www.bjcancer.com

# A Phase I/II study of lomustine and temozolomide in patients with cerebral metastases from malignant melanoma

# JMG Larkin<sup>1</sup>, SA Hughes<sup>1</sup>, DA Beirne<sup>2</sup>, PM Patel<sup>3</sup>, IM Gibbens<sup>1</sup>, SC Bate<sup>1</sup>, K Thomas<sup>1</sup>, TG Eisen<sup>1</sup> and ME Gore<sup>\*,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Royal Marsden Hospital, London SW3 6JJ, UK; <sup>2</sup>Cancer Research UK Clinical Centre, St James University Hospital, Beckett Street, Leeds LS9 7TF, UK and <sup>3</sup>Academic Division of Clinical Oncology, Nottingham University, City Campus, Nottingham NG5 IPB, UK

Temozolomide is an alkylating agent with activity in the treatment of melanoma metastatic to the brain. Lomustine is a nitrosurea that crosses the blood brain barrier and there is evidence to suggest that temozolomide may reverse resistance to lomustine. A multicentre phase I/II study was conducted to assess the maximum-tolerated dose (MTD), safety and efficacy of the combination of temozolomide and lomustine in melanoma metastatic to the brain. Increasing doses of temozolomide and lomustine were administered in phase I of the study to determine the MTD. Patients were treated at the MTD in phase II of the study to six cycles, disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. Twenty-six patients were enrolled in the study. In phase I of the study, the MTD was defined as temozolomide I50 mg m<sup>-2</sup> days I –5 every 28 days and lomustine 60 mg m<sup>-2</sup> on day 5 every 56 days. Dose-limiting neutropaenia and thrombocytopaenia were observed at higher doses. Twenty patients were treated at this dose in phase II of the study. No responses to therapy were observed. Median survival from starting chemotherapy was 2 months. The combination of temozolomide and lomustine in patients with brain metastases from melanoma does not demonstrate activity. The further evaluation of this combination therefore is not warranted.

British Journal of Cancer (2007) **96,** 44–48. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6603503 www.bjcancer.com Published online 5 December 2006

© 2007 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: cerebral metastases; melanoma; chemotherapy; lomustine; temozolomide

Metastatic melanoma generally has a poor prognosis and few effective systemic treatment options. The median survival for patients with this disease is 6-9 months although 10-20% of patients will survive for 5 years (Balch et al, 2001). The intravenously administered alkylating agent dacarbazine (DTIC) is a standard treatment for metastatic melanoma with single agent response rates reported in the range of 5-15% (Falkson et al, 1998; Chapman et al, 1999; Middleton et al, 2000; Avril et al, 2004). The brain is a common site of metastasis in melanoma and the standard treatment for brain metastases is radiotherapy although surgery has a role in selected patients. Dacarbazine is generally ineffective at treating brain metastases from melanoma although a response rate of 7% with a further 29% of patients experiencing disease stabilisation has been reported with the related orally administered alkylating agent temozolomide as a single agent (Agarwala et al, 2004). Temozolomide is associated with a response rate of 13-25% in the treatment of metastatic melanoma outside the brain and is generally well tolerated with myelosuppression the major toxicity (Bleehen et al, 1995; Middleton et al, 2000; Bafaloukos et al, 2005; Kaufmann et al, 2005).

