Research article

Open Access

A periodic pattern generator for dental diversity Gareth J Fraser*, Ryan F Bloomquist and J Todd Streelman

Address: School of Biology, Petit Institute of Bioengineering and Bioscience, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0230, USA

Email: Gareth J Fraser* - gareth.fraser@biology.gatech.edu; Ryan F Bloomquist - gtg218r@mail.gatech.edu; J Todd Streelman - todd.streelman@biology.gatech.edu

* Corresponding author

Published: 14 July 2008

BMC Biology 2008, 6:32 doi:10.1186/1741-7007-6-32

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7007/6/32

© 2008 Fraser et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 25 January 2008

Accepted: 14 July 2008

Abstract

Background: Periodic patterning of iterative structures is a fundamental process during embryonic organization and development. Studies have shown how gene networks are employed to pattern butterfly eyespots, fly bristles and vertebrate epithelial appendages such as teeth, feathers, hair and mammary glands. Despite knowledge of how these features are organized, little is known about how diversity in periodic patterning is generated in nature. We address this problem through the molecular analysis of oral jaw dental diversity in Lake Malawi cichlids, where closely related species exhibit from I to 20 rows of teeth, with total teeth counts ranging from around 10 to 700.

Results: We investigate the expression of conserved gene networks (involving *bmp2*, *bmp4*, eda, edar, fgf8, pax9, pitx2, runx2, shh and wnt7b) known to pattern iterative structures and teeth in other vertebrates. We show that spatiotemporal variation in expression pattern reflects adult morphological diversity among three closely related Malawi cichlid species. Combinatorial epithelial expression of pitx2 and shh appears to govern the competence both of initial tooth sites and future tooth rows. Epithelial wnt7b and mesenchymal eda are expressed in the inter-germ and inter-row regions, and likely regulate the spacing of these shh-positive units. Finally, we used chemical knockdown to demonstrate the fundamental role of hedgehog signalling and initial placode formation in the organization of the periodically patterned cichlid dental programme.

Conclusion: Coordinated patterns of gene expression differ among Malawi species and prefigure the future-ordered distribution of functional teeth of specific size and spacing. This variation in gene expression among species occurs early in the developmental programme for dental patterning. These data show how a complex multi-rowed vertebrate dentition is organized and how developmental tinkering of conserved gene networks during iterative pattern formation can impact upon the evolution of trophic novelty.

Background

Biology is replete with periodically patterned elements, from the sensory bristles of a fruit fly to the hair and teeth of mammals. Models of periodic patterning seek to explain the developmental origin of boundaries separating adjacent repetitive structures and the maintenance of cellular compartments once formed [1-8]. For example, the formation of feather tracts on the dorsal surface of chick embryos [9] and mammary (milk) lines on the ventral surface of embryonic mammals [10] serve to pre-pattern regions competent for the initiation of these structures. Similarly, a functionally equivalent field is established along the axis of the oral jaws in most vertebrates, competent to form tooth bud primordia [11-15]. In teleost fish this initial field is known as the primary odontogenic band (OB) [12,13,15] and in mammals it is termed the dental lamina [4,14]. This band or lamina sets the regionally restricted 'field' along the jaw axis from which tooth induction is triggered.

As with all periodically patterned systems, an initial 'field of competence' is set from a once-homogeneous cellular region, followed by the establishment of positional information throughout the restricted 'field' [16,17]. The initial field may be set up by a number of diffusing molecules such as morphogens that allow regionalization to occur, from which cellular differentiation responds along a gradient [5,18], probably by means of a reaction-diffusiontype mechanism [19,20]. Positional information determines cell differentiation, cellular compartmentalization and subsequent unit placode initiation, the first of which is imperative for iterative initiation of adjacent placodes via activator-inhibitor mechanisms [2]. Placode initiation is thought to be triggered by cellular accumulation (selforganization) over a given threshold that reacts to a number of positional cues within the competent field [1]. Within the placode itself, additional activators and inhibitors determine the boundaries of the placode unit and the spacing between units. Studies of periodically patterned systems such as the developing vertebrate dentition and developing chick feathers have led to the identification of a number of molecules that have been modelled as activators or inhibitors within the specific developing system [2,21,22]. In feather placode patterning, Shh and members of the Eda pathway have activator roles, while Bmp2 and Bmp4 are thought to act as inhibitors [2,23,24]. During mouse odontogenesis the same molecules are involved in patterning the molar cusps. Attempts have been made to model cusps according to activatorinhibitor patterning mechanisms; however, whether individual candidates can be classed as activators or inhibitors during tooth development is largely stage dependent [21,25-27].

Molecules involved in the establishment of vertebrate dentition have been well characterized from studies of the mouse [11,21,28]. A number of these molecules are known to have detrimental effects on the murine dentition when removed/inhibited from the dental network early in tooth development; *Shh* [29,30], *Pitx2* [31] and *Pax9* [32] are among those with severe dental phenotypes [33]. For example, inhibition of *Shh* in mandibular explants during the transition of dental competence to initiation (E10.5) leads to tooth arrest at the bud stage [29,30]. Thus, it is clear that this gene is essential for the

correct establishment of the global dental programme. However, these studies are specific to the mouse experimental model, which develops a single set of teeth with no replacements. We therefore know nothing of the resulting phenotypes when modifications occur to these networks, for example the hedgehog pathway, in vertebrates with numerous functional tooth rows and continuous replacement cycles.

The morphogenesis of teeth, like that of other periodically patterned vertebrate organs (for example, hair, mammary glands, feathers), is regulated both by sequential and reciprocal molecular interactions between two adjacent cell layers, the epithelium and the directly underlying mesenchyme [11,28]. During early stages, these distinct organs share a number of features and express a familiar suite of genes with common roles [28,34]. Many studies have attempted to identify the morphodynamic control of iterative organization and how such patterning mechanisms change during development to generate evolutionary novelty [6,7,21,35-37]. We sought to characterize the expression of a set of these molecules in the dentitions of Lake Malawi cichlids to tackle an unanswered and fundamental biological question: how is the diversity of periodically patterned elements generated in nature? Malawi cichlids are exemplars of natural craniofacial diversity. In essence, natural selection has conducted an experiment in micro-evolutionary diversification, and we want to know how development works to produce variation in phenotype [37]. The range of dental variety in Malawi is tremendous given a common ancestor in the last 500,000 to 1 million years [26]; species possess about 10 teeth in a single row (per jaw), or as many as 700 teeth in up to 20 rows. Species differ in tooth size, spacing and shape in coordinated fashion [26,36]. We focus on three closely related Lake Malawi cichlids with alternative dental phenotypes (Figure 1): Cynotilapia afra (CA, Figure 1a), a unicuspid species with two tooth rows of large, widely spaced teeth; Metriaclima zebra (MZ, Figure 1b), a bicuspid and tricuspid species with five or six tooth rows of intermediately sized and spaced teeth; and Labeotropheus fuelleborni (LF, Figure 1c), a uniformly tricuspid species with 10 or more tooth rows of small, tightly packed teeth [26,36]. Previously, we have used these species to identify the chromosomal basis of divergent tooth shapes among species [26,36]. Here we address a different question: we ask how conserved gene networks are deployed to influence the diversity in the size, number, spacing within rows and number of rows of teeth.

