of length $2k + 1$. Then for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ the induced subgraph $G[N_i(v)]$ contains an independent set of order at least $|N_i(v)|/(2k - 1)$.*

We are now ready to complete the proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a graph on $m = 80(kn)^{1+1/k} / \ln^{1/k} n$ vertices without C_{2k+1} and let $d = 2(kn)^{1/k} \ln^{1-1/k} n$. We start with $G' = G$ and $I = \emptyset$ and as long as G' has a vertex of degree at least d we do the following iterative procedure. Pick a vertex $v \in G'$ with degree at least d . If $N_k(v)$ in G' has size at least $2kn$, then by Proposition 2.3 it contains an independent set of size greater than n and we are done. Otherwise, since $|N_1(v)|/|N_0(v)| = |N_1(v)| \geq d$ there exist an index $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ such that

$$\frac{|N_{i+1}(v)|}{|N_i(v)|} \leq \left(\frac{2kn}{d}\right)^{1/(k-1)} = \frac{(kn)^{1/k}}{\ln^{1/k} n} = x.$$

Pick the smallest i with this property. By Proposition 2.3 $N_i(v)$ contains an independent set I' of size at least $|N_i(v)|/(2k-1)$. Set $I = I \cup I'$ and remove all vertices in $N_{i-1}(v)$, $N_i(v)$ and $N_{i+1}(v)$ from G' . Note that the number of vertices which we have removed is at most

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{i-1}(v)| + |N_i(v)| + |N_{i+1}(v)| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{x} + 1 + x\right) |N_i(v)| & (1) \\ &\leq \frac{2(kn)^{1/k}}{\ln^{1/k} n} |N_i(v)| \leq \frac{4k(kn)^{1/k}}{\ln^{1/k} n} |I'|, \end{aligned}$$

and they contain all the neighbors of the vertices in I' . Therefore during the whole process I stays always independent. In addition, by (1) the ratio between the total number of vertices which we remove and the order of I is at most $4k(kn)^{1/k} / \ln^{1/k} n$.

Let G' be a graph obtained in the end of this process. Either we done or by definition its maximal degree is less than d . If it has at least $m/2$ vertices, then by Corollary 2.2 it contains an independent set of size $0.05(m/2d)(\ln d - \ln k) > n$. Here we needed that $m = 80(kn)^{1+1/k} / \ln^{1/k} n$. On the other hand if we remove more than $m/2$ vertices during our process, then we constructed an independent set I in G of order

$$|I| \geq \frac{m/2}{4k(kn)^{1/k} / \ln^{1/k} n} = \frac{40(kn)^{1+1/k} / \ln^{1/k} n}{4k(kn)^{1/k} / \ln^{1/k} n} > n.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for several helpful comments.

Note added in proof. When this paper was written we learned that independently of our work Y. Li and W. Zang [7] obtained a similar result.

References

- [1] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós and E. Szemerédi, A note on Ramsey numbers, *J. Combinatorial Theory A* 29 (1980), 354-360.
- [2] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, Coloring graphs with sparse neighborhoods, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B* 77 (1999), 73-82.
- [3] B. Bollobás, **Random Graphs**, Academic Press, London, 1985.
- [4] Y. Caro, Y. Li, C. Rousseau and Y. Zhang, Asymptotic bounds for some bipartite graph-complete graph Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Mathematics* 220 (2000), 51-56.
- [5] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, C. Rousseau and R. Schelp, On cycle-complete graph Ramsey numbers, *J. Graph Theory* 2 (1978), 53-64.
- [6] J. Kim, The Ramsey number $R(3, t)$ has order of magnitude $t^2/\log t$, *Random Structures and Algorithms* 7 (1995), 173-207.
- [7] Y. Li and W. Zang, The independence number of graphs with a forbidden cycle and Ramsey numbers, preprint.
- [8] J. Spencer, Asymptotic lower bounds for Ramsey functions, *Discrete Mathematics* 20 (1977), 69-76.