Lomustine (CCNU) is an orally administered nitrosurea that crosses the blood brain barrier and has been used in combination chemotherapy regimens such as 'BOLD' (bleomycin, vincristine, lomustine, dacarbazine) in metastatic melanoma (Vuoristo et al, 1994; Punt et al, 1997; Vuoristo et al, 2005). Trials of a related compound, fotemustine, have reported response rates of 5-25% in melanoma metastatic to the brain (Jacquillat et al, 1990; Mornex et al, 2003; Avril et al, 2004) and fotemustine has also been given in combination with dacarbazine (Avril et al, 1990; Merimsky et al, 1992; Lee et al, 1993; Chang et al, 1994; Comella et al, 1997; Richard et al, 1998; Seeber et al, 1998) and with temozolomide (Marzolini et al, 1998; Gander et al, 1999). These drug combinations are generally well tolerated although unexpected pulmonary toxicity was reported in two studies of the combination of dacarbazine and fotemustine (Gerard et al, 1993; Lee et al, 1993). Pulmonary toxicity has also been reported in two other combination studies of alkylating agents in melanoma: two of 17 patients in a study of carmustine and streptozocin developed fatal pulmonary toxicity (Smith et al, 1996) while three of 23 patients in a phase II trial of the BOLD regiment developed pneumonitis (Nathan et al, 1997).

The primary mechanism of action of lomustine is the alkylation of the  $O^6$  position of guanine-containing bases in DNA and the enzyme  $O^6$ -alkylguanine transferase mediates *in vitro* resistance to both lomustine and temozolomide (Baer *et al*, 1993). Temozolomide has been shown to deplete  $O^6$ -alkylguanine transferase both *in vitro* (Lacal *et al*, 1996) and *in vivo* (Gander *et al*, 1999) and there is a rationale, therefore, for combining lomustine and temozolomide in melanoma metastatic to the brain. It should be noted that if the predominant *in vivo* mechanism of temozolomide resistance was mediated via  $O^6$ -alkylguanine transferase, the

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: ME Gore; E-mail: martin.gore@rmh.nhs.uk Received 8 August 2006; revised 30 October 2006; accepted 30 October 2006; published online 5 December 2006

repeated administration of temozolomide might be expected to reverse resistance to its own activity, but this has not been observed in the treatment of melanoma. There are no clinical data reported for pharmacokinetic interaction between temozolomide and lomustine although there is no interaction between temozolomide and fotemustine (Marzolini *et al*, 1998).

Given the modest but definite active of temozolomide in the treatment of brain metastases from melanoma and the possibility of synergy between temozolomide and lomustine, a multicentre phase I/II trial was conducted to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD), safety and efficacy of the combination of these drugs.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

### Patients

Patients were eligible for enrolment if they had histologically confirmed primary or secondary malignant melanoma with radiological evidence of brain metastases. In the phase II section of the study, eligible patients had bi-dimensionally measurable brain metastases of at least 2 cm in size on either contrastenhanced CT or MRI. Previous systemic therapy was permitted for the treatment of melanoma and patients must have recovered from the effects of major surgery. Steroid therapy was permitted provided the dose was stable for at least 1 week before the administration of the trial treatment. Other inclusion criteria were: age of at least 18 years, WHO performance status of 0 to 2, life expectancy of 12 weeks or greater, adequate bone marrow function (haemoglobin > 10 g dl<sup>-1</sup>, absolute neutrophil count  $> 1.5 \times 10^9$  l<sup>-1</sup> and platelet count  $>100 \times 10^9 l^{-1}$ ), adequate renal function (plasma creatinine  $<120 \,\mu mol l^{-1}$  or calculated creatinine clearance  $> 50 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$  and urea less than twice the laboratory upper limit of normal (ULN)) and adequate hepatic function (total and direct serum bilirubin <1.5 times laboratory ULN, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase and alkaline phosphatase <2 times the laboratory ULN). All patients provided written informed consent.

Exclusion criteria were systemic anticancer therapy within 4 weeks of study entry, prior fotemustine, lomustine or temozolomide therapy, prior whole-brain irradiation, radiation therapy given to 30% or more of the bone marrow, unresolved toxicities from previous therapies, acute infection or other uncontrolled medical co-morbidity, inability to take oral medication, poor respiratory reserve due to either a large volume of pulmonary metastases or coexisting medical conditions, previous or concurrent malignancies at other sites with the exception of surgically treated carcinoma-*in-situ* of the uterine cervix and basal or squamous cell carcinoma of the skin, pregnant or breastfeeding females and potentially fertile subjects not using effective contraception.

