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## I. Introduction

Distribution systems are normally configured radially for effective coordination of their protective systems. Most distribution networks use sectionalizing-switches that are normally closed, and tie-switches that are normally opened. From time to time, modifying the radial structure of the feeders by changing the on/off status of the sectionalizing and tie switches to transfer loads from one feeder to another may significantly improve the operating conditions of the overall system. Feeders in a distribution system normally have a mixture of industrial, commercial, residential and lighting loads. The peak load on the substation transformers and feeders occur at different times of the day, and therefore, the distribution system becomes heavily loaded at certain times of the day and lightly loaded at other times.
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Reduction in power losses is obtained by transferring loads from the heavily loaded feeders to lightly loaded feeders by reconfiguring the network so that the radial structure of the distribution feeders can be modified from time to time. This is done in order to reschedule the loads more efficiently for minimizing the losses in the system. Reconfiguration also allows smoothening out the peak demands improves the voltage profile in the feeders and increases the network reliability. A number of researches have been done on the reconfiguration of the network by closing/opening the tie and sectionalizing switches respectively to minimize losses in a distribution system.
A branch exchange type heuristic algorithm has been suggested by Civanlar et. al. [1], where, a simple formula has been developed for determination of change in power loss due to a branch exchange. A different method has been proposed by Baran and Wu [2] to identify the branches to be exchanged using heuristic approach to minimize the search for selecting the switching options. Merlin and Back [3] have used the branch and bound type optimization technique to find the minimum loss configuration. Following the method of reference [3], Shirmohammdi and Hong[4] have developed a heuristic algorithm. In their method, the solution is obtained by first closing all the switches, which are then opened one after another so as to establish the optimum flow pattern in the network. Goswami and Basu [5] also have proposed a heuristic algorithm for feeder reconfiguration by solving the KVL and KCL equations for the network with line impedances replaced by their corresponding resistances only. Broadwater et. al. [6] have applied the Civanlar's method [1] and Huddleston's quadratic loss function and multiple switching pair operation method [7] to solve the minimum loss reconfiguration problem. Peponis et. al. [8] have used the switch-exchange and sequential switch opening methods for reconfiguration of the network for loss reduction. The methods proposed by Rubin Taleski et. al. [9] and Kashem et. al. [10] are also based on branchexchange technique for reconfiguration to minimize distribution losses.

In all the above methods, the environments under which the calculations are made do not correspond to the actual operating conditions of the system under consideration: They depend on some heuristics and approximations, as the simultaneous switching of the feeder reconfiguration is not considered due to combinatorial explosive nature of the switching options to be encountered. Methods [11, 12] have been suggested to implement on-line control strategies for power system planing and operations based on continuous network reconfiguration for loss minimization.

In this paper, an efficient method has been proposed to identify the optimum switching configuration for loss minimization and a comparative study with other established methods made. At the beginning of the proposed method, all the possible switching options obtained from the combination of the tie-switch and its two neighbors are selected and the infeasible combinations in the selection are omitted. The minimum loss configuration in the selected combinations is identified. Finally, an extensive search is carried out by changing the switching status one at a time, by either moving to the left or to the right of the open branch in the configuration obtained, and the configuration with maximum loss reduction is determined.

## II. Switching Algorithm for Loss Reduction

In a radial distribution system to achieve a maximum reduction in power loss, the aim is to identify the appropriate switching options. In most of the techniques, the losses are calculated by using load-flow studies for each configuration, and the minimum loss configuration is found. In the proposed method, the distribution system is considered with all its laterals simultaneously, instead of determining the switching-options on loop by loop basis. Usually, this type of technique involves very complicated mathematical techniques and large computational time due to the combinatorial nature of the problem. However, the solutions obtained by these methods achieve global optimum of loss minimization problem [9]. The proposed method develops a switching algorithm, which minimizes the computational time by performing the effective search to the requisite switching combinations.