Results and Discussion

Variation in developmental gene networks prefigures differences in adult cichlid dentitions

We cloned cichlid orthologues of genes required during oral epithelial organization and tooth germ initiation



Figure I

Nature's experiment in dental diversity among Lake Malawi cichlids. (a) Cynotilapia afra (CA) has a crescent-shaped jaw with two rows of widely spaced unicuspid teeth. (b) Metriaclima zebra (MZ) exhibits an intermediate jaw shape with five to six rows of teeth; a first row of bicuspid teeth is followed by several lingual rows of tricuspids. (c) Labeotropheus fuelleborni (LF) has a square-shaped jaw, lined with 10 or more rows of tightly packed tricuspid teeth. The arrow marks a replacement tooth in LF. Jaws were prepared with alizarin red, which stains the bone matrix and dentine. Scale bars in the lower jaw images are 500 μm. Lower jaw images are dorsal view.

(*bmp2*, *fgf8*, *pitx2*, *shh*) [11,38] as well as mesenchymal markers (*bmp2*, *bmp4* [36], *pax9*, *runx2*) involved in reciprocal signalling to the epithelium [11]. Teleost tooth development has been well characterized in the zebrafish and thus our nomenclature for the early stages of tooth development will follow that model. Two stages of early odontogenesis are relevant: the thickened epithelium stage and the bell-shaped epithelium stage [39-41]. Developing teeth beyond this point will be referred to as tooth germs, spanning the progression of the tooth from a bell-shaped unit to various stages of functional maturity, characterized by cytodifferentiation.

The transcription factor *pitx2*, described as a putative odontogenic-commissioning gene [12,13,15], has a broad expression pattern that encompasses both the

developing tooth unit and the inter-tooth region, marking the extent of the dental-competent oral epithelium, including regions of future tooth rows (Figures 2a and 3A–C). *pitx2* is one of the earliest dental epithelial markers (Figure 3C) with expression in the thickened dental epithelium (Figure 3C) and both the inner dental epithelium (IDE) and outer dental epithelium (ODE) of the maturing tooth (Figure 3A and 3B). Interestingly, the early pattern of *pitx2* expression differs across the three species prior to and during morphogenesis of the first tooth, and reflects the future organization of these distinct dentitions (Figure 2a). L. fuelleborni shows the greatest region of dental competence (expression of pitx2), consistent with the later elaboration of teeth and tooth rows (Figures 1c and 2a). Future tooth rows also show expression of *pitx2*, labelling the lingual progression of the subsequent OB (Figure 3A



The developmental program of Malawi cichlid dentitions. (a) Expression of pitx2 in the lower jaw (dorsal view) of three Malawi cichlid species, Cynotilapia afra (CA), Metriaclima zebra (MZ), Labeotropheus fuelleborni (LF), during tooth initiation and development. OB, odontogenic band (around 4 days post-fertilization (dpf)); 1st, first tooth to initiate (around 5 dpf); 3–4 t, 3-4-teeth stage (around 6-7 dpf). Black arrowhead in LF OB shows the extent of the initial field of odontogenic competence prior to tooth germ initiation. Black arrowheads in CA, MZ, LF 1st indicate the formation of the first tooth, note the extensive region of competence in LF, later marking competent epithelium reflecting the future distribution of teeth (arrowhead, 3-4teeth stage; refer to Figure 1c). Scale bar 100 µm. All panels are to the same scale. (b) Expression of pax9 in the lower jaw (dorsal view) of three Malawi cichlid species CA, MZ, LF, during tooth initiation and development. OB, 1st, and 3–4 t as in (a). Black arrows in OB for CA, MZ and LF mark the extent of the odontogenic field of expression within mesenchymal cells along the mesiodistal jaw axis; the point of the arrow indicates the position along this axis where the first tooth will initiate. Black arrowheads in Ist for CA, MZ and LF point to up-regulated expression in the mesenchyme surrounding the thickening dental epithelium. Black arrowheads in CA, MZ and LF 3-4 t, show developing teeth with pax9 expression in the dental mesenchyme surrounding the epithelial tooth germ, and not within the dental papilla. Scale bar 100 µm. All panels are to the same scale. (c) Expression of shh in the lower jaw (dorsal view) of three Malawi cichlid species CA, MZ, LF, during tooth initiation and development. OB, 1st, and 3-4 t as in (a). Black arrowhead in LF OB marks the onset of tooth initiation within the primary OB (note the asymmetry of dental initiation, left half of the dentary initiating first); tooth initiation will occur within this restricted band although the area of dental competence is extended lingually (black arrow). Black arrowhead in LF 1st shows the extended OB. MZ and LF 3-4 t shows the order of initiation and spacing of the first teeth. Numbers refer to the order of appearance. Black arrowhead marks the future position of tooth 5, which is placed differently in MZ versus LF. Scale bar 100 µm. All panels are to the same scale.



Thin sections of the developmental program of Malawi cichlid dentitions. (A)-(C) Metriaclima zebra (MZ) pitx2 expression within the oral and dental epithelium. (A), (B) 3-4-teeth stage, 7 dpf; (C) first-tooth stage. (A) Sagittal section (dentary), expression in the dental epithelium (tooth number 1 in the series, inner dental epithelium (IDE) and outer dental epithelium (ODE); black arrowhead). Continued dental competence for the next row (odontogenic band (OB) 2) is shown by expression in the lingual extent of the oral epithelium (arrow, OB2). (B) Sagittal section (upper jaw); pitx2 is expressed in the IDE and ODE (arrowhead, tooth number 1 in the series). Expression within the basal epithelial cells denoting the competent oral epithelium for future tooth initiation (OB2; arrow). (C) Coronal section (dentary) initial thickened epithelia of the firsttooth germs (I, thickened dental epithelium; black arrowheads) labelled by pitx2. The competent field (OBI) continues laterally from which new adjacent tooth germs will develop (arrow). (D)-(F) MZ pax9 in the dental/oral mesenchyme underlying the thickened epithelium (D) (thickening stage of the first tooth) and surrounding the developing dental units (E), (F) (3-4-teeth stage, 7 dpf). (D) pax9 (arrowhead) in mesenchyme (asterisk, dental epithelium, first tooth). Continued lateral expression in mesenchyme underlies the odontogenic band of the first row (OBI, arrow). (E), (F) Oblique coronal sections (dentary) of MZ. (E) pax9 expression is observed within the dental mesenchyme (arrowhead) of tooth 3 in the series (medial, on each half of the dentary) and surrounding the developing epithelial tooth germ (arrow) within the mesenchyme and in (F); for orientation, the thickened epithelium is forming down into the page; epithelium (E), mesenchyme (M, arrowheads), tooth I (arrow, I, developing down into the page). (G)-(I) LF shh expression in the dental epithelium (3-4-teeth stage, 6 dpf). (G) Sagittal section (dentary), expression in the IDE of the first tooth in the series (1, black arrowhead). Black arrow, competent OB already marking the presumptive second row (OB2). (H) Epithelial thickening stage of tooth 3 in the series (3), sagittal section shh in the thickened dental epithelium (black arrowhead). The red dashed line demarcates the epithelium joining the second OB (OB2, black arrow) with the tooth germ. (I) Coronal section (dentary) showing the first three tooth germs in the series (1-3) in order of development) shh is restricted to the IDE of tooth 1, the epithelial germ in tooth 2 and the thickened epithelium in tooth 3. Directly above the tooth germs (in this plane of section) is the second OB for the initiation of subsequent teeth. (|)-(L) MZ bmp2 in both the dental epithelium and dental papilla (mesenchyme) (3-4-teeth stage, 7 dpf). ()) Oblique coronal section (dentary) expression within thickened epithelium for teeth 2 and 3 in the series (epithelium; arrowhead). More mature developing tooth (number I, red dashed line) showing dental papillary expression (mesenchyme). The region between the 3 tooth units of varying stages, the ZOI, contains no bmp2 expression (arrow). Dental epithelium of tooth 3 initiating epithelial thickening stage, (arrowhead with asterisk, 3) well spaced from the neighbouring germ. (K) Sagittal section (dentary) the maturing (cytodifferentiation) first tooth (1) showing bmp2 in the dental papilla (mesenchyme; arrowhead) and simultaneous expression in IDE cells at the tip of the tooth (arrow), equivalent to the primary enamel knot in mammals. (L) Coronal section (dentary); order of initiation of the first three teeth (1-3) showing the variety of *bmp2* expression. First tooth (1) shows dental papillary expression, second and third tooth to initiate (2, 3) show epithelial expression, more so in (2) as developing tooth germ is present. All images are to the same scale; scale bar in (A) is 20 µm. Sections cut to a thickness of 25 µm. E, epithelium; M, mesenchyme; Mc, Meckel's cartilage; pmc, premaxillary cartilage.