#### Study design and statistical methods

The trial recruited at three centres in Leeds and London, UK from July 2000 to March 2003. Approval for the study was obtained from the local Ethics Committees. In phase I of the trial, a standard design was adopted. The first patient was entered at dose level 1 and observed for 4 weeks. When no acute, severe or irreversible toxicity was observed, two more patients were entered at dose level 1 at weekly intervals. If no dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) was observed, the next patient was recruited to dose level 2 when the first patient completed two cycles of treatment. If grade 3 or 4 toxicity other than alopecia or inadequately treated nausea or vomiting was observed in two out of three patients, the dose level below was expanded to six patients. The MTD was defined as the dose level below the level at which two of three patients experienced DLT, provided no more than two of the six

Dose level	Temozolomide dose (mg m <sup>-2</sup> ) Days I to 5 p.o. q 28 days	Lomustine dose (mg m <sup>-2</sup> ) Day 5 p.o. q 56 days	
	100	60	
2	150	60	
3	150	80	

experienced DLT at that level. For phase II of the study, a Gehan 2 stage design (Gehan, 1961) was used. To detect a response rate of 15% with a probability of a type I error of 5% and a type II error of 10% required the enrolment of 21 patients in stage 1. In the event that one or more responses were observed in stage 1, accrual would continue with a boundary condition that at least five responses had occurred by the time that 62 patients had been enroled. The primary end point of phase I was the MTD of temozolomide and lomustine and of phase II the response rate at the phase I MTD. Secondary end points included progression-free and overall survival. Statistical analyses for baseline demographics, response rates and adverse events were descriptive and Kaplan–Meier analysis was used for survival data.

# Treatment

Temozolomide was administered orally on days 1-5 of a 28-day cycle. Lomustine was administered orally on day 5 every 56 days, that is, on every alternate cycle of temozolomide. The doses of temozolomide and lomustine were escalated in phase I of the study as shown in Table 1. In phase II of the study, the dose administered was the MTD as defined in phase I, subject to dose modification in the event of toxicity as described below.

#### Dose modifications

Clinical toxicity was evaluated before the start of each cycle of therapy and nadir haematological toxicity measured by full blood count at days 15 and 21 of each cycle. Lung function testing was performed at baseline and subsequently in the event of cough or dyspnoea not explained by intercurrent illness for example, pneumonia. Treatment was then withheld until interstitial pneumonitis was excluded. Treatment was administered on day 1 of a cycle if the absolute neutrophil count was at least  $1.5 \times 10^9 l^{-1}$ and the platelet count at least  $100 \times 10^9 l^{-1}$ . In the event that the blood counts were below these thresholds, treatment was not given and the blood count repeated after a week. If blood counts were sufficient after a 1-week delay, treatment was continued as planned but if a 2-week delay occurred, treatment was administered at one dose level lower. In the event that blood counts had not recovered after 2 weeks, treatment was stopped and DLT assumed. Doselimiting toxicity was also assumed in the event of grade 4 thrombocytopaenia of any duration, the need for platelet transfusion, nadir neutropaenia for greater than 7 days or an episode of febrile neutropaenia.

#### Toxicity and response assessments

Response assessments were made according to the WHO criteria. Patients were evaluated for clinical response at the end of each cycle of therapy and in the absence of evidence of progression or significant toxicity, staging CT or MRI was repeated after the third and sixth cycles of treatment. Treatment was discontinued in the event of clinical or radiographic evidence of progressive disease and the patient assessed for radiotherapy. In the absence of disease progression and significant toxicity, six courses of therapy were administered and the patient was assessed for radiotherapy. 45