## II. A Determination of Switching Status

In general, any tie or/and sectionalizing switches can be closed or/and opened to perform various network reconfigurations without creating any closed loop or leaving out any branch unconnected. Any reconfiguration which forms a closed loop, or leaving one or more branches unconnected is classified as infeasible switching combination for network configuration [13]. To avoid any infeasible switching combinations, the connectivity from the source to all the nodes of the system are checked. If a valid path exists, then the configuration is a feasible one, otherwise it is infeasible. It is important to note that every tie switch or sectionalizing switch selected for closing or opening for reconfiguration is considered together with its two immediate neighboring switches. If a tie switch is closed, then a closed loop will be formed. To avoid a closed loop and restore the radial structure, one of the neighboring sectionalizing switches must be opened. The three possible switching combinations form a switchgroup. If a sectionalizing switch is opened during reconfiguration, this open branch and its adjoining branches again form a switch-group. In this way, all branches can form switch groups of their own, recursively. If there are $n$ tie switches in a system, there will be $n$
switch-groups forming $3^{\text {n }}$ different switching combinations. Each switch position in a switching combination is found out by using the formula,
$\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{ij}}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{i} 2}, \mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{i} 1}\right]$
The switch positions of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ switch in $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ combination, $\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ can be obtained as,
$\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left[(\mathrm{i}-1) / 3^{(\mathrm{j}-1)}\right] \bmod 3$
where, $i$ is the combination number (one of $3^{n}$ ), $j$ is the switch number ( one of $n$ ) and $\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are the positions of the various switches in the $i$ th switching combinations.
The switching positions $\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{i}}$ obtained above will be a 3digit number containing the combination of 0,1 and 2 , where 0,1 and 2 status represent the combination with tieswitch open (zero-connect), the right neighbor open (leftconnect) the left neighbor open (right-connect) respectively. For zero-connect, the configuration will remain unchanged, but for left-connect or right-connect, the configuration will change according to the left or rightconnect logic. Left and right-connect logic are developed using the power flow in the adjoining branches of the tiebranch in the system. When a system is reconfigured by closing the tie-branch and opening either of the adjoining branches, the power-flow in the open-branch which was flowing before reconfiguration, shifts to the newly connected tie-branch. If the left-connect is performed, then the power-flow of the right branch of the tie-branch will be shifted to the tie-branch. Similarly, when the right-connect is performed, the power-flow of the left branch of the tiebranch will be shifted to the tie-branch.

A distribution system after reconfiguration, may become infeasible because there can be some loads which will be isolated from the source of power. In loss minimization technique, there will be certain infeasible combinations arising out of restructuring among the $3^{n}$ different switching combinations possible. When a branch is left unconnected due to the opening of the sectionalizing switches or a closed loop is created due to the closing of the tie-switches, infeasible combinations result. These infeasible combinations must be identified and eliminated from the total combinations. The infeasible combinations are determined by checking the connectivity of each branch in the network. Connectivity of a node or branch means the existence of physical connections of a node or branch from the source. For each combination among all the nodes, select each node one after another, check its connectivity and make its connectivity active if it has the feasible connectivity. This procedure is repeated for all the nodes in the network.

## II.B Finding the Total Losses of a Network by Using Simplified Distflow

Power flow equations for a radial distribution network using real power, reactive power, voltages at the sending and receiving ends of a branch proposed by Baran and Wu [2] are,
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Li}+1}$
$Q_{i+1}=Q_{i} \sim x_{i}\left(P_{i}^{2}+Q_{i}^{2}\right) / V_{i}^{2}-Q_{L i+1}$

The above equations are called Distflow equations, where, $P_{i}, Q_{i}, V_{i}$ are the real power, reactive power, and voltage at the sending end and $P_{i+1}, Q_{i+1}$, and $V_{i+1}$ are the receiving end quantities respectively. The quadratic terms in the equations represent the losses on the branches and hence they are much smaller than the power terms $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Hence, by dropping the second order terms the set of new branch equations can be written as,
$P_{i+1}=P_{i}-P_{L i+1}$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}+1}$
$V_{i+1}^{2}=V_{i}^{2}-2\left(r_{i} P_{i}+x_{i} Q_{i}\right)$
The solution for the simplified Distflow equations for a radial network can be obtained as [2],

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{i+1}=\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} P_{L k}  \tag{5a}\\
& Q_{i+1}=\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} Q_{L k}  \tag{5a}\\
& V_{i+1}^{2}=V_{i}^{2}-2\left(r_{i} P_{i}+x_{i} Q_{i}\right) \tag{5a}
\end{align*}
$$

The power loss on a branch is calculated as,
Loss $_{i}=\frac{r_{i}\left(P_{i}^{2}+Q_{i}^{2}\right)}{V_{i}^{2}}$
The total system loss is the sum of all the branch losses given by,
Total_loss $=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}$ Loss $=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{r_{i}\left(P_{i}^{2}+Q_{i}^{2}\right)}{V_{i}{ }^{2}}\right]$
Unlike the other load-flow techniques that take a lot of iterations and computational time, in the simplified Distflow, power-flows are calculated in one cycle of iteration. Therefore, it is suitable for on-line implementation as it reduces computational time.