and 3B). Similar to studies in other fishes [38,41], we found that *fgf8* expression is not associated with initiating tooth germs in Malawi cichlids (not shown).

pax9, one of the earliest mesenchymal markers of odontogenesis in the mouse, is either absent from or weakly expressed in the dentitions of zebrafish and Mexican tetra [38]. By contrast, in Malawi cichlids *pax9* is expressed initially in oral mesenchymal cells as a dental field along the mesiodistal jaw axis (Figure 2b, OB stage, and Figure 3D), then it is strongly up-regulated in the underlying mesenchyme at the epithelial thickening stage of the first tooth (Figure 2b, first-tooth stage, and Figure 3D). Expression of *pax9* is then restricted to cells of the dental mesenchyme enveloping the tooth during morphogenesis and is absent from the cells of the dental papilla (Figure 2b, 3–4-teeth stage, and Figure 3E and 3F). The expression of *runx2* essentially replicates that of *pax9* for the stages examined (data not shown).

Expression of shh is up-regulated from the primary OB into the individual tooth germs (Figure 2c and 3G-I). shh continues to be expressed during tooth morphogenesis, marking the bell-shaped dental epithelium and later the IDE of the tooth during cytodifferentiation (Figure 3G–I). Notably, shh is never present in regions between or around teeth, called the zone of inhibition (ZOI) [15-17]. In Malawi cichlids shh expression continues to label OBs, marking subsequent initiation of more lingual tooth rows, one at a time (Figures 2c and 3G-I). C. afra does not develop a third OB (nor a third row), while M. zebra and L. fuelleborni initiate an OB for each future tooth row (see Figure 4). *bmp2* is co-expressed in the competent epithelial OB with *shh* and *pitx2*. From the initial epithelial OB, bmp2 expression is up-regulated in the cells of the contorted bell-shaped epithelial germ and continues to be expressed during differentiation (Figure 3L) before becoming localized to cells of the mesenchymal dental papilla (Figure 3J-L). In addition, *bmp2* is restricted to epithelial cells at the developing tooth tip (Figure 3K), which will differentiate to ameloblasts, partially responsible for the secretion of enameloid, the first mineralized tissue of the teleost tooth [42]. These are an equivalent set of cells to the mammalian 'enamel knot'. bmp4 is expressed initially in the mesenchymal field along the mesiodistal axis prior to tooth germ initiation, much like *pax9* and at the 3-4-teeth stage *bmp4* is restricted to the dental papilla (data not shown [36]).

These data, in conjunction with data reported earlier for *bmp4* [36], suggest that early patterns of gene expression differentiate among Malawi cichlid dentition types. *L. fuelleborni*, *M. zebra* and *C. afra* exhibit marked variation in the field of odontogenic competence both in the epithelium (*pitx2*, *shh*) and mesenchyme (*pax9*), in the spac-



Figure 4

Patterning multiple tooth rows in Malawi cichlids. *shh* and *pitx2* are co-expressed in an odontogenic band (OB) for every new tooth row. *shh* is expressed in a second OB in *Cynotilapia afra* (CA) (arrow, 2) (around 12 days post-fertilization (dpf)); the second row has started the process of initiation (*pitx2* CA arrow, 2). *pitx2* is absent from the lingual extent of the jaw margin and we propose that this lack of *pitx2* (and therefore combinatorial expression of both *pitx2* and *shh*) is in part responsible for the lack of additional rows (3, 4 and so on) in CA. *Metriaclima zebra* (around 12 dpf) initiates a third row and *Labeotropheus fuelleborni* (around 12 dpf) row 4, concomitant with OBs labelled by both *shh* and *pitx2*. Expression is also visible in replacement teeth in the first rows. Scale bar 100 μ m.

ing (*bmp2*, *bmp4*, *pax9*, *runx2*, *shh*) and in the size (*bmp4*, pax9, runx2, shh) of the initial tooth germs. These differences are readily quantifiable (Table 1), arise before teeth acquire their functional adult shape, and correspond directly to the size and spacing observed in the adult dentitions [26]. For example, C. afra embryos have the largest initial dental germs and surrounding ZOI (Table 1) while adults have the largest, fewest teeth (mean \pm SD of 1.3 \pm 0.20 per millimetre of jaw width) with the greatest interunit spacing compared with the other two species, M. *zebra* (3.3 ± 0.52) and *L. fuelleborni* (4.8 ± 0.74) [26]. The measurements obtained from shh expression in Table 1 highlight an early developmental origin of dental diversity among these three species. Streelman and Albertson noted a similar pattern from *bmp4* expression, with a comparable range of cichlid species [36]. While some measurements shown here seem counterintuitive (for example, Table 1b, columns 3 and 4), it is because species also differ in more subtle aspects of tooth initiation. C. afra never

0.437

(2)

(a)								
Species	Diameter of first tooth	ZOI including first tooth	ZOI medial to first tooth	ZOI distal to first tooth	ZOI from First tooth to second OB	MD length of OB	Width of OB at level of first tooth	Area (μm²) of first tooth
CA	22.91 ± 0.839	51.80 ± 1.298	18.67 ± 0.344	17.99 ± 0.482	8.80 ± 0.56	110.72 ± 7.337	22.21 ± 1.135	528.08 ± 31.348
ΜZ	17.62 ± 0.149	44.05 ± 0.898	16.50 ± 0.213	12.87 ± 0.322	6.84 ± 0.084	116.62 ± 5.013	23.02 ± 0.382	384.06 ± 4.754
LF	14.87 ± 0.349	39.9 ± 1.697	11.95 ± 0.285	15.24 ± 0.392	7.28 ± 0.545	120.91 ± 3.834	19.41 ± 0.782	268.47 ± 8.084
(b)								
Species	Diameter of first tooth	Inter-germ space between first and next medial tooth	Inter-germ space between first and next distal tooth	ZOI from First tooth to second OB	MD length of second row OB	Area (μm²) of first tooth		
CA	25.68 ± 0.359	16.64 ± 0.128	14.02 ± 0.096	8.29 ± 0.266	140.02 ± 3.669	684.57 ± 19.248		
ΜZ	18.19 ± 0.231	19.63 ± 0.222	11.96 ± 0.318	10.18 ± 0.434	138.47 ± 2.897	435.09 ± 19.98		
LF	18.37 ±	13.06 ± 1.112	20.33 ± 1.065	8.02 ± 0.295	168.39 ± 5.432	404.15 ±		

