A NOTE ON QUASI AND BI-IDEALS IN TERNARY SEMIGROUPS

V. N. DIXIT and SARITA DEWAN

Department of Mathematics University of Delhi Delhi India

(Received May 11, 1993 and in revised form November 3, 1993)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we have studied the properties of Quasi-ideals and Biideals in ternary semi groups. We prove that every quasi-ideal is a bi-ideal in T but the converse is not true in general by giving several example in different context.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Quasi-ideal, Bi-ideal, Ternary Semi group. 1992 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE 20N99

1. INTRODUCTION.

D.H. Lehmer [4] gave the definition of a ternary semi group as follows:

DEFINITION 1.1. A non-empty set T is called a ternary semigroup if a ternary operation [] on T is defined and satisfies the associative law

 $[[x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]x_4 \ x_5] = [x_1[x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4]x_5] = [x_1 \ x_2[x_3 \ x_4 \ x_5]]$

for all $x_i \in T$, $1 \le i \le 5$.

Banach showed by an example that a ternary semi group does not necessarily reduce to an ordinary semi group. This has been shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE 1.2. Let $T = \{-i, 0, i\}$ be a ternary semi group under the multiplication over complex number while T is not a binary semi group under the multiplication over complex number.

Los [5] showed that any ternary semi group however may be embedded in an ordinary semi group in such a way that the operation in the ternary semi group is an (ternary) extension of the (binary) operation of the containing semi group.

Dudek [1], Feizullaer [2], Kim and Roush [3], Lyapin [6] and Sioson [7] has also studied the properties of the ternary semi groups.

We give the following definitions of ideals [7] as follows:

DEFINITION 1.3. A left (right, lateral) ideal of a ternary semi group T is a non-empty subset L(R,M) of T such that

$$[TTL] \subset L([RTT] \subseteq R, [TMT] \subseteq M)$$

DEFINITION 1.4. If a non-empty subset of T is a left, right and lateral ideal of T, then it is called an ideal of T.

DEFINITION 1.5. For each element t in T, the left, right and lateral ideal generated by 't' are respectively given by:

$$(t)_{L} = \{t\} \cup \{TTt\}$$

$$(t)_{R} = \{t\} \cup \{tTT\}$$

$$(t)_{M} = \{t\} \cup \{TtT\} \cup \{TTtTT\}$$

Due to associative law in T, one may write Sioson [7]

$$[x_1 \ x_2 \dots x_{2n+1}] = [x_1 \dots \ x_{m+1} \dots x_{m+4} \dots x_{2n+1}], \ m \le n$$
$$= [x_1 \dots \ [[x_m x_{m+1} x_{m+2}] x_{m+3} \ x_{m+4}] \dots x_{2n+1}], \ m \le n$$

DEFINITION 1.6. Quasi-ideal in a ternary semi group [7] is also a subset Q of T (possibly empty) satisfying following two conditions:

- (1) $[QTT] \cap [TQT] \cap [TTQ] \subseteq Q$
- (2) $[QTT] \cap [TTQTT] \cap [TTQ] \subset Q$

REMARK 1.7. Every right, left and lateral ideal is a quasi-ideal. But every quasi-ideal is not a right, a left and a lateral ideal of T. This follows from the following example

EXAMPLE 1.8. Let $T = \{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\}$ be the ternary semi group under matrix multiplication. Then $Q = \{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\}$ be the quasi-ideal of T, which is neither a left, nor a right nor a lateral ideal of T.

DEFINITION 1.9. A ternary sub semi group is a subset S of a ternary semi group T such that

$$[SSS] \subseteq S$$

DEFINITION 1.10. A ternary semi group T is said to be a ternary group if it satisfies the following property that for all x,y and z in T, there exists unique a,b,c in T such that

$$[xab] = c, [ayb] = c, [abz] = c$$

DEFINITION 1.11. A ternary group T is said to be a ternary group with 0 if for all a,b,c in T

[oab] = 0 = [aob] = [abo] = [aoo] = [obo] = [ooc].

DEFINITION 1.12. A ternary semi group T is with identity if there exists an

idempotent e in T such that

 $[aae] = [eaa] = [aea] = a, \forall a \in T.$

2. SOME RESULTS ON QUASI-IDEAL IN T WHICH ARE TRIVIALLY TRUE

PROPOSITION 2.1. A ternary group T with 0 and [TTT] \neq 0 has no proper quasiideal.

