Adv. Appl. Prob. **15**, 461–464 (1983) Printed in N. Ireland © Applied Probability Trust 1983

## **LETTERS TO THE EDITOR**

# A NOTE ON TWO MEASURES OF DEPENDENCE AND MIXING SEQUENCES

MAGDA PELIGRAD,\* University of Rome

#### Abstract

In this note we establish an inequality between the maximal coefficient of correlation and the  $\varphi$ -mixing coefficient which is symmetric in its arguments. Motivated by this inequality, we introduce a mixing coefficient which is the product of two  $\varphi$ -mixing coefficients.

We also study an invariance principle under conditions imposed on this new mixing coefficient. As a consequence of this result it follows that the invariance principle holds when either the direct-time process or its time-reversed process is  $\varphi$ -mixing; when both processes are  $\varphi$ -mixing the invariance principle holds for sequences of  $L_2$ integrable random variables under a mixing rate weaker than that used by Ibragimov.

MAXIMAL COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION

Let  $(\Omega, K, P)$  be a probability space and  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  two  $\sigma$ -algebras contained in the  $\sigma$ -algebra K. Define the measures of dependence between  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  as follows:

$$\varphi(K_1, K_2) = \sup_{\{A \in K_1, P(A) \neq 0, B \in K_2\}} |P(B \mid A) - P(B)|$$

and

$$\rho(K_1, K_2) = \sup_{\substack{X \in L_2(K_1), \\ Y \in L_2(K_2)}} \frac{|E(X - EX)(Y - EY)|}{E^{\frac{1}{2}}(X - EX)^2 E^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y - EY)^2}.$$

The following well-known inequality ([5], Theorem 17.2.3, p. 309) relates the two measures of dependence.

Suppose X is a random variable  $K_1$ -measurable and Y a random variable  $K_2$ -measurable and  $E^{1/p} |X|^p < \infty$ ,  $E^{1/a} |Y|^q < \infty$ , where 1/p+1/q = 1. Then

(1) 
$$|EXY - EX \cdot EY| \leq 2(\varphi(K_1, K_2) E |X|^p)^{1/p} (E |Y|^q)^{1/q}$$

whence

(2) 
$$\rho(K_1, K_2) \leq 2\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}(K_1, K_2).$$

We notice that in (2)  $\varphi$  is not symmetric in its arguments whereas  $\rho$  is. We shall

Received 29 October 1982; revision received 6 April 1983.

<sup>\*</sup> Postal address: Istituto Mathematico 'Guido Castelnuovo', Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro, Città Universitaria, 00100 Roma, Italy.

establish the following symmetric inequality which improves (1):

(3) 
$$|EXY - EX \cdot EY| \leq 2(\varphi(K_1, K_2)E|X|^p)^{1/p}(\varphi(K_2, K_1)E|Y|^q)^{1/q},$$

whence

(4) 
$$\rho(K_1, K_2) \leq 2\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}(K_1, K_2)\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}(K_2, K_1).$$

**Proof of (3).** The proof of (3) follows in the same way as the proof of (1). We approximate X and Y by  $X = \sum_{i} a_i I(A_i)$ ,  $Y = \sum_{i} b_i I(B_i)$ , where  $(A_i)_i$  and  $(B_i)_i$  are respectively, finite decompositions of  $\Omega$  into disjoint elements of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  and I(A)

denotes the indicator function of A. Using Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$|EXY - EX \cdot EY| \leq \left(\sum_{i} |a_{i}|^{p} P(A_{i})\right)^{1/p} \\ \times \left[\sum_{i} P(A_{i}) \left(\sum_{j} |b_{j}| |P(B_{j} | A_{i}) - P(B_{j})|\right)^{a}\right]^{1/a} \\ \leq (E |X|^{p})^{1/p} \left[\sum_{i} P(A_{i}) \times \left(\sum_{j} |b_{j}|^{a} |P(B_{j} | A_{i}) - P(B_{j})|\right) \\ \times \left(\sum_{j} |P(B_{j} | A_{i}) - P(B_{j})|\right)^{a/p}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (E |X|^{p})^{1/p} (E |Y|^{a})^{1/a} \\ \times \max_{i} \left(\sum_{j} |P(B_{j} | A_{i}) - P(B_{j})|\right)^{1/p} \max_{j} \left(\sum_{i} |P(A_{i} | B_{j}) - P(A_{i})|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If  $C_i^+$  (or  $C_i^-$ ) is the union of those  $B_j$  for which  $P(B_j | A_i) - P(B_j)$  is positive, (or non-positive) then