Table I: Size and spacing of tooth germs in three species of Malawi cichlids

(a) We report mean values (three to five individuals) of measurements taken from the expression of shh at the first-tooth stage in the three cichlid species: CA, *Cynotilapia afra*; MZ, *Metriaclima zebra*; LF, *Labeotropheus fuelleborni*. MD, mesiodistal; OB, odontogenic band; ZOI, zone of inhibition.
(b) Mean values (three to five individuals) from the 3–4-teeth stage. All values are in micrometres ± SE.

initiates a tooth between the first three teeth to develop; *M. zebra* has an initiation order that places tooth 5 between teeth 1 and 3, whereas *L. fuelleborni* initiates tooth 5 between teeth 1 and 2 (Figure 2c). These early differences in tooth size, spacing and organization are perhaps surprising because cichlids (and all teleost fish) continuously replace their teeth; therefore, we might have expected inter-specific variety to develop gradually, over multiple rounds of tooth replacement, from a common dental 'ground' state, as is the case for tooth shape [26].

Organizing the periodic pattern with molecular 'spacers'

Given the set of molecules localized to the first tooth germs (notably *shh*, but also *pitx2* and *bmp2*), we hypothesized that other factors expressed within the ZOI surrounding these germs might guide the size and spacing of early cichlid tooth units. We therefore analyzed the expression of genes involved in a putative spacing mechanism. We cloned three genes, *eda*, *edar* and *wnt7b*, with antagonistic effects on *shh* in the initiation of mouse teeth, mouse hair follicles and chick feathers [9,30,43-50]. *edar* (data not shown) is expressed within the germs themselves in a pattern similar to *shh* (Figures 2c and 3G–I). We observed the expression of both *wnt7b* and *eda* surrounding the initial *shh*-positive tooth germs (Figure 5a–c)

within the ZOI/inter-germ regions across the three species.

23.182

eda is expressed locally and strongly surrounding the firsttooth germ (expressing shh and edar) in all three species (first-tooth stage data not shown). By the 3-4-teeth stage, eda remains expressed in the mesenchyme locally and heavily at the lingual margin of the first tooth in C. afra and *M. zebra* (Figures 5a and 5b and 6A–C), but its lingual expression is broader and more diffuse in L. fuelleborni (Figure 5c). Notably, there appears to be a lateral bias in the expression of eda in both L. fuelleborni and M. zebra, which may reflect the influence of (or may influence) the initiation of tooth 5 between existing germs 1 and 2 or 1 and 3, respectively. An apparent eda-negative region exists in both L. fuelleborni and M. zebra where the fifth tooth in the series will appear, a different position in each species (Figure 5, middle column). C. afra lacks tooth initiation between these first three positions, an arrangement that continues into the adult dentition.wnt7b expression coincides with the ZOI surrounding the first teeth in all species (Figures 5 and 6D-F); this is best illustrated comparatively with image overlays with *shh* as depicted in Figure 5a-c.



Organizing Malawi cichlid dentitions with the 'spacer' gene wnt7b and ectodysplasin. Left panel: wnt7b is expressed in the zone of inhibition (ZOI) and inter-germ/inter-row spaces in (a) *Cynotilapia afra* (CA), (b) *Metriaclima zebra* (MZ) and (c) *Labeotropheus fuelleborni* (LF). Red circles indicate *shh*-positive tooth germs; arrowheads point to expression associated with initiating first-row teeth; arrows mark a lingual band of expression demarcating the *shh* odontogenic band (OB) of the second tooth row. Right panel: *eda* expression is up-regulated lingually in association with the mesenchyme of the first teeth (red circles) of (a) CA, (b) MZ and (c) LF. Expression is strongest in CA and most diffuse in LF. Arrows mark a second band of expression (CA, MZ) or continued expression (LF) lingually. Middle panel: Composite jaws showing the expression of *wnt7b* (left) and *eda* (right) in overlay with *shh* (false-colour, white). Arrows and arrowheads indicate expression in the ZOI of first-row teeth and in association with the second-row OB. For MZ and LF, tooth positions are numbered in order of initiation and asterisks mark the position of tooth number 5. All images from the 3–4-teeth stage; all specimens were stage-matched based on external structures, that is, pectoral and caudal fin development and eye development and maturity (3–4-teeth stage for CA and LF was 6 days post-fertilization (dpf) and for MZ it was 7 dpf). Overlay images from different individuals may show artefacts from slight differences in alignment. Scale bars for the middle panel are 100 µm.

Remarkably, these genes seem also to be employed in the initiation and spacing of future tooth rows, an iterated expression pattern similar to tooth germ organization within each row. *shh* labels each OB for subsequent tooth rows (Figures 2c and 4); *eda* and *wnt7b* are expressed between the first tooth row and the OB of the second (Figures 5 and 6). Specifically, *eda* expression partly overlaps that of *shh* in the lingual OB, while *wnt7b* is expressed either side of *shh*. Thus, eda from the enveloping mesen-

chyme (Figure 6A–C) may induce and maintain *shh* expression in tooth germs as well as in future tooth rows, and planar epithelial wnt7b (Figure 6D–F) may inhibit dental competence in these regions, similar to the role of these molecules in other systems [9,30,44-48].



Regulating tooth size and spacing. Thin sections of *Metriaclima zebra* (7 days post-fertilization; 3–4-teeth stage). (A)-(C) eda expression within the mesenchyme (black arrowheads) surrounding the tooth germs (red dashed circle or black arrows; numbers represent the order of appearance for the tooth shown). Note the expression of eda is restricted to the mesenchyme and is not present in the epithelium. (D)-(F) The expression of *wnt7b* is restricted to the epithelium (D), (E) (black arrowheads) either side of the thickened dental epithelium (black arrow) and in inter-row space (white arrow). (F) A dentary tooth germ (red dashed circle, tooth 1) devoid of *wnt7b* expression. Expression is restricted to the non-dental epithelium (black arrowhead); numbers 1-3 refers to the order of tooth appearance in the series; asterisk denotes the dental epithelium that will express *shh* (see Figure 3G–I) and lacks *wnt7b*. *wnt7b* expression is present lingually restricting the second odontogenic band (white arrow, (D) and (F); see Figure 5). Sections cut at a thickness of 25 µm. Scale bar in (A) is 20 µm; all panels are to the same scale. Mc, Meckel's cartilage; pmc, premaxillary cartilage.

