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#### Abstract

It is established that if a time series satisfies the Berman condition, and another related (summability) condition, the result of filtering that series through a certain type of filter also satisfies the two conditions. In particular it follows that if $X_{t}$ satisfies the two conditions and if $X_{t}$ and $a_{t}$ are related by an invertible ARMA model, then the $a_{t}$ satisfy the two conditions.


## 1 Introduction

The condition (on the autocovariances $\gamma_{k}$ of a stationary time series)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|\gamma_{k}\right| \ln k=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was introduced by Berman (1964, Theorem 3.1, p. 510). It appears to have been adopted as a fundamental sufficient condition in proving results about extreme value distributions for correlated data. It is cited for instance in Leadbetter et al. (1983, equation 2.5.1, p. 444), Lindgren and Rootzén (1987, equation 5.1, p. 248), Leadbetter and Rootzén (1988, equation 4.1.1, p. 80), Galambos (1978, Theorem 3.8.2, p. 169; see also p. 198), and in Embrechts et al. (1999, Theorem 4.4.8, p. 217), where it is described as being "very weak." It appears to be effectively the weakest condition that one can assume and still obtain positive results in this context.

In Chareka, Matarise and Turner (2006) the authors found it necessary to assume, in addition to the Berman condition, another condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}}<\infty \quad \text { for some } \varepsilon<1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is given as condition (7) on page 598 of Chareka et al. (2006). In that paper the authors find it expedient to deal with the residuals from fitting an ARMA model to the time series $X_{t}$ under consideration. They are thereby concerned with the innovation terms of such a model. Suppose that $X_{t}$ and $a_{t}$ are related via an ARMA model in which the $a_{t}$ play the role of the innovations. Chareka et al. remark that

[^0]if the time series $X_{t}$ is a fractionally integrated ARMA ("FARIMA") time series (whence it satisfies the two conditions of interest (1.1) and (1.2)) then the innovations $a_{t}$ also form a FARIMA series provided that the model is invertible. Hence the $a_{t}$ satisfy the two conditions of interest as well.

Chareka et al. assert that more is true: if $X_{t}$ is any stationary time series satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) and if $X_{t}$ and a series of innovations $a_{t}$ are related by an invertible ARMA model, then the $a_{t}$ will also satisfy these conditions. In this note we present the proof of that claim.

We now remark that interest is focussed on the $a_{t}$ and these quantities are thought of as being the output of a filter, with the $X_{t}$ being the input. However the phrasing of the claim, with the $a_{t}$ being the innovations of an ARMA model, makes it appear as if the $a_{t}$ are the input to a filter, which is rather confusing. The required condition of invertibility of the ARMA model is also somewhat disconcerting. Finally, it turns out that a slightly stronger claim may be established. We therefore rephrase the assertion to be proven, in a stronger and less confusing form, and state the original claim as a corollary of the rephrased assertion.

## 2 The main result

We state the result to be proven as follows:
Theorem. Suppose that $X_{t}$ is a stationary time series with autocovariances $\gamma_{k}$ satisfying conditions (1.1) and (1.2) and that the series $Y_{t}$ is the output of a linear filter with input $X_{t}$ given as follows:

$$
Y_{t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n} X_{t-n}
$$

Suppose that the $\psi_{n}$ are summable (whence the $Y_{t}$ form a stationary time series). Furthermore suppose that the $\psi_{n}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\gamma_{k}^{W}\right| \leq C r^{|k|} \quad \text { for all } k \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $C$ and $r, 0<r<1$, where

$$
\gamma_{k}^{W}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{n} \psi_{n+k}
$$

and where we set $\psi_{n}=0$ for $n<0$ (to simplify the notation). Then the autocovariances $\gamma_{k}^{Y}$ of the series $Y_{t}$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2) as well.

