Methods

A novel, high-performance random array platform for quantitative gene expression profiling

Kenneth Kuhn,¹ Shawn C. Baker,¹ Eugene Chudin, Minh-Ha Lieu, Steffen Oeser, Holly Bennett, Philippe Rigault, David Barker, Timothy K. McDaniel,² and Mark S. Chee

Illumina, Inc., San Diego, California 92121, USA

We have developed a new microarray technology for quantitative gene-expression profiling on the basis of randomly assembled arrays of beads. Each bead carries a gene-specific probe sequence. There are multiple copies of each sequence-specific bead in an array, which contributes to measurement precision and reliability. We optimized the system for specific and sensitive analysis of mammalian RNA, and using RNA controls of defined concentration, obtained the following estimates of system performance: specificity of 1:250,000 in mammalian poly(A⁺) mRNA; limit of detection 0.13 pM; dynamic range 3.2 logs; and sufficient precision to detect 1.3-fold differences with 95% confidence within the dynamic range. Measurements of expression differences between human brain and liver were validated by concordance with quantitative real-time PCR (R² = 0.98 for log-transformed ratios, and slope of the best-fit line = 1.04, for 20 genes). Quantitative performance was further verified using a mouse B- and T-cell model system. We found published reports of B- or T-cell-specific expression for 42 of 59 genes that showed the greatest differential expression between B- and T-cells in our system. All of the literature observations were concordant with our results. Our experiments were carried out on a 96-array matrix system that requires only 100 ng of input RNA and uses standard microtiter plates to process samples in parallel. Our technology has advantages for analyzing multiple samples, is scalable to all known genes in a genome, and is flexible, allowing the use of standard or custom probes in an array.

Microarray technology has allowed the abundance of thousands of different mRNAs to be measured simultaneously and efficiently from a single biological sample (Schena et al. 1995; Lockhart et al. 1996; Lockhart and Winzeler 2000). As a result, the analysis of individual genes has given way to the analysis of large sets of genes and the discovery of patterns and relationships in their expression. This has spawned a myriad of exciting new applications that are helping to shape the emerging field of systems biology (Marton et al. 1998; Golub et al. 1999; Hughes et al. 2000; Ideker et al. 2001; van't Veer et al. 2002; Yvert et al. 2003). The microarrays that have spurred these advances can be manufactured by a variety of techniques, including spotting (Schena et al. 1995), photolithographic synthesis (Fodor et al. 1991), and inkjet synthesis (Blanchard 1998). In each case, individual probes are placed or synthesized at predefined locations on the substrate. However, conventional arrays can suffer from one or more limitations, including poor data quality, as a result of high intraand interarray variability, often associated with spotted arrays.

We describe here a powerful and intrinsically robust alternative that substantially overcomes these limitations. Our geneexpression profiling system is based on randomly assembled arrays of beads in wells (Michael et al. 1998). Following random assembly, the location and identity of each bead, bearing an oligonucleotide probe, is determined via a sequential decoding process (Gunderson et al. 2004). An advantage of this approach is that dense packing can be achieved using simple and efficient

¹These authors contributed equally to this work. ²Corresponding author.

E-mail tmcdaniel@illumina.com; fax (858) 202-4680.

Article and publication are at http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/ gr.2739104.

bulk processes. Furthermore, the technology is intrinsically scalable; the arrays described in this study use beads with diameters of three microns, producing a packing density ~400 times that of a conventional spotted microarray. Elsewhere, packing densities ~40,000 times that of a conventional array have been achieved through the assembly of 300-nm beads (Michael et al. 1998).

The BeadArray technology has previously been shown to be a robust readout platform for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping, where it has demonstrated very high accuracy, call rate, and reproducibility at high multiplexing levels (Fan et al. 2003). It is being used to generate over half the genotyping data for the International HapMap Project (www.hapmap.org), which will derive a detailed map of common genetic variation across the human genome (The International HapMap Consortium 2003). In addition, the BeadArray platform has been effective for gene-expression profiling using PCR-based assays in combination with universal arrays (Yeakley et al. 2002; Fan et al. 2004). Both of these applications made use of universal arrays containing up to 1536 usable capture sequences.

Despite these successes, the use of the BeadArray technology for quantitative gene-expression profiling from complex samples by hybridization to gene-specific probes has not previously been demonstrated. Although some preliminary data suggested that the platform is capable of high sensitivity (Epstein et al. 2002), a number of significant challenges had to be overcome in order to create a robust, quantitative, high-performance system suitable for use with biological samples such as mammalian poly(A⁺) mRNA. We have now developed such a system. We show that it is capable of accurately and robustly reporting mRNA abundance for hundreds of genes. Our methods can be applied to many thousands of samples, a scale of experimentation that has been

impractical with other technologies, and can be extended to develop arrays designed to analyze all known genes in a genome. The technology is also compatible with our SNP genotyping system, enabling genotyping and gene-expression profiling on the same platform.

Results

Design of a gene-expression probe array based on random assembly of beads in wells

The arrays used in the experiments reported here are described in Figure 1. Typically, each array has up to 1536 different bead types, each represented on average by ~30 copies in any array. Each bead type has ~700,000 copies of a particular oligonucleotide probe covalently attached to it (Fig. 1). Because the population of beads in an array is a random sampling of a starting bead pool containing 1536 bead types, the representation of the bead types in the array is effectively Poisson. That is, there is a variable number of each of the 1536 bead types both within and between arrays (Gunderson et al. 2004). Thus, two important issues must be addressed to ensure that the random arrays can be used for quantitative measurements of mRNA abundance.

Firstly, because each array is unique, how can we compare results from array to array? By virtue of the ~30-fold oversampling (50,000 beads/1536 bead types), we can ensure that decoded arrays have greater than or equal to five beads of each type in the array, so that all sequences are represented (Gunderson et al. 2004). Furthermore, the randomness and redundancy provide us with considerable advantages; randomness minimizes the effects of spatially localized artifacts, and redundancy increases measurement precision and robustness. These factors combine to increase measurement accuracy.



Figure 1. Design of a randomly assembled gene-specific probe array. (*A*) Representation of an individual bead lodged in a well. Attached to the bead by its 5' end is a chimeric oligonucleotide ~75 nucleotides in length, comprising an ~25-nucleotide identifier sequence and a 50-nucleotide gene-specific probe. The bead identifier sequence is decoded using an algorithm described previously (Gunderson et al. 2004). We tested gene-specific probes of 25 and 50 bp in length and found that the 50 mers showed superior performance, consistent with prior findings (Hughes et al. 2001). The drawing is not to scale; the relative size of the oligonucleotide has been vastly exaggerated to show its features. (*B*) There are ~50,000 beads in an ~1.4-mm diameter optical fiber bundle, each bead lodged in a well at the end of an individual fiber in the bundle. (C) The bundles are arranged in a 96-array matrix matching the format of a standard microtiter plate.

Secondly, because each probe has associated with it an identifier sequence (Fig. 1), how do we ensure that this sequence doesn't interfere with the analysis of the target mRNA? This is done in two ways. The identifier sequences are computationally screened to avoid similarity to the human and mouse genomes. The probability of cross-hybridization to other genomes is also low, and for the analysis of any particular genome, it is simple to omit a small number of identifier sequences if needed. Also, the identifier sequences are only half the length of the gene-specific probes and have correspondingly lower Tm's (52.0 \pm 2.3°C vs. 70.7 \pm 1.7°C). By hybridizing labeled total mammalian poly(A⁺) mRNA samples to arrays containing the identifier sequences but lacking the gene-specific probe sequences, we estimated that the identifier sequences contribute an average of up to five counts over background, with only a few sequences giving higher signals (data not shown). This is a small amount of signal relative to the gene-specific probes and is not expected to have any significant effect on the analysis.

Array formats designed for a variety of gene-expression applications

The experiments described in this study all make use of the Sentrix array matrix format shown in Figure 1. However, the basic concept of placing beads in wells to form a randomly ordered array can be used to create a variety of array formats suitable for a range of applications. In addition to the format shown in Figure 1, which is read using a custom high-resolution reader (Barker et al. 2003), we have developed silicon substrates that have the dimensions of a 2.5 \times 7.5-cm microscope slide and can be read on a 5-µm resolution Axon GenePix scanner by virtue of larger well spacing (T. Dickinson, G. Smith, H. Bennett, and R. Barrett, unpubl.). Yet other silicon substrates have been used to develop two designs of whole-genome array, with probes for ~24,000 and ~48,000 gene sequences (G. Wang, G. Smith, S. Barnard, and D. Che, unpubl.; further information is available on www.illumina. com). These higher density arrays can be read on a BeadArray scanner. All of these formats make use of 3-µm silica beads; the same bead pools can be loaded into the different substrates, and give similar quantitative performance. Therefore, substantially similar results to those obtained below can be obtained using a variety of bead-based array formats suitable for a range of experimental designs and detection systems.