Hedgehog signalling is required for initiation of periodic dental patterning

Our data suggest that the ZOI has an important role in patterning the size (and spacing) of the *shh*-positive tooth germs, especially the first unit to initiate. We speculated that the first tooth might possess unique regulatory properties as a source for continued induction and patterning of the dental program. We tested the role of the first tooth as a source of communicative signal for the organization of the dentition using targeted chemical inhibition of the hedgehog pathway at the first epithelial thickening stage. *C. afra* embryos at the first-tooth stage (five days post-fertilization (dpf); Figure 2c) were treated for 24 hours in 50 μ M cyclopamine in 1% DMSO (based on protocols in [52]), thus spanning the time from the initiation of the first tooth to the three-teeth stage (6 dpf), by which time the second row OB had established territory. A subset of

treated embryos further developed for an additional 24 hours under standard conditions (7 dpf; Figure 7a and 7b); the remaining embryos from the same brood were allowed to develop for an additional six days (12 dpf; Figure 7c and 7d) to span the period of both first row eruption and development of the lingual tooth row (Figures 4, 7 and 8). Treated C. afra fixed at 7 dpf showed varying low levels of shh expression localized to a reduced number (one or two) of tooth germs on each side of the dentary and the OB for the second row (Figure 7b). shh expression appears within the region allocated for the ZOI (seen as shh-negative inter-germ regions in all controls; Figures 2c and 7a) normally expressing eda and wnt7b (Figures 5 and 6), suggesting a breakdown in both the initiation and spacing mechanisms (Figure 7b). Expression of eda in treated C. afra was absent in regions of tooth development (data not shown), implying that eda may respond to sig-



The hedgehog pathway is essential for dental periodic patterning in Malawi cichlids. Control (1% DMSO) treated (a) and cyclopamine treated (b) *Cynotilapia afra* embryos (7 days post-fertilization (dpf)) showing *shh* expression in the lower jaw dentition (arrowheads). Cyclopamine (and DMSO) was administered to *C. afra* embryos at 5 dpf for 24 hours; embryos continued to develop for a further 24 hours under standard conditions and were fixed at 7 dpf. Weaker levels of *shh* expression in cyclopamine-treated fish along with a disturbed initial pattern of tooth germs and second odontogenic band (b) compared with the 1% DMSO control (a). Note three tooth germs on each half of the dentary in the control (a) compared with two tooth germs on each half of the dentary in embryos treated with cyclopamine (b) (arrowheads). *C. afra* that were treated for 24 hours at 5 dpf (as above) continued to develop for a further 6 days (12 dpf) to stages where teeth of the first row are expected to erupt and teeth should be developing in the second tooth row (see Figures 4 and 8). Compared with the 1% DMSO control (c) the cyclopamine-treated *C. afra* (d) failed to develop a dentition; a single tooth shard (arrowhead) is seen unattached within the epithelium above the ossified lower jaw (alizarin red preparation).

nals from, or downstream of, the hedgehog pathway. 1% DMSO control *C. afra* showed patterns of *shh* expression identical to standard controls (untreated).

In all treated individuals that were allowed to develop for a further six days (fixed at 12 dpf), we found that the first tooth continues partial development and shows signs of mineralization, although it does not complete development or attachment (Figure 7d). With the exception of a



A periodic pattern generator for diversity in Malawi cichlid dentitions. (A) A cross-section through three thickened tooth germs showing planar signalling in the zone of inhibition (ZOI)/inter-germ space (grey, wnt7b) demarcating the location of teeth (green, shh and edar), with contribution from dental mesenchyme (blue, eda, bmp4, pax9 and runx2). Non-dental mesenchyme, purple. (B) The proposed interactions of wnt7b and eda (around the thickened tooth germs) with shh and edar within the thickened epithelial germ [9,30,44-51] in the context of our observations. wnt7b acts as an inhibitor of shh during tooth patterning and eda from the mesenchyme acts as an activator maintaining shh, perhaps via the eda-receptor edar, within the tooth germ. Wnt signals (possibly including wnt7b) may induce eda in the mesenchyme. DE, dental epithelium; DM, dental mesenchyme; OE, oral epithelium; OM, oral mesenchyme. (C)-(K) the induction of each tooth row acts in a 'copy-and-paste' mechanism utilizing the same genes for the pattern and organization of teeth and new tooth rows. Differential regulation of the genes during periodic patterning lead to the species diversityRedeployment of genes from OBI establishes new tooth rows periodically in a lingual progression until the 'copy-and-paste' mechanism fails, due lack of combinatorial pitx2 and shh, essential for sequential row addition. Upper panel (C)-(E) around 5-6 days post-fertilization (dpf); middle panel (F)-(H) around 6-7 dpf; lower panel (I)-(K) around 12 dpf; each panel represents the left half of the dentary in dorsal view. (C)-(E the first tooth initiates from OB, a combinatorial expression of pitx2 (white) and shh (green). The initial pitx2 positive region is greatest in LF, with more rows. Up-regulation of shh and edar in the epithelial germs plus the establishment of a ZOI (eda and wnt7b) set the size and space restriction for the epithelial tooth germs. Local eda surrounds the first germ for each species (darker blue denotes stronger local expression of eda, which later becomes a broader domain and less intense, lighter blue). (F)-(H) eda remains strong and local in CA and at this stage (3-4-teeth stage) is broader and less intense in LF. CA does not initiate more than two tooth rows because pitx2 is constrained along the oral-aboral axis (lingual to the first teeth). The size, spacing and positioning of teeth and tooth rows is regulated by the interactions of wnt7b (grey), eda (blue) and shh (green) within the pitx2-positive field (white; see the main text and Figure 5). Future tooth row initiation in (J) MZ (OB3) and (K) LF (OB4) depends on the lingual co-expression of pitx2 and shh. (I) CA does not initiate a third row of teeth, and lacks co-expression of shh and pitx2 in a third OB (see Figure 4). Arrows mark the direction of tooth initiation for continued tooth addition on older rows. (I)-(K) eda and wnt7b expression domains are predicted from earlier stages. A more diffuse expression of eda (light blue) will continue to regulate size, spacing and row initiation of first-generation teeth. Numbers indicate the order of appearance for tooth rows. S, jaw symphysis.

mineralized remnant of the first tooth, all other teeth, adjacent to the first and in subsequent rows, failed to develop (Figure 7d). Knockdown of the hedgehog pathway at the 3–4-teeth stage resulted in a functional, patterned and replacing dental system (data not shown). These observations demonstrate that when perturbed (via the hedgehog pathway) at the first-tooth stage, the dental programme cannot recover, despite continued cycles of periodic patterning past this stage in untreated individuals.

The periodic pattern generator for dental diversity

The comparison of gene expression across Malawi cichlid species with divergent dentitions suggests a simple model implicating pitx2, eda and wnt7b, and their interaction with shh and edar, as primary features of a periodic pattern generator for diversity in Lake Malawi cichlid dentitions (Figure 8). The model accounts for two aspects of dental patterning: how to put tooth rows in jaws, and how to put teeth in tooth rows. Our data suggest that the combination of *pitx2* and *shh* is required for a competent field of tooth initiation (the OB, Figures 2, 3, 4 and 8). M. zebra and L. fuelleborni exhibit expanded expression of pitx2 lingually on the embryonic lower jaw; C. afra does not (Figure 2). pitx2 and shh are also co-expressed in each subsequent OB for M. zebra and L. fuelleborni (Figure 4); C. afra does not initiate a third OB. Therefore, the lack of lingual/oral co-expression of pitx2 and shh in C. afra (Figures 4, 8F and 8I) may account for the reduction in row number compared with the other species (Figures 4, 8G and 8J, H and 8K, respectively). The lack of combinatorial expression of *shh* and *pitx2* in the oral region of zebrafish may partially explain the lack of teeth [38]. Here we show that this mechanism likely accounts for variation in tooth row number among Malawi cichlids. Thus, molecular mechanisms used to pattern the first row of teeth (the only row of teeth in mammals and most vertebrates) are redeployed as 'triggers' of dental competence and initiation in each subsequent row. We suggest that the initiation of new tooth rows follows a 'copy and paste' mechanism wherein the dental expression network is redeployed for each new tooth row. Therefore, our model posits that preceding tooth rows are required as a source of signal to initiate the next lingual row during sequential addition.

