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#### Abstract

The two sets of polynomials $\left\{J_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{K_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right\}$ where $J_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ is of degree $n$ in $x^{k}$ and $K_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ is of degree $n$ in $x$ ( $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ ) are constructed so that they are biorthogonal on $(0,1)$ with respect to discrete distribution $d \Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x)$ which has jumps $[\alpha q]_{\infty}[\beta q]_{i}(\alpha q)^{i} /\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{\infty}[q]_{i}$ at $x=q^{i}$. When $k=1$ these reduce to the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials. Various other properties are also given.


1. Introduction. Let $\alpha(x)$ be a "distribution" on $[a, b]$ (finite or infinite), that is, $\alpha(x)$ is bounded, incrasing on $(a, b)$, with infinitely many points of increase, and such that $\int_{a}^{b} x^{n} d \alpha(x)<\infty$ for all $n \geqq 0$.

The set of polynomials $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}$, and the set of polynomials $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}$, $\operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(x)=n$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ are said to be biorthogonal on $(a, b)$ with respect to $d \alpha(x)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} P_{n}(x) Q_{m}(x) d \alpha(x)=h_{n} \delta_{n, m} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h_{n} \neq 0$ and $\delta_{n m}$ the familiar Kronecker delta. In this paper we shall take $P_{n}(x)$ to be of degree $n$ in $x^{k}$ where $k$ is fixed.

Didon [7] and Deruyts [6] considered this concept in some detail. For example, given the set $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}$ the set $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}$ is uniquely determined and conversely.

This concept has been reconsidered in [11], [12]. It is shown that (1.1) is equivalent to (1.2) and (1.3),

$$
\int_{a}^{b} x^{i} P_{n}(x) d \alpha(x) \begin{cases}=0 & 0 \leqq i<n  \tag{1.2}\\ \neq 0 & i=n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} x^{i k} Q_{n}(x) d \alpha(x) \begin{cases}=0 & 0 \leqq i<n  \tag{1.3}\\ \neq 0 & i=n\end{cases}
$$

Thus if $k=1,\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}$ collapse to the set of orthogonal polynomials associated with $\alpha(x)$ on $(a, b)$. Both Didon and Deruyts gave as an example the case $d \alpha(x)=x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1} d x$ on $(0,1)$. More
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recently Chai [13] suggested a polynomial of degree $n$ in $x^{k}$ which is orthogonal to $x^{i}(i=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$ on $(0,1)$ with respect to $d \alpha(x)=$ $x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1} d x$. This case was considered earlier in [6] and [7].

Lately considerable interest has been shown in the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}(x ; \alpha, \beta \mid q)[1,2,3,10,15]$ defined by

$$
P_{n}(x ; \alpha, \beta \mid q)={ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
q^{-n}, \alpha \beta q^{n+1} ; q, q x  \tag{1.4}\\
\alpha q
\end{array}\right]
$$

whose orthogonality relation is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} P_{n}(x ; \alpha, \beta \mid q) P_{m}(x ; \alpha, \beta \mid q) d \Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x)=h_{n} \delta_{n m} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x)$ is a step function with jumps, at $x=q^{i}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x) & =\frac{[\alpha q]_{\infty}[\beta q]_{i}}{\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{\infty}[q]_{i}}(\alpha q)^{i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
h_{n} & =\frac{[\beta q]_{n}[q]_{n}[\alpha \beta q]_{2 n}}{[\alpha q]_{n}[\alpha \beta q]_{n}\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{2 n}}(\alpha q)^{n} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper we shall employ the following notation: For $|q|<1$ we put $(a ; q)_{\infty}=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(1-a q^{j}\right)$ and for arbitrary complex number $n,(a ; q)_{n}=$ $(a ; q)_{\infty} /\left(a q^{n} ; q\right)_{\infty}$, so that in particular if $n$ is a non-negative integer $(a ; q)_{n}$ $=(1-a)(1-a q) \cdots\left(1-q^{n-1}\right)$ in which case the restriction $|q|<1$ is no longer necessary. For writing economy we shall write $[a]_{n}$ to mean $(a ; q)_{n}$. If the base is not $q$ but, say, $p$ then we shall mention it explicitly as $(a ; p)_{n}$.