Proof. We remark that the $\gamma_{k}^{W}$ are in fact the autocovariances of a time series $W_{t}$ defined by

$$
W_{t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n} b_{t-n}
$$

where $b_{t}$ is white noise with variance 1 .
Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{k}^{Y} & =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{n} \psi_{m} \gamma_{m-n+k} \\
& =\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{n} \psi_{n+h} \gamma_{k+h} \\
& =\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{h}^{W} \gamma_{k+h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that the $\gamma_{k}^{Y}$ satisfy condition (1.1) we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{k}^{Y} & =\sum_{h=-\infty}^{-k-1} \gamma_{h}^{W} \gamma_{k+h}+\sum_{h=-k}^{-1} \gamma_{h}^{W} \gamma_{k+h}+\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{h}^{W} \gamma_{k+h} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k+j}^{W} \gamma_{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{k-j}^{W} \gamma_{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{j}^{W} \gamma_{k+j} \\
& =\xi_{1}(k)+\xi_{2}(k)+\xi_{3}(k) \quad \text { (say). }
\end{aligned}
$$

To deal with $\xi_{1}(k)$ we observe that

$$
\left|\xi_{1}(k)\right| \ln k \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{k+j}^{W}\right|\left|\gamma_{j}\right| \ln k \leq C \gamma_{0} r^{k} \ln k \frac{r}{1-r}
$$

using (2.1). This quantity $\rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ since $r<1$.
Similarly

$$
\left|\xi_{3}(k)\right| \ln k \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{j}^{W}\right|\left|\gamma_{k+j}\right| \ln (k+j) \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r^{j}\left|\gamma_{k+j}\right| \ln (k+j)
$$

Take $\delta>0$; for sufficiently large $k,\left|\gamma_{k+j}\right| \ln (k+j) \leq \delta$ for all $j \geq 0$. Hence

$$
\left|\xi_{3}(k)\right| \ln k \leq \frac{\delta \times C}{1-r}
$$

for sufficiently large $k$, and since $\delta$ is arbitrary, $\left|\xi_{3}(k)\right| \ln k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
To deal with the middle term $\xi_{2}(k)$ we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\xi_{2}(k)\right| & \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|\gamma_{j}\right| r^{k-j} \\
& =C\left[\sum_{j=0}^{[k / 2]}\left|\gamma_{j}\right| r^{k-j}+\sum_{j=[k / 2]+1}^{k-1}\left|\gamma_{j}\right| r^{k-j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{[k / 2]} \gamma_{0} r^{k-j}+C \sum_{j=[k / 2]+1}^{k-1}\left|\gamma_{j}\right| r^{k-j} \\
& \leq C \gamma_{0} \frac{r^{k / 2}}{1-r}+C \gamma_{j^{*}(k)} \frac{r}{1-r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j^{*}(k)=\operatorname{argmax}\left\{\left|\gamma_{j}\right|:[k / 2]+1 \leq j \leq k-1\right\}$.
Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln k \times\left|\xi_{2}(k)\right| & \leq C\left[\gamma_{0} \ln k \frac{r^{k / 2}}{1-r}+(\ln k / 2+\ln 2)\left(\gamma_{j^{*}(k)} \frac{r}{1-r}\right)\right] \\
& \leq C\left[\gamma_{0} \ln k \frac{r^{k / 2}}{1-r}+\left(\ln j^{*}(k)+\ln 2\right)\left(\gamma_{j^{*}(k)} \frac{r}{1-r}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which $\rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
We have thus established that the autocovariances $\gamma_{k}^{Y}$ satisfy (1.1). We now proceed to show that condition (1.2) is satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k}^{Y}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}} & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{h}^{W} \frac{\gamma_{k+h}}{k^{\varepsilon}}\right| \leq \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{h}^{W}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k+h}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}} \\
& =\sum_{h=-\infty}^{-1}\left|\gamma_{h}^{W}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k+h}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}}+\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{h}^{W}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k+h}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{j}^{W}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k-j}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}}+\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{h}^{W}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k+h}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{j}^{W}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k-j}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}}+\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{h}^{W}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k+h}\right|}{(k+h)^{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{k+h}{k}\right)^{\varepsilon} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{j}^{W}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k-j}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}}+\zeta \sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{h}^{W}\right|(1+h), \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{j}^{W}\right|\left[\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k-j}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k-j}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon}}\right]+\zeta \sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{h}^{W}\right|(1+h) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C r^{j}\left[\sum_{0} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{k^{\varepsilon}}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{k}\right|}{k^{\varepsilon} \mid}\left(\frac{\ell}{\ell+j}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right]+\zeta \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C r^{h}(1+h) \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r^{j}\left[j \gamma_{0}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{\ell}\right|}{\ell^{\varepsilon}}\right]+\zeta C \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} r^{h}(1+h)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r^{j}\left[j \gamma_{0}+\zeta\right]+\zeta C \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} r^{h}(1+h) \\
& =C\left[\gamma_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j r^{j}+\zeta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r^{j}+\zeta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r^{j}+\zeta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j r^{j}\right] \\
& =C\left[\left(\gamma_{0}+\zeta\right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j r^{j}+\zeta \frac{1+r}{1-r}\right]<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j r^{j}$ converges. (The radius of convergence of this power series is 1 , and by assumption $0<r<1$.)