PROPOSITION 2.2. The intersection of a quasi-ideal Q and a ternary sub semigroup A of a ternary semi group T is either empty or a quasi-ideal of A.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let Q be any non-empty subset of a ternary semi group T, then the following are true:

- (1) $Q \cup [TTQ]$ is the smallest left ideal of T containing Q.
- (2) $Q \cup [QTT]$ is the smallest right ideal of T containing Q.
- (3) $Q \cup [TQT] \cup [TTQTT]$ is the smallest lateral ideal of T containing Q.
- (4) If Q is a quasi-ideal of T. Then

 $Q = (Q \cup [TTQ]) \cap (Q \cup [TQT] \cup [TTQTT]) \cap (Q \cup [QTT]).$

PROPOSITION 2.4. The intersection of arbitrary set of quasi-ideals in a ternary semi group is either empty or a quasi-ideal of T.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let X be a non-empty subset of a ternary semi group T. The quasi-ideal of T generated by X is intersection of all quasi-ideals $(X)_q$ of T containing X.

If the subset X consists of a single element X, then (X) $_{\rm q}$ is the cyclic quasi-ideal of T.

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let X be a non-empty subset of ternary semi group T, then

 $(X)_{ci} = (X \cup [TTX]) \cap (X \cup [TXT] \cup [TTXTT]) \cap (X \cup [XTT])$

is the smallest quasi-ideal containing X.

PROOF. Sioson [7] shows that the intersection of a right, a left and a lateral ideal of a ternary semi group T is a quasi-ideal. Therefore the proof easily follows by using 2.3.

From 2.6 it follows that

 $(\chi)_{\alpha} = (\{\chi\}_{U}, [TTX]\})_{\Omega} (\{\chi\}_{U} [TXT]]_{U} [TTXTT])_{\Omega} (\{\chi\}_{U} [XTT])$

is the smallest quasi-ideal of T containing X.

3. BI-IDEALS IN TERNARY SEMI GROUP

DEFINITION 3.1. A ternary sub semi group B of a ternary semi group T is a biideal of T if [BIBTB] \subseteq B.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Every quasi-ideal of a ternary semi group T is a bi-ideal.

PROOF. Let Q be a quasi-ideal of T. Then Q is a ternary semi group of T. Now [QTQTQ] \leq [Q[TIT]T] \leq [QTT].

Similarly [QTQTQ] \leq [TTQ] \cap [TTQTT].

Therefore $[QTQTQ] \subseteq [TTQ] \cap [TTQTT] \cap [QTT] \subseteq Q.$

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let A be an ideal and Q be a quasi-ideal of T. Then $A \cap Q$ is a bi-ideal and a quasi-ideal of T.

PROOF. [An Q An Q] \leq [AAA] n [QQQ] \leq AnQ implies that An Q is a ternary sub semi group of T. Also

LAN Q T AN Q T AN Q] ⊆LQTQTQ] N LAETATJA∃ ⊆ Q N LAAA

by (3.2) and the given hypothesis implies that L.H.S. \subseteq QnA. Thus An Q is a biideal of T. Since A is an ideal of T and it is also a quasi-ideal of T. Hence An Q is a quasi-ideal of T.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let X,Y be non-empty subsets of ternary semi group T, then N = [XTY] is a bi-ideal of T.

PROOF. Clearly N is a ternary sub semi group of T. Also

 $[NINTN] \subset [X[TTT][TTT]Y] \subseteq [X[TTT]Y]$

 \leq [XTY] = N.

Then N is a bi-ideal of T.

PROPOSITION 3.5. The intersection of arbitrary set of bi-ideals of T is either empty or a bi-ideal of T.

We omit the trivial proof.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Every left, right or lateral ideal of T is a bi-ideal of T. PROOF. Trivial.

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let Q be a subset of a ternary semi group T and Y be a nonempty proper subset of T such that

(1) $[TTQ] \cup [TQT] \cup [QTT] \cup [TTQTT] \subseteq Y.$

(2) Y ⊆ Q.

Then Y is an ideal of T. Moreover Y is a bi-ideal of T.

PROOF. It is obvious that [TTY], [TYT]. [YTT] and [TTYTT] are contained in Y under the condition (2) therefore Y is an ideal of T. And hence a quasi-ideal of T which by 3.2 is a bi-ideal of T.

In the following example we show that if both or either of the conditions (1) and (2) of above proposition are not satisfied then Y is neither a left, a right, a lateral, a quasi nor a bi-ideal of T.

EXAMPLE 3.8. Let T = { $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ }. Then T is a ternary semi group under matrix multiplication.