The combination of comparative gene expression data and perturbation of the hedgehog pathway suggests that the correct initiation and maintenance of the first-tooth germ, via activation of *shh*, is necessary for the periodically patterned dental programme in Malawi cichlids (Figure 8). Comparison of the cyclopamine phenotype at the firsttooth to the 3–4-teeth stages shows that disturbing the development of the first-tooth germ has an effect on the entire dentition, whereas disrupting the dentition at later stages results in a mildly reduced phenotype with additional teeth forming and completing development. We do not yet understand the molecular mechanisms (for example, decreased epithelial proliferation and/or increased cell death) of this severe dental phenotype at the firsttooth stage.

Our data imply that *eda* and *wnt7b*, expressed in the ZOI, regulate initial tooth germ size and position within rows, through interactions with shh; wnt7b inhibits the germ through planar epithelial signals (Figures 5 and 6D-F) and eda maintains the tooth germ (shh and edar) from within the surrounding mesenchyme (Figures 5 and 6A-C). The ZOI may not lie solely within the layers of the epithelium and we suggest that inhibitor/activator controls signal from within the underlying mesenchyme that envelops the thickened dental epithelium [34]. Once the periodic pattern is established, other molecules may act as inhibitors from within the developing tooth unit, for example bmp2, which is present both in the early epithelial thickening and within the dental papilla (mesenchyme) during maturation (Figure 3J-L), and bmp4, which is restricted to the dental papilla (data not shown; [36]).

The expression of *eda* in the mesenchyme surrounding the developing dental germs of cichlids (Figures 5 and 6A-C) is more similar to that deployed during the patterning of feather placodes and salivary primordia [9] than that observed in mammalian dentitions, where it is restricted to epithelium [44,46]. In our model, a large initial tooth germ in C. afra results from sustained local and intense eda expression on a comparatively similar inhibitory background of wnt7b (Figure 5a). The size of this tooth germ is reduced in M. zebra (Figure 5b) and L. fuelleborni (Figure 5c) because the *eda* expression broadens earlier (especially for L. fuelleborni), a heterochronic imbalance setting the stage for more, closely packed shh-positive tooth germs (Table 1 and Figure 8). Consistent with our results, transgenic mice (K14-eda) with increased levels of Ectodysplasin expression exhibit larger tooth germs [48,52]. Furthermore, Eda null mutant mice have reduced tooth germs [48,53-55]. However, in the mouse, effects of Eda on tooth size correlate positively with effects on tooth number; for example, higher levels of Eda lead to a single extra molar [49,52]. Our data and model point to an important distinction between overall levels of eda and its spatial expression over time. An earlier dispersion of eda expression after initiation of the first tooth (as in L. fuelleborni), rather than continued localized expression around that first-tooth germ (as in C. afra), may in fact lead to the production of more, smaller tooth germs (Figure 8).

The position of subsequent tooth rows is also specified in part by the expression of *wnt7b* and *eda* in our model.

Mesenchymal *eda* plays a permissive role in the positioning of the lingual OB (Figures 5 and 6A). In C. afra, its expression is strongest medial to the first tooth, while in M. zebra and L. fuelleborni it appears more as a band along the mesiodistal axis (Figures 5 and 6A-C; also see the second row tooth positions in Figure 1). wnt7b also appears to demarcate the location of the second row, as its expression is either side of the *shh*-positive second OB (Figures 5 and 6D-F) and, in a similar iterative manner to the patterning of individual tooth units, wnt7b is restricted to the inter-row space (Figure 5a-c). Once the initiation of the primary dental pattern for each row is established, the essential nature of shh and genes that occupy the ZOI is lost; although they likely continue to be expressed during further morphogenesis (Figures 3 and 6), these molecules are probably no longer required for initiation of the secondary, replacement dentition [13].

Conclusion

Periodically patterned phenotypes such as the dentitions of Lake Malawi cichlids present important exemplars for evolutionary developmental biology. The discipline has heretofore focused on the molecular basis of evolutionary novelty among distantly related organisms [35,56] or the genetic/transcriptional basis of discrete trait loss among closely related groups [51,57]. Trait elaboration (for example, bigger, longer, stronger [58,59]) is more difficult to study because phenotypes are subtler, but this remains the more common type of evolutionary change [37]. Dental diversity is an intermediate case; quantitative elaboration takes the form of gain or loss of discrete units. Our results support the general model that old genes, and entire developmental modules, are deployed anew to generate micro-evolutionary novelty in iterative structures.

Methods

Fish husbandry

Embryos and fry of three species of Lake Malawi cichlids (*C. afra, M. zebra* and *L. fuelleborni*) were raised to the required stage in a recirculating aquarium system at 28°C. Embryo ages (in dpf) were set after the identification of mouth brooding females (day 0). Embryos were then removed from the mouths of brooding females and, if required, were maintained for further development in separate culture tanks at 28°C.

Sequences

Cloned sequences used to generate digoxigenin-labelled antisense riboprobes from Malawi cichlid species have been deposited in GenBank (accession numbers: <u>EU867210</u> – <u>EU867219</u>). Many of the genes were identified through partial genome assemblies of *L. fuelleborni* and *M. zebra* [60] and cloned from *M. zebra* and *L. fuelleborni* cDNA libraries. Sequences of cDNA used to generate the probes are identical across the three species. Overall,

these species exhibit almost no sequence divergence; the average nucleotide diversity for comparisons across the Malawi assemblage is 0.2%, less than among laboratory strains of the zebrafish [60].

In situ hybridization

To ensure the embryos of the three species were of equivalent stages (especially during gene expression comparisons), specimens were stage-matched based on external features, including pectoral and caudal fin development and eye development and maturity. Specimens for in situ hybridization were anaesthetized in tricaine methanesulfonate (MS222, Argent) and fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 4°C. Whole-mount in situ hybridization experiments were based on protocols from [12] and modified as follows: embryos were transferred to methanol for dehydration and stored at -20°C. Specimens were rehydrated through to PBS with Tween-20 and digested with 4-10 µg/ml proteinase K (PK); the final concentration was based on the specific stage of embryo/fry (for example, embryos at approximately 5 dpf were digested with 5 μ g/ ml PK). Following hybridization, embryos were washed in TST (10 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, Tween-20 in depc- H_2O). During the colour reaction stage of the protocol, all embryos were allowed to fully develop the colour. Thus, embryos were continuously transferred into fresh NBT/ BCIP solution (Roche) in NTMT until full staining had ensued; this was determined after multiple regions of known expression became positive. Specimens were stagematched based on external features, including pectoral and caudal fin development and eye development and maturity. All in situ hybridization experiments were performed with multiple specimens (multiple individuals were fixed at regular intervals, within single broods, then repeated at least twice with alternative broods) to fully characterize the expression patterns within and across the three species. After colour reaction (NBT/BCIP, Roche) embryos were washed in PBS and fixed again in 4% PFA, before whole-mount imaging using a Leica Microsystems stereomicroscope (MZ16). Embryos were embedded in gelatin and chick albumin with 2.5% gluteraldehyde. The gelatin-albumin blocks were post-fixed in 4% PFA before sectioning. Thin sections were cut at 15-25 µm using a Leica Microsystems VT1000 vibratome.