The Heine or $q$-series

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{r+k-1} & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r} ; q, x \\
b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r-k+1}
\end{array}\right] } \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[a_{1}\right]_{j}\left[a_{2}\right]_{j} \cdots\left[a_{r}\right]_{j}(-1)^{k j} q^{(1 / 2) k j(j-1)} x^{j}}{[q]_{j}\left[b_{1}\right]_{j} \cdots\left[b_{r-k+1}\right]_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $q$-difference operator (with base $q$ ) is $D_{q} f(x)=(f(x)-f(q x) / x$ and its $n$-th iterate is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}^{n} f(x)=x^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\left[q^{-n}\right]_{j} /[q]_{j}\right) q^{j} f\left(x q^{j}\right) \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its fractional extension is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}^{\mu} f(x)=x^{-\mu} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\left[q^{-\mu}\right]_{j} /[q]_{j}\right) q^{j} f\left(x q^{j}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-binomial theorem is [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left([a]_{j} /[q]_{j}\right) z^{j}=[a z]_{\infty} /[z]_{\infty} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gauss' theorem for the sum of ${ }_{2} \phi_{1}$ series is given by [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left[a, b ;{\underset{c}{ }, c / a b]=[c / a]_{\infty}[c / b]_{\infty} /[c]_{\infty}[c / a b]_{\infty}}\right. \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $q$-Vandermonde theorem is [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left[q^{-n}, b ; q, q\right]=\left([c / b]_{n} /[c]_{n}\right) b^{n} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall find it useful to know the "moments" for the distribution (1.6). Using the $q$-binomial theorem (1.9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}=\int_{0}^{1} x^{j} d \Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x)=[\alpha q]_{\infty}\left[\alpha \beta q^{2+j}\right]_{\infty} /\left[\alpha q^{1+j}\right]_{\infty}\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{\infty} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Jackson [8] gave the following $q$-analog of Taylor's formula for polynomials of degree $\leqq n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{n} x^{r}\left([1 / x]_{r} /[q]_{r}\right)\left[D_{q}^{r} f(x)\right]_{x=1} . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. A Biorthogonal System of Polynomials. Let us define for $n=0,1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\left(q^{-n k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}\left[\alpha \beta q^{n+1}\right]_{k j}}{\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}[\alpha q]_{k j}}(q x)^{k j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{K}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q)= & \sum_{r=0}^{n}[\beta q x]_{n-r}(q x)^{r} /[q]_{r}[\beta q]_{n-r}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \sum_{j=0}^{r}\left[q^{-r}\right]_{j}\left(\alpha q^{1+j} ; q^{k}\right)_{n} q^{(r-n)} /[q]_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall prove that $\left\{\mathscr{J}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, k \mid q)\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathscr{K}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, k \mid q)\right\}$ are biorthogonal to each other. More specifically we assert that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \mathscr{J}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q) \mathscr{K}_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q) d \Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x)=h_{n}(\alpha, \beta, k) \delta_{n m} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x)$ is the distribution function given in (1.6) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}(\alpha, \beta, k)=\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{n}(q \alpha)^{n} /\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{n-1}\left(1-\alpha \beta q^{k n+\bar{n}+1}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before proving (2.3) we remark that when $k=1$ the polynomials $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; 1 \mid q)$ reduce to the little $q$-Jacobi polynomial (1.4). This is obvious. Less obvious is that $\mathscr{K}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; 1 \mid q)$ also reduces to a constant multiple of
the little $q$-Jacobi polynomial. To see this we put $k=1$ in (2.2) and evaluate the inside sum by Gauss' summation formula (1.10) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{K}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; 1 \mid q) & =\frac{[\alpha q]_{n}[\beta q x]_{n}}{[\beta q]_{n}}{ }_{3} \phi_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
q^{-n}, q^{-n} / \beta, 0 ; q, q \\
\alpha q, q^{-n} / \beta x
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\frac{[\alpha q]_{n}[\beta q x]_{n}}{[\beta q]_{n}}{ }_{2} \phi_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
p^{-n}, \beta p^{-n} ; p, x / \alpha p^{1+n} \\
p / \alpha, \beta x p^{-n}
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p=1 / q$. Now the ${ }_{2} \phi_{2}$ in the second equality can be transformed using Heine's transformation [14]

$$
{ }_{2} \phi_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
A, B ; p, y c / B \\
c, y A
\end{array}\right]=\left([y ; p]_{\infty} /[y A ; p]_{\infty}\right)_{2} \phi_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
c / B, A ; p, y \\
c
\end{array}\right]
$$

to get, after changing the base back to $q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{K}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; 1 \mid q)=\left([\alpha q]_{n} /[\beta q]_{n}\right) P_{n}(x ; \alpha, \beta \mid q) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we see that when $k=1$ the orthogonality relation (2.3) reduces to (1.5).