Dose-response study using spiked mRNAs of known concentration

We designed a dose-response study to estimate the limit of detection, dynamic range, and precision of the 96-array matrix gene-specific probe system for the analysis of a mammalian mRNA sample. We prepared a series of samples that consisted of labeled human liver cell line RNA spiked with known quantities of individually labeled mRNAs synthesized in vitro. This approach has been described previously for microarray performance characterization (Lockhart et al. 1996). We used as spikes nine mRNAs, produced by in vitro transcription (IVT) of cloned bacterial and viral genes whose sequences are absent from the human genome. Twelve samples, representing 12 concentrations, were each replicated eight times to give a total of 96 samples. Each sample contained all nine spikes at a given concentration ranging from zero to 200 pM. (Fig. 2).

Each sample was hybridized to eight different arrays in a 96-array matrix. This provided eight technical replicates, suffi-

Random arrays for gene expression profiling

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| 1 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 0.1 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.15 |
| 4 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 5 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 1.5 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 1.5 |
| 7 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 | 3 |
| 8 | 3 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 |
| 8 | 3 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 15 | 10 |

Figure 2. Arrangement of spiked samples for hybridization. Each sample was produced by adding labeled spike controls to labeled complex RNA derived from human HepG2 poly(A⁺) RNA. The spike controls were added at the pM concentrations indicated in the figure. All nine spiked mRNAs were present at the same concentration within a given sample (e.g., 200 pM in sample a1). Samples were arranged in a staggered fashion to avoid the possibility of row/column positional bias. Hybridization was performed using 1 μ g of each sample at a final concentration of 25 ng/µL.

cient data to allow a statistical analysis of noise in the quantitative readout step. The dose response curves and the resolvable fold change across the tested concentration range, generated for each of the nine genes, are shown in Figure 3.

Reproducibility of quantitative measurements and dependence on sample input

The dose-response results were reproducible across different manufacturing lots of array matrices and hybridization days. We obtained similar results from 15 independent trials of the experiment, hybridized on five separate days using a total of 720 arrays manufactured on seven different dates (Fig. 4). The quantitative performance of the system based on this significant amount of replication is summarized in Table 1.

In addition to the probes used to measure the dose responses, the arrays used in the experiments summarized in Figure 4 contained probes for 587 human genes. We analyzed the data generated by these experiments to assess array-



Figure 3. Dose-response curves. Data points represent the mean of eight arrays. Signal intensities are plotted in blue vs. target concentration. Error bars represent the two-sided symmetric 90% confidence intervals for a single reading, calculated on the basis of the spread of eight separate readings. All points contain error bars, but some are too small to be resolved at the plotted scale. The resolvable fold change is plotted in red and green vs. target concentration. Each data point estimates the ability to distinguish concentration fold change for a single reading. Concentration levels are defined as resolved when estimated one-sided 95% confidence intervals do not overlap. Values below twofold are colored green, whereas those greater than twofold are colored red.



Figure 4. Dynamic range, detectable fold change, and limit of detection for 15 array matrices. The array matrices, manufactured on five separate days, were used to perform dose-response experiments identical to that described above, except in these experiments, we used four replicates per concentration instead of eight. Dynamic range corresponds to the concentration range over which twofold concentration changes can be distinguished with 95% confidence (represented by the green portions of the lines in Fig. 3); the values plotted in the graph (blue diamonds, left axis) are determined by dividing the upper concentration limit of this range by the lower limit for the given experiment. Precision (orange squares, left axis) corresponds to the distinguishable fold change across the determined dynamic range. Limit of detection (green triangles, right axis) corresponds to 0.99 detection p-value generated using normal model of intensities of 20 negative control probes that have no corresponding target in the sample. All performance values given represent the median value for the nine spike targets used in the experiment.

to-array hybridization signal variation and how it is influenced by gene intensity. We selected the 380 genes that were reproducibly expressed at detectable levels and plotted their coefficient of variation (standard deviation divided by intensity, abbreviated as CV) as a function of hybridization signal. As shown in Figure 5, and consistent with expectations, the CV increases inversely as gene signals approach the limit of detection. The median CV for

 Table 1.
 Performance metrics

| Metric ^a | Value | Confidence | |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|--|
| Input Requirement (Total RNA) | 100 ng | n/a | |
| Limit of Detection ^b | ~0.13 pM | 99% | |
| Specificity ^c | ~1:250,000 | 99% | |
| Precisiona | ~1.3-fold | 95% | |
| Dynamic Range ^e | ~3.2 Logs | n/a | |
| Array-to-Array %CV ^f | <10% | n/a | |

^aThe median value of nine spike controls is given for each performance metric. All metrics listed derive from measurements made using two probe sequences per gene.

^bLimit of detection is determined by a negative control detection model (see Methods).

^cSpecificity is determined by dividing the number of molecules detected at the limit of detection by the number of molecules present in 1 μ g of sample background. The average mammalian transcript length is estimated at 2000 nt.

^dPrecision is the smallest change in concentration that can be detected with 95% confidence. Value given is the median across the dynamic range.

^eDynamic range is defined by the ability to detect twofold changes in target concentration across the specified concentration range (see Methods).

^fArray-to-array %CV is determined using a common population of all detectable bead-types across the 96 arrays of an array matrix.



Figure 5. Array signal variation as a function of gene hybridization intensity. Each blue dot represents a gene and the red line represents a smoothed function for the data on the basis of a robust best-fit function for standard deviation vs. intensity. All values are based on background-subtracted raw data from 48 replicate hybridizations. The data shown are from one experiment of the 15 described in the legend to Figure 4. This experiment was chosen to represent the others on the basis of its measurement precision, which is the median of measurement precisions for all 15 experiments.

background-subtracted, un-normalized intensity across 48 arrays in a representative experiment was 6.5%.

Additional performance measurements of a microarray platform include (1) reproducibility across multiple sample labeling reactions, and (2) sensitivity to sample input variation. To test these aspects of our system's reproducibility, we performed 20 sample labeling reactions, four each, using 10, 20, 50, 150, or 500 ng of total RNA derived from mouse spleen. For the 10- and 20-ng inputs, only three of the four replicates produced adequate material for array hybridization. One microgram of biotinylated cRNA from each successful reaction was hybridized to a separate array in an array matrix. Each array in the matrix contained probes to 540 mouse genes. Each cRNA sample was present at a final concentration of 25 ng/ μ L.

To obtain a quantitative estimate of reproducibility, linear correlations were calculated for all pairwise combinations of the replicates at each input concentration. The means and ranges of these correlations are plotted in Figure 6A. All correlations (R^2) exceeded 0.99. As further evidence of robustness, the scatter plot in Figure 6B shows the correlation for signals between sample labeling replicates using 50 and 500 ng of starting material; the high correlation ($R^2 > 0.99$) demonstrates the reproducibility of the assay even with input material concentrations differing by 10-fold.

Concordance with real-time quantitative PCR

The experiments described above measured the quantitative performance of the system and demonstrated that we could obtain quantitative data in a reproducible way. We next wanted to perform measurements on a true biological sample and to evaluate these results by comparison with a different technology. Concordance with measurements obtained using a different technology is a strong indicator that measurements are correct. Therefore, we performed an experiment that compared differential expression patterns obtained on the randomly assembled arrays with those obtained from TaqMan quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR).

The genes selected for this analysis came from a comparison



Figure 6. Sample labeling reproducibility. (*A*) Twenty sample labeling reactions were processed using our standard conditions with 10, 20, 50, 150, or 500 ng of total mouse spleen RNA as input material (four replicates each). For each input amount, correlation values (R^2) of gene signals were determined for all pairwise comparisons of all successful replicates. The median R^2 values, with ranges, are plotted. (*B*) Representative scatter plot of the intensity values for all genes measured in one of the 50-ng samples vs. those in the 500-ng sample. Whereas initial sample input varied for each labeling reaction, final array hybridization was performed using 1 µg of each labeled sample at a final concentration of 25 ng/µL.

of human liver with human brain. Labeled cRNA from both tissues was hybridized to separate arrays containing probes for 633 human genes. From the hybridization results, we selected a panel of 21 genes for the comparison, using the following criteria: (1) the genes showed a range of liver/brain expression ratios ranging from 0.005 to 175; and (2) every gene was expressed significantly over background, even in the tissue showing the lower amount of expression. This second criterion was necessary to avoid inaccurate expression ratios resulting from the influence of system noise.

For each of these 21 genes, we performed qPCR assays on aliquots of the same starting material. Twenty of the 21 primer pairs gave products and the log-transformed expression ratios obtained for each of the 20 genes were plotted against the corresponding values obtained on the randomly assembled arrays (Fig. 7). The measurements determined by the two systems showed good correlation ($R^2 = 0.98$ for log-transformed ratios). Furthermore, the slope of the best-fit line was 1.04, indicating that the ratios obtained by the two methods are similar in magnitude. For highly expressed genes, the array produced somewhat compressed fold-change ratios compared with those produced by qPCR. For the five genes whose array intensities exceeded 10,000

Random arrays for gene expression profiling

counts in either tissue, the array-measured ratio was 0.77 ± 0.24 versus 1.04 ± 0.35 for all genes. This compression is likely due to probe saturation of highly expressed targets, a predicted feature, as the array platform has a dynamic range of ~3 logs compared with ~5 logs for qPCR. (Heid et al. 1996) This overall high level of concordance with qPCR validated the performance of the randomly assembled array system.