Cyclopamine manipulation of the hedgehog pathway

From a single brood of 24 individuals, 14 *C. afra* embryos were treated with cyclopamine (LC Laboratories) compound (50 μ M) from a stock (5 mM cyclopamine in DMSO) to make up a final 1% DMSO solution in fish water. Five *C. afra* individuals were used as a 1% DMSO control, under the same incubation conditions as the treated embryos (Figure 7a and 7c). A further five individuals were kept as standard controls (wild-type), develop-

ing in the Georgia Institute of Technology aquarium. Treatment and control experiments were performed in ventilated Petri dishes spinning at 28°C in an oscillating platform culture incubator (Barnstead Lab-Line Max 4000). Following the treatment experiments and for the controls with DMSO, fishes were washed 10 times in fresh fish water to remove any remnant of cyclopamine compound or DMSO before transferring to culture vessels containing at least 300 ml of fish water, changed daily until ready for analysis. Although initial experiments with 50 μ M cyclopamine using 1% (of 95%) ethanol as the solvent (suggested by the manufacturer, LC Laboratories and previous reports [51,61]) showed differential expression patterns of shh to the 1% ethanol control experiments, alizarin red preparation of embryos raised to 12 dpf showed gross phenotypic effects on the ethanol-administered controls. Therefore, we substituted 1% DMSO for ethanol solvent, after which controls could not be distinguished from standard controls (untreated). While DMSO is not the best solvent for cyclopamine because of limited solubility above concentrations of 4 mg/ml, at the low concentrations used for enhanced viability of treated embryos, DMSO proved to be a better solvent than ethanol because of lower solvation temperatures and faster solvation times from -20°C storage temperatures.

Authors' contributions

GJF and JTS designed the study. GJF carried out the analyses. RFB performed the cyclopamine treatment experiments. All authors contributed to the preparation of the manuscript and read and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

We thank Craig Albertson, Marty Cohn, Anthony Graham, Darrin Hulsey, Moya Smith, and three anonymous reviewers for comments on previous drafts of the manuscript. Alizarin red-prepared fish (Figure 1) were collected from Lake Malawi and stained by Darrin Hulsey. Cichlid *pitx2* was cloned by Keen Wilson, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA. Research is supported by grants, from the Petit Institute for Bioengineering and Bioscience (IBB 1241318), the NIH (DE 017182), and the Alfred P Sloan Foundation (BR-4499), to JTS. RFB was a GIT Presidential Undergraduate Research Fellow.

References

- Jiang TX, Jung HS, Widelitz RB, Chuong CM: Self-organization of periodic patterns by dissociated feather mesenchymal cells and the regulation of size, number and spacing of primordia. Development 1999, 126:4997-5009.
- Jung HS, Francis-West PH, Widelitz RB, Jiang TX, Ting-Berreth S, Tickle C, Wolpert L, Chuong CM: Local inhibitory action of BMPs and their relationships with activators in feather formation: implications for periodic patterning. Dev Biol 1998, 196:11-23.
- 3. Wolpert L: Positional information and pattern formation. *Curr* Top Dev Biol 1971, 6:183-224.
- 4. Lumsden AG: Pattern formation in the molar dentition of the mouse. J Biol Buccale 1979, 7:77-103.
- 5. Lawrence PA, Struhl G: Morphogens, compartments, and pattern: lessons from drosophila? *Cell* 1996, **85**:951-61.

- Salazar-Ciudad I, Jernvall J, Newman SA: Mechanisms of pattern formation in development and evolution. Development 2003, 130:2027-37.
- 7. Jernvall J: Linking development with generation of novelty in mammalian teeth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000, **97:**2641-5.
- Sucena E, Stern DL: Divergence of larval morphology between Drosophila sechellia and its sibling species caused by cis-regulatory evolution of ovo/shaven-baby. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 97:4530-4.
- 9. Houghton L, Lindon C, Morgan BA: **The ectodysplasin pathway in** feather tract development. *Development* 2005, **132:**863-72.
- Mikkola ML, Millar SE: The mammary bud as a skin appendage: unique and shared aspects of development. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia 2006, 11:187-203.
- 11. Thesleff I, Sharpe P: Signalling networks regulating dental development. Mech Dev 1997, 67:111-23.
- Fraser GJ, Graham A, Smith MM: Conserved deployment of genes during odontogenesis across osteichthyans. Proc Biol Sci 2004, 271(1555):2311-7.
- Fraser GJ, Berkovitz BK, Graham A, Smith MM: Gene deployment for tooth replacement in the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss): a developmental model for evolution of the osteichthyan dentition. Evol Dev 2006, 8:446-57.
- 14. Smith MM: Vertebrate dentitions at the origin of jaws: when and how pattern evolved. *Evol* Dev 2003, **5:**394-413.
- Fraser GJ, Graham A, Smith MM: Developmental and Evolutionary Origins of the Vertebrate Dentition: Molecular Controls for Spatio-temporal Organisation of Tooth Sites in Osteichthyans. J Exp Zoolog B Mol Dev Evol 2006, 306(3):183-203.
- Osborn JW: Morphogenetic gradients: fields versus clones. In Development, Function and Evolution of Teeth Edited by: Butler PM, Joysey KA. London, New York and San Francisco: Academic Press; 1978:171-201.
- 17. Osborn JW: The ontogeny of tooth succession in Lacerta vivipara Jacquin (1787). Proc Roy Soc Lond B 1971, 179:261-289.
- Kerszberg M, Wolpert L: Specifying positional information in the embryo: looking beyond morphogens. Cell 2007, 130:205-9.
- Sick S, Reinker S, Timmer J, Schlake T: WNT and DKK determine hair follicle spacing through a reaction-diffusion mechanism. *Science* 2006, 314:1447-50.
- Cai J, Cho SW, Kim JY, Lee MJ, Cha YG, Jung HS: Patterning the size and number of tooth and its cusps. Dev Biol 2007, 304:499-507.
- 21. Salazar-Ciudad I, Jernvall J: A gene network model accounting for development and evolution of mammalian teeth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99:8116-20.
- 22. Harris MP, Williamson S, Fallon JF, Meinhardt H, Prum RO: Molecular evidence for an activator-inhibitor mechanism in development of embryonic feather branching. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:11734-9.
- Drew CF, Lin CM, Jiang TX, Blunt G, Mou C, Chuong CM, Headon DJ: The Edar subfamily in feather placode formation. *Dev Biol* 2007, 305:232-45.
- 24. Noramly S, Morgan BA: **BMPs mediate lateral inhibition at successive stages in feather tract development.** *Development* 1998, **125:**3775-87.
- 25. Jernvall J, Thesleff I: **Reiterative signaling and patterning during** mammalian tooth morphogenesis. *Mech Dev* 2000, **92**:19-29.
- Streelman JT, Webb JF, Albertson RC, Kocher TD: The cusp of evolution and development: a model of cichlid tooth shape diversity. Evol Dev 2003, 5:600-8.
- 27. Jernvall J, Jung HS: Genotype, phenotype, and developmental biology of molar tooth characters. Am J Phys Anthropol 2000:171-90.
- Thesleff I, Vaahtokari A, Partanen AM: Regulation of organogenesis. Common molecular mechanisms regulating the development of teeth and other organs. Int J Dev Biol 1995, 39:35-50.
- 29. Cobourne MT, Hardcastle Z, Sharpe PT: Sonic hedgehog regulates epithelial proliferation and cell survival in the developing tooth germ. J Dent Res 2001, 80:1974-9.
- Sarkar L, Cobourne M, Naylor S, Smalley M, Dale T, Sharpe PT: Wnt/ Shh interactions regulate ectodermal boundary formation during mammalian tooth development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 97:4520-4.