# RESULTS

46

Baseline patient characteristics are shown in Table 2. Median time from the diagnosis of primary melanoma to brain metastases was 36 months (range 1-252 months) and median time from diagnosis of brain metastases to trial entry was 1 month (range 1-8 months). No DLTs occurred in the three patients treated at dose level 1 after two cycles of therapy (Table 1). The first patient treated at dose level 2 was admitted to hospital on day 26 of cycle 1 with a fever, grade 3 anaemia, grade 4 neutropaenia and grade 4 thrombocytopaenia. The patient recovered after treatment with antibiotics, intravenous fluids, GCSF and blood products. The patient was noted to be dyspnoeic; grade 3 pulmonary fibrosis was diagnosed and the patient was withdrawn from the trial. No DLT was noted in the next two patients recruited to dose level 2. The first patient treated at dose level 3 had grade 3 thrombocytopaenia after cycle 1 resulting in a 2-week delay in administering cycle 2 of therapy but experienced no other DLT. The next two patients recruited at this dose level both developed grade 4 thrombocytopaenia and grade 4 neutropaenia. One of these patients bled into a brain metastasis and subsequently died as a result of sepsis.

As a result of the DLT observed at dose level 3, dose level 2 was expanded and three further patients were treated. Dose-limiting toxicity in the form of grade 4 thrombocytopaenia was observed in one of these patients and as a result the MTD was defined, therefore, at dose level 2, that is, temozolomide 150 mg m<sup>-2</sup> days 1-5 every 28 days and lomustine 60 mg m<sup>-2</sup> on day 5 every 56 days.

Fourteen patients were subsequently treated at dose level 2 in phase II of the study. For the purpose of the analyses of safety and efficacy, data from these patients were combined with the six patients treated at dose level 2 in phase I of the study to give a total of 20 patients. The majority of patients (65%) received only one cycle of therapy and only 10% of patients received more than two cycles of therapy (Table 3). No responses to therapy were seen (Table 4) although one patient received six cycles of therapy with stable disease on brain imaging. The median time from starting chemotherapy to death was 2 months with only two patients alive at 1 year and one alive at last follow-up (58 months). Of the 13 patients that only received one cycle of therapy, two had progressive disease outside the brain while five had progressive disease within the brain; all were withdrawn from the study. The remaining six patients were withdrawn from the study due to

 Table 2
 Baseline patient characteristics

	n	%
Sex		
Male	14	54
Female	12	46
Age/years		
Median (range)	50 (2	7–63)
Time from diagnosis of melanoma to brain metast Median (range)	( )	-252)
Time from diagnosis of brain metastases to trial er Median (range)		-8)
Prior therapies		
Adjuvant immunotherapy	7	27
Radiosurgery	I	4
Resection of brain metastasis None	17	4 65
	17	05
Performance status		
Median (range)	1 (U	)-2)

toxicities arising as a result of treatment (Table 5). Three of these patients died. Two patients with grade 4 thrombocytopaenia died with intracerebral bleeding and a further patient died as a result of pneumonia with grade 4 neutropaenia.

Myelosuppression was the major significant toxicity recorded: 30% of patients had grade 3 or 4 neutropaenia and 35% had grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopaenia (Table 5). Grade 3 or 4 haemorrhage occurred in 20% of patients while 10% of patients had grade 3 or 4 sepsis. Grade 3 or 4 anaemia was reported in 10% of patients. Half of patients reported mild or moderate fatigue and approximately a third of patients had grade 1 or 2 constipation, nausea or headache. One patient was diagnosed with pulmonary fibrosis as described above.

# DISCUSSION

The maximum-tolerated dose of temozolomide and lomustine was defined in phase I of this study as temozolomide  $150\,{\rm mg\,m^{-2}}$  given

**Table 3** Number of cycles of treatment administered at recommended Phase II dose (dose level 2, n = 20 patients)

Number of cycles administered	n	%
1	13	65
2	5	25
3	I	5
4	_	_
5	_	_
6	I	5

 Table 4
 Outcomes of therapy at recommended Phase II dose (dose level 2, n = 20 patients)

Outcome	n	%
Response to therapy	0	0
Completed six cycles of therapy	1	5
Progressive disease in brain	10	50
Progressive disease outside brain	3	15
Toxicity necessitating withdrawal from trial	6	30