Validation of results in a model biological system

Finally, we assessed the ability of the random arrays to generate data consistent with results previously published for a wellcharacterized biological system. The model system we selected was mouse B and T cells, both of which contain large numbers of cell-type specific transcripts documented in the biological literature. Our experimental design was to make a series of seven samples containing different ratios of R1.1 (T cell lymphoma) and A20 (B cell lymphoma) mRNA mixed together. This series ranged from 100% B/0% T to 0% B/100% T. Each of the seven samples was independently labeled six times, and the resulting 42 cRNA samples were hybridized to separate arrays of an array matrix, each containing probes to 540 different mouse genes. After hybridization and analysis, we identified 59 genes that were determined as detected in the 100% B cell sample, but not in the 100% T cell sample or vice versa (Fig. 8). Upon generating this list of 59 genes, we performed literature searches to establish whether there was prior evidence of T- or B-specific expression. Forty three of the 59 genes had prior literature support for their tissue specificity. We found no genes miscategorized by our array. Table 2 shows a list of all tissue-specific genes identified in our analysis.

Discussion

We developed a powerful and robust new microarray technology for gene-expression profiling on the basis of randomly assembled arrays of beads in wells. The high information density of these arrays (~50,000 beads/~1.4-mm diameter array) reduces sample consumption and makes them well suited for integration into sophisticated systems such as the array matrix device described herein. Each probe is replicated a minimum of five times and on average ~30 times on every array. This built-in redundancy increases measurement precision and makes for an intrinsically robust measurement platform. We optimized the system for hybridization specificity and sensitivity, integrated the various components into a scalable system for gene-expression quantitation, and showed that accurate and reproducible data are generated from complex biological samples.

The 96-array matrix format and associated protocols make it straightforward to analyze many samples with relatively little labor and high reproducibility. We consider this a significant advance because sources of noise and error, such as intra- and interarray variability, process variability, and biological sample variability, can confound microarray experiments (Brody et al. 2002). An effective way of identifying, characterizing, and minimizing variation is to apply well-known statistical tools. Unfortunately, the ease-of-handling, and in many cases, the reproducibility of current microarray technologies makes it difficult to replicate experiments adequately. This has severely limited the ability to generate and analyze large data sets. As a consequence, the use of microarrays in applications requiring the analysis of large numbers of samples, such as epidemiological, toxicological,



Figure 7. Correlation of array matrix data to quantitative real-time PCR. Labeled RNA samples were made from human and brain total RNA. These were hybridized to separate array matrices containing 633 human genes. Six technical replicates were included for each sample. Twenty-one genes from this list were selected for analysis by TaqMan quantitative real-time PCR. A scatter plot of hybridization intensities of the liver and brain samples on the array matrix is shown in *A*. Genes selected for further analysis are shaded orange. A scatter plot of log-transformed hybridization signal ratios as determined by the two methods is shown in *B*.

and pharmacological screening, has been limited mostly to proof-of-concept studies. Meaningful application of highthroughput microarray technology to large sample sets is now more practical as a result of the system described here.

Samples can be processed in standard micro-plate formats, either manually or robotically. The entire system is designed for compatibility with automation and LIMS tracking, and hence, is suitable for use in applications that require a highly reproducible process with accurate sample tracking throughout. The technology is flexible. It can be used to analyze the expression of hundreds of genes, as described in this study, as well as whole-genome sets of many thousands of genes, which will be described elsewhere. The ability to assemble large numbers of arrays from a single bead pool on the basis of a common chemistry helps to minimize interarray variability. Flexibility in array design is provided by the ability to supplement standard bead pools.³

We also developed software for array imaging and geneexpression data analysis (E. Chudin and I. Mikouliteh, unpubl.). Because of the robustness of the system, the user has to pay less attention to the data extraction process than typical with spotted arrays, and can instead focus on analysis of results. AnEx, a geneexpression data analysis program that organizes sample data and incorporates statistical and visualization tools, is commercially available as part of the gene-expression analysis system. AnEx is MIAME-compliant (www.mged.org) and generates a flat-file format that is accepted by many third-party analysis software applications.

Finally, an advantage of the system we have developed is that it uses the same technology platform as our SNP genotyping system (Fan et al. 2003) and our PCR-based gene-expression assay system (Fan et al. 2004). As a result, SNP genotyping and geneexpression profiling can now be carried out on a single microarray platform, scalable from the analysis of hundreds of genes to all known genes in a genome.

Methods

Samples

Human brain and liver total RNA were purchased from Ambion (Cat. #7962, Brain; 7960, Liver). Human HepG2 Poly(A⁺) mRNA was purchased from Ambion (Cat. #7849). Mouse spleen total RNA was purchased from Ambion (Cat. #7920). A20 and R1.1 cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; A20, Cat. #TIB-208, R1.1, Cat. #TIB-42, R1.1) and were grown according to supplier's recommendations. A20 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium with 2 mM L-glutamine, and supplemented with 1.5 g/L NaHCO₃, 1.0 mM Na pyruvate, 10 mM HEPES, and 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone). R1.1 cells were grown in DMEM high-glucose medium with glutamate supplemented with 1.5 g/L NaHCO₃ and 10% horse serum. Total RNA was harvested from ~10⁸ cells using the RNeasy Midi kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Labeling

Although our platform is amenable to a number of standard sample labeling techniques, our preferred approach is based on the modified Eberwine protocol (Eberwine et al. 1992), by which messenger RNA is converted to cDNA, followed by an amplification/labeling step mediated by T7 DNA polymerase. The linear amplification step reduces the amount of starting material needed. We adapted the protocol to a microtiter plate format in order to match the array matrix format, which permits 96 array hybridizations to be performed in parallel. Labeling and amplification of the total RNA samples were performed according to the MessageAmp aRNA kit (Ambion Cat. #1750) with the following modifications. Because the hybridization requirements are so modest (1 µg labeled cRNA), the standard reaction was cut down to 1/4 size and total RNA inputs were generally limited to 100 ng. The use of smaller reactions allowed us to perform 80 reactions per kit as opposed to the standard 20 reactions. This necessitated the use of additional cleanup columns for both the RT and IVT steps. QIAquick PCR Purification and RNeasy 96 well kits (QIAGEN) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions for RT and IVT cleanup, respectively. Additionally, all components of the first-strand cDNA synthesis were combined in a single step,

³Standard, semicustom and fully custom bead sets are provided commercially by Illumina. Semicustom bead sets are made by supplementing standard sets with sequences of the customer's choosing. Fully custom bead sets can be made from any desired set of sequences.



Figure 8. B Cell/T Cell experimental results. Seven RNA samples were prepared containing mixtures of B- and T-cell lymphoma cell-line mRNA. The samples contained 0%, 5%, 25%, 50%, 95%, and 100% B-cell RNA, with the balance in all cases being T-cell RNA. These samples were labeled by our standard protocol and hybridized to six separate arrays each. (A) The hybridization intensities of the 59 most tissue-specific genes are plotted. Each vertical stripe represents a sample and each horizontal row a gene. Boxes represent the mean hybridization intensities measured in six replicate array hybridizations. The intensity scale is shown in the legend at the *bottom*. Genes are labeled according to their RefSeq or UniGene ID numbers. The colors of these labels indicate prior evidence in the literature of B- or T-cell-specific expression (red refers to B-cell-specific expression; blue refers to T-cell-specific; see Table 2 for references). (*B*) The dose responses of two representative genes identified in this experiment are plotted. Points represent the mean intensities for each concentration. Error bars represent 90% two-sided confidence intervals calculated from six replicate hybridizations.

because a separate annealing of the T7 oligo(dT) primer was found to be unnecessary (data not shown). During the IVT reaction, a 1:1 ratio of labeled bio-16-UTP (Roche Cat. #1388908) to unlabeled UTP was used with a final combined concentration of 7.5 mM.

Preparation of labeled spikes

Nine bacterial and viral genes were used to prepare RNA controls as follows: *bla* (pBluescriptSK+; Stratagene) *cat* (pCAT3-control; Promega), *cre* (*Escherichia coli* DH10B-Zip; Life Technologies), *e1a* (*Homo sapiens* HEK-293; ATCC), *gfp* (pEGFP; Clontech), *gst* (pGEX-5x-3; Amersham-Pharmacia), *gus* (*E. coli* GM48), *lux* (*E. coli* GM48), and *neo* (pGT-N28; New England Biolabs). The genes were cloned into the PCRII cloning vector using the TA Cloning kit (Invitrogen, Cat. #K205001-TA). Full-length sense transcripts were generated using the MEGA-script T3 kit from Ambion (Cat. #1338). Labeled antisense targets were then generated using the MessageAmp aRNA kit and were spiked into labeled Human HepG2 cRNA at the 12 concentrations shown in Figure 2.