- Lin CR, Kioussi C, O'Connell S, Briata P, Szeto D, Liu F, Izpisua-Belmonte JC, Rosenfeld MG: Pitx2 regulates lung asymmetry, cardiac positioning and pituitary and tooth morphogenesis. *Nature* 1999, 401:279-82.
- 32. Peters H, Neubuser A, Kratochwil K, Balling R: **Pax9-deficient** mice lack pharyngeal pouch derivatives and teeth and exhibit craniofacial and limb abnormalities. *Genes Dev* 1998, 12:2735-47.
- 33. Miletich I, Sharpe PT: Normal and abnormal dental development. Hum Mol Genet 2003, 12(Spec No 1):R69-73.
- 34. Pispa J, Thesleff I: Mechanisms of ectodermal organogenesis. Dev Biol 2003, 262:195-205.
- Keys DN, Lewis DL, Selegue JE, Pearson BJ, Goodrich LV, Johnson RL, Gates J, Scott MP, Carroll SB: Recruitment of a hedgehog regulatory circuit in butterfly eyespot evolution. Science 1999, 283:532-4.
- 36. Streelman JT, Albertson RC: Evolution of novelty in the cichlid dentition. J Exp Zoolog B Mol Dev Evol 2006, 306:216-26.
- Streelman JT, Peichel CL, Parichy DM: Developmental genetics of adaptation in fishes: the case for novelty. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 2007, 38:655-681.
- Stock DW, Jackman WR, Trapani J: Developmental genetic mechanisms of evolutionary tooth loss in cypriniform fishes. Development 2006, 133:3127-37.
- Huysseune A, Sire JY: Evolution of patterns and processes in teeth and tooth-related tissues in non-mammalian vertebrates. Eur J Oral Sci 1998, 106(Suppl 1):437-81.
- Huysseune A, heyden C Van der, Sire JY: Early development of the zebrafish (Danio rerio) pharyngeal dentition (Teleostei, Cyprinidae). Anat Embryol (Berl) 1998, 198:289-305.
- 41. Jackman WR, Draper BW, Stock DW: **Fgf signaling is required for** zebrafish tooth development. Dev Biol 2004, **274**:139-57.
- 42. Kawasaki K, Suzuki T, Weiss KM: Phenogenetic drift in evolution: the changing genetic basis of vertebrate teeth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:18063-8.
- Hammerschmidt B, Schlake T: Localization of Shh expression by Wnt and Eda affects axial polarity and shape of hairs. Dev Biol 2007, 305:246-61.
- 44. Laurikkala J, Mikkola M, Mustonen T, Aberg T, Koppinen P, Pispa J, Nieminen P, Galceran J, Grosschedl R, Thesleff I: **TNF signaling via** the ligand-receptor pair ectodysplasin and edar controls the function of epithelial signaling centers and is regulated by Wnt and activin during tooth organogenesis. Dev Biol 2001, 229:443-55.
- Sarkar L, Sharpe PT: Expression of Wnt signalling pathway genes during tooth development. Mech Dev 1999, 85:197-200.
- Tucker AS, Headon DJ, Courtney JM, Overbeek P, Sharpe PT: The activation level of the TNF family receptor, Edar, determines cusp number and tooth number during tooth development. Dev Biol 2004, 268:185-94.
- Laurikkala J, Pispa J, Jung HS, Nieminen P, Mikkola M, Wang X, Saarialho-Kere U, Galceran J, Grosschedl R, Thesleff I: Regulation of hair follicle development by the TNF signal ectodysplasin and its receptor Edar. Development 2002, 129:2541-53.
- Kangas AT, Evans AR, Thesleff I, Jernvall J: Nonindependence of mammalian dental characters. Nature 2004, 432:211-4.
- Mustonen T, Ilmonen M, Pummila M, Kangas AT, Laurikkala J, Jaatinen R, Pispa J, Gaide O, Schneider P, Thesleff I, Mikkola ML: Ectodysplasin AI promotes placodal cell fate during early morphogenesis of ectodermal appendages. Development 2004, 131:4907-19.
- Pummila M, Fliniaux I, Jaatinen R, James MJ, Laurikkala J, Schneider P, Thesleff I, Mikkola ML: Ectodysplasin has a dual role in ectodermal organogenesis: inhibition of Bmp activity and induction of Shh expression. Development 2007, 134:117-25.
- Yamamoto Y, Stock DW, Jeffery WR: Hedgehog signalling controls eye degeneration in blind cavefish. Nature 2004, 431:844-7.
- Mustonen T, Pispa J, Mikkola ML, Pummila M, Kangas AT, Pakkasjarvi L, Jaatinen R, Thesleff I: Stimulation of ectodermal organ development by Ectodysplasin-A1. Dev Biol 2003, 259:123-36.
- 53. Peterkova R, Peterka M, Viriot L, Lesot H: Development of the vestigial tooth primordia as part of mouse odontogenesis. Connect Tissue Res 2002, 43:120-8.
- 54. Peterkova R, Peterka M, Vonesch JL, Tureckova J, Viriot L, Ruch JV, Lesot H: Correlation between apoptosis distribution and

BMP-2 and **BMP-4** expression in vestigial tooth primordia in mice. *Eur J Oral Sci* 1998, **106**:667-70.

- 55. Peterkova Ř, Kristenova P, Lesot H, Lisi S, Vonesch JL, Gendrault JL, Peterka M: Different morphotypes of the tabby (EDA) dentition in the mouse mandible result from a defect in the mesio-distal segmentation of dental epithelium. Orthod Craniofac Res 2002, 5:215-26.
- 56. Cohn MJ: Evolutionary biology: lamprey Hox genes and the origin of jaws. *Nature* 2002, **416**:386-7.
- Colosimo PF, Hosemann KE, Balabhadra S, Villarreal G Jr, Dickson M, Grimwood J, Schmutz J, Myers RM, Schluter D, Kingsley DM: Widespread parallel evolution in sticklebacks by repeated fixation of Ectodysplasin alleles. *Science* 2005, 307:1928-33.
- Albertson RC, Streelman JT, Kocher TD, Yelick PC: Integration and evolution of the cichlid mandible: the molecular basis of alternate feeding strategies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:16287-92.
- Abzhanov A, Protas M, Grant BR, Grant PR, Tabin CJ: Bmp4 and morphological variation of beaks in Darwin's finches. Science 2004, 305:1462-5.
- Loh YH, Katz LS, Mims MC, Kocher TD, Yi S, Streelman JT: Comparative analysis reveals signatures of differentiation amid genomic polymorphism in Lake Malawi cichlids. *Genome Biol*ogy 2008, 9(7):R113.
- Taipale J, Chen JK, Cooper MK, Wang B, Mann RK, Milenkovic L, Scott MP, Beachy PA: Effects of oncogenic mutations in Smoothened and Patched can be reversed by cyclopamine. Nature 2000, 406:1005-9.