## 3 The original claim

We state the original claim as:
Corollary. Suppose that $X_{t}$ satisfies conditions (1.1) and (1.2) and that $X_{t}$ and $a_{t}$ are related by the invertible ARMA model

$$
\phi(B) X_{t}=\theta(B) a_{t}
$$

where $\phi(z)$ and $\theta(z)$ are polynomials and B is the "backshift" operator. Then the $a_{t}$ satisfy conditions (1.1) and (1.2) as well.

Proof. The invertibility of the model tells us that $a_{t}$ can be expressed as

$$
a_{t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n} X_{t-n},
$$

where

$$
\frac{\phi(z)}{\theta(z)}=\psi(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n} z^{n}
$$

with the coefficients $\psi_{n}$ being summable. (It is more usual in such a context to denote the coefficients of the series expansion as " $\pi_{n}$ " rather than " $\psi_{n}$," but to make clear the relationship to the main result we eschew the $\pi_{n}$ notation.)

Now if we set

$$
W_{t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n} b_{t-n},
$$

where $b_{t}$ is white noise (with variance 1) then basic results about ARMA time series (see, e.g., Brockwell and Davis (1991, Chapter 3, problem 3.11)) tell us that the autocovariances $\gamma_{k}^{W}$ of $W_{t}$ satisfy (2.1). Hence the claim follows by the theorem proven in Section 2.

## Acknowledgments

The authors express their warm thanks to an anonymous referee whose comments substantially improved the exposition in this paper. The first author's research is supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

## References

Berman, S. M. (1964). Limit theorems for the maximum term in stationary sequences. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics 35, 502-516. MR0161365
Brockwell, P. J. and Davis, R. A. (1991). Time Series: Theory and Methods, 2nd ed. New York: Springer. MR1093459
Chareka, P., Matarise, F. and Turner, R. (2006). A test for additive outliers applicable to long-memory time series. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 30, 595-621. MR2222294
Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C. and Mikosch, T. (1999). Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance. New York: Springer. MR1458613
Galambos, J. (1978). The Asymptotic Theory of Extreme Order Statistics. New York: Wiley. MR0489334
Leadbetter, M. R., Lindgren, G. and Rootzén, H. (1983). Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes. New York: Springer-Verlag. MR0691492
Leadbetter, M. R. and Rootzén, H. (1988). Extremal theory for stochastic processes. The Annals of Probability 16, 431-478. MR0929071
Lindgren, G. and Rootzén, H. (1987). Extreme values: Theory and technical applications. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 14, 241-279. MR0943288

## Starpath Project

University of Auckland
Private Bag 92019
Auckland
New Zealand 1142
E-mail: r.turner@auckland.ac.nz

Department of Mathematics,
Statistics and Computer Science
St. Francis Xavier University
Antigonish, N.S.
Canada B2G 2W5


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adjunct Professor in the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at the University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, N.B., Canada.

    Key words and phrases. Extreme value distribution, correlated data, ARMA model, filter.
    Received July 2009; accepted March 2010.