## II.C Finding the Optimal Configuration for Loss Minimization

The network reconfiguration for loss minimization is performed by opening and/or closing the sectionalizingand tie-switches in such a way that the radiality of the network is retained and at the same time power losses are minimized. In the proposed method, a systematic approach is employed to perform automatically all types of operations needed for (i) finding the total combinations of the system, (ii) obtaining the feasible combinations, (iii) determining the switching status of the individual switches, (iv) estimating the losses for all the switching combinations, (v) identifying the minimum loss configuration which might be a local or global minimum from among the feasible switching combinations, and (vi) finally making a search to find the global optimum for loss minimization by moving to the left or right of each of the
open lines or tie lines, depending upon whether the right adjoining or the left adjoining branch of a tie-switch is open.
Once the input parameters of the base configuration or any other configuration of a radial system under consideration, are fed to the algorithm, the algorithm automatically reassigns the branches and loads, for any other switching configuration. In the input data, apart from specifying the branches, branch impedances, and the loads, we have to specify the tie-branches, the left and right neighboring branches to each of the tie branch and the node numbers on both sides of the tie branches indicating the extent of search to be carried out onto the right and left sides of the tie branches. The switching combinations are formed and the status of each switch in a switch-group is realized. The infeasible combinations are omitted from the total combinations. These switching configurations are formed according to the switching status found from the various switching combinations. The power flows are calculated for each branch of the switching configuration under consideration, and the total power loss is estimated. Likewise, the power loss in each of the remaining configurations is calculated. The configuration that gives the minimum power loss is identified. To further narrow down the search towards the global minimum, a simplified extension of the above procedure is carried out by considering the connectivity of the combination found above. In a reconfigured system obtained from the base configuration, the open sectionalizing or tie switches are considered as the tie switches for the next operation for network reconfiguration. The switch-groups obtained from the combination found above are examined individually, and the switching status of each switch-group is found. If the connectivity of the switch-group remains in the zero switch group (zero-connect) itself, then the switch group is unaltered. If the connectivity of a switch group is towards left (left-connect), the connection is made to move towards right by opening the next neighbor of the right branch of the current tie switch and closing the current tie switch forming a new group. If the connectivity of the switch group is towards right (right-connect), the connection is made to move towards left by opening the next neighbor of the left branch of the current tie-switch and closing the current tie-switch forming a new switch-group. For the newly formed combination, the power loss is calculated and compared with the power loss in the combination estimated earlier. If it is less, then the current combination is considered as the best combination and the search is further carried out in the same direction; otherwise, the combination found earlier is considered as the best combination. The above procedure is applied to other switch-groups in succession and the best combination is found.

## III. Test System

To demonstrate the utility of the proposed switching algorithm, a 33-bus test system is considered and the system details are given in Table I. It is assumed that there
is a sectionalizing switch on every branch. The system data of the base configuration are the same as in [2] and given in the Appendix.

Table I: Test System Details

| No. of branches | 32 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of tie-line | 5 |
| System load (Active) | 3715 kW |
| System load (Reactive) | 2300 kVAr |
| System loss for base configuration | 210.00 kW |

## III.A Test Results

The proposed method is tested on the 33-bus test system using Borland C++. The data pertaining to the base configuration of the system is fed to the algorithm. In the first stage of the proposed method, the search is limited to the tie-lines and their two adjoining branches only which generates the switching combinations ( $3^{n}$, where $n$ is the number of tie-lines). Each of the switching combinations will be converted to switching configuration using the concept of zero-connect, left-connect and right-connect. The configuration with minimum loss is identified from the feasible configurations. In the next stage, the search has been carried out by allowing the investigation to move to the left or right of each open branch of the configuration obtained above, depending on whether each switch-group is in right-connect or left-connect status. The test results obtained by the proposed algorithm are given in Table II. The overall loss minimum configuration for the test system is obtained which gives the optimal or near optimal solution for loss minimization.