Now to prove (2.3) it is sufficient to prove

$$
I_{n, m}=\int_{0}^{1} x^{m} \mathscr{J}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q) d \Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x) \begin{cases}=0, & 0 \leqq m<n  \tag{2.6a}\\ \neq 0, & m=n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
I_{n, m}^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{1} x^{k m} \mathscr{K}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q) d \Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x) \begin{cases}=0, & 0 \leqq m<n  \tag{2.6b}\\ \neq 0, & m=n\end{cases}
$$

Formula (2.6a) can be verified easily. Indeed substituting in (2.6a) for $\mathscr{J}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q)$ from (2.1) and using (1.12), we get, for $m<n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n, m} & =\frac{1}{\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\left(q^{-n k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}}{\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}} q^{k j}\left[\alpha q^{1+k j}\right]_{m}\left[\alpha \beta q^{2+m+k j}\right]_{n-m-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{n-1}}\left[D_{q^{k}}^{n}\left\{[\alpha q x]_{m}\left[\alpha \beta q^{2+m} x\right]_{n-m-1}\right\}\right]_{x=1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the $n$-th $q$-derivative of a polynomial of degree $k<n$ vanishes, it follows that $I_{n, m}=0$ for $m=0,1,2, \ldots, n-1$. In case $m=n+s-1$ ( $s \geqq 1$ ) we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n, n+s-1}=\frac{1}{\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\left(q^{-n k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}}{\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}} q^{k j} \frac{\left[\alpha q^{1+k j}\right]_{n+s-1}}{\left[\alpha \beta q^{1+n+k j}\right]_{s}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x]_{n+s-1} /\left[\beta x q^{n}\right]_{s}=P_{n-1}(x)+\sum_{r=0}^{s-1} A_{r} / 1-\beta x q^{n+r} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n-1}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ and

$$
A_{r}=\left((-1)^{n} q^{-(1 / 2) n(n+1)-n r} / \beta^{n+r}\right)\left([\beta q]_{n+r}[1 / \beta]_{s-r-1} /[q]_{r}[q]_{s-r-1}\right) .
$$

Substituting from (2.8) in (2.7) and observing that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\left(q^{-n k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}}{\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}} q^{k j} P_{n-1}\left(\alpha q^{1+k j}\right)=\left[D_{q^{k}}^{n} P_{n-1}(x \alpha q)\right]_{x=1}=0,
$$

on interchanging the order of summation and then using (1.11) (with base $q^{k}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{n, n+s-1}=(-\alpha)^{n}\left(\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{n} /\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{n-1}\right) q^{n(n+1) / 2} \\
& \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{s-1} \frac{[\beta q]_{n+r}[1 / \beta]_{s-r-1}}{[q]_{r}[q]_{s-r-1}} \cdot \frac{\beta^{-r}}{\left(\alpha \beta q^{1+r+n} ; q^{k}\right)_{n+1}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n, n}=(-\alpha)^{n} q^{n(n+1) / 2}\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{n}[\beta]_{n} /\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{n-1}\left(\alpha \beta q^{1+n} ; q^{k}\right)_{n+1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the verification of (2.6a).
Now we proceed to prove (2.6b). We first require the following formula which is a $q$-analog of a result of Carlitz [5]. Let $p=q^{-1}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha^{n} q^{n(1+k i)}\left(q^{-k i} ; q^{k}\right)_{n}=\sum_{r=0}^{n}\left((1 / \alpha) p^{1+k i} ; p\right)_{r} /(p ; p)_{r} / \alpha^{r} .  \tag{2.11}\\
p^{-r(a+k i)} \sum_{j=0}^{r}\left(\left(p^{-r} ; p\right)_{j} /(p ; p)_{j}\right) p^{j(1+n)}\left(\alpha q^{1+j} ; q^{k}\right)_{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

which can be obtained from (1.13) and (1.7) (with base $p=q^{-1}$ ) with $f(x)=x^{n}\left[\alpha q / x ; q^{k}\right]_{n}$ evaluated at $x=\alpha q^{1+k i}$.

Furthermore using the $q$-binomial theorem (1.9), we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} x^{r}[\beta q x]_{m} d \Omega(\alpha, \beta ; x)=[\alpha q]_{r}[\beta q]_{m} /\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{m+r} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the left hand side of (2.6b) with the aid of (2.2) and (2.12) is

$$
I_{n, m}^{\prime}=\frac{[\alpha q]_{k m}}{\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{n+k m}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\left[\alpha q^{1+k m}\right]_{r}}{[q]_{r}} q^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \frac{\left[q^{-r}\right]_{j}\left(\alpha q^{1+j} ; q^{k}\right)_{n}}{[q]_{j}} q^{j(r-n)}
$$