Random arrays for gene expression profiling

Hybridization/washing/signal detection

All steps of hybridization, washing, blocking, and signal generation were performed by sequential transfer of a Sentrix array matrix from one 384-well plate (ThermoLab Systems; Cat. #95040000) to the next with the wells of each step containing 40 µL of the appropriate solution. All incubations were carried out without agitation and, with the exception of the hybridization, at room temperature. Amplified, biotinlabeled human or mouse RNA samples were prepared in a solution of Hyb E1 buffer (Illumina, Part #11166381) and 25% (v/v) formamide at a final concentration of 25 ng/ µL. An array matrix was then mated to the hybridization plate using a sealed alignment fixture. Hybridization proceeded at 55°C, for 16 to 20 h. After hybridization, the array matrix was washed by a 5-min incubation in Illumina Wash E1 buffer, followed by a 10-min wash in fresh Wash E1 buffer (Illumina, Part #11165898). Arrays were then blocked for 5 min in 1% (w/v) casein-PBS, Hammerstein grade (Pierce, Cat. #37528). Array signal was developed by a 10-min incubation in a 1-µg/mL solution of Streptavidin-Cv3 (Amersham: Cat. #PA43001) in 1% casein-PBS blocking solution. The array matrix was washed a final time for 5 min in Wash E1 buffer. Each array was then dried with an air gun.

Imaging and signal extraction

Arrays were scanned on the BeadArray Reader, a confocal-type imaging system with ~0.8 μ m resolution and 532 and 635 nm laser illumination (Barker et al. 2003). Scans were performed in the 532-nm channel. The total scan time per array matrix (i.e., 96 arrays) was 1.5 h, roughly 1 min per array. Image analysis and data extraction software were as described previously (Fan et al. 2003). Briefly, each sequence type is

represented by an average of 30 beads on the array. Bead signals were computed with weighted averages of pixel intensities, and local background was subtracted. Array images are registered by a previously described algorithm (Galinsky 2003). This algorithm supplies the position of a bead center that serves as a center for a virtual pixel. To compute bead signal, we use four real pixels covering the virtual one and combine their signals in the following way: S = A1S1+A2S2+A3S3+A4S4, where S is bead signal, Ai is area of overlap between ith pixel and the virtual pixel, and Si is 3×3 average taken around ith pixel after sharpening with following Laplacian:

$$I_{x,y}^{sharp} = I_{x,y} + 0.5(4I_{x,y} - I_{x,y+1} - I_{x,y-1} - I_{x+1,y} - I_{x-1,y}).$$

Here *x*,*y* are pixel coordinates and *Ix*,*y* are pixel intensities. The choice of coefficient in front of Laplacian was made after optimization of data obtained with calibrated set of Spherotech 3-micron rainbow beads (Cat. #RCP-30-5, Spherotech, Inc.). Finally, we subtract local background as average of five dimmest pixels in the 17×17 box centered in the pixel having maximum overlap with the virtual pixel. Sequence-type signal was calculated by