**Table 5** Toxicity at recommended Phase II dose (dose level 2, n = 20 patients)

	All grades n (%)	Grade 3–4 n (%)
Haematological		
Thrombocytopaenia	11 (55)	7 (35)
Leucopaenia	6 (30)	6 (30)
Neutropaenia	6 (30)	6 (30)
Anaemia	5 (25)	2 (10)
Nonhaematological		
Fatigue	10 (50)	— (–)
Nausea	7 (35)	I (5)
Constipation	6 (30)	I (5)
Headache	5 (25)	— (–)
Haemorrhage	5 (25)	4 (20)
Pain	2 (10)	— (–)
Dyspnoea	2 (10)	I (5)
Sepsis	2 (10)	2 (10)
Diarrhoea	(5)	— (–)
Pulmonary fibrosis	I (5)	I (5)

**Clinical Studies** 

47

on days 1–5 every 28 days and lomustine 60 mg m<sup>-2</sup> given on day 5 every 56 days. The DLTs of a dose of 80 mg m<sup>-2</sup> of lomustine in combination with 150 mg m<sup>-2</sup> of temozolomide were thrombocytopaenia and neutropaenia and even at 60 mg m<sup>-2</sup> of lomustine 50% of patients experienced at least grade 2 thrombocytopaenia. There have been no other reports of temozolomide administered according to the standard 5 day schedule in combination with lomustine. Since this study was completed, it has been reported that in patients with malignant high grade glioma, temozolomide can be administered at a dose of 75 mg m<sup>-2</sup> per day continuously for 28 days in combination with lomustine at a dose of 100 mg m<sup>-2</sup> on day 1 every 56 days without excessive toxicity (Tafuto *et al*, 2006). It should be noted, however, that extended schedule temozolomide administration is not standard therapy for metastatic melanoma although an extended temozolomide schedule is currently under investigation in a phase III study in metastatic melanoma in comparison with dacarbazine (EORTC protocol 18032).

Twenty patients were treated in this study at the MTD of temozolomide and lomustine. No responses to treatment were observed and although only seven patients received two or more cycles of therapy, it is unlikely that the combination of lomustine and temozolomide has significant activity in melanoma with brain metastases. Progressive disease (both within and outside the brain) was the most common reason for discontinuing therapy after only one cycle. This highlights both the difficulty of conducting clinical trials in this patient group and the need for effective treatment agents with a rapid onset of action. An important observation in this study is that the median survival from starting chemotherapy was 2 months, which emphasises the very poor prognosis of patients with brain metastases from melanoma, even with good performance status. This figure is similar to the median overall survival of 3.2 months reported in the largest clinical trial of patients (N=151) with brain metastases from melanoma reported to date (Agarwala et al, 2004). It could be argued that restaging patients after three cycles of treatment (as opposed to two cycles) in the study reported here may have underestimated the response rate, but this would be true only for very short-lived responses, which would be of questionable clinical significance. It is also possible that the requirement that CNS lesions be at least