which in view of (2.11), with $p$ replaced by $1 / q$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n, m}^{\prime}=q^{n(k m+1)} \alpha^{n}\left([\alpha q]_{k m} /\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{k m+n}\left(q^{-k m} ; q^{k}\right)_{n}\right. \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (2.13) is valid for all integers $m$ and in particular for $0 \leqq m<$ $n, I_{m n}^{\prime}=0$. On the other hand if $n=m$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n, m}^{\prime}=(-1)^{n} q^{1 / 2 k n(n-1)+n} \alpha^{n}\left([\alpha q]_{k n} /\left[\alpha \beta q^{2}\right]_{k n+n}\right)\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{n} . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the verification of (2.6b). Now to verify (2.4) we only need to multiply $I_{n m}^{\prime}$ by the coefficient of $x^{n k}$ in (2.1).
3. Some Properties. We shall obtain in this section some properties of our system of biorthogonal polynomials. All of these properties imply for $k=1$ corresponding properties for the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials some of which, to our best knowledge, are new.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q)=\sum_{m=0}^{k} c(n, m) \mathscr{J}_{m}^{(\gamma, \delta)}(x ; k \mid q) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(n, m) \\
& \quad=\frac{(-1)^{m} \boldsymbol{q}^{1 / 2 k m(m+1)}}{\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{m}\left[\gamma \delta q^{1+m}\right]_{k m}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \frac{\left(q^{-n k} ; q^{k}\right)_{m+j}}{\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}} \cdot \frac{\left[\alpha \beta q^{n+1}\right]_{k m+k j}[\gamma q]_{k m+k j}}{[\alpha q]_{k m+k j}\left[\gamma \delta q^{m+2+k m}\right]_{k j}} q^{k j}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k=1$ (3.1) reduces to the connection coefficient formula for the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials due to Andrews and Askey [3].

In the following we take $a=q^{\alpha}, b=q^{\beta}$ and $c=q^{r}$. One can then show

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}^{n+\beta}\left[x^{\alpha+\beta+n}\left(x^{k} q^{k-k n} ; q^{k}\right)_{n}\right]=\left([a q]_{\infty} /\left[a b q^{1+n}\right]_{\infty} x^{\alpha} \mathscr{J}_{n}^{(a, b)}(x ; k \mid q)\right. \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta$ is a positive integer this reduces to a Rodrigues formula for $\mathscr{J}_{n}^{(a, b)}(x ; k \mid q)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{q}^{-\mu}\left[x^{\lambda} \mathscr{J}_{n}^{(a, b)}(x ; k \mid q)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{x^{\lambda+\mu}}{\left[q^{1+\lambda}\right]_{\mu}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\left(q^{-n k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}\left[q^{1+\alpha+\beta+n}\right]_{k j}\left[q^{1+\lambda}\right]_{k j}}{\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{j}\left[q^{1+\alpha}\right]_{k j}\left[q^{1+\lambda+\mu}\right]_{k j}}(q x)^{k j} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

which for $\lambda=\alpha$ reduces to the following interesting formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}^{-\mu}\left[x^{\alpha} \mathscr{J}_{n}^{(a, b)}(x ; k \mid q)\right]=\left(x^{\alpha+\mu} /[a q]_{\mu}\right) \mathscr{J}_{n}^{(\alpha+\mu, \beta-\mu)}(x ; k \mid q) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for $\mu=\beta-\gamma, \lambda=\alpha+\gamma+n$, (3.3) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{q}^{r-\beta}\left[x^{\alpha+r+n} \mathscr{J}_{n}^{(a, b)}(x ; k \mid q)\right] \\
& \quad=x^{\alpha+\beta+n}\left(\left[a b q^{1+n}\right]_{\infty} /\left[a c q^{1+n}\right]_{\infty}\right) \mathscr{J}_{n}^{(a, c)}(x ; k \mid q) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.4) and (3.5) for $k=1$ reduce to $q$-analog of formulas given by Askey and Fitch [4].

If $x^{k n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} D(n, m) \mathscr{J}_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(n, m)=\frac{(-1)^{m}\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{n}[\alpha q]_{k n} q^{1 / 2 k m(m-1)}}{\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{m}\left(q^{k} ; q^{k}\right)_{n-m}\left[\alpha \beta q^{m+1}\right]_{k m}\left[\alpha \beta q^{2+m+k m}\right]_{k n-k m}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x^{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} E(n, m) \mathscr{K}_{m}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x ; k \mid q)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
E(n, m)=(-1)^{m}\left(1-\alpha \beta q^{1+m+k m}\right) & \sum_{r=0}^{n-m} \frac{[\beta q]_{m+r}[1 / \beta]_{n-m-r}}{[q]_{r}[q]_{n-m-1}} \\
& \cdot \frac{\beta^{-r} q^{-1 / 2 m(m-1)}}{\left(\alpha \beta q^{1+r+m} ; q^{k}\right)_{m+1}} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Both formulas (3.6) and (3.7) reduce, for $k=1$, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}=[\alpha q]_{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\left[q_{n}\right]}{[q]_{j}[q]_{n-j}} \frac{(-1)^{j} q^{j(j-1) / 2} P_{j}(x: \alpha, \beta \mid q)}{\left[\alpha \beta q^{j+1}\right]_{j}\left[\alpha \beta q^{2 j+2}\right]_{n-j}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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