



A Practical Fault Attack on Square and Multiply

Jörn-Marc Schmidt Christoph Herbst

Institute for Applied Information Processing and Communications (IAIK)

Graz University of Technology Inffeldgasse 16a, A-8010 Graz, Austria

{joern-marc.schmidt,christoph.herbst}@iaik.tugraz.at

Jörn-Marc Schmidt, Christoph Herbst





1 Introduction

- Motivation
- Square and Multiply
- Recent Work
- Our Fault Model

2 Our Attack

- 3 Practical Issues
 - Fault Injection
 - Problems







Motivation

- Square and multiply is a common stragegy for implementing modular exponentiation
- Modular exponentiation is used in public key cryptography
- RSA is based on modular exponentiation
- Fault attack on RSA implementations without CRT





Other Modular Exponentiation Methods

- Left-to-right square and multiply
- Right-to-left square and multiply
- k-ary exponentiation
- Sliding window method
- Montgomery powering ladder





Square and Multiply

Function 1 Left-to-Right Square and Multiply Algorithm

Input: Message *m*, Exponent $e = (e_t, \dots, e_0)_2$, Modulus *N* R = 1for i = t downto 0 do $R = R \cdot R \mod N$ if $e_i = 1$ then $R = R \cdot m \mod N$ end if end for return *R*

Jörn-Marc Schmidt, Christoph Herbst





Recent Work

Different attacks on square and multiply - assuming

- Bit flip
 - Dan Boneh et al. (1997)
 - Feng Bao et al. (1997)
 - Marc Joye et al. (1997)
- Safe errors
 - Sung-Ming Yen and Marc Joye (2000)
- Random fault in intermediate value
 - Michele Boreale (2006)





Our Fault Model

Manipulation of the program flow

- Skip instruction
- Not always successful
- Motivated by spike attacks





Introduction

- Motivation
- Square and Multiply
- Recent Work
- Our Fault Model

2 Our Attack

- 3 Practical Issues
 Fault Injection
 - Problems







Skip a Squaring Operation

- Exponent $e = (e_t, \ldots, e_0)_2$, leading zeros are neglected
- (t k + 1)-th square operation skipped $\Rightarrow Sig_k, k \in \{0, \dots, t\}$

•
$$Sig_t = Sig$$
 as $R = 1$

$$Sig_k = \prod_{i=k+1}^t m^{e_i 2^{i-1}} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^k m^{e_i 2^i} \mod n.$$

Jörn-Marc Schmidt, Christoph Herbst





Iterative Attack

For k = 0 $Sig = \begin{cases} (Sig_0)^2 \mod n & \text{for } e_0 = 0\\ (Sig_0)^2 \cdot m^{-1} \mod n & \text{for } e_0 = 1 \end{cases}$ For $k \in \{1, ..., t - 1\}$ $Sig_k = \begin{cases} Sig_{k-1} & \text{for } e_k = 0 \\ m^{2^{k-1}} \cdot Sig_{k-1} & \text{mod } n & \text{for } e_k = 1 \end{cases}$ Results in $(1, e_{t-1}, ..., e_0)$.

Jörn-Marc Schmidt, Christoph Herbst





Introduction

- Motivation
- Square and Multiply
- Recent Work
- Our Fault Model
- 2 Our Attack
- 3 Practical Issues
 - Fault Injection
 - Problems







Device Under Test (DUT)

- AVR microcontroller
- Straight forward left-to-right square and multiply
- Montgomery for modulo multiplication
- Spikes in the power supply





Spike Generation

- Circuit Board for DUT and Spike generation (low cost)
- Controlled by PC over serial interface
- Spike offset precision 0.5 clock cycles
- Spike length 0.5-5 clock cycles





Setup for the performed spike attack



Jörn-Marc Schmidt, Christoph Herbst





Spike (black) and power consumption (gray)



Jörn-Marc Schmidt, Christoph Herbst





Searching the right spike parameters

- Right spike positions and length unknown
- Calculate expected values for $e_k = 0$ and $e_k = 1$
- Sweep over the whole computation starting from the end
- If ek found, calculate expected values for ek+1





Problems

- Fine sweep may lead to double detections
- Store precomputed values indicating a 1 as long as 0 e detected
- Compare all following results to these values and repair detected exponent if match found
- Another Solution: Use power trace to guess positions
 ⇒ requires more knowledge and equipment
- Afterwards add a 1 to the detected exponent
- Test result by calculating a signature





Introduction

- Motivation
- Square and Multiply
- Recent Work
- Our Fault Model

2 Our Attack

- 3 Practical Issues
 Fault Injection
 - Problems







Attack in the presence of DPA Countermeasures

- Square and Always Multiply
- Message Blinding
- Exponent Blinding
- Further Countermeasures





Outlook

- Mount attack on ECC double and add
- Attack Montgomery powering ladder in modified fault model
- Investigate existing countermeasures in more detail





Conclusion

- We presented a new attack on square and multiply
- Based on program flow manipulation
- Possible to check whether or not fault injection was successful
- Practical implementation at low cost





Thank you for your attention. Questions?

Jörn-Marc Schmidt Christoph Herbst

Institute for Applied Information Processing and Communications (IAIK)

Graz University of Technology Inffeldgasse 16a, A-8010 Graz, Austria

{joern-marc.schmidt,christoph.herbst}@iaik.tugraz.at

Jörn-Marc Schmidt, Christoph Herbst