# REFERENCES

- Agarwala SS, Kirkwood JM, Gore M, Dreno B, Thatcher N, Czarnetski B, Atkins M, Buzaid A, Skarlos D, Rankin EM (2004) Temozolomide for the treatment of brain metastases associated with metastatic melanoma: a phase II study. *J Clin Oncol* 22: 2101–2107
- Avril MF, Aamdal S, Grob JJ, Hauschild A, Mohr P, Bonerandi JJ, Weichenthal M, Neuber K, Bieber T, Gilde K, Guillem Porta V, Fra J, Bonneterre J, Saiag P, Kamanabrou D, Pehamberger H, Sufliarsky J, Gonzalez Larriba JL, Scherrer A, Menu Y (2004) Fotemustine compared with dacarbazine in patients with disseminated malignant melanoma: a phase III study. J Clin Oncol 22: 1118-1125
- Avril MF, Bonneterre J, Delaunay M, Grosshans E, Fumoleua P, Israel L, Bugat R, Namer M, Cupissol D, Kerbrat P, Montcuquet P, Arcaute V, Bizzari J-P (1990) Combination chemotherapy of dacarbazine and fotemustine in disseminated malignant melanoma. Experience of the French Study Group. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 27: 81-84
- Baer JC, Freeman AA, Newlands ES, Watson AJ, Rafferty JA, Margison GP (1993) Depletion of O<sup>6</sup>-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase correlates with potentiation of temozolomide and CCNU toxicity in human tumour cells. *Br J Cancer* **67**: 1299–1302
- Bafaloukos D, Tsoutsos D, Kalofonos H, Chalkidou S, Panagiotou P, Linardou E, Briassoulis E, Efstathiou E, Polyzos A, Fountzilas G, Christodoulou C, Kouroussis C, Iconomou T, Gogas H (2005) Temozolomide and cisplatin versus temozolomide in patients with advanced melanoma: a randomized phase II study of the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group. Ann Oncol 16: 950-957

2 cm in size in this study may have predisposed towards large volume disease and the possibility of rapid clinical progression. It may have been problematic including patients in this study with small volume CNS disease, however, as many clinicians might adopt an expectant policy in the absence of symptoms in this situation. A further potential criticism of this study is that O<sup>6</sup>-alkylguanine transferase levels were not measured: this would have been of interest despite the lack of activity of temozolomide and lomustine, although this is a difficult patient group to obtain serial tissue samples, given the frequency of rapidly progressive disease.

Temozolomide remains under evaluation in a variety of settings in phase II trials for the treatment of brain metastases from melanoma. One strategy has been to combine temozolomide with other agents; for example, the combination of extended schedule temozolomide and thalidomide (Hwu *et al*, 2005) can be administered safely and results in responses in just over 10% of patients. A second strategy has been to combine temozolomide with whole brain irradiation, the standard treatment for melanoma metastatic to the brain (Margolin *et al*, 2002; Hofmann *et al*, 2006). The administration of temozolomide according to both the standard (Hofmann *et al*, 2006) and an extended (Margolin *et al*, 2002) schedule in combination with whole brain irradiation is generally safe and well-tolerated, but results in responses in only about 10% of patients.

In conclusion, the MTD of temozolomide and lomustine in patients with brain metastases from melanoma is temozolomide  $150 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$  given on days 1-5 every 28 days and lomustine  $60 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$  given on day 5 every 56 days. This dose causes significant myelosuppression in terms of thrombocytopaenia and neutropaenia in approximately one-third of patients and does not demonstrate activity in this setting. The further evaluation of the combination of temozolomide and lomustine for the treatment of brain metastases from melanoma therefore is not warranted.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the patients and their families who participated in this study.

- Balch CM, Buzaid AC, Soong SJ, Atkins MB, Cascinelli N, Coit DG, Fleming ID, Gershenwald JE, Houghton Jr A, Kirkwood JM, McMasters KM, Mihm MF, Morton DL, Reintgen DS, Ross MI, Sober A, Thompson JA, Thompson JF (2001) Final version of the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system for cutaneous melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* **19**: 3635-3648
- Bleehen NM, Newlands ES, Lee SM, Thatcher N, Selby P, Calvert AH, Rustin GJ, Brampton M, Stevens MF (1995) Cancer Research Campaign phase II trial of temozolomide in metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol 13: 910-913
- Chang J, Atkinson H, A'Hern R, Lorentzos A, Gore ME (1994) A phase II study of the sequential administration of dacarbazine and fotemustine in the treatment of cerebral metastases from malignant melanoma. *Eur J Cancer* **30A:** 2093–2095
- Chapman PB, Einhorn LH, Meyers ML, Saxman S, Destro AN, Panageas KS, Begg CB, Agarwala SS, Schuchter LM, Ernstoff MS, Houghton AN, Kirkwood JM (1999) Phase III multicenter randomized trial of the Dartmouth regimen versus dacarbazine in patients with metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol 17: 2745-2751
- Comella P, Daponte A, Casaretti R, Ionna F, Fiore F, Presutti F, Frasci G, Caponigro F, Gravina A, Parziale AP, Mozzillo N, Comella G (1997) Fotemustine and dacarbazine plus recombinant interferon alpha 2a in the treatment of advanced melanoma. *Eur J Cancer* 33: 1326–1329
- Falkson CI, Ibrahim J, Kirkwood JM, Coates AS, Atkins MB, Blum RH (1998) Phase III trial of dacarbazine versus dacarbazine with interferon alpha-2b versus dacarbazine with tamoxifen versus dacarbazine with