$$\sum_{j} |P(B_{j} | A_{i}) - P(B_{j})| \leq |P(C_{i}^{+} | A_{i}) - P(C_{i}^{+})| + |P(C_{i}^{-} | A_{i}) - P(C_{i}^{-})| \leq 2\varphi(K_{1}, K_{2}).$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{i} |P(A_i | B_i) - P(A_i)| \leq 2\varphi(K_2, K_1)$$

so (3) holds for simple random variables, and by passing to the limit the inequality remains valid for every  $X \in L_p(K_1)$  and  $Y \in L_q(K_2)$ .

Suppose now  $(X_n, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$  is a stationary sequence of random variables and denote by  $F_n^m = \sigma(X_k, n \le k < m)$ . For each  $n \in N$  define

$$\varphi(n) = \varphi(F_{-\infty}^0, F_n^\infty)$$
$$\rho(n) = \rho(F_{-\infty}^0, F_n^\infty).$$

The sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is said to be  $\varphi$ -mixing, or  $\rho$ -mixing, respectively, as  $\varphi(n) \to 0$  or  $\rho(n) \to 0$ . It is known that there are sequences of random variables that are not  $\varphi$ -mixing, while their reverses are, (see [6], p. 414). For instance let  $(X_n, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$  be a stationary Markov chain with transition matrix  $A_{1,i} = 2^{-i}$  and  $A_{i,i-1} = 1$  for  $j, i \ge 1$ . This sequence is not  $\varphi$ -mixing, but its reversed-time sequence, with transition matrix  $B_{i,1} = B_{i,i+1} = \frac{1}{2}$  for all i, is  $\varphi$ -mixing. Therefore it seems natural to ask if the properties valid for  $\varphi$ -mixing sequences are valid for sequences of random variables with the time-reversed sequence  $\varphi$ -mixing, and the fact that both the direct and the reversed sequence are  $\varphi$ -mixing can improve on the  $\varphi$ -mixing rate in certain limit theorems.

The new relation between  $\rho$  and  $\varphi$  suggests that instead of the mixing coefficient  $\varphi(n)$  we can consider another one, namely the product

$$\varphi(n)\varphi'(n) = \varphi(F_{-\infty}^0, F_n^{\infty})\varphi(F_n^{\infty}, F_{-\infty}^0).$$

#### Letters to the editor

The following theorem gives an invariance principle for stationary sequences of  $L_2$ -integrable random variables under conditions imposed on this new mixing coefficient. From this result we deduce that the invariance principle obtained by Ibragimov [4], Theorem (3.2), also holds for stationary sequences of  $L_2$ -integrable random variables whose time-reversed sequences satisfy a  $\varphi$ -mixing condition. When both the direct-time sequence and its reverse are  $\varphi$ -mixing the  $\varphi$ -mixing rate used in [4], Theorem (3.2), is improved (for instance for reversible  $\varphi$ -mixing sequences). This theorem also yields a functional form for Corollary 5.3. (i) of [3], which is a central limit theorem for sequences of random variables whose reversed-time sequences are  $\varphi$ -mixing. At the same time the mixing rate used there (polynomial) is improved (logarithmic).

Let 
$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$
, and let [t] denote the greatest integer  $\leq t$ .

Theorem. Let  $(X_n, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$  be a stationary sequence of centered random variables which have  $L_2$ -moments and  $\mathrm{ES}_n^2 \to \infty$ . Suppose also that

(5) 
$$\sum_{i} \left[ \varphi(2^{i}) \varphi^{r}(2^{i}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Then there exists  $\sigma^2$ ,  $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$  such that  $\lim_n ES_n^2/n = \sigma^2$ , and the normalised sample paths  $W_n(t) = S_{[nt]}/n^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma$ ,  $(0 \le t \le L)$  converge in distribution to the standard Brownian motion process W(t),  $(0 \le t \le 1)$ .