504

(1) Take Y = { $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and the quasi-ideal $Q = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \text{ of } T.$ We see that $Y \notin Q$, and [TTQ] U [TOT] U [TTOTT] U [OTT] $= \{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \}$ ⊈Υ Also each of [TTY], [TYT], [YTT] and [TTYTT] is not in Y. Therefore Y is neither a left, nor a right nor a lateral ideal of T. Moreover [TTY] n [TYT] n [YTT] ¢ Y. So, Y is not a quasi-ideal of T. Take $Y = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \}$ and $Q = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \}$. Then $Y \subseteq Q$. (2) Again [TTQ] \cup [TQT] \cup [QTT] \cup [TTQTT] \notin Y. Since each of [TTY], [TYT], [YTT] contains ($\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, they are not contained in Y. Hence Y is neither a left, a lateral nor a right ideal of T. Also $[TTY] \cap [TYT] \cap [YTT] \notin Y$. So Y is not a quasi-ideal of T. Further [YTYTY] & Y implies Y is not a bi-ideal of T. (3) Now we take $Y = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \}$ and $Q = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \}$ of T. hen Y &Q. $[TTQ]_{\cup} [TQT] \cup [TTQTT] \cup [QTT] = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \subseteq Y.$ We find that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in [TTY]$, [TYT] and [YTT]. But $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 4 Y. So Y is either a left, a lateral nor a right ideal of T. Similarly Y is neither a quasi nor a bi-ideal of T. THEOREM 3.9. Let X,Y and Z be three non-empty subsets of a ternary semigroup T and N = [XYZ]. Then N is a bi-ideal of T if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $X, Y \subseteq Z$ and Z is a bi-ideal of T. (2) $Y,Z \subseteq X$ and X is a bi-ideal of T. (3) $X,Z \subset Y$ and Y is a bi-ideal of T. (4) At least one of X,Y,Z is a right, or a left or a lateral ideal of T. PROOF. (1) [NNN] \subseteq [XYZ[ZZZ][ZZZ]] $\subset [XY[ZZZ]] \subset N$ and [NTNTN] \subseteq [XY[ZTZTZ]] \subseteq N. Similar proofs establish (2) and (3). (4) Asssume X is a right ideal of T. Then

 $[NNN] \subseteq [X[TTT][TTT]YZ] \subset [XTTYZ] \subset N$

$$[NINTN] \subseteq [X[TIT][TIT]]TIYZ] \subset [XTIYZ] \subset N.$$

Similar proofs can be given when either X or Y or Z is a left, or a lateral or a right ideal of T.

DEFINITION 3.10 [7]. An element 't' in a ternary semi group T is said to be regular if there exists x,y in T such that

$$[txtyt] = t.$$

If all the elements of T are regular then it is said to be regular ternary semi group.

EXAMPLE 3.11. This example shows that there exists a ternary semi group while T is not a regular ternary semi group such that T has a minimal right, a minimal lateral and a minimal left ideal of T.

Let $T = \{0, e, a, b\}$ be the ternary semi group under the operation (), (given below in the table)

()	0	e	а	ь
0	0	0	0	0
e	0	е	a	ь
a	0	a	0	0
ь	0	ъ	0	0

 $\forall a,b,c \in T$, [abc] = a(bc) = (ab)c.

Hence {0} is a minimal right, a minimal left and a minimal lateral ideal of T. Since a and b are not the regular elements of T. Therefore T is not a regular ternary semi group.

Now we use theorem 3.9 to give an example of a ternary semi group in which a bi-ideal is not a quasi-ideal.

EXAMPLE 3.12. Let T be a ternary semi group such that T is not regular, X,Y,Z be respectively a minimal right, a minimal lateral and a minimal left ideal of T satisfying the condition of 3.9. Thus N = [XYZ] is a bi-ideal of T. We will show that N is not a quasi-ideal of T.

PROOF. $[XYZ] \subseteq [XTT] \subseteq X$, $[XYZ] \subseteq Y$, $[XYZ] \subseteq Z$. So, $[XYZ] \subseteq X \cap Y \cap Z$ which is a minimal quasi-ideal of T [7].

If we assume that [XYZ] is a quasi-ideal then [XYZ] = $X \cap Y \cap Z$ which (by Sioson [7]) thus implies that T is a regular ternary semi group. Hence it contradicts the hypothesis. So [XYZ] is not a quasi-ideal but bi-ideal by Theorem 3.9.

PROPOSITION 3.13. In a regular ternary semi group every bi-ideal is a quasiideal.