interferon alpha-2b and tamoxifen in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma: an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group study. *J Clin Oncol* **16**: 1743–1751

- Gander M, Leyvraz S, Decosterd L, Bonfanti M, Marzolini C, Shen F, Lienard D, Perey L, Colella G, Biollaz J, Lejeune F, Yarosh D, Belanich M, D'Incalci M (1999) Sequential administration of temozolomide and fotemustine: depletion of O<sup>6</sup>-alkyl guanine-DNA transferase in blood lymphocytes and in tumours. *Ann Oncol* **10**: 831–838
- Gehan EA (1961) The determination of the number of patients required in a preliminary and a follow-up trial of a new chemotherapeutic agent. *J Chronic Dis* **13:** 346–353
- Gerard B, Aamdal S, Lee SM, Leyvraz S, Lucas C, D'Incalci M, Bizzari JP (1993) Activity and unexpected lung toxicity of the sequential administration of two alkylating agents – dacarbazine and fotemustine – in patients with melanoma. *Eur J Cancer* **29A:** 711–719
- Hofmann M, Kiecker F, Wurm R, Schlenger L, Budach V, Sterry W, Trefzer U (2006) Temozolomide with or without radiotherapy in melanoma with unresectable brain metastases. *J Neurooncol* **76**: 59-64
- Hwu WJ, Lis E, Menell JH, Panageas KS, Lamb LA, Merrell J, Williams LJ, Krown SE, Chapman PB, Livingston PO, Wolchok JD, Houghton AN (2005) Temozolomide plus thalidomide in patients with brain metastases from melanoma: a phase II study. *Cancer* **103**: 2590–2597
- Jacquillat C, Khayat D, Banzet P, Weil M, Fumoleau P, Avril MF, Namer M, Bonneterre J, Kerbrat P, Bonerandi JJ, Bugat R, Montcuquet P, Cupissol D, Lauvin R, Vilmer C, Prache C, Bizzari J-P (1990) Final report of the French multicenter phase II study of the nitrosourea fotemustine in 153 evaluable patients with disseminated malignant melanoma including patients with cerebral metastases. *Cancer* **66**: 1873–1878
- Kaufmann R, Spieth K, Leiter U, Mauch C, von den Driesch P, Vogt T, Linse R, Tilgen W, Schadendorf D, Becker JC, Sebastian G, Krengel S, Kretschmer L, Garbe C, Dummer R (2005) Temozolomide in combination with interferon-alfa versus temozolomide alone in patients with advanced metastatic melanoma: a randomized, phase III, multicenter study from the Dermatologic Cooperative Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol 23: 9001–9007
- Lacal PM, D'Atri S, Orlando L, Bonmassar E, Graziani G (1996) In vitro inactivation of human O<sup>6</sup>-alkylguanine DNA alkyltransferase by antitumor triazene compounds. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 279: 416-422
- Lee SM, Margison GP, Woodcock AA, Thatcher N (1993) Sequential administration of varying doses of dacarbazine and fotemustine in advanced malignant melanoma. Br J Cancer 67: 1356-1360
- Margolin K, Atkins B, Thompson A, Ernstoff S, Weber J, Flaherty L, Clark I, Weiss G, Sosman J, W IIS, Dutcher P, Gollob J, Longmate J, Johnson D (2002) Temozolomide and whole brain irradiation in melanoma metastatic to the brain: a phase II trial of the Cytokine Working Group. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol **128**: 214–218
- Marzolini C, Decosterd LA, Shen F, Gander M, Leyvraz S, Bauer J, Buclin T, Biollaz J, Lejeune F (1998) Pharmacokinetics of temozolomide in association with fotemustine in malignant melanoma and malignant

glioma patients: comparison of oral, intravenous, and hepatic intraarterial administration. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* **42**: 433-440