Table II: Test Results of the Proposed GSSA

|  | 33-bus test system |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loss in the base configuration | 210.00 kW |
| Loss in the optimal or best- <br> configuration | 155.10 kW |
| Optimal Solution <br> (branch in - out) | $33-7,34-14,35-11,36-$ <br> Loss reduction |
| Execution time <br> (Pentium 200 MHz ) | $54.97-28 \mathrm{~kW}$ |

## III.B Comparative Study

The 33 -bus system results obtained by the proposed method are also compared with the results of the methods in [2], [4], and [5]. The solutions (switching-options) obtained by the proposed method and the methods in [2], [4], and [5] are examined for loss minimization by using simplified Distflow and Distflow solutions. The real power losses for the base configuration and the loss minimum solutions of the 33-bus system obtained by the proposed method and the methods of [2], [4] and [5] are computed and presented in Table III. The proposed method has found the appropriate switching-options that give the optimal configuration for loss minimization. The switching-options found by the proposed method are 33-7, 34-14, 35-11, 3632 , and $37-28$. It is also found that the proposed method gives the maximum loss reduction in the two different power-flow solutions considered. Test results show that
the proposed method can give optimal or near optimal solution within a reasonable computer time and effort. It is proven that in the case of test system, the proposed method is found to be better because it is able to identify the effective switching configuration for loss minimization. Therefore, the proposed method can be applied to find the optimal or near optimal solution for network of any size and complexity, even though the computational time for larger system is relatively higher than that of the heuristic or approximate methods. However, optimal or near optimal solution is guaranteed in the proposed method. Therefore, the proposed Switching Algorithm can be used for real-time on-line implementation.

Table III: Comparison with Other Methods using 33-bus Test Data

| Methods <br> investigated for <br> 33-bus system | Loss-minimum <br> solution obtained <br> (Branch in-out) | Real power loss computed <br> by |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Simplified <br> Distflow | Distflow |
| Base <br> configuration | - | 210.00 kW | 223.50 kW |
| Proposed GSSA | $33-7,34-14,35-$ <br> $11,36-32,37-28$ | 155.10 kW | 154.40 kW |
| Goswami \& Basu <br> [5] | $33-7,34-9,35-$ <br> $14,36-32$ | 157.50 kW | 155.60 kW |
| Shirmohammadi <br> \& Hong [4] | $33-7,34-10,35-$ <br> $14,36-32$ | 158.2 kW | 156.30 kW |
| Baran \& Wu <br> M1 [2] | $35-11,36-31,37-$ <br> 28 | 167.00 kW | 163.00 kW |
| Baran \& Wu - <br> M2 [2] | $33-6,35-11,36-$ <br> 31 | 178.40 kW | 170.40 kW |
| Baran \& Wu - <br> M3 [2] | $33-6,35-11,36-$ <br> 31 | 178.40 kW | 170.40 kW |

## III.C Discussions

The proposed algorithm is most suited to be used with SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition Systems) and DAC (Distribution Automation and Control) as the algorithm requires only the inputs to be supplied and any other subsequent manipulations such as partitioning, forming loops or sub-systems etc. are not necessary. In most of the methods available so far, some form of heuristics is employed and near optimal or optimal solution is obtained. In these methods the implementation of the loss minimization has not been fully automated. As the loss minimum configuration has been obtained by some heuristics and approximations, these methods do not guarantee global optimum. Since the heuristic methods depend on the loads at a particular time, and as loads vary with time, they are not reliable in load varying conditions. Whatever may be the combination of consumer types, the proposed method will offer reliable solutions for the loss minimization problems by properly reconfiguring the distribution systems.

The proposed method can be applied for proper planning and efficient operation for on-line systems, since any change in loads does not need any change to be made in the algorithm and the optimum switching configuration can be easily found without much effort. The problem of non-coincidence of peak loads and diversity of load categories are not a matter of concern in the proposed
system. Since simultaneous evaluation of power losses in all the branches are done for a set of switching combinations, the method is more reliable and gives better results. Also, the method gives a lot of flexibility to limit or extend the search simply by specifying the branch numbers to which the search is to be done on both ends of each tie-switch in the system. The proposed method can be reliably employed for loss minimization as it has been found to be most effective and gives the best optimal solutions compared to the methods available for loss minimization by reconfiguring the radial distribution network.