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Gene RefSeq or UniGene ID | HUGO Gene Symbol | Gene Name | T/B Cell Ratio by array ^a | B or T-specific by literature ^b | Ref |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|-----|
| NM_1282839 [g] immunoglobulin pining chain 0.004 B 1 NM_008380 Cd79b CD79B 1 1 0.006 B 1 NM_00880 PH2cc Instructionability 2, class II antigen C ₄ 0.007 B 1 NM_008800 PH2cc Instructionability 2, class II antigen A, β 1 0.010 B 1 NM_008800 PH2cc Instructionability 2, class II antigen A, β 1 0.011 B 1 NM_008801 PH2cc myoote enhancer factor 2C 0.011 B 1 NM_008801 PH2cc myoote enhancer factor 2C 0.011 B 1 NM_00844 Cd19 CD19 antigen 0.015 1 NM 1 NM 1 NM 1 NM 1 NM 1 | NM_010545 | li | la-associated invariant chain | 0.003 | В | 1 |
| NM. 008339 Cd79b CD79F antigen 0.006 B 1 NM. 010381 H2-Ea histocompatibility 2, class II antigen 6, a 0.007 B 1 NM. 010381 H2-Ea histocompatibility 2, class II antigen 6, β 0.010 B 1 NM. 010379 H2-Ab1 histocompatibility 2, class II antigen 6, β 0.011 B 1 NM. 003820 Bin, A B-colored for Colored for Color | NM_152839 | Igj | immunoglobulin joining chain | 0.004 | В | 1 |
| Mm. 280 [g]-V1 immunoglobulin λ chain, variable 1 0.007 B 1 NM. 008860 Pricz protein kinase C, ζ 0.010 — NM. 008860 Pricz protein kinase C, ζ 0.010 B 1 NM. 008528 Bink B-cell linker 0.010 B 1 NM. 01389 H2-Ob histocompability 2, O region [b lcus 0.011 B 1 NM. 00389 H2-Ob histocompability 2, O region [b lcus 0.011 B 1 NM. 00389 H2-Obh H2-Obh histocompability 2, Class II, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM. 01387 H2-Obh1 Histocompability 2, class II, locus Mb2 0.037 B 1 NM. 002944 Rib & Rb Son cogene family 0.041 — - NM. 002944 Rib & Rb Compability 2, class II, locus Mb2 0.038 B 1 NM. 002757 Clac class II intrascritivator 0.065 B 1 NM. 002758 Cla2 class Haroigen Family, member 7 0 | NM_008339 | Cd79b | CD79B antigen | 0.006 | В | 1 |
| NM 010381 H2-Ea histocompatibility 2, class II antigen E, a 0.008 B 1 NM 010377 H2-Ab1 histocompatibility 2, class II antigen A, β I 0.010 B 1 NM 0082582 Bink B-cell linker 0.011 B 1 NM 025282 Mef2c myocyte enhancer factor 2C 0.011 B 1 NM 00859 H2-Ob histocompatibility 2, class II, locus 0.011 B 1 NM 007643 Cl36 CD36 antigen 0.015 - - NM 010387 H2-DMD1 histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM 010387 H2-DMD1 histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb2 0.033 B 1 NM 010387 H2-DMD1 histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb2 0.031 B 1 NM 010387 H2-DMD1 histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb2 0.033 B 1 NM 00787 Clac CD2 CD2 DC2 B 1 NM 00787 C | Mm.780 | Igl-V1 | immunoglobulin λ chain, variable 1 | 0.007 | В | 1 |
| NM_008860 Prkc protein kinase (ζ_1 0.010 — NM_008528 Blnk B-cell linker 0.010 B 1 NM_005282 Blnk B-cell linker 0.010 B 1 NM_005389 H2-Ob histocompatibility 2, O region [b locus 0.011 B 1 NM_0097643 C036 C036 antigen 0.011 B 1 NM_0097643 C036 CD36 antigen 0.011 B 1 NM_0097849 Bk/Nb2 B lymphoid kinus2, class II, locus Mb2 0.038 B 1 NM_0097849 Bk/Nb2 B lymphoid kinus2, class II, locus Mb2 0.031 B 1 NM_0097849 Bk/Nb2 B lymphoid kinus2, class II, locus Mb2 0.031 B 1 NM_001747 Lyn Yamaguchi saroma viral (-yes-1) oncogene homolog 0.065 B 1 NM_002758 Cf2 complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM_009778 Cf2 complement receptor 2 0.106 - | NM_010381 | HŽ2-Ea | histocompatibility 2, class II antigen E α | 0.008 | В | 1 |
| NM_010379 H2-Ab1 histocompatibility 2, class II antigen A, β 1 0.010 B 1 NM_01035282 Bink Br-Cell linker 0.011 B 2 NM_010389 H2-Ob histocompatibility 2, O region [blous 0.011 B 1 NM_000743 Cd36 CD36 antigen 0.011 B 1 NM_010387 H2-OMb1 histocompatbility 2, class II, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM_010387 H2-OMb2 histocompatbility 2, class II, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM_010387 H2-OMb2 histocompatbility 2, class II, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM_007349 Bk B ymphoid kinase 0.038 B 1 NM_007575 C2ta class II transactivator 0.068 B 1 NM_007575 C2ta complement receptor 2 0.106 - NM_007575 C2ta complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM_007575 C2ta complement receptor 2 | NM_008860 | Prkcz | protein kinase C, ζ | 0.010 | _ | |
| NM_008528 Bink B-cell linker 0.010 B 1 NM_025282 Mef2 myore factor 2C 0.011 B 1 NM_009389 H2-Ob histocompatibility 2, Oregion β locus 0.011 B 1 NM_00937 H2-DMb1 histocompatibility 2, class I, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM_010387 H2-DMb1 histocompatibility 2, class I, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM_007843 Cd32 CD22 antigen 0.031 B 1 NM_007845 Cd22 CD22 antigen 0.061 B 1 NM_007845 Cd22 CD22 antigen 0.068 B 3 NM_007757 Clas It ransactivator 0.068 B 1 NM_007758 B-dE B-cell leakenia/mprone 0.007 B 4 NM_007778 Cf31 colory stimulating factor 1 (macrophage) 0.226 - - NM_010537 If death 1 ingard 1 0.70 - - - | NM_010379 | H2-Ab1 | histocompatibility 2, class II antigen A, β 1 | 0.010 | В | 1 |
| NM 025282 Mef2c myocyte enhancer factor 2C 0.011 B 1 NM 0039844 Cd19 CD19 antigen 0.011 B 1 NM 0039844 Cd19 CD19 antigen 0.011 B 1 NM 00387 H2-DMb1 histocompatbility 2, class II, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM 00388 H2-DMb2 histocompatbility 2, class II, locus Mb2 0.037 B 1 NM 003984 H2-DMb2 histocompatbility 2, class II, locus Mb2 0.038 B 1 NM 003944 Rb300 RR30, member RAS oncogene family 0.041 NM 01375 Cd2a class II transactivator 0.068 B 1 NM 00375 Cd2a class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM 00375 Cd2a complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM 00375 Cd2a complement receptor 4 0.107 | NM_008528 | Blnk | B-cell linker | 0.010 | В | 1 |
| NM_010389 H2-Ob histocompatbility 2, Oregion β locus 0.011 B 1 NM_00984 Cd19 CD19 antigen 0.015 — NM_010387 H2-DMb1 histocompatbility 2, class II, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM_010388 H2-DMb2 histocompatbility 2, class II, locus Mb1 0.037 B 1 NM_007949 Bik B ymphoid kinase 0.031 B 1 NM_009845 Cd22 CD22 antigen 0.051 B 1 NM_009745 Cd22 CD22 antigen 0.065 B 3 NM_007575 C21a class II transactivator vial (vyes1) oncogene homolog 0.065 B 3 NM_007575 C21a class II transactivator 0.110 B 4 NM_00744 Bcl6 B-cell leukering r8 0.088 B 1 NM_007778 C31 colony stimulating factor 1 (macrophage) 0.226 - - NM_0097778 C31 colony stimulating factor 1 (macrophage) 0.70 | NM_025282 | Mef2c | myocyte enhancer factor 2C | 0.011 | В | 2 |
| NM_009844 Cd19 CD19 antigen 0.011 B 1 NM_007637 Cd3 ords antigen 0.015 — NM_010387 H2-DMb1 histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb1 0.024 B 1 NM_007549 Bik B Imphoid kinase 0.038 B 1 NM_007549 Bik B Imphoid kinase 0.038 B 1 NM_00744 Rkb30 Rd830, member RAS oncogene family 0.041 — 1 NM_007575 C2ta class II transactivator 0.0688 B 1 NM_007575 C2ta class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_007758 C72 complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM_01833 Ly78 hymphocyte antigen 78 0.108 1 1 NM_007778 C72 complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM_00833 Ly78 programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 0.126 — - | NM_010389 | H2-Ob | histocompatibility 2, O region β locus | 0.011 | В | 1 |
| NM_007643 CC36 CD36 antigen 0.015 — NM_010388 H2-DMb1 histocompatibility 2, class II, Jocus Mb2 0.037 B 1 NM_007549 Bik B Imphoid Kinase 0.038 B 1 NM_0029494 Rb30 RB30, member RAS oncogene family 0.041 — NM_00985 Cd22 CD22 antigen 0.051 B 1 NM_0007575 C2ta CD2 antigen 0.065 B 3 NM_0007575 C2ta class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_0007578 C72 complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM_007578 Cr2 complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM_007578 Cr2 comparamed cell death 1 ligand 1 0.126 — 1 NM_001557 IH4ra interleukin 4 receptor, α 0.142 — 1 NM_000575 Cc1 cchenokine (CC motif) ligand 5 7.72 T 5 NM_000575 | NM_009844 | Cd19 | CD19 antigen | 0.011 | В | 1 |
| $\begin{split} & NM_010387 & H2-DMb1 & histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb1 & 0.024 & \mathsf{B & I \\ & NM_007549 & Bik & B_Nmphoid kinase & 0.038 & B & I \\ & NM_007549 & Rk_0 & Rk_0 & Rk_0 and Rk_0 and C_1 & Class II, locus Mb2 & 0.038 & B & I \\ & NM_007549 & Rk_0 & Rk_0 & Rk_0 and Class_1 & Class II, locus Mb2 & 0.038 & B & I \\ & NM_009845 & Cd22 & CD22 & cD22 antigen & 0.051 & B & I \\ & NM_011611 & Tnfrif5 & turmor recrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 5 & 0.062 & \mathsf{B & B \\ & NM_000757 & C2 & class II transactivator & 0.088 & B & I \\ & NM_009833 & Ly78 & Iymphocyte antigen 78 & 0.0688 & \mathsf{B & I \\ & NM_007758 & Cr2 & complement receptor 2 & 0.106 & - \\ & \mathsf{NM_007758 & Cr2 & complement receptor 2 & 0.107 & \mathsf{B & 4 \\ & NM_007778 & Cr2 & complement receptor 2 & 0.107 & \mathsf{B & 1 \\ & NM_011833 & PdccIIIg1 & programmed cell death I ligand 1 & 0.126 & - \\ & \mathsf{NM_007778 & Cs1 & colony stimulating factor 1 (macrophage) & 0.226 & - \\ & \mathsf{NM_007778 & Cd4 & Cd6 & Cd6 & colony stimulating factor 1 (macrophage) & 0.226 & - \\ & \mathsf{NM_009853 & KII3 & turmor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 7 & 10.35 & \mathsf{T & I \\ & NM_008453 & KII3 & turmor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 18 & 16.22 & \mathsf{T & G \\ & NM_009812 & Sia & SCd5 & Crd6 & Cd6 & T & I \\ & NM_008853 & III^{27} & Lurderscipt , \beta 1 & Lurderscipt & Listor receptor 3 & Listor & \mathsf{Listor$ | NM 007643 | Cd36 | CD36 antigen | 0.015 | _ | |
| NM_010388 H2-DMb2 histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb2 0.037 B 1 NM_0029494 Rb30 RA830, member RAS oncogene family 0.041 — NM_009845 Cd2 CD2 antigen 0.051 B 1 NM_01071 Lyn Yamaguchi sarcoma viral (v-yes-1) oncogene homolog 0.065 B 3 NM_007575 C2ta class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_009744 Bc/6 B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 6 0.106 — - NM_007575 C7ca complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM_00783 R/c1 B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 6 0.106 — - NM_007757 Cs1 complement receptor 2 0.101 B 1 NM_010557 Il4ra interleukin 4 receptor, α 0.142 — NM_009853 Ccl5 chemokine (C-cmuth) fligand 5 7.72 T 5 NM_009853 Ccl5 chemokine (C-cmuth) fligand 5 7.72 T 5 | NM_010387 | H2-DMb1 | histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb1 | 0.024 | В | 1 |
| NM_007549 Bik B /ymphoid kinase 0.038 B 1 NM_02944 Rb30 Rmber RAS oncogene family 0.041 — NM_009845 Cd22 CD22 antigen 0.051 B 1 NM_011611 Tnfrsf5 turon necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 5 0.062 B 1 NM_007575 C2ta class Il transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_009754 Bits lymphocyte antigen 78 0.088 B 1 NM_0097758 Cr2 complement receptor 2 0.107 B 4 NM_007778 Cr2 complement receptor 2 0.107 B 1 NM_007778 Cr2 complement receptor 2 0.107 B 1 NM_007778 Cr31 color antigen 4.70 — 1 NM_009825 Cd6 Code antigen 4.70 — 1 NM_009843 Klf3 turon necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 7 10.35 T 1 | NM 010388 | H2-DMb2 | histocompatibility 2, class II, locus Mb2 | 0.037 | В | 1 |
| $\begin{split} NM \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } NM \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | NM 007549 | Blk | B lymphoid kinase | 0.038 | В | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 029494 | Rsb30 | RAB30, member RAS oncogene family | 0.041 | _ | |
| NM_01071 Lynn Vanaguchi arcoma viral (v-yes-1) oncogene homolog 0.062 B 1 NM_0107575 C2ta class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_0005755 C2ta class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_0005755 C2ta class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_0007575 C2ta class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_0007575 C2ta class II transactivator 0.088 B 1 NM_0007575 Complement receptor 2 0.106 N 0.110 B 1 NM_010557 II/ra interleukin 4 receptor, a 0.142 NM_009852 Cd6 CD6 antigen 4.70 - NM_00843 Kl73 Kruppel-like factor 3 (basic) 10.70 - NM_008433 III 2rb1 interleukin 12 receptor, β1 20.91 T 1 NM_008433 III 2rb1 interleukin 12 receptor | NM 009845 | Cd22 | CD22 antigen | 0.051 | В | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM_011611 | Tnfrsf5 | tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 5 | 0.062 | В | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 010747 | Lyn | Yamaguchi sarcoma viral (v-yes-1) oncogene homolog | 0.065 | В | 3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM_007575 | Ć2ta | class II transactivator | 0.088 | В | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 008533 | Ly78 | lymphocyte antigen 78 | 0.088 | В | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 009744 | Bcl6 | B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 6 | 0.106 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM_007758 | Cr2 | complement receptor 2 | 0.107 | В | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | XM 354702 | none | similar to immunoglobulin ε | 0.110 | В | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | NM 021893 | Pdcd1lq1 | programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 | 0.126 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM_010557 | ll4ra | interleukin 4 receptor, α | 0.142 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 007778 | Csf1 | colony stimulating factor 1 (macrophage) | 0.226 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM_009852 | Cd6 | CD6 antigen | 4.70 | _ | |
| XM_284241Tnfrsf7tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 710.35T1NM_008453Klf3Kruppel-like factor 3 (basic)10.70NM_009400Tnfrsf18tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1814.82T6NM_009333Il12rb1interleukin 12 receptor, β 120.91T1NM_01742Ly6dlymphocyte antiger factor factor superfamily, member 1820.91T1NM_01742Ly6dlymphocyte antiger 6 complex, locus D31.177NM_010742Ly6dlymphocyte antiger 6 complex, locus D31.171NM_010742Ly6dlymphocyte antiger 6 complex, locus D31.171NM_010693Lcklymphocyte protein tyrosine kinase40.51T1NM_010784Gfi1growth factor independent 142.67T8NM_011487Stat4signal transducer and activator of transcription 449.24T1NM_009344Phida1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen, γ polypeptide93.03T11NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, e polypeptide93.03T11NM_009344Phida1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, e polypeptide93.03T11NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen | NM 013653 | Ccl5 | chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5 | 7.72 | Т | 5 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | XM 284241 | Tnfrsf7 | tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 7 | 10.35 | Т | 1 |