- Merimsky O, Inbar M, Chaitchik S (1992) Fotemustine and DTIC combination in patients with disseminated malignant melanoma. *Am J Clin Oncol* **15:** 84–86
- Middleton MR, Grob JJ, Aaronson N, Fierlbeck G, Tilgen W, Seiter S, Gore M, Aamdal S, Cebon J, Coates A, Dreno B, Henz M, Schadendorf D, Kapp A, Weiss J, Fraass U, Statkevich P, Muller M, Thatcher N (2000) Randomized phase III study of temozolomide versus dacarbazine in the treatment of patients with advanced metastatic malignant melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* **18**: 158–166
- Mornex F, Thomas L, Mohr P, Hauschild A, Delaunay MM, Lesimple T, Tilgen W, Bui BN, Guillot B, Ulrich J, Bourdin S, Mousseau M, Cupissol D, Bonneterre ME, De Gislain C, Bensadoun RJ, Clavel M (2003) A prospective randomized multicentre phase III trial of fotemustine plus whole brain irradiation versus fotemustine alone in cerebral metastases of malignant melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 13: 97–103
- Nathan FE, Berd D, Sato T, Shield JA, Shields CL, De Potter P, Mastrangelo MJ (1997) BOLD+interferon in the treatment of metastatic uveal melanoma: first report of active systemic therapy. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 16: 201-208
- Punt CJ, van Herpen CM, Jansen RL, Vreugdenhil G, Muller EW, de Mulder PH (1997) Chemoimmunotherapy with bleomycin, vincristine, lomustine, dacarbazine (BOLD) plus interferon alpha for metastatic melanoma: a multicentre phase II study. *Br J Cancer* **76**: 266–269
- Richard MA, Grob JJ, Zarrour H, Basseres N, Bizzari JP, Gerard B, Bonerandi JJ (1998) Combined treatment with dacarbazine, cisplatin, fotemustine and tamoxifen in metastatic malignant melanoma. *Melano*ma Res 8: 170-174
- Seeber A, Binder M, Steiner A, Wolff K, Pehamberger H (1998) Treatment of metastatic malignant melanoma with dacarbazine plus fotemustine. *Eur J Cancer* 34: 2129-2131
- Smith DC, Gerson SL, Liu L, Donnelly S, Day R, Trump DL, Kirkwood JM (1996) Carmustine and streptozocin in refractory melanoma: an attempt at modulation of O-alkylguanine-DNA-alkyltransferase. *Clin Cancer Res* 2: 1129–1134
- Tafuto S, Muto P, Tortoriello A, Pisano A, Comella P, Formato R, Quattrin S, Iaffaioli RV (2006) Phase I study of temozolomide and lomustine in the treatment of high grade malignant glioma. *Front Biosci* **11**: 502-505
- Vuoristo M, Grohn P, Kumpulainen E, Korpela M (1994) Treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma with a one day regimen of dacarbazine, vincristine, bleomycin and lomustine plus interferon-alpha. *Eur J Cancer* **30A**: 420
- Vuoristo MS, Hahka-Kemppinen M, Parvinen LM, Pyrhonen S, Seppa H, Korpela M, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen P (2005) Randomized trial of dacarbazine versus bleomycin, vincristine, lomustine and dacarbazine (BOLD) chemotherapy combined with natural or recombinant interferon-alpha in patients with advanced melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 15: 291-296