## IV. Conclusion

A switching algorithm is formulated for network reconfiguration based loss reduction, and a new solution method is proposed in this paper. To reduce the combinatorial explosive switching problems into a realizable one, an efficient technique has been introduced for reconfiguration of distribution networks. In the first stage, the tie-branches and its two adjoining branches are considered to generate switching combinations and the best combination among them is identified. In the second stage, the search has been extended to find whether there is any other switching option that may give minimum loss. To improve the accuracy and to rule out the possibility of leaving out any other better switching combination, the search is done by considering switching options beyond the two neighboring branches of each of the tie-switches. This is done by specifying the branch numbers to which the search is extended along with each of the tie-switch specifications in the input. The method has been compared with five other methods and a comparative study is presented. From the test results and comparative study it can be concluded that the proposed method can effectively identify the appropriate switches for loss minimization with minimum computational effort. The technique can be effectively installed in real time on-line systems under widely varying load conditions, and this is suitable for power system planning and operations. The proposed method is suitable for continuous reconfiguration for loss reduction. And it can also be used for planning and design of power systems to reduce installation and switching costs.
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Appendix: 33-bus Test System

| Br. <br> no. | Sen. <br> node | Rec. <br> node | Real <br> load <br> kw | Reac. <br> load <br> kVAr | Resis- <br> tance <br> ohms | Reac- <br> tance <br> ohms |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 60 | 0.0922 | 0.0470 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 90 | 40 | 0.4930 | 0.2511 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 120 | 80 | 0.3660 | 0.1864 |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 60 | 30 | 0.3811 | 0.1941 |
| 5 | 4 | 5 | 60 | 20 | 0.8190 | 0.7070 |
| 6 | 5 | 6 | 200 | 100 | 0.1872 | 0.6188 |
| 7 | 6 | 7 | 200 | 100 | 1.7114 | 1.2351 |
| 8 | 7 | 8 | 60 | 20 | 1.0300 | 0.7400 |
| 9 | 8 | 9 | 60 | 20 | 1.0440 | 0.7400 |
| 10 | 9 | 10 | 45 | 30 | 0.1966 | 0.0650 |
| 11 | 10 | 11 | 60 | 35 | 0.3744 | 0.1238 |
| 12 | 11 | 12 | 60 | 35 | 1.4680 | 1.1550 |
| 13 | 12 | 13 | 120 | 80 | 0.5416 | 0.7129 |
| 14 | 13 | 14 | 60 | 10 | 0.5910 | 0.5260 |
| 15 | 14 | 15 | 60 | 20 | 0.7463 | 0.5450 |
| 16 | 15 | 16 | 60 | 20 | 1.2890 | 1.7210 |
| 17 | 16 | 17 | 90 | 40 | 0.7320 | 0.5740 |
| 18 | 1 | 18 | 90 | 40 | 0.1640 | 0.1565 |
| 19 | 18 | 19 | 90 | 40 | 1.5042 | 1.3554 |
| 20 | 19 | 20 | 90 | 40 | 0.4095 | 0.4784 |
| 21 | 20 | 21 | 90 | 40 | 0.7089 | 0.9373 |
| 22 | 2 | 22 | 90 | 50 | 0.4512 | 0.3083 |
| 23 | 22 | 23 | 420 | 200 | 0.8980 | 0.7091 |
| 24 | 23 | 24 | 420 | 200 | 0.8960 | 0.7011 |
| 25 | 5 | 25 | 60 | 25 | 0.2030 | 0.1034 |


| 26 | 25 | 26 | 60 | 25 | 0.2842 | 0.1447 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 26 | 27 | 60 | 20 | 1.0590 | 0.9337 |
| 28 | 27 | 28 | 120 | 70 | 0.8042 | 0.7006 |
| 29 | 28 | 29 | 200 | 600 | 0.5075 | 0.2585 |
| 30 | 29 | 30 | 150 | 70 | 0.9744 | 0.9630 |
| 31 | 30 | 31 | 210 | 100 | 0.3105 | 0.3619 |
| 32 | 31 | 32 | 60 | 40 | 0.3410 | 0.5302 |
| $33^{*}$ | 20 | 7 |  |  | 2.0000 | 2.0000 |
| $34^{*}$ | 8 | 14 |  |  | 2.0000 | 2.0000 |
| $35^{*}$ | 11 | 21 |  |  | 2.0000 | 2.0000 |
| $36^{*}$ | 17 | 32 |  |  | 0.5000 | 0.5000 |
| $37^{*}$ | 24 | 28 |  |  | 0.5000 | 0.5000 |

*Tie lines
Substation Voltage (kV)=12.66 kV, Base MVA=10.00MVA