| NM_009400Tnfrsf18tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1814.82T6NM_009192Slasrc-like adaptor16.72NM_008353Ill 2rb1interleukin 12 receptor, β 120.91T1NM_019436SitSHP2 interacting transmembrane adaptor26.66T1NM_010742Ly6dlymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus D31.17Mm.123831Tcrb-V8.2T-cell receptor β, variable 8.235.75T1NM_010693Lcklymphocyte protein tyrosine kinase40.51T1NM_011701Vimgrowth factor independent 142.67T8NM_009122Stat4signal transducer and activator of transcription 449.24T1NM_009122Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 156.90T1NM_009344PhIda1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen, γ polypeptide93.03T1NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, e polypeptide93.03T1NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86NM_010583ItkIL2-inducible T-cell kinase120.75T1NM_010583ItkIL2-inducible T-cell sitalse, non-receptor type 813.63NM_009345Dntt </td <td>NM 008453</td> <td>Klf3</td> <td>Kruppel-like factor 3 (basic)</td> <td>10.70</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> | NM 008453 | Klf3 | Kruppel-like factor 3 (basic) | 10.70 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | NM 009400 | Tnfrsf18 | tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 18 | 14.82 | Т | 6 |
| NM_008353 II12rb1 interleukin 12 receptor, β 1 20.91 T 1 NM_019436 Sit SHP2 interacting transmembrane adaptor 26.66 T 1 NM_008859 Prkcq protein kinase C, θ 27.05 T 7 NM_010742 Ly6d lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus D 31.17 Mm.123831 Tcrb-V8.2 T-cell receptor β, variable 8.2 35.75 T 1 NM_008091 Gata3 GATA binding protein 3 40.45 T 1 NM_011487 Stat4 signal transducer and activator of transcription 4 49.24 T 1 NM_009122 Satb1 special AT-rich sequence binding protein 1 56.90 T 9 NM_009360 Cd3g CD3 antigen, γ polypeptide 59.09 T 1 NM_007642 Cd28 CD28 antigen 72.79 1 NM_007642 Cd28 CD28 antigen, ε polypeptide 93.03 T 1 NM_007648 Cd3e CD3 antigen, κ polypeptide <td>NM_009192</td> <td>Sla</td> <td>src-like adaptor</td> <td>16.72</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> | NM_009192 | Sla | src-like adaptor | 16.72 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 008353 | ll12rb1 | interleukin 12 receptor, β 1 | 20.91 | Т | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 019436 | Sit | SHP2 interacting transmembrane adaptor | 26.66 | Т | 1 |
| NM_010742Ly6dlymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus D31.17—Mm.123831Tcrb-V8.2T-cell receptor β, variable 8.235.75T1NM_008091Gata3GATA binding protein 340.45T1NM_010693Lcklymphocyte protein tyrosine kinase40.51T1NM_010278Gfi1growth factor independent 142.67T8NM_011487Stat4signal transducer and activator of transcription 449.24T1NM_001228Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 156.90T9NM_009122Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 156.90T1NM_00850Cd3gCD3 antigen, γ polypeptide59.09T1NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T1NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen72.79—1NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, ε polypeptide93.03T1NM_008979Ptpn8protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 8113.63—NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72—NM_010689Latlinker for activation of T cells168.24T1NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_007847Cd3dCD3Tcell receptor γ chain424.47 <td< td=""><td>NM 008859</td><td>Prkcq</td><td>protein kinase \vec{C}, θ</td><td>27.05</td><td>Т</td><td>7</td></td<> | NM 008859 | Prkcq | protein kinase \vec{C} , θ | 27.05 | Т | 7 |
| Mm.123831Tcrb-V8.2T-cell receptor β, variable 8.235.75T1NM_008091Gata3GATA binding protein 340.45T1NM_010693Lcklymphocyte protein tyrosine kinase40.51T1NM_010278Gfi1growth factor independent 142.67T8NM_011487Stat4signal transducer and activator of transcription 449.24T1NM_001122Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 156.90T9NM_009122Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 163.57T10NM_009850Cd3gCD3 antigen, γ polypeptide59.09T1NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T1NM_007648Sellselectin, lymphocyte72.79—-NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, ε polypeptide, non-receptor type 8113.63—NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, non-receptor type 8113.63—NM_001583ItkIL2-inducible T-cell kinase120.75T11NM_01689Latlinker for activation of T cells168.24T122NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009382Cnd2cyclin D2242.81—1NM_003829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.81—1NM_003829< | NM 010742 | Ly6d | lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus D | 31.17 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Mm.123831 | Tcrb-V8.2 | T-cell receptor β , variable 8.2 | 35.75 | Т | 1 |
| NM_010693 Lck lymphocyte protein tyrosine kinase 40.51 T 1 NM_010278 Gfi1 growth factor independent 1 42.67 T 8 NM_011487 Stat4 signal transducer and activator of transcription 4 49.24 T 1 NM_011701 Vim Vimentin 52.19 NM_009122 Satb1 special AT-rich sequence binding protein 1 56.90 T 9 NM_009850 Cd3g CD3 antigen, γ polypeptide 59.09 T 10 NM_009344 Phlda1 pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 1 63.57 T 10 NM_007642 Cd28 CD28 antigen 72.79 NM_007648 Cd3e CD3 antigen, ε polypeptide 93.03 T 1 NM_008979 Ptpn8 protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 8 113.63 NM_011609 Tnfrsf1a tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a 132.72 Mm_123831 Tcrb-V13 T-cell receptor β, variable 167.67 <td< td=""><td>NM 008091</td><td>Gata3</td><td>GATA binding protein 3</td><td>40.45</td><td>Т</td><td>1</td></td<> | NM 008091 | Gata3 | GATA binding protein 3 | 40.45 | Т | 1 |
| NM_010278Gfi1growth factor independent 142.67T8NM_011487Stat4signal transducer and activator of transcription 449.24T1NM_011701VimVimentin52.19NM_009122Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 156.90T9NM_009350Cd3gCD3 antigen, γ polypeptide59.09T1NM_009344Phlda1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T11NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, ε polypeptide93.03T11NM_008979Ptpn8protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 8113.63NM_011869ItkIL2-inducible T-cell kinase120.75T1111NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72NM_010689Latlinker for activation of T cells168.24T12NM_009820Ccnd2cyclin D2242.8112NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.811NM_013487Cd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM 010693 | Lck | lymphocyte protein tyrosine kinase | 40.51 | Т | 1 |
| NM_011487Stat4signal transducer and activator of transcription 449.24T1NM_011701VimVimentin52.19NM_009122Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 156.90T9NM_009850Cd3gCD3 antigen, γ polypeptide59.09T1NM_009344Phlda1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T11NM_011346Sellselectin, lymphocyte72.79NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, ε polypeptide93.03T11NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86NM_010583ItkIL2-inducible T-cell kinase120.75T11NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72Mm.123831Tcrb-V13T-cell receptor β, variable167.67T11NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T11NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.81Mm.210785TCd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM 010278 | Gfi1 | growth factor independent 1 | 42.67 | Т | 8 |
| NM_011701VimVimentin52.19—NM_009122Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 156.90T9NM_009850Cd3gCD3 antigen, γ polypeptide59.09T1NM_009344Phlda1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T11NM_007644Cd28CD3 antigen, ε polypeptide93.03T1NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, ε polypeptide93.03T1NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, non-receptor type 8113.63—NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86—NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72—MM_010689Latlinker for activation of T cells168.24T12NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009384Cd3dCD2242.81—NM_00385Torl 1receptor γ chain424.47T1NM_013487Cd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM 011487 | Stat4 | signal transducer and activator of transcription 4 | 49.24 | Т | 1 |
| NM_009122Satb1special AT-rich sequence binding protein 156.90T9NM_009850Cd3gCD3 antigen, γ polypeptide59.09T1NM_009344Phlda1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T1NM_011346Sellselectin, lymphocyte72.79—-NM_008979Ptpn8protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 8113.63—-NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86—-NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72—-NM_0106897Latlinker for activation of T cells167.67T1NM_010689Latlinker for activation of T cells168.24T12NM_009822Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.81—-Mm.210785T cell receptor γ chain424.47T1NM_013487Cd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM 011701 | Vim | Vimentin | 52.19 | _ | |
| NM_009850Cd3gCD3 antigen, γ polypeptide59.09T1NM_009344Phlda1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T1NM_011346Sellselectin, lymphocyte72.79NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, ε polypeptide93.03T1NM_008979Ptpn8protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 8113.63NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72NM_010689Latlinker for activation of T cells168.24T12NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.811NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.811NM_013487Cd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM_009122 | Satb1 | special AT-rich sequence binding protein 1 | 56.90 | Т | 9 |
| NM_009344Phlda1pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 163.57T10NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T1NM_011346Sellselectin, lymphocyte72.79NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, e polypeptide93.03T1NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72Mm.123831Tcrb-V13T-cell receptor β, variable167.67T1NM_009829Latlinker for activation of T cells168.24T122NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.811NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.811NM_013487Cd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM 009850 | Cd3g | CD3 antigen, γ polypeptide | 59.09 | Т | 1 |
| NM_007642Cd28CD28 antigen67.64T1NM_011346Sellselectin, lymphocyte72.79NM_007648Cd3eCD3 antigen, ε polypeptide93.03T1NM_008979Ptpn8protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 8113.63NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86NM_010583ItkIL2-inducible T-cell kinase120.75T11NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72Mm.123831Tcrb-V13T-cell receptor β, variable167.67T1NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.81Mm.210785Tcell receptor γ chain424.47T1NM_013487Cd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM_009344 | Phlda1 | pleckstrin homology-like domain, family A, member 1 | 63.57 | Т | 10 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 007642 | Cd28 | CD28 antigen | 67.64 | Т | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 011346 | Sell | selectin, lymphocyte | 72.79 | _ | |
| NM_008979Ptpn8protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 8113.63—NM_009345Dnttdeoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal118.86—NM_010583ItkIL2-inducible T-cell kinase120.75T11NM_011609Tnfrsf1atumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a132.72—Mm.123831Tcrb-V13T-cell receptor $β$, variable167.67T1NM_009382Thy1linker for activation of T cells168.24T12NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, $θ$ 171.82T1NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.81—Mm.210785T cell receptor γ chain424.47T1NM_013487Cd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM_007648 | Cd3e | CD3 antigen, ε polypeptide | 93.03 | Т | 1 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 008979 | Ptpn8 | protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 8 | 113.63 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM 009345 | Dntt | deoxynucleotidyltransferase, terminal | 118.86 | _ | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | NM_010583 | Itk | IL2-inducible T-cell kinase | 120.75 | Т | 11 |
| Mm.123831Tcrb-V13T-cell receptor β, variable167.67T1NM_010689Latlinker for activation of T cells168.24T12NM_009382Thy1thymus cell antigen 1, θ171.82T1NM_009829Ccnd2cyclin D2242.81—Mm.210785T cell receptor γ chain424.47T1NM_013487Cd3dCD3 antigen, δ polypeptide1532.15T1 | NM 011609 | Tnfrsf1a | tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1a | 132.72 | _ | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Mm.123831 | Tcrb-V13 | T-cell receptor β , variable | 167.67 | Т | 1 |
| NM_009382 Thy1 thymus cell antigen 1, θ 171.82 T 1 NM_009829 Ccnd2 cyclin D2 242.81 — Mm.210785 T cell receptor γ chain 424.47 T 1 NM_013487 Cd3d CD3 antigen, δ polypeptide 1532.15 T 1 | NM 010689 | Lat | linker for activation of T cells | 168.24 | Т | 12 |
| NM_009829 Ccnd2 cyclin D2 242.81 — Mm.210785 T cell receptor γ chain 424.47 T 1 NM_013487 Cd3d CD3 antigen, δ polypeptide 1532.15 T 1 | NM 009382 | Thy1 | thymus cell antigen 1, θ | 171.82 | Т | 1 |
| Mm.210785 T cell receptor γ chain 424.47 T 1 NM_013487 Cd3d CD3 antigen, δ polypeptide 1532.15 T 1 | NM 009829 | Ccnd2 | cýclin D2 | 242.81 | _ | |
| NM_013487 Cd3d CD3 antigen, δ polypeptide 1532.15 T 1 | Mm.210785 | | T cell receptor γ chain | 424.47 | Т | 1 |
| | NM_013487 | Cd3d | CD3 antigen, δ polypeptide | 1532.15 | Т | 1 |