PROOF. Sioson [7] shows that a subset Q of a regular ternary sem! group T is a quasi-ideal if and only if

$$[QTQTQ] \cap [QTTQTTQ] \subseteq Q.$$

Since a bi-ideal of T, clearly satisfies the above condition, so we get the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.14. Let C be a non-empty subset of a ternary semi group T without identity. Then C u [CCC] u [CTCTC] is the smallest bi-ideal of T containing C.

PROOF. Let x be any element of C u [CCC] u [CTCTC]. Then either $x = x_1$ for x_1 in C or $x = [c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3] \in [CCC]$ for all c_1 in C. i = 1,2,3 or $x = [c_1t_1c_2t_2c_3]$ [CTCTC] for all c_1 in C, i = 1,2,3, t_1 in T, i = 1,2.

We will consider the elements of [CTCTC]. The other two cases will be done in similar manner. Let x,y,z ϵ [CTCTC].

i.e., $x = [c_1t_1 \ c_2t_2 \ c_3]$, $y = [c_4t_3 \ c_5t_4 \ c_6]$. $z = [c_7t_5 \ c_8t_6 \ c_9]$, $c_i \ \epsilon$ C, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, 9$, $t_i \ \epsilon$ T, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$. Then

$$[xyz] = [[c_1t_1 c_2t_2 c_3][c_4t_3 c_5t_4 c_6][c_7t_5 c_8t_6 c_9]]$$

= [c_1[[t_1c_2t_2][c_3c_4t_3][c_5t_4c_6]]c_7[t_5c_8t_6]c_9]
= [c_1t_7c_7t_8c_9] where
t_7 = [[t_1c_2t_2][c_3c_4t_3][c_5t_4c_6]]
t_8 = [t_5c_8t_6]

so [xyz] $\epsilon C \cup [OOC] \cup [CICIC]$. Further, [xt₉yt₁₀z] = [[c₁t₁c₂t₂c₃]t₉[c₄t₃c₅t₄c₆]t₁₀[c₇t₅c₈t₆c₀]]

$$= [c_{1}[[t_{1}c_{2}t_{2}][c_{3}t_{9}c_{4}][t_{3}c_{5}t_{4}]]c_{6}[t_{10}c_{7}[t_{5}c_{8}t_{6}]]c_{9}]$$

$$= [c_{1} t_{11} c_{6} t_{12} c_{9}]$$

$$t_{11} = [[t_{1}c_{2}t_{2}][c_{3}t_{9}c_{4}][t_{3}c_{5}t_{4}]]$$

$$t_{12} = [t_{10}c_{7}[t_{5}t_{8}t_{6}]], t_{9}, t_{10} \in T.$$

Thus

where

$$[xt_{9} yt_{10} z] \in C \cup [CCC] \cup [CICIC].$$

Hence $C \cup [OCC] \cup [CICIC]$ is a bi-ideal of T containing C. Suppose there exists a bi-ideal R of T containing C such that

$$R \subseteq C \cup [OOC] \cup [CTCTC].$$

Then R being a bi-ideal implies that

$$R \in C \cup [COC] \cup [CTCTC] \subseteq R \cup [RRR] \cup [RTRTR] \subseteq R.$$

Thus $R = C \cup [COC] \cup [CTCTC]$ is the smallest bi-ideal of T contain ing C.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors are very thankful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- DUDEK IZABELA, M. <u>'On Ternary Semi group Connected With Para Associative Rings'</u> Riarche Mat. 35(1986), No. 2,191-203.
- FEILULLAER, R.B. '<u>Ternary Semi group Locally Homeomorphic</u>'. Dokl. Akad.Nauk. SSSR 252(1980), No.5, 1063-1065.
- KIM KI HANG and ROUSH FRED, W. 'Ternary Semi group Associative on Each Pair of Factor'. Simon Stevin 54(1980), No.2, 65-74.
- 4. LEHMER, D.H. '<u>A Ternary Analogue of Abelian Groups</u>', Amer. Jr. of Maths. 59 (1932)329-338.
- 5. LOS, J. "On The Extending of Models I', Fundamenta Mathematicae 42(1955)38-54.
- LYAPIN, E.S. '<u>Realization of Ternary Semi groups</u>' (Russian) Modern Algebra, pp. 43-48 (Leningrad Univ.), Leningrad (1981).
- 7. SIOSON, F.M. 'Ideal Theory in Ternary Semi groups', Math. Japan 10(1965)63-84.



Advances in **Operations Research**



The Scientific World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis



Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society









Journal of **Function Spaces**



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