**Proof.** By (4) and (5) we have  $\sum_{i} \rho(2^{i}) < \infty$ , and, using Theorem 1 in [2], or Theorem

(4.1) in [7], we obtain that  $ES_n^2/n$  converges to a positive constant  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . The theorem follows by applying Theorem 19.2 of [1]. First  $W_n(t)$  has asymptotically independent increments (see the proof of Theorem 20.1 of [1]). Then, by Lemma (3.5) of [7] it follows that  $(S_n^2/n, n \ge 1)$  is uniformly integrable, so  $W_n^2(t)$  is uniformly integrable for each t and obviously  $EW_n(t) = 0'$  and  $EW_n^2(t) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} t$ . It remains only to verify the tightness condition, namely that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\lambda > 1$  and an integer  $n_0$  such that  $n \ge n_0$  implies  $P(\max_{1 \le i \le n} |S_i| > \lambda \sigma n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \le \varepsilon/\lambda^2$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . If  $\varphi_n \to 0$  this condition was verified in [1], pp. 175–176. If  $\varphi_n' \to 0$ , the proof follows the same lines with the difference that we now denote

$$E_i^n = \left\{ \max_{0 \leq j < i} |S_n - S_j| < 3\lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |S_n - S_i| \right\} \in F_i^n.$$

So, we have successively:

$$P\left(\max_{i \le n} |S_i| > 4\lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \le P(|S_n| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + P\left(\max_{i \le n-1} |S_n - S_i| > 3\lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$
  
$$\le 2P(|S_n| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(E_i^n \cap \{|S_i| > 2\lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}\}) \le 2P(|S_n| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}})$$
  
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{p} P(|S_i| > 2\lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{i=p+1}^{n-1} P(|S_i - S_{i-p}| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{i=p+1}^{n-1} P(E_i^n \cap \{|S_{i-p}| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}\})$$
  
$$\le 2P(|S_n| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + nP(S_p^* > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{i=p+1}^{n-1} P(E_i^n)(P(|S_{i-p}| > \lambda n^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \varphi^r(p))$$

where p and  $S_p^*$  were defined in [1], p. 175. This gives the desired result. With a similar proof it is easy to see the following. **Remark.** This theorem can be obtained for some non-stationary sequences of random variables  $(X_n, n \ge 1)$ , namely, we can assume instead of stationarity that  $(X_n^2, n \ge 1)$  is uniformly integrable and  $E\left(\sum_{i=kn}^{(k+1)n} X_i\right)^2 / ES_n^2 \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$  uniformly in k, the mixing coefficients  $\varphi(n)$  and  $\varphi'(n)$  being defined by

$$\varphi(n) = \sup_{m} \varphi(F_0^m, F_{m+n}^\infty)$$
 and  $\varphi'(n) = \sup_{m} \varphi(F_{m+n}^\infty, F_0^m)$ 

The author thanks the referee for his useful suggestions and criticisms and also E. Presutti and R. C. Bradley for stimulating discussions on the subject.

### References

[1] BILLINGSLEY, P. (1968) Convergence of Probability Measures. Wiley, New York.

[2] BRADLEY, R. C. (1981) A sufficient condition for linear growth of variances in a stationary random sequence. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83, 583-589.

[3] HALL, P. AND HEYDE, C. C. (1980) Martingale Limit Theory and its Application. Academic Press, New York.

[4] IBRAGIMOV I. A. (1975) A note on the central limit theorem for dependent random variables. Theory Prob. Appl. 20, 135-140.

[5] IBRAGIMOV, I. A. AND LINNIK, YU. V. (1971) Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables, Walters-Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands.

[6] KESTEN, H. AND O'BRIEN, G. L. (1976) Examples of mixing sequences. Duke Math. J. 43, 405–415.

[7] PELIGRAD, M. (1982) Invariance principles for mixing sequences of random variables. Ann. Prob. 10, 968–981.