Table 2. Array-based determination of tissue-specific gene expression

^aT/B Ratio is the array hybridization signal measured in the 100% R1.1 sample divided by that of the 100% A20 sample. Each measurement is the mean of four separate arrays.

^bAccording to literature. Blanks indicate that we could not find literature-based evidence for T- or B-cell-specific expression. For 14 of the 17 genes for which there was no literature support for the expression pattern, the same samples have been examined by a reverse transcription-based RNA quantitation assay (Fan et al. 2004); in all cases examined, the results from this orthogonal assay agreed with the results determined in this array experiment (J. Yeakley, J.-B. Fan, and E. Chudin, unpubl.).

experiment (J. Yeakley, J.-B. Fan, and E. Chudin, unpubl.). ^cReferences: Abbas et al. (2003); Hermanson et al. (1988); Yamanashi et al. (1991); Fingeroth (1990); Schall et al. (1988); Nocentini et al. (1997); Isakov and Altman (2002); Scheijen et al. (1997); Dickinson et al. (1992); Park et al. (1996); Siliciano et al. (1992); Zhang et al. (1998).

Random arrays for gene expression profiling

averaging corresponding bead signals with outliers removed (using median absolute deviation).

Data analysis

We developed a suite of algorithms for analysis of gene expression data from microarrays (E. Chudin and I. Mikoulitch, pers. comm.). These have been incorporated into AnEx, a commercial software package for gene-expression data analysis. Array data were normalized using quantiles to fit a cubic spline. The approach is similar to a previously reported method (Workman et al. 2002). Alternatively, a robust least-squares fit (iteratively reweighted least squares using Tukey's biweight functions) of intensities of a rank invariant set of probes (relative rank change of <0.05) was used. Detection *p*-values were computed using a dynamically constructed normal model based on intensities of 20 negative controls. To determine minimal resolvable fold change, we used piecewise linear approximation of intensity versus concentration. Concentration levels were considered resolvable if corresponding one-sided 95th percent confidence intervals, as computed from t-distribution did not overlap. Piecewise linear interpolation was used for both intensities and standard deviations.

Array design

Probes were designed by a custom-built pipeline that will be described in detail elsewhere (P. Rigault, in prep.). Each gene sequence for which probes were to be synthesized was subjected to a filtering process that masked regions unsuitable for probe design, based on complexity and cross-homology thresholds, as determined by DUST (D. Lipman, National Center for Biotechnology Information, pers. comm.) and BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) algorithms, respectively. All possible 50-mer probes were identified within unmasked regions, and these were ranked by a formula that takes into account distance from the 3' end of the transcript, melting temperature, and self-complementarity. The two highest scoring probes were then linked to 23-nt identifier sequences by use of a sequence-matching program that minimizes the probability of interactions between the probe and identifier sequence and prevents the creation of junction sequences with cross-homology to the genome in question.

Our use of two probes per gene was based on the results of pilot experiments, in which five informatically chosen probes were synthesized for each of 10 in vitro-synthesized genes. Dose response was determined for each synthetic gene using all five probes or four, three, two, or one arbitrarily selected probes. We found that we could reach our targeted performance metrics (Table 1) with two or more probes per gene, but not one (data not shown). The results of recent functional screening suggests that one probe per gene is sufficient if the probes are selected with a functional screen (T. McDaniel, B. Kermani, S. Baker, S. Oeser, and S. Kruglyak, unpubl.).

Quantitative PCR

Assays-on-Demand quantitative gene expression primers and TaqMan universal PCR master mix (Cat. #4304437) were purchased from Applied Biosystems. All PCR reactions were performed following the manufacturer's instructions.

Acknowledgments

We thank Steven Barnard, Chanfeng Zhao, Paul Kitabjian, Michael Graige, and Semyon Kruglyak for devising methods to prepare beads with gene-specific probes and for providing bead pools used in these experiments. We also thank Chan Tsan for technical assistance, Lixin Zhou for help with analysis of the B and T cell experiments, and the array manufacturing group at Illumina for providing gene-specific probe arrays.

References

- Abbas, A.K., Lichtman, A.H., and Pober, J.S. 2003. Cellular and molecular immunology. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, PA.
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., and Lipman, D.J. 1990.
 Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215: 403–410.
 Barker, D.L., Therault, G., Che, D., Dickinson, T., Shen, R., and Kain, R.
- Barker, D.L., Therault, G., Che, D., Dickinson, T., Shen, R., and Kain, R. 2003. Self-assembled random arrays: High-performance imaging and genomics applications on a high-density microarray platform. *Proc.* SPIE **4966**: 1–11.
- Blanchard, A. 1998. Synthetic DNA arrays. Plenum Press, New York.
- Bardy, J.P., Williams, B.A., Wold, B.J., and Quake, S.R. 2002. Significance and statistical errors in the analysis of DNA microarray data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99:** 12975–12978.
- Dickinson, L.A., Joh, T., Kohwi, Y., and Kohwi-Shigematsu, T. 1992. A tissue-specific MAR/SAR DNA-binding protein with unusual binding site recognition. *Cell* **70**: 631–645.
- Eberwine, J., Yeh, H., Miyashiro, K., Cao, Y., Nair, S., Finnell, R., Zettel, M., and Coleman, P. 1992. Analysis of gene expression in single live neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 89: 3010–3014.
- Epstein, J.R., Lee, M., and Walt, D.R. 2002. High-density fiber-optic genosensor microsphere array capable of zeptomole detection limits. *Anal. Chem.* 74: 1836–1840.
- Fan, J.-B., Oliphant, A., Shen, R., Kermani, B.G., Garcia, F., Gunderson, K.L., Hansen, M., Steemers, F., Butler, S.L., Deloukas, P., et al. 2003. Highly parallel SNP genotyping. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Biol.* 68: 69–78.
- Fan, J.B., Yeakley, J.M., Bibikova, M., Chudin, E., Wickham, E., Chen, J., Doucet, D., Rigault, P., Zhang, B., Shen, R., et al. 2004. A versatile assay for high-throughput gene expression profiling on universal array matrices. *Genome Res.* 14: 878–885.
- Fingeroth, J.D. 1990. Comparative structure and evolution of murine CR2. The homolog of the human C3d/EBV receptor (CD21). J. Immunol. 144: 3458–3467.
- Fodor, S.P.A., Read, J.L., Pirrung, M.C., Stryer, L., Lu, A.T., and Solas, D. 1991. Light-directed, spatially addressable parallel chemical synthesis. *Science* 251: 767–773.
- Galinsky, V.L. 2003. Automatic registration of microarray images. II. Hexagonal grid. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1832–1836.
- Golub, T.R., Slonim, D.K., Tamayo, P., Huard, C., Gaasenbeek, M., Mesirov, J.P., Coller, H., Loh, M.L., Downing, J.R., Caligiuri, M.A., et al. 1999. Molecular classification of cancer: Class discovery and class prediction by gene expression monitoring. *Science* 286: 531–537.
- Gunderson, K., Kruglyak, S., Graige, M.S., Garcia, F., Kermani, B.G., Zhao, C., Che, D., Milewski, M., Yang, R., Siegmund, C., et al. 2004. Decoding randomly ordered arrays. *Genome Res.* 14: 870–877.
- Heid, C.A., Stevens, J., Livak, K.J., and Williams, P.M. 1996. Real time quantitative PCR. *Genome Res.* **6:** 986–994.
- Hermanson, G.G., Eisenberg, D., Kincade, P.W., and Wall, R. 1988. B29: A member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily exclusively expressed on β-lineage cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **85**: 6890–6894.
- Hughes, T.R., Marton, M.J., Jones, A.R., Roberts, C.J., Stoughton, R., Armour, C.D., Bennett, H.A., Coffey, E., Dai, H., He, Y.D., et al. 2000. Functional discovery via a compendium of expression profiles. *Cell* **102**: 109–126.
- Hughes, T.R., Mao, M., Jones, A.R., Burchard, J., Marton, M.J., Shannon, K.W., Lefkowitz, S.M., Ziman, M., Schelter, J.M., Meyer, M.R., et al. 2001. Expression profiling using microarrays fabricated by an ink-jet oligonucleotide synthesizer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **19**: 342–347.
- Ideker, T., Thorsson, V., Ranish, J.A., Christmas, R., Buhler, J., Eng, J.K., Bumgarner, R., Goodlett, D.R., Aebersold, R., and Hood, L. 2001. Integrated genomic and proteomic analyses of a systematically perturbed metabolic network. *Science* **292**: 929–934.
- The International HapMap Consortium. 2003. The International HapMap Project. *Nature* **426**: 789–796.
- Isakov, N. and Altman, A. 2002. Protein kinase C(theta) in T cell activation. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 20: 761–794.
- Lockhart, D.J. and Winzeler, E.A. 2000. Genomics, gene expression and DNA arrays. *Nature* 405: 827–836.
- Lockhart, D.J., Dong, H., Byrne, M.C., Follettie, M.T., Gallo, M.V., Chee, M.S., Mittmann, M., Wang, C., Kobayashi, M., Horton, H., et al. 1996. Expression monitoring by hybridization to high-density oligonucleotide arrays. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 14: 1675–1680.
- Marton, M.J., DeRisi, J.L., Bennett, H.A., Iyer, V.R., Meyer, M.R., Roberts, C.J., Stoughton, R., Burchard, J., Slade, D., Dai, H., et al. 1998. Drug

target validation and identification of secondary drug target effects using DNA microarrays. *Nat. Med.* **4:** 1293–1301. Michael, K.L., Taylor, L.C., Schultz, S.L., and Walt, D.R. 1998. Randomly

- Michael, K.L., Taylor, L.C., Schultz, S.L., and Walt, D.R. 1998. Randomly ordered addressable high-density optical sensor arrays. *Anal. Chem.* 70: 1242–1248.
- Nocentini, G., Giunchi, L., Ronchetti, S., Krausz, L.T., Bartoli, A., Moraca, R., Migliorati, G., and Riccardi, C. 1997. A new member of the tumor necrosis factor/nerve growth factor receptor family inhibits T cell receptor-induced apoptosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 94: 6216–6221.
- Park, C.G., Lee, S.Y., Kandala, G., and Choi, Y. 1996. A novel gene product that couples TCR signaling to Fas(CD95) expression in activation-induced cell death. *Immunity* 4: 583–591.
- Schall, T.J., Jongstra, J., Dyer, B.J., Jorgensen, J., Clayberger, C., Davis, M.M., and Krensky, A.M. 1988. A human T cell-specific molecule is a member of a new gene family. J. Immunol. 141: 1018–1025.
- Scheijen, B., Jonkers, J., Acton, D., and Berns, A. 1997. Characterization of pal-1, a common proviral insertion site in murine leukemia virus-induced lymphomas of c-myc and Pim-1 transgenic mice. J. Virol. 71: 9–16.
- Schena, M., Shalon, D., Davis, R.W., and Brown, P.O. 1995. Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. *Science* 270: 467–470.
- Siliciano, J.D., Morrow, T.A., and Desiderio, S.V. 1992. itk, a T-cell-specific tyrosine kinase gene inducible by interleukin 2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 89: 11194–11198.
- van't Veer, L.J., Dai, H., van de Vijver, M.J., He, Y.D., Hart, A.A., Mao, M., Peterse, H.L., van der Kooy, K., Marton, M.J., Witteveen, A.T., et al. 2002. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of

breast cancer. Nature 415: 530-536.

- Workman, C., Jensen, L.J., Jarmer, H., Berka, R., Gautier, L., Nielser, H.B., Saxild, H.H., Nielsen, C., Brunak, S., and Knudsen, S. 2002. A new non-linear normalization method for reducing variability in DNA microarray experiments. *Genome Biol.* 3: research0048.
- Yamanashi, Y., Kakiuchi, T., Mizuguchi, J., Yamamoto, T., and Toyoshima, K. 1991. Association of B cell antigen receptor with protein tyrosine kinase Lyn. *Science* **251**: 192–194.
- Protein tyrosine kinase Lyn. *Science* 251: 192–194.
 Yeakley, J.M., Fan, J.B., Doucet, D., Luo, L., Wickham, E., Ye, Z., Chee, M.S., and Fu, X.D. 2002. Profiling alternative splicing on fiber-optic arrays. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 20: 353–358.
- Yvert, G., Brem, R.B., Whittle, J., Akey, J.M., Foss, E., Smith, E.N., Mackelprang, R., and Kruglyak, L. 2003. *Trans*-acting regulatory variation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the role of transcription factors. *Nat. Genet.* **35**: 57–64.
- Zhang, W., Sloan-Lancaster, J., Kitchen, J., Trible, R.P., and Samelson, L.E. 1998. LAT: The ZAP-70 tyrosine kinase substrate that links T cell receptor to cellular activation. *Cell* **92**: 83–92.

Web site references

www.hapmap.org; International HapMap Project. www.illumina.com; Illumina, Inc. www.mged.org; Microarray Gene Expression Data Society.

Received April 30, 2004; accepted in revised form August 16, 2004.



A novel, high-performance random array platform for quantitative gene expression profiling

Kenneth Kuhn, Shawn C. Baker, Eugene Chudin, et al.

Genome Res. 2004 14: 2347-2356 Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gr.2739104

| References | This article cites 34 articles, 16 of which can be accessed free at: http://genome.cshlp.org/content/14/11/2347.full.html#ref-list-1 | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| License | | | | | |
| Email Alerting Service | Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or click here . | | | | |

Affordable, Accurate Sequencing.



To subscribe to Genome Research go to: https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions