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## ABSTRACT

## A PRIME FACTOR FFT ALGORITHM USING HIGH SPEED CONVOLUTION

by Dean P. Kolba

Two recently developed ideas; the conversion of a DFT to convolution and the implementation of short convolutions with a minimum of multiplications, are combined to give efficient algorithms for long transforms. Three transform algorithms are compared in terms of number of multiplications and additions. Timing for a prime factor FFT algorithm using high speed convolution, which was programmed for an IBM 370 and an 8080 microprocessor,is presented.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The calculation of the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)

$$
x(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-\frac{j 2 \pi}{N} n k}
$$

is one of the central operations in digital sigral processing. The development and widespread use of the Fast Fourier Transform, stimulated by the paper of Cooley and Tukey [1], has had a major impact on signal processing.

Recently, several new ideas have emerged which lead to new algorithms for the DFT. One key idea described by Rader [2] in 1968 was the observation that computation of the DFT can be changed into a circular convolution by rearranging the data when N is prime. Thus, if one has a fast way to do convolution, he now has a fast way to do the DFT.

Winograd [3] has shown the minimum number of multiplications required for circular convolution. New convolution algorithms which often achieve this minimum are being developed by Agarwal and Cooley [4] .

In a concise paper, Winograd [5] combines the conversion of a DFT to convolution, for prime and prime power lengths, with these new convolution algorithms for short transforms. He proposes that long transforms be computed by
nesting these short, high speed transforms and presents a table comparing the number of operations required with the conventional Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).

This thesis first reviews the two central ideas; conversion of a DFT to circular convolution and convolution with the minimum number of multiplications, then presents a study of various implementations of long transforms.

An alternative to the nested algorithm proposed by Winograd, a prime factor FFT algorithm using high speed convolution for individual factors, is singled out as a promising approach and programmed for two machines; an IBM 370 and an 8080 microprocessor. Tables compare this approach with Winograd's nesting and with the conventional power of 2 FFT. While the idea of breaking up a one dimensional transform into a multidimensional transform with prime factors is not new- see Good [6] and Thomas [7], the combination of short, high speed convolution algorithms with this multidimensional expansion appears to be a promising new way to implement the DFT.

## II. DOING DFT'S WITH CONVOLUTION

A. Prime Length DFT

The Discrete Fourier Transform

$$
x(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) w^{n k} \quad k=0,1, \cdots, N-1
$$

$$
\text { where } \quad W=e
$$

is a linear transformation of the N-dimensional data vector $\left.\underline{x}=\begin{array}{c}x(0) \\ x(1) \\ \vdots \\ x(N-1)\end{array}\right]$ into the vector $\left[\begin{array}{c}X(0) \\ X(1) \\ \vdots \\ X(N-1)\end{array}\right]$ of frequency samples.

The matrix representation of the DFT

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
x(0)  \tag{2}\\
x(1) \\
x(2) \\
\vdots \\
x(N-1)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & w^{1} & w^{2} & \cdots & w^{N-1} \\
1 & w^{2} & w^{4} & \cdots & w^{2(N-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
1 & w^{N-1} & w^{2(N-1)} & \cdots & w^{(N-1)(N-1)}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{c}
x(0) \\
x(1) \\
x(2) \\
\vdots \\
x(N-1)
\end{array}\right]
$$

shows the complexity of the computation arises from the ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) by ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) lower right portion of the matrix. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{X}(k)=\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} x(n) w^{n k} \quad k=1,2, \cdots, N-1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be computed efficiently, then we will have a fast algorithm for computing (1), the DFT, since from (3), (1) can
easily be calculated:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(0)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)  \tag{4}\\
& X(k)=x(0)+\bar{X}(k) \quad k=1,2, \cdots, N-1
\end{align*}
$$

To see how (3) may be converted to circular convolution, consider the matrix representation of (3), for $N=5$ with exponents taken modulo 5:


If we interchange the last two columns of (5) and then interchange the last two rows, we get

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{X}(1)  \tag{6}\\
\bar{X}(2) \\
\bar{X}(4) \\
\bar{X}(3)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
w^{1} & w^{2} & w^{4} & w^{3} \\
w^{2} & w^{4} & w^{3} & w^{1} \\
w^{4} & w^{3} & w^{1} & w^{2} \\
w^{3} & w^{1} & w^{2} & w^{4}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{r}
x(1) \\
x(2) \\
x(4) \\
x(3)
\end{array}\right]
$$

We now have something like backwards circular convolution, or circular correlation. By fixing $x(1)$ and reversing the remaining input vector we obtain the conventional circular convolution:


The computation of (3) (and thus the DFT) has been changed to a circular convolution (7) by permuting the input and the output indices. If we have a fast way of doing convolutions we now have a fast way of doing DFT's.

This idea was first presented by Rader [2]. He showed how the permutation can always be done when N is a prime number. Since $W^{N}=1$ we are dealing with the product of integers modulo N in the exponent of W in (3). To change the DFT into a circular convolution a mapping of the indices is used to change multiplication of indices modulo N to addition of indices modulo $N-1$. The set of integers $\{1,2, \cdots, N-1\}$ forms a cyclic group with the operation of multiplication modulo $N$ [ 8 ]. We can always find at least one integer a in the group with the property that any integer in the group may be expressed as some power of $a$. By ordering the data according to the exponent of $\alpha$ we can always change (3) to circular convolution for prime N. The relationship between the new index $m$ and the original $n$ is

$$
n=a^{m} \quad \text { modulo } N \quad \begin{align*}
& n=1,2, \cdots, N-1  \tag{8}\\
& m=0,1 ; \cdots, N-2
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad a^{k} \neq 1$ for $0<k<N-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{N-1}=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The permutation map (8) is an isomorphism between the multiplicative group of $\mathrm{N}-1$ integers $\{1,2, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}-1\}$ and the additive group of $N-1$ integers $\{0,1, \cdots, N-2\}$. An integer a with the property (9) is called a primitive ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) ${ }^{\text {st }}$ root of unity and is said to generate the group since any element can be written as some power of $\mathcal{C}$. Just as logarithms change multiplication to addition, (8) changes multiplication into addition of indices. When (8) is used on both input and output indices, (3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}\left(\alpha^{1}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{N-2} x\left(a^{m}\right) w a^{(1+m)} \quad 1=0,1, \cdots, N-2 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (10) the exponents of $\alpha$ are taken modulo $N-1$. This gives, for $N=5$, the backward circular convolution of (6) when $a=2$. To obtain conventional circular convolution we change the sign of $m$ in (10) which corresponds to fixing $x\left(\alpha^{0}\right)$ and reversing the remaining input sequence.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}\left(a^{1}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{N-2} x\left(a^{-m}\right) w a^{(1-m)} 1=0,1, \cdots, N-2 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, the indices in (11), (the exponents of $a$ ) are taken modulo N-1. By combining (11) with (4) we can always convert the computation of a DFT of prime length into a circular convolution.
B. Prime Power Length DFT

Winograd [5] and Rader and McClellan [9] have shown
that the DFT may also be converted to convolution when the transform length $N$ is a prime power, i.e. $N=p^{r}$ for a prime $p \neq 2$. The conversion is a bit more complicated since we must first remove all integers which contain a factor $p$ from the set $\{1,2, \cdots, N-1\}$ to get a cyclic group with $p^{r-1}(p-1)$ elements. This cyclic group leads to a circular convolution of length $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}-1}(\mathrm{p}-1)$ as before. The remaining computation consists of two DFT's of length $\mathrm{p}^{r-1}$. For example with $N=9=3^{2}$, we delete the integers 3 and 6 to obtain the set $\{1,2,4,5,7,8\}$ which forms a cyclic group under multiplication modulo 9 and is isomorphic to the additive group of integers $\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ under addition modulo 6 . The integer 2 will generate the multiplicative group since the powers of 2 modulo 9. $2^{m} \bmod 9, m=0,1, \cdots, 5$, are $1,2,4,8,7,5$.

In terms of the matrix representation

we remove rows and columns corresponding to indices 0.3 , and 6 and compute the remaining length 6 transformation
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}\bar{x}(1) \\ \bar{x}(2) \\ \bar{x}(4) \\ \bar{x}(5) \\ \bar{x}(7) \\ \bar{x}(8)\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}w^{1} w^{2} & w^{4} w^{5} w^{7} w^{8} \\ w^{2} w^{4} w^{8} w^{1} w^{5} w^{7} \\ w^{4} w^{8} w^{7} w^{2} w^{1} w^{5} \\ w^{5} w^{1} w^{2} w^{7} w^{8} w^{4} \\ w^{7} w^{5} w^{1} w^{8} w^{4} w^{2} \\ w^{8} w^{7} w^{5} w^{4} w^{2} w^{1}\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}x(1) \\ x(2) \\ x(4) \\ x(5) \\ x(7) \\ x(8)\end{array}\right]$
using the permutation

$$
\mathrm{n}=2^{\mathrm{m}} \bmod 9 \mathrm{~m}=0,1,2,3,4,5: \mathrm{n}=1,2,4,8,7,5
$$

to obtain the circular convolution (with input reversed as before)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{x}(1)  \tag{14}\\
\bar{X}(2) \\
\bar{x}(4) \\
\bar{X}(8) \\
\bar{x}(7) \\
\bar{x}(5)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
w^{1} w^{5} w^{7} w^{8} w^{4} w^{2} \\
w^{2} w^{1} w^{5} w^{7} w^{8} w^{4} & x(1) \\
w^{4} w^{2} w^{1} w^{5} w^{7} w^{8} & \left.\begin{array}{l}
x(5) \\
w^{8} w^{4} w^{2} w^{1} w^{5} w^{7} \\
w^{7} w^{8} w^{4} w^{2} w^{1} w^{5} \\
w^{5} w^{7} w^{8} w^{4} w^{2} w^{1}
\end{array}\right] & x(4) \\
x(2)
\end{array}\right]
$$

In addition to (14) we must complete the computation for the rows and columns removed from (12). For the deleted rows we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x(0)  \tag{15}\\
x(3) \\
x(6)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & w^{3} & w^{6} & 1 & w^{3} & w^{6} & 1 & w^{3} & w^{6} \\
1 & w^{6} & w^{3} & 1 & w^{6} & w^{3} & 1 & w^{6} & w^{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\text { Since } w^{3}=e^{\frac{-j 2 \pi_{3}}{9}}=e^{\frac{-j 2 \pi}{3}}=w_{3},(15) \text { is simply a }
$$

length 3 DFT of added data

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
x(0) \\
x(3) \\
x(6)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & w_{3} & w_{3}^{2} \\
1 & w_{3}^{2} & w_{3}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
x(0)+x(3)+x(6) \\
x(1)+x(4)+x(7) \\
x(2)+x(5)+x(8)
\end{array}\right]
$$

For the deleted columns we have
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}Y(0) \\ Y(1) \\ Y(2) \\ Y(3) \\ Y(4) \\ Y(5) \\ Y(6) \\ Y(7) \\ Y(8)\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & W^{3} & W^{6} \\ 1 & W^{6} & W^{3} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & W^{3} & W^{6} \\ 1 & W^{6} & W^{3} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & W^{3} & W^{6} \\ 1 & W^{6} & W^{3}\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}X(0) \\ X(3)\end{array}\right]$

For (16) a second length 3 DFT can be computed.

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}
Y(0)  \tag{17}\\
Y(1) \\
Y(2)
\end{array}\right]=\begin{array}{c}
Y(3) \\
Y(4) \\
Y(6) \\
Y(8)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & W_{3} & W_{3}^{2} \\
1 & W_{3}^{2} & W_{3}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
X(0) \\
X(6)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Only the last two entries $Y(1)$ and $Y(2)$ are needed from (17) to complete (12) using (14)


This length 9 example thus requires a length 6 circular convolution and 2 length 3 DFT's which can be computed using length 2 circular convolutions. If $N=3^{3}$, we have a length $3^{2} \cdot 2=18$ circular convolution and two length $3^{2}=9$ DFT's. These can be reduced to two length 6 convolutions and 4 length 3 DFT's which are calculated with length 2 circular convolutions. If $N=p$, the length $N$ transform is computed with 1 length $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}-1}(\mathrm{p}-1)$ convolution, 2 length $p^{r-2}(p-1)$ convolutions, 4 length $p^{r-3}(p-1)$ convolutions, 8 length $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}-4}(\mathrm{p}-1)$ convolutions, $\cdots$. terminating with $2^{r-1}$ length $p-1$ convolutions.
III. CONVOLUTION WITH THE MINIMUM NUMBER OF MULTIPLICATIONS

The prime and prime power DFT algorithms are means of converting the calculations required in the DFT into circular convolution. Then, special fast circular convolution techniques may be used to preform the calculations. An algorithm for computing a short length circular convolution in the minimum number of multiplications for small values of $N$ is based on recent work by Winograd [3].

Winograd's theorem on the minimum number of multiplications is explained in terms of polynomial multiplication. To cyclicly convolve the sequences $h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{N-1}$ and $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-1}$ we need only find the $N$ coefficients of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(z)=H(z) \cdot X(z) \text { modulo }\left(z^{N}-1\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} h_{k} z^{k} \text { and } X(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_{k} z^{k} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z^{N}-1$ is written in terms of the $K$ factors which are irreducible over the rationals

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{N}-1=\prod_{i=1}^{K} Q_{i}(z) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with no common factors in the $Q_{i}(z)$ polynomials, Winograd's Theorem states that the minimum number of multiplications required to compute the circular convolution of two length $N$ sequences is $2 N-K$. This theorem does not count multiplication by rational numbers.

In order to reduce the computation required for (18), $Y(2)$ is decomposed into $K$ simpler parts using the polynomial version of the Chinese Remainder Theorem [4].

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y(z)=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} Y_{i}(z) S_{i}(z)\right] \bmod \left(z^{N}-1\right) \\
Y_{i}(z)=H_{i}(z) X_{i}(z) \bmod Q_{i}(z) & i=1,2, \ldots, K \\
X_{i}(z)=X(z) \bmod Q_{i}(z) & i=1,2, \ldots, K \\
H_{i}(z)=H(z) \bmod Q_{i}(z) & i=1,2, \ldots, K \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

The polynomials $S_{i}(z) i=1,2, \cdots, K$ play the role of $a$ Kroneker delta

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
S_{i}(z)=1 & \bmod Q_{i}(z) & i=1,2, \ldots, K  \tag{24}\\
S_{i}(z)=0 & \bmod Q_{j}(z) & \text { for all } j \neq i
\end{array}
$$

The $S_{i}(z)$ may be found by applying Euclid's algorithm to polynomials [4].

As an example, we will do a length 6 circular convolution of the sequences $h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{5}$ and $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{5}$. We have the polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(z)=h_{0}+h_{1} z+\cdots+h_{5} z^{5} \\
& X(z)=x_{0}+x_{1} z+\cdots+x_{5} z^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

First, the irreducible factors $\mathcal{Q}_{i}(2)$ are found:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{6}-1 & =(z+1)(z-1)\left(z^{2}+z+1\right)\left(z^{2}-z+1\right) \\
& =Q_{1}(z) Q_{2}(z) Q_{3}(z) Q_{4}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, the intermediary polynomials $X_{i}, H_{i}$, and $Y_{i}$ are formed:*

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}(z) & =x(z) \bmod (z+1)=x_{0}^{1} \\
& =x_{0}-x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{4}-x_{5} \\
x_{2}(z) & =x(z) \bmod (z-1)=x_{0}^{2} \\
& =x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5}  \tag{25}\\
x_{3}(z) & =x(z) \bmod \left(z^{2}+z+1\right)=x_{0}^{3}+x_{1}^{3} z \\
& =\left(x_{0}-x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{5}\right)+\left(x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{4}-x_{5}\right) z \\
x_{4}(z) & =x(z) \bmod \left(z^{2}-z+1\right)=x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{4} z \\
& =\left(x_{0}-x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{5}\right)+\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{4}-x_{5}\right) z
\end{align*}
$$

(The $H_{i}(z)$ polynomials are the same in form.)

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{1}(z) & =H_{1}(z) x_{1}(z) \bmod (z+1)=y_{0}^{1}=h_{0}^{1} x_{0}^{1} \\
Y_{2}(z) & =H_{2}(z) x_{2}(z) \bmod (z-1)=y_{0}^{2}=h_{0}^{2} x_{0}^{2} \\
Y_{3}(z) & =H_{3}(z) x_{3}(z) \bmod \left(z^{2}+z+1\right)=y_{0}^{3}+y_{1}^{3} z  \tag{26}\\
& =\left(h_{0}^{3} x_{0}^{3}-h_{1}^{3} x_{1}^{3}\right)+\left(h_{1}^{3} x_{0}^{3}+h_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}-h_{1}^{3} x_{1}^{3}\right) z \\
Y_{4}(z) & =H_{4}(z) x_{4}(z) \bmod \left(z^{2}-z+1\right)=y_{0}^{4}+y_{1}^{4} z \\
& =\left(h_{0}^{4} x_{0}^{4}-h_{1}^{4} x_{1}^{4}\right)+\left(h_{1}^{4} x_{0}^{4}+h_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4}+h_{1}^{4} x_{1}^{4}\right) z
\end{align*}
$$

* Superscripts are used to identify the $i^{\text {th }}$ polynomial.

Now, the $Y_{1}(z)$ and $Y_{2}(z)$ polynomials are formed directly with one multiplication each. So, we have the intermediate variables

$$
m_{1}=h_{0}^{1} x_{0}^{1} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{2}=h_{0}^{2} x_{0}^{2}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}^{1}=m_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{0}^{2}=m_{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polynomials $Y_{3}(z)$ and $Y_{4}(z)$ require only three multiplications each - similar to complex multiplication done in three real multiplications. Thus, for $Y_{3}(z)$ we need

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{3}=\left(n_{0}^{3}-n_{1}^{3}\right)\left(x_{1}^{3}-x_{0}^{3}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& m_{4}=h_{0}^{3} x_{0}^{3} \quad \text { and } m_{5}=h_{1}^{3} x_{1}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $Y_{4}(z)$ we need

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{6}=\left(h_{0}^{4}+h_{1}^{4}\right)\left(x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{4}\right) \\
& m_{7}=h_{0}^{4} x_{0}^{4} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{8}=h_{1}^{4} x_{1}^{4} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

From these intermediate variables we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}^{3}=m_{3}+m_{4} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{0}^{3}=m_{4}-m_{5} \\
& y_{1}^{4}=m_{6}-m_{7} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{0}^{4}=m_{7}-m_{8} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point we have the four component polynomials:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{1}(z)=y_{0}^{1} & Y_{2}(z)=y_{0}^{2} \\
Y_{3}(z)=y_{0}^{3}+y_{1}^{3} z & Y_{4}(z)=y_{0}^{4}+y_{1}^{4} z
\end{array}
$$

The final step is to express the polynomial $Y(z)$ in terms of the components $Y_{i}(z)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
Y(z)= & {\left[Y_{1}(z) S_{1}(z)+Y_{2}(z) S_{2}(z)+Y_{3}(z) S_{3}(z)\right.} \\
& \left.+Y_{4}(z) S_{4}(z)\right] \bmod \left(z^{6}-1\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The $S_{i}(2)$ polynomials satisfying (24) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}(z)=-1 / 6\left(z^{5}-z^{4}+z^{3}-z^{2}+z-1\right) \\
& S_{2}(z)=1 / 6\left(z^{5}+z^{4}+z^{3}+z^{2}+z+1\right) \\
& S_{3}(z)=1 / 6\left(z^{6}-z^{5}-z^{4}+2 z^{3}-z^{2}-z+1\right)  \tag{32}\\
& S_{4}(z)=1 / 6\left(z^{6}+z^{5}-z^{4}-2 z^{3}-z^{2}+z+1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In order to show the exact operations on the original $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ the vector of coefficients of $Y(z), \underline{y}=y_{0}$ may be expressed in terms of $\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}x_{0}=x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_{N-1}\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{c}h_{0} \\ \text { and } \frac{h=h_{1}}{} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{h}_{N-1}\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{array}{c}y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{N-1}\end{array}\right]$
with two equations using $\otimes$ to indicate point by point multiplication of column vectors:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{m}=[B] \underline{h} \otimes[A] \underline{x}  \tag{33}\\
& \underline{y}=[C] \underline{m} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

A length $N$ circular convolution requiring $M$ multiplies can always be expressed this way with $A$ and $B M x N$ matrices and $C$ an NxM matrix [4].

In order to put our example for $N=6$ in this matrix form, we first identify the intermediate $m$ parameters from (26), as shown in (27). (28), and (29). The terms in (26) involving $h$ and $x$ are expanded according to (25) to obtain the matrices $A$ and $B$.

To obtain the $C$ matrix rewrite (26) in terms of the m's given by (27), (28), and (29).

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{1}(z)=m_{1} \\
& Y_{2}(z)=m_{2}  \tag{36}\\
& Y_{3}(z)=\left(m_{4}-m_{5}\right)+\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right) z \\
& Y_{4}(z)=\left(m_{7}-m_{8}\right)+\left(m_{6}-m_{7}\right) z
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (36) and (32) into (31), collect powers of $z$ to obtain

$$
\underline{y}=c m
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y_{0}  \tag{37}\\
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3} \\
y_{4} \\
y_{5}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\
-1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & -2 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& m_{1} \\
& m_{2} \\
& m_{3} \\
& m_{4} \\
& m_{5} \\
& m_{6} \\
& m_{7} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

We now have a length six circular convolution computed with 8 multiplications, which is the minimum number as given by Winograd's theorem:

$$
2 N-K=2(6)-4=8
$$

The multiplications by the rational numbers in $A, B$, and $C$ are not counted.

The calculation of $Y_{3}(z)$ and $Y_{4}(z)$ may be done with a number of different algorithms. These will give values for $m_{3}$ through $m_{8}$ different from (28) and (29) and different evaluations of $Y_{3}(z)$ and $Y_{4}(z)$ in.terms of the m's. This freedom in the choice of calculating $Y_{3}(z)$ and $Y_{4}(z)$ may be used to try to minimize the number of additions for the convolution algorithm. No attempt has been made here to minimize the number of additions.

Convolution algorithms which achieve $2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{K}$ multiplications and have simple $A, B$, and $C$ matrices are known only for short lengths. For longer convolutions it is difficult to see . how to keep the number of additions under control.

## IV. APPLICATION TO THE DFT

The polynomial method of generating a circular convolution algorithm which requires the minimum number of multiplications has been used effectively for short lengths. Winograd [5] states that all known algorithms for computing circular convolution in the minimum number of multiplications require a large number of additions when $z^{N}-1$ has large irreducible factors. Therefore to be of practical interest, the DFT length will be kept small to take advantage of the change to a circular convolution. DFT algorithms for small values of $N$ have been written with these methods for use in a prime factor FFT algorithm to be described in Chapter $V$. These algorithms are different from those used for the nested DFT proposed by Winograd and implemented by Silverman [10], which is also described in Chapter V. Table 1 shows the number of operations required for short transforms intended for use in the prime factor FFT algorithm and for short transforms intended for use in the nested algorithm. Explicit formulas for short transforms to be used with a prime factor FFT are given for lengths 3.5.7, and 9 in Appendix A. These formulas come from combining the correction terms with special convolution algorithms described in Chapter III. Short transforms for use with the nesting algorithm are derived by modifying the transforms in Appendix $A$ to reduce the number of $W^{\circ}$ multiplications as in (45) - (47).

## Table 1

Short Length DFT Operations Count
Prime Factor FFT
Nested Algorithm
$\underline{N}$ Multiplies Shifts Adds Multiplies $W^{0}$ multiplies Adds

| 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 17 |
| 7 | 8 | 0 | 36 | 8 | 1 | 36 |
| 8 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 6 | 26 |
| 9 | 8 | 2 | 49 | 10 | 2 | 49 |

To see how a DFT is implemented with a convolution algorithm, concider the following length 3 example:

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
x(0) \\
x(1) \\
x(2)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
w^{0} w^{0} w^{0} \\
w^{0} & w^{1} w^{2} \\
w^{0} & w^{2} & w^{1}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
x(0) \\
x(1) \\
x(2)
\end{array}\right]
$$

The convolution

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\bar{x}(1)  \tag{38}\\
\bar{x}(2)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
w^{1} & w^{2} \\
w^{2} & w^{1}
\end{array}\right] x(1)\right]
$$

provides $\bar{X}(1)$ and $\bar{X}(2)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(0)=W^{0}(x(0)+x(1)+x(2)) \\
& x(1)=W^{0} x(0)+\bar{x}(1)  \tag{39}\\
& x(2)=W^{0} x(0)+\bar{x}(2)
\end{align*}
$$

To get an explicit formula for the DFT, the convolution (38) is written in matrix form as in (33) and (34).

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
m_{1} \\
m_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1  \tag{41}\\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{c}
W^{1} \\
W^{2}
\end{array}\right]^{\otimes}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
x(1) \\
x(2)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In applying (40) and (41) to the length 3 DFT we absorb the factor of $\frac{3}{2}$ into the $B$ matrix. Then, combining this convolution with (39) gives the following length 3 DFT algorithm for use in the prime factor FFT.

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=x(1)+x(2) \\
& a_{2}=x(1)-x(2)  \tag{42}\\
& a_{3}=x(0)+a_{1} \\
& m_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} a_{1}  \tag{43}\\
& m_{2}=-j \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a_{2} \\
& c_{1}=x(0)+m_{1} \\
& x(0)=a_{3}  \tag{44}\\
& x(1)=c_{1}+m_{2} \\
& x(2)=c_{1}-m_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for the algorithm to be used in the nested DFT method, the multiplications by $W^{0}$ must be accounted for as explained in Chapter $V$. Therefore, we wish to minimize the multiplications by $W^{0}$ as well. This may be done by modifying the above length 3 DFT as shown below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=x(1)+x(2) \\
& a_{2}=x(1)-x(2)  \tag{45}\\
& a_{3}=x(0)+a_{1} \\
& m_{1}=\left(-\frac{1}{2}-1\right) a_{1}=-\frac{3}{2} a_{1} \\
& m_{2}=-j \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} a_{2}  \tag{46}\\
& m_{3}=w^{0} a_{3}=1 \cdot a_{3} \\
& c_{1}=m_{3}+m_{1} \\
& x(0)=m_{3}  \tag{47}\\
& x(1)=c_{1}+m_{2} \\
& x(2)=c_{1}-m_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The algorithm used for the prime factor FFT has one multiplication, one shift (multiplication by $\frac{1}{2}$ ), and six additions, as shown in Table 1. The algorithm used for the nested transform has two multiplications, one multiplication by $W^{0}$, and six additions. For complex data, the values in Table 1 are only doubled because the coefficients formed from the $B W$ portion of the convolution are pure real or pure imaginary numbers. This occurs since the $B$ matrix is such that the W's occur as sums or differences of conjugate pairs.

These short length DFT algorithms may be written in a matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{x}=0 D I \underline{x} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the $\mu x N$ matrix representation of the input adds in (42) or (45), D is a $\mu x \mu$ diagonal matrix of the multiplication coefficients in (43) or (46), and $O$ is the $N x \mu$ matrix representation of the output adds in (44) or (47). For our length 3 example in (45)-(47)

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
x(0) \\
x(1) \\
X(2)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right] x(0) x(1)\right]
$$

The summation form of these matrices gives another way to represent these algorithms.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(k)=\sum_{l=0}^{\mu-1} o_{k l} d_{1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} i_{l n} x(n) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, $\mu>N$ as shown by the expansion of the block labeled " $X$ " in Figure 1. The input and output additions are indicated by blocks labeled with a "+" .

## V. LONG TRANSFORMS FROM SHORT •

A. Change to Multidimensions

The short transforms described above can be combined in several ways to provide a long transform of length $N$. The idea is to convert a one dimensional length $N=M_{1} M_{2} \cdots M_{1}$ transform into an l-dimensional transform requiring computation of 1 shorter, length $M_{k}$ transforms for $k=1,2, \ldots, 1$. In this paper we use a mapping from one to 1 dimensions which requires that the $M_{k}$ factors be relatively prime [11]. Conventional FFT algorithms map one dimension to many dimensions. The Cooley-Tukey algorithm [1] allows common factors in N, while algorithms proposed by I. J. Good [6] and Thomas [7] use a mapping based on the Chinese Remainder Theorem [12] which requires relatively prime factors. We will use the Chinese Remainder mapping which will be described for two factors $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ which are relatively prime.

In the DFT

$$
x(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) w^{n k}
$$

the index $n$ of the input sequence $x(n)$ will be called the "input index", and the index $k$ of the output sequence $X(k)$ will be called the "output index". The mapping from one to two dimensions maps the input index $n$ into a pair of
indices ( $n_{1}, n_{2}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{1} & =\left(r_{1} n\right) \bmod M_{1} \quad n_{1}=0,1, \cdots, M_{1}-1 \\
n_{2} & =\left(r_{2} n\right) \bmod M_{2} \quad n_{2}=0,1, \cdots, M_{2}-1 \\
\text { where } r_{1} & =M_{2} \bmod M_{1} \text { and } r_{2}=M_{1} \bmod M_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The output index is similarly mapped into a pair ( $k_{1}, k_{2}$ ).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1}=k \bmod M_{1} & k_{1}=0,1, \cdots, M_{1}-1 \\
k_{2}=k \bmod M_{2} & k_{2}=0,1, \cdots, M_{2}-1
\end{array}
$$

The inverse mapping from two dimensions to one dimension for the output index is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\left(s_{1} k_{1}+s_{2} k_{2}\right) \bmod N \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{1}=1 & \bmod M_{1} \\
s_{1} & =0
\end{array} \bmod M_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \begin{array}{lll}
s_{2}=1 & \bmod M_{2} \\
s_{2} & =0 & \bmod M_{1}
\end{array}
$$

The inverse mapping for the input index is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\left(M_{2} n_{1}+M_{1} n_{2}\right) \bmod N \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

When these mappings are used, the DFT becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1} x\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) w_{M_{2}}^{n_{2} k_{2}} w_{w_{1}}^{n_{1} k_{1}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{M_{1}}=e^{-j \frac{2 \pi}{M_{1}}}$ and $w_{M_{2}}=e^{-j \frac{2 \pi}{M_{2}}}$.
The two dimensional transform in (52) may be imple-
mented by first calculating $M_{1}$ length $M_{2}$ DFT's,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(n_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\sum_{n_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1} x\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) w^{n_{2} k_{2}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

then calculating $M_{2}$ length $M_{1}$ DFT's

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} y\left(n_{1}, k_{2}\right) w^{n_{1} k_{1}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The short transforms in (53) and (54) can be implemented using convolution methods as in (49). This procedure will be called the prime factor FFT algorithm and is illustrated in Figure 2.

Figure 2 shows a length 15 transform implemented by first calculating five length 3 transforms as in (49), then calculating three length 5 transforms as in (49). Like Figure 1, blocks labeled " + " indicate addition and blocks labeled "X" indicate multiplication in the convolution DFT of (48). Figure 2 is based on similar diagrams in Gold and Rader [13].

Winograd [5] has proposed another implementation of (52) which uses the special structure (49) of the short transforms to nest all multiplications inside of input and output additions. When the length $M_{1}$ short transform is written in terms of input additions $i^{(1)}$, output additions $0^{(1)}$, and multiplications $d^{(1)}$ and the length $M_{2}$ transform is written in terms of $i^{(2)}, 0^{(2)}$, and $d^{(2)}$ as in (49), (54) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(n_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\mu_{2^{-1}}} o_{k_{2}}^{(2)} d_{1}^{(2)} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{M_{2^{-1}}} i_{l n_{2}}^{(2)} x\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ in (52) is a length $M_{1}$ transform of $y\left(n_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ which can also be implemented as in (49), (54) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\mu_{1}-1} o_{k_{1} m}^{(1)} d_{m}^{(1)} \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} i_{m n_{1}}^{(1)} y\left(n_{1}, k_{2}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (55) into (56) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
x\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)= & \sum_{m=0}^{\mu_{1}-1} o_{k_{1} m}^{(1)} d_{m}(1) \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} i_{m n_{1}}^{(1)} \\
& \cdot \sum_{1=0}^{\mu_{2}-1} o_{k_{2} l}^{(2)} d_{1}^{(2)} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1} i_{l n_{2}}^{(2)} x\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

The summations in (57) are an explicit representation of the operations indicated in Figure 2. The order of the summation may be changed as shown by Rader and McClellan [9] to nest all multiplications in the center giving

$$
\begin{align*}
x\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)= & \sum_{1=0}^{\mu_{2}^{-1}} o_{k_{2}}^{(2)} \sum_{m=0}^{\mu_{1}-1} o_{k_{1} m}^{(1)} d_{m}^{(1)} d_{1}^{(2)} \\
& \cdot \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} i_{m n_{1}}^{(1)} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1} i_{l n_{2}}^{(2)} x\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

As shown in Figure 3. (58) corresponds to first doing input adds on the rows of $x\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$, then input adds on the columns. Rows and columns are then multiplied as indicated by $d_{m}^{(1)}$ and $d_{1}^{(2)}$. Finally, output additions are performed on columns and rows. This algorithm, proposed by Winograd [5], will be called the nested algorithm in this paper because all multiplications are nested inside of additions as shown in Figure 4.
B. Operation Counts

The number of multiplications required by the nested algorithm is essentially the product of the total number of multiplications for each factor in the DFT, when implemented as in (58). However, some savings may be made for the case where the DFT being computed is at a point by point multiplication involving a product of $W^{0}$ coefficients. This corresponds to both d's in (58) being unity. The number of multiplications saved is the product of the number of $W^{0}$ multiplications in each short transform. Thus, the equation for the number of multiplications for two factors is

$$
\text { \#multiplications }=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}-\eta_{1} \eta_{2}
$$

where $\eta_{i}=\#$ of multiplications by $W^{0}$ for length $M_{i}$ DFT
$\mu_{i}=$ total \# of multiplications for length $M_{i}$ DFT $\alpha_{i}=\#$ of additions for length $M_{i}$ DFT

For three factors we have

$$
\text { \#multiplications }=\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}-\eta_{1} \eta_{2} \eta_{3}
$$

and the pattern continues for more factors.
An equation for the number of additions is based on structures of the nested algorithms in (58) and on Figure 3. The horizontal addition planes in Figure 3 correspond to length $M_{2}$ transforms and there are $M_{1}$ of them (the indices $n_{1}$ and $k_{1}$ take on $M_{1}$ values). This contributes $M_{1} \alpha_{2}$ additions. The vertical addition planes in Figure 3 correspond to length $M_{1}$ transforms and there are $\mu_{2}$ of them (the index 1 takes on $\mu_{2}$ values). This contributes $\mu_{2} \alpha_{1}$ additions. Thus for two factors, we have

$$
\text { \#additions }=M_{1} a_{2}+\mu_{2} a_{1}
$$

For three factors we have

$$
\text { \#additions }=M_{1} M_{2} a_{3}+\mu_{3}\left(M_{1} a_{2}+\mu_{2} a_{1}\right)
$$

In the same manner, we have with four factors

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { \#additions }= & M_{1} M_{2} M_{3} a_{4}  \tag{59}\\
& +\mu_{4} M_{1} M_{2} a_{3}+\mu_{3}\left(M_{1} a_{2}+\mu_{2} a_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For the number of multiplications, the ordering of the factors is unimportant. However, the number of additions required depends on the ordering of the factors. For the nested transform additions given in table 2, the best ordering was used and is indicated by the order of the factors. For complex data, the number of real multiplications and real
additions is twice the number given by the above equations (multiplications of the complex data are with pure real or pure imaginary coefficients).

In order to compare the operation counts of the nested algorithm with the operations required by the CooleyTukey and the prime factor FFT algorithms, we need to look at these latter two algorithms and determine the number of operations they require. In order to make the comparison more realistic, the radix 2 Cooley-Tukey algorithm will perform complex multiplications in three real multiplications and will not count multiplications by $W^{0}$ or $\pm j$. For complex data with $N=2^{M}(\log N=M)$, we have [14]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \#multiplications }=3\left(\frac{N}{2} \log N-\frac{3 N}{2}+2\right) \\
& \text { \#additions }=2 N \log N+5(\# m u l t i p l i c a t i o n s)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the prime factor FFT algorithm we use the special short length transforms intended for this algorithm. (See the first section of Table 1.) With $N=M_{1} M_{2} M_{3}, M_{2} M_{3}$ length $M_{1}$ transforms are computed, $M_{1} M_{3}$ length $M_{2}$ DFT's, and $M_{1} M_{2}$ length $M_{3}$ DFT's. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { \#multiplications }=2\left(M_{2} M_{3} \mu_{1}+M_{1} M_{3} \mu_{2}+M_{1} M_{2} \mu_{3}\right)  \tag{60}\\
& \text { \#additions }=2\left(M_{2} M_{3} a_{1}+M_{1} M_{3} a_{2}+M_{1} M_{2} a_{3}\right) \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

for complex data. Using these equations, the three algorithms are compared in Table 2 for several values of N .
Table 2 COMPARISON OF DFT ALGORITHMS

| Prime Factor FFT |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Multiplies | Adds |
| 68 | 384 |
| 512 | 2,920 |

1.024
1.784
1.396
2.300
4,244
7,136

15,532 \begin{tabular}{cr}
\multicolumn{2}{c}{ Radix 2 FFT } <br>
Multipiies \& Adds <br>
102 \& 830 <br>
774 \& 5,662

 

\multicolumn{2}{c}{ Radix 2 FFT } <br>
Multipiies \& Adds <br>
102 \& 830 <br>
774 \& 5,662

 

\multicolumn{2}{c}{ Radix 2 FFT } <br>
Multipiies \& Adds <br>
102 \& 830 <br>
774 \& 5,662
\end{tabular}

32.286
74.270
167.966 $\begin{array}{ccc}\ddagger & \infty & N \\ \overrightarrow{1} & N & \infty \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \exists & 0 & \cdots\end{array}$



## VI. COMPARISON OF DFT ALGORITHVS DISCUSSION OF TABLE 2

Comparisons in Table 2 show that the prime factor FFT algorithm requires from $0 \%$ to $64 \%$ more multiplications than the nested transform. However, the nested transform requires from $0 \%$ to $28 \%$ more additions than the prime factor FFT algorithm. In fact, if additions "cost" at least one half as much as multiplications, then the multiply-add cost for the prime factor FFT algorithm is smaller for all lengths shown in Table 2 except for lengths 30 and 840.

To develop an understanding for how these two transforms are related for various choices of factors, we will derive expressions for the number of operations required per output point. From (60), the number of multiplications per output point for the prime factor FFT algorithm is simply the sum of the number of multiplications per point for each factor.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { \#multiplications/point }=\sum\left(\frac{\mu_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarily for the prime factor FFT algorithm,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { \#additions/point }=\sum\left(\frac{C_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the nested algorithm, the number of multiplications per point is approximately the product of the number of multiplications per point for each factor.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { \#multiplications/point }=\Pi\left(\frac{\mu_{i}}{M_{i}}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (59), the number of additions per point for the nested transform is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { \#additions/point }=\frac{a_{1}}{M_{1}}+\frac{\mu_{1}}{M_{1}} \frac{a_{2}}{M_{2}}+\frac{\mu_{1}}{M_{1}} \frac{\mu_{2}}{M_{2}} \frac{a_{3}}{M_{3}}+\ldots \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the factors used in this study, the number of operations per point is shown in Table 3.

Table 3

|  | Prime Factor FFT |  |  | Nested Algorithm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\mu}{\mu / N}$ | $\frac{\alpha / N}{\mu}$ |  | $\frac{\mu}{N}$ | $\frac{\alpha / N}{1.0}$ |
| 2 | 0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |
| 3 | 0.33 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 5 | 0.8 | 3.4 |  | 1.2 | 3.4 |
| 7 | 1.14 | 5.14 |  | 1.28 | 5.14 |
| 8 | 0.25 | 3.25 | 1.0 | 3.25 |  |
| 9 | 0.89 | 5.44 | 1.33 | 5.44 |  |

With k factors and an average number of multiplications per point, $\mu$, the nested algorithm requires $\mu^{k}$ multiplications per point. The prime factor FFT algorithm requires $k \mu$ multiplications per point. When $\mu^{k}>k \mu$ or when $\mu>\sqrt[k-1]{k}$ the prime factor FFT algorithm requires fewer multiplications than the nested algorithm.

Since $\sqrt[k-1]{k}$ becomes smaller with increasing $k$ (more factors) and $\mu_{i}$ increases for the extra factors, which must be large, the prime factor FFT algorithm will have fewer multiplications per point than the nested algorithm when more factors are used.

With $k$ factors and average number of additions per point, $\alpha$, the prime factor $F F T$ algorithm requires $k d$ additions per point. The nested algorithm requires $\alpha+\mu \alpha+\cdots$ $+\mu^{k-1} \alpha=\frac{\mu^{k}-1}{\mu-1} \alpha$ additions per point for $\mu>1$. In the special case $N=2^{r} \cdot 3 \cdot p$ where $p$ is a prime other than 2 or 3 and $r=1,2$, or 3 , both algorithms require the same number of additions since $\mu=1$ for the factors $2^{r}$ and 3 . With other factors the prime factor FFT algorithm will have fewer additions. As shown above, the difference in the number of additions will also increase rapidly when more factors are used. This comparison of additions and multiplications per point is further illustrated in Figure 5.

A good strategy would be to use nesting for a few factors until $\mu$ began to grow, then combine, using the prime factor FFT algorithm, with another composite length intermediate transform which was done with nesting.

## VII. PROGRAMS FOR COMPARING TRANSFORM METHODS

A. Subroutine GOODFT

The prime factor FFT algorithm was used to program a mixed radix DFT in which the short length DFT's are calculated using the fast convolution method previously described. A flow chart of the subroutine GOODFT is shown in Figure 6 and a program listing of the subroutine is given in Appendix B. The input data to be transformed is stored in two length $N$ vectors, $X R$ for the real part and XI for the imaginary part, where N is the length of the DFT to be calculated. N must be a product of at most four mutually prime factors from among the following possible factors: 2,3,4,5,7,8, and 9. If four factors are not used, the unused factors are set equal to one. For example, with $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{M} 1 * \mathrm{M} 2 * \mathrm{M} 3 * \mathrm{M} 4=30$, we have $\mathrm{M} 1=5$, $M 2=3, M 3=2$, and $M 4=1$. These factors of one must be the last of the M's. The number of nonunity factors is NFT, which is the number of dimensions in the transform. The prime factor FFT algorithm is described in equations (50) through (54) for the two factor case. This algorithm may be extended to more factors. For example, when the number of mutually prime factors is four, the length N DFT may be calculated as M2*M3*M4 length M1 DFT's, M1*M3*M4 length M2 DFT's, M1*M2*M4 length M3 DFT's and M1*M2*M3 length M4 DFT's.

The first transforms calculated are the length M1

DFT's. For each of the possible combinations of N2, N3, and N4 a length M1 index vector $I$ is calculated using an input mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\left(M_{2} M_{3} M_{4} n_{1}+M_{1} M_{3} M_{4} n_{2}+M_{1} M_{2} M_{4} n_{3}+M_{1} M_{2} M_{3} n_{4}\right) \text { mod } N \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation of this index vector and the testing of the values of N2, N3, and N4 are done in the input indexing segments of the subroutine.

The index vectors are used to select the proper data points to be transformed for each of the length M1 DFT's. Thus, when an index vector has been calculated, the proper M1 data points are selected from the length $N$ data vectors XR and XI and stored in temporary vectors UR and UI. A length M1 DFT is then calculated for UR and UI using the fast convolution technique. The results of this transform are stored in UR and UI. Then, the index vector is used once again to transfer the transform results from UR and UI to their correct locations in XR and XI. This selection of M1 data points from the $N$ input data points, the calculation of the M1 point DFT, and the placement of this result into the length $N$ data vector is done in the short transform section of the subroutine.

When all the possible combinations of N2, N3, and N4 have been used, the length M1 DFT's have all been computed. The input indexing portion of the subroutine then reorders the factors so that $M 4$ is now treated as the first factor and the length M4 DFT's are computed. Then, when these are
done, M3 and M2 are successively treated as the first factor and the required length M3 and length M2 DFT's are also calculated.

When all of the short transforms for all of the dimensions have been calculated, the vectors XR and XI contain the result of the length $N$ DFT, but in a scrambled order. The unscrambling of the length $N$ transform result is done in the output indexing portion of the subroutine. For the case of four factors, the output index mapping from one to four dimensions is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1}=k \bmod M_{1} & k_{2}=k \bmod M_{2}  \tag{67}\\
k_{3}=k \bmod M_{3} & k_{4}=k \bmod M_{4}
\end{array}
$$

For a particular value of $k$, the values of $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$, and $k_{4}$ are used in (66) for $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$, and $n_{4}$ to determine the position in the input array of this desired output point. Now, each successive value of $k$ increments all the values of $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$, and $k_{4}$ by one, starting from zero. Therefore, from (66) we see that the position of each successive output point is located in the input array in the position given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=k\left(M_{2} M_{3} M_{4}+M_{1} M_{3} M_{4}+M_{1} M_{2} M_{4}+M_{1} M_{2} M_{3}\right) \quad \bmod N \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (68), we define an output indexing constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { KOUT }=\left(M_{2} M_{3} M_{4}+M_{1} M_{3} M_{4}+M_{1} M_{2} M_{4}+M_{1} M_{2} M_{3}\right) \bmod N \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=(k \cdot \text { KOUT }) \bmod N \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

The output indexing portion of the subroutine transfers the scrambled results of the length $N$ DFT from $X R$ and $X I$ into $A$ and $B$, which contain respectively, the real and imaginary parts of the length N DFT in the correct order. After completing this a return is made to the main progran.

## B. Timing Results

In order to obtain some timing results, the prime factor FFT algorithm was programmed in Fortran and in 8080 microprocessor assembly language. The Fortran prime factor FFT was compared in speed to a mixed radix FFT program written by Singleton [15], which uses a Cooley-Tukey mapping. The FFT subroutine of Singleton is $50 \%$ longer than the prime factor FFT subroutine. However, the prime factor FFT uses storage of two complex vectors of length $N$, while the Singleton FFT subroutine requires one complex length $N$ vector. The results of the time tests for several transform lengths are given in Table 4. These tests were run on an IBM 370 computer for which the ratio of multiply to add time was 3. The power of two algorithm was taken from Rabiner and Gold [14]. It may be $15 \%$ slower than an algorithm which stores all powers of $W$. The timing for the subroutines was accoplished using an interval timer on the IBM 370. The percent saving in time given
is the percent by which the Singleton FFT subroutine is slower than the prime factor FFT subroutine. The timing results of Table 4 are for calculating the frequency response of a length 32 finite impulse response digital bandpass filter and are taken from a single run.

Table 4

Time Test on IBM 370/155

## Times in Seconds

| N | Prime Factor FFT | $\underset{F F T}{\text { Singleton's }}$ | $\operatorname{Radix}^{\text {Radix }} 2$ | \% Time Savings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 |  |  | 0.013 |  |

60
0.017
0.025
$47 \%$
64
0.027

128
0.059

210
0.080
0.119
$49 \%$
256
0.129

315
0.111
0.179

61\%
504
0.168
0.288
$71 \%$
512
0.280

840
0.344
0.509
$48 \%$
1024
0.609

1260
0.540
0.809
$50 \%$
2048
1.323

2520
1.115
1.714
$54 \%$

The times for the prime factor FFT may be calculated from the Table 2 values for the total number of operations by the following formula :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Time (in milliseconds) }=N(N F T(.052)+.028) \\
& +.0096(\# m u l t i p l i c a t i o n s)+.0045(\# a d d i t i o n s)
\end{aligned}
$$

The input indexing for the program took 52 microseconds per point for each dimension of the transform (NFT equals the number of factors). The output indexing took 28 microseconds per point. The code generated by the Fortran add and multiply statements took 4.5 and 9.6 microseconds,respectively, to run. In the program, the shifts in the short DFT algorithms for the prime factor FFT were done as multiplications.

Next, the 8080 microprocessor assembly language version of the prime factor FFT subroutine was compared in speed with a radix 2 FFT subroutine. The radix 2 FFT was written in assembly language, used three real multiplications for each complex multiplication, and did not multiply by $W^{0}$. In addition, the FFT used precalculated values of $W_{N}^{i}$ which were stored in a table. The FFT program was much shorter than the prime factor FFT program. The ratio of multiply to add times on the 8080 was approximately 30. A length 252 prime factor FFT requires 3.20 seconds to run. A length 256 radix 2 FFT requires 5.42 seconds to run. So, the radix 2 FFT subroutine is $70 \%$ slower than the prime factor FFT subroutine. The savings occur in both the multiplications and additions. The multiplication savings is $80 \%$ and the rest is in additions.

## VIII. CONCLUSIONS

The conversion of a DFT into a circular convolution leads to new methods for computation of the DFT. For short transforms, these algorithms require few multiplications and additions as shown in Table 1 and as shown in the explicit formulas given in Appendix A.

Long transforms are built up from these short transforms in several ways, which are compared in Table 2. The prime factor FFT algorithm was chosen as the most attractive approach for several reasons. The prime factor FFT algorithm has about the same combined total of multiply-adds as the nested algorithm. However, it is easier to write a general prime factor FFT program. The prime factor FFT can be calculated using less memory than is required for the nested algorithm. It requires less data storage and probably less program memory. Since the prime factor FFT algorithm is done in small pieces, it might run faster on machines with small high speed memory blocks. Special hardware for parallel computation will probably be simpler for the prime factor FFT algorithm.

A general prime factor FFT program was written for an IBM 370 in Fortran and for an 8080 microprocessor in assembly language. The running time for this new algorithm was compared with a conventional FFT. In the 370 comparison the new
algorithm was compared with the mixed radix algorithm of Singleton [15], since the prime factor FFT algorithm is a mixed radix algorithm. A reduction of approximately $50 \%$ was observed (see Table 4). Much larger savings may be expected if special hardware is constructed for the short convolutionbased algorithms.

Many open questions remain. How should one combine nesting and prime factor FFI techniques to obtain long transforms from short ones? Should the multidimensional expansion always be done at the transform level, or should the convolutions contained within transforms also be implemented in multidimensional expansions? How can one improve on the indexing schemes required for these new transforms? It is likely that continuing development of longer and more efficient convolution algorithms will make implementations of the DFT using convolution even more attractive.
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APPENDIX A.

3 POINT DPT ALGORITHM

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}= & x(1)+x(2) \quad m_{1}=\frac{1}{2} a_{1} & & c_{1}=x(0)-m_{1} \\
a_{2}= & x(1)-x(2) \quad m_{2}=0.86603 a_{2} & & x(0)=a_{3} \\
a_{3}= & x(0)+a_{1} & & x(1)=c_{1}-j m_{2} \\
& 1 \text { multiplication } & &
\end{aligned}
$$

5 POINT DPT ALGORITHM

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}=x(1)+x(4) & m_{1}=0.95106 a_{5} \\
a_{2}=x(1)-x(4) & m_{2}=1.53884 a_{2} \\
a_{3}=x(2)+x(3) & m_{3}=0.36327 a_{4} \\
a_{4}=x(2)-x(3) & c_{2}=c_{1}+m_{4} \\
a_{5}=a_{2}+a_{4}=0.55902 a_{6} & m_{4} \\
a_{6}=a_{1}-m_{4}=m_{3} & m_{5}=\frac{1}{4} a_{7} \\
a_{7}=a_{1}+a_{3} & c_{5}=m_{2}-m_{1} \\
a_{8}=x(0)+a_{7} & x(0)=a_{8} \\
& \\
& x \text { multiplications } \\
&
\end{array}
$$

$$
17 \text { additions }
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}=x(1)+x(6) & m_{1}=0.16667 a_{7} \\
a_{2}=x(1)-x(6) & m_{2}=0.79016 a_{8} \\
a_{3}=x(2)+x(5) & m_{3}=0.05585 a_{9} \\
c_{2}=c_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3} \\
a_{4}=x(2)-x(5) & m_{4}=0.73430 a_{10} \\
a_{5}=x(3)+x(4) & c_{4}=c_{1}-m_{2}-m_{4}-m_{1}-m_{3}+m_{4} \\
a_{6}=x(3)-x(4) & m_{6}=0.34096 a_{11} \\
a_{7}=a_{1}=m_{5}+m_{6}-m_{7}+a_{5} & m_{7}=0.53397 a_{13} \\
c_{6}=m_{5}-m_{6}-m_{8} \\
a_{8}=a_{1}-a_{5}=-m_{5}-m_{7}-m_{8} \\
a_{9}=-a_{3}+a_{5} & m_{8}=0.87484 a_{14} \\
a_{10}=-a_{1}+a_{3} & x(0)=a_{15} \\
a_{11}=a_{2}+a_{4}-a_{6} & x(1)=c_{2}-j c_{5} \\
a_{12}=a_{2}+a_{6} & x(2)=c_{3}-j c_{6} \\
a_{13}=-a_{4}-a_{6} & x(3)=c_{4}-j c_{7} \\
a_{14}=-a_{2}+a_{4} & x(4)=c_{4}+j c_{7} \\
a_{15}=x(0)+a_{7} & x(5)=c_{3}+j c_{6} \\
& x(6)=c_{2}+j c_{5}
\end{array}
$$

8 multiplications
36 additions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=x(1)+x(8) \quad m_{1}=0.19740 a_{9} \quad c_{1}=x(0)-m_{7} \\
& a_{2}=x(1)-x(8) \quad m_{2}=0.56858 a_{10} \quad c_{2}=m_{2}-m_{3} \\
& a_{3}=x(2)+x(7) \quad m_{3}=0.37111 a_{11} \quad c_{3}=m_{1}+m_{3} \\
& a_{4}=x(2)-x(7) \quad m_{4}=0.54253 a_{12} \quad c_{4}=m_{1}+m_{2} \\
& a_{5}=x(4)+x(5) \quad m_{5}=0.10026 a_{13} \quad c_{5}=c_{1}+c_{2}-c_{3} \\
& a_{6}=x(4)-x(5) \quad m_{6}=0.44228 a_{14} \quad c_{6}=c_{1}+c_{3}+c_{4} \\
& a_{7}=x(3)+x(6) \quad m_{7}=\frac{1}{2} a_{7} \quad c_{7}=c_{1}-c_{2}-c_{4} \\
& a_{8}=x(3)-x(6) \quad m_{8}=0.86603 a_{8} \quad c_{8}=m_{4}-m_{6} \\
& a_{9}=-a_{1}+a_{5} \\
& m_{9}=\frac{1}{2} a_{15} \\
& c_{9}=m_{5}-m_{6} \\
& a_{10}=a_{1}-a_{3} \\
& a_{11}=-a_{3}+a_{5} \\
& a_{12}=a_{2}-a_{6} \\
& a_{13}=a_{2}+a_{4} \\
& a_{14}=-a_{4}-a_{6} \\
& a_{15}=a_{1}+a_{3}+a_{5} \\
& a_{16}=a_{2}-a_{4}+a_{6} \\
& a_{17}=x(0)+a_{15}+a_{7} \\
& 8 \text { multiplications } \\
& 2 \text { shifts } \\
& 49 \text { additions } \\
& c_{11}=c_{8}+c_{9}+m_{8} \\
& c_{12}=c_{8}+c_{10}-m_{8} \\
& c_{13}=-c_{9}+c_{10}+m_{8} \\
& c_{14}=x(0)+a_{7}-m_{9} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
x(0)=a_{17} \\
x(1)=c_{5}-j c_{11} \\
x(2)=c_{6}-j c_{12} \\
x(3)=c_{14}-j m_{10} \\
x(4)=c_{7}-j c_{13} \\
x(5)=c_{7}+j c_{13} \\
x(6)=c_{14}+j m_{10} \\
x(7)=c_{6}+j c_{12} \\
x(8)=c_{5}+j c_{11}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX B.

## Prime Factor FFT Program Listing

SUBROUTINE GOODFT (XR,XI,N,M1,M2,M3,M4,NFT,KOUT,A,B)
C THE SUBROUTINE GOODFT COMPUTES A LENGTH N DFT OF THE
C INPUT DATA WHICH IS IN TWO VECTORS, XR THE REAL PART AND C XI THE IMAGINARY PART. BOTH XR AND XI ARE IENGTH N VECC TORS. THE LENGTH OF THE DFT, N, MUST BE A PRODUCT OF AT C MOST FOUR MUTUALLY PRIME FACTORS. THE POSSIBLE FACTORS C ARE 2,3,4,5,7,8, AND 9. THESE FACTORS ARE M1, M2, M3, AND C M4. IF THE FOUR FACTORS ARE NOT ALI USED, THE UNUSED C FACTORS ARE SET EQUAL TO 1. FOR EXAMPLE WITH N=30, WE C HAVE M1 $=5, \mathrm{M} 2=3, \mathrm{M} 3=2$, AND $M 4=1$. THE FACTORS OF ONE MUST C BE THE LAST OF THE M'S. THE NUMBER OF NONUNITY FACTORS IS C NFT. KOUT IS AN OUTPUT INDEXING CONSTANT WHICH IS PREC COMPUTED. KOUT $=(K 1+K 2+K 3+K 4) M O D ~ N ~ W H E R E ~ K 1=M 2 * M 3 * M 4, ~$ C K2=M1*M3*M4, K3=M1*M2*M4, K4=M1*M2*M3, AND K2=0 IF M2=1, C $\mathrm{K} 3=0$ IF $\mathrm{M} 3=1$, AND $\mathrm{K} 4=0 \mathrm{IF} \mathrm{M} 4=1$. FOR EXAMPLE, $\mathrm{N}=30$, $\mathrm{K} 1=6$, C $\mathrm{K} 2=10, \mathrm{~K} 3=15, \mathrm{~K} 4=0$ AND KOUT $=31$ MOD $30=1$. THE TRANSFORMED C RESULT IS STORED IN TWO LENGTH N VECTORS, A AND B. A CONC TAINS THE REAL PART AND B CONTAINS THE IMAGINARY PART OF C THE RESULT. THE DFT COMPUTED BY THIS SUBROUTINE USES A C POSITIVE EXPONENT FOR W. IE $W=\operatorname{EXP}(J * 2 * P I / N)$.
C DEAN KOLBA, JULY 1976.
DIMENSION XR (2520), XI (2520), A (2520), B(2520)
DIMENSION UR(9),UI(9).I (9)
REAL MR1,MR2,MR3,MR4,MR5,MR6,MR7,MRB,MR9,MR10
REAL MII,MI2,MI3,MI4,MI5,MI6,MI7,MI8,MI9,MIIO
$N F=N F T$
C ORDER FACTORS FUR TRANSFORMS OF LENGTH MI
$M M 1=M 1$
$M M 2=M 2$
$M M 3=M 3$
MM4 $=$ M4
GOTO 20
10 GOTO(12.13.14).NF
C ORDER FACTORS FOR TRANSFORMS OF LENGTH M2
$12 \mathrm{MM1=M2}$
$M M 2=M 1$
$M M_{3}=M 3$
MM4 $=M 4$
GOTO 20
C ORDER FACTORS FOR TRANSFORMS OF LENGTH M3
13 MM1=M3
$M M 2=M 1$
MM 3=M2
MM4 $=$ M4
GOTO 20

C ORDER FACTOFS FUR TRANSFORMS OF LENGTH M4
14 MM1 $=M 4$
$M M 2=M 1$
$M M 3=M 2$
$M M 4=M 3$
C INDEXING INITIALIZATION FDR THE TRANSFORMS
20 N2 $=0$
$\mathrm{N} 3=0$
$N 4=0$
K1 = MM2*MM3*MM4
$K 2=M M 1$ *MM3*MM4
K3 = MM 1 * MM 2 *MM4
K4 = MM1 1 MM $2 *$ MM3
I(1)=0
C INPUT INDEXING ALONG ONE DIMENSION
21 DO $22 J=2, M M 1$
$I(J)=I(J=1)+K I$
IF(I(J) -LT•N) GOTO 22
I(J)=I(J) $-N$
22 CONTINUE
C TRANSFERRING DATA TO TEMPORARY VECTDRS UR AND UI
30 DO $31 \mathrm{~J}=1$, MMI
$I J=I(J)+1$
UR(J) $=X R(I J)$
31 UI(J) $=\times$ (IJ)
C TRANSFDRN UR, UI
GOTOP 50.200 .300 .400 .500 .50 .700 .800 .9001 . MM1
C PLACE RESULT DF TRANSFORM BACK IN XR AND XI 40 DO $41 \mathrm{~J}=1$, MM1
$I J=I(J)+1$
XR(IJ) $=$ UR(J)
$41 \times I(I J)=U I(J)$
C TESTING FGR COMPLETION OF THIS FACTOR'S TRANSFORMS
IF(N2 - NE•MM2-1)GDTO 5:
$\mathrm{N} 2=0$
IF(N3 •NE. MM3-1)GDTO 52
$N 3=0$
IF (N4 -NE. MM4m1)GDTU 53
$50 \mathrm{NF}=\mathrm{NF}-1$
IF (NF.EO.O) GOTO1000
GOTO 10
C INPUT INDEXING ALDNG DTHER DIMENSIONS
$5.1 \mathrm{~N} 2=\mathrm{N} 2+1$
DO $54 J=1, M M 1$
$I(J)=I(J)+K 2$
IF(I(J) -LT•N)GDTO 54
$I(J)=I(J)=N$
54 CONTINUE
GOTO 30
52 N3 $=$ N $3+1$
1(1) $=K 3 * N 3+K 4 * N 4$
1F(I(1)-LT.N)GOTO 21
$1(1)=I(1) \ln$
GOTO 21

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 53 \begin{array}{l}
N 4=N 4+1 \\
1(1)=K 4 * N 4 \\
60 T 021
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

C UNSCRAMBLING TRANSFORM RESULT 1000 1I=1
$J=1$
GOTO 1001
1002 IF(J.GT. N)GOTO 1003
II=1I+KOUT
1004 IF(II .LE. N)GOTO 1001
$11=11=0 N$
GOTO 1004
1001 A(J) $=X R(11)$
B(J) $=X$ I(II)
$J=J+1$
GOTO 1002
C 2 POINT TRANSFORM
200 URX=UR(1)+UR(2)
UIX $X=U I(1)+U I(2)$
$\operatorname{UR}(2)=\operatorname{UR}(1) m \operatorname{UR}(2)$
UI(2)=UI(1) mUI(2)
UR (1) =URX
UI(1) $=$ UIX
GOTO 40
C 3 POINT TRANSFORM
300 AR=UR(2)+UR(3)
$A I=U I(2)+U I(3)$
MRI $1=-1.5 * A R$
MI $1=-1.5 * A I$
MR2 $=0.8660254 *($ UR (2) $=2 \operatorname{UR}(3))$
MI $2=0.8660254 *(U 1(2)-U 1(3))$
$\operatorname{UR}(1)=A R+U R(1)$
UI(1) =AI+UI(1)
MRI $=$ UR( 1 ) + MRI
MII $=$ UI(1) + MII
$\operatorname{UR}(2)=M R 1-M 12$
UI (2) $=$ MII + MR2
UR (3) $=$ MR $1+M 12$
UI(3) = MIImMR2
GOTO 40
C 4 POINT TRANSFORM
400 ARI $=\operatorname{UR}(1)+U R(3)$
AIt=UI(1)+JI(3)
AR2=UR(1) $-U R(3)$
A12=U1(1)mul(3)
AR 3=UR(2)+UR(4)
AI $3=U 1(2)+U 1(4)$
AR $4=U R(2)-U R(4)$
AI $4=U I(2) \mathrm{mul}(4)$
UR(1)=AR1+AR3
UI (1)=AI1+A13
UR (2) =AR2-A14
UI (2) =A12+AR4
UR (3) = ARI mAR3

```
    UI(3)=AIImAI3
    UR(4)=AR2 +A14
    UI (4) = AI 2mAR4
    GOTO 40
    C 5 POINT TRANSFORM
        500 ARI=UR(2)+UR(5)
        AII=UI(2)+UI(5)
        AR2=UR(2) muR(5)
        AI2=UI(2)-UI(5)
        AR3=UR(3)+UR(4)
        AI 3=UI(3)+UI(4)
        AR4=UR(3) -UR(4)
        AI4=UI(3)mUI(4)
        AR5=AR1+AR3
        A!5=AII+AI3
        MR1=0.95105652*(AR2*AR4)
        MII=0.95105652*(AI2+AI4)
        MR 2=1.5388418*AR2
        MI2=1. 5388418*AI2
        MR 3=0.36327126*AR4
        M13=0.36327126*A14
        MR4=0.55901699*(AR1 -AR3)
        MI4=0.55901699*(AI1-AI3)
        MR 5=-1.25*AR5
        MI5=-1.25*A15
        UR (1)=UR(1) + AR5
        UI(1)=UI(1)+AI5
        MR5=UR(1)+MR5
        MI5=UI(1)+MI5
        ARI=MR5+MR4
        AII=MI5+MI4
        AR2=MR5=MR4
        AI2=M\5-0MI4
        AR3=MR1 -MR3
        AI3=MI1mMI3
        AR4=MR1mMR2
        A14=MII =0MI2
        UR(2)=AR:1 -A 13
        U1(2)=A11 + AR3
        UR(3)=AR2 +AI4
        UI(3)=AI2-AR4
        UR(4)=AR2-A14
        UI(4)=AI2+AR4
        UR (5)=AR1 +A1 3
        UI(5)=A11 -AR3
        GOTO 40
        C }7\mathrm{ POINT TRANSFORM
        700 ARI=UR(2)+UR(7)
        AII=UI(2)+UI(7)
        AR2=UR(2)mUR(7)
        AI2=UI(2)muI(7)
        AR3=UR(3)+UR(6)
        AI3=UI(3)+UI(6)
        AR4=UR(3)=UR(6)
```

```
AI4=UI(3)=UI(6)
ARS=UR(4)+UR(5)
AIS=UI(4)+UI(5)
AR6=UR(4) -UR(5)
AI 6=UI(4)-UI(5)
AR7=AR1+AR3+AR5
AI7=A11+AI3+A15
MR1=m1•1666667*AF:7
MI1=m1.1666667*A17
MR2=0.79015647*(AR1 صAR5)
M12=0.79015647*(AI1mA15)
MR 3=0.055854267*(AR 5*AR 3)
MI 3=0.055854267*(A15=AI3)
MR4=0.7343022*(AR3-AR1)
MI4=0.7343022*(AI3-AI1)
MR 5=0.44095855*(AR2 +AR4mAR6)
M15=0.44095855*(AI2+AI4*AI6)
MR6=0.34087293*(AR2 +AR6)
MI6=0.34087293*(AI2+A16)
MR7=00.53396936*(-AR6-AR4)
MI7=m0.53396936*(.-AI6mAI4)
MR8=0.87484 229*(AR4 =AR2)
MI8=0.87484229*(AI4**AI2)
UR(1)=UR(1)+AR7
UI(1)=UI(1)+AI7
ARI=UR(1)+MRI
AII=UI(1)+MII
AR2=AR1 +MR2 +MR3
AI2=AII+MI2+MI3
AR 3=AR1 mMR2 roMR4
AI 3=A I I mM| I m M I 4
AR4=AR1 =MR3 +MR4
AI4=AII=MI3+MI4
ARS=MRS+MR6 +MR7
AI5=MI5+MI6+MI7
AR6=MR5mMR6mMRB
AI6=MI5=M16=0M18
AR 7=MR5-0MR7 +MRB
A17=M15-MI7+MIB
UR (2)=AR2-A15
U1(2)=AI2+AR5
UR (3)=AR3vA16
UI(3)=AI 3+AR6
UR (4)=AR4+AI7
UI(4)=A14-AR7
UR(5)=AR4-AI7
U1(5)=A14+AR7
UR(6)=AR3+AI6
UI(6)=A13-AR6
UR (7) =AR2 + AI5
UI(7)=AI2-AR5
GOTO 40
```

C 8 POINT TRANSFORM 800 ARI=UR(2) $\operatorname{moUR}(8)$ AII=UI(2) mi (8) AR2=UR(2)+UR(8) AI2=UI(2)+UI(8) AR 3=UR (4) $\quad$ ( 4 ) AI 3=UI(4) $\operatorname{CUI}(6)$ AR4=UR(4)+UR(6) AI4=UI(4)+UI(6) AR 5=UR(1) muR (5) AI5=UI(1) 1 JI (5) $A R 6=U R(1)+U R(5)$ AI6=UI(1)+UI(5) $A R 7=U R(3)=U R(7)$ $A I 7=U I(3)-U I(7)$ ARB=UR(3)+UR(7) AIB=UI(3)+UI(7) MR $1=0.70710678 *($ AR1 +AR3) MI 1 $=0.70710678 *(A I 1+A I 3)$ MR2 $=0.70710678 *($ AR2 $=$ AR4) MI2 $=0.70710678 *(A 12-A 14)$ $M R 3=A R 2+A R 4$ M13=A12+A14 $M R 4=A R 6+A R 8$ MI4 $4=A 16+A 18$ MR5=AR6MAR3 MI5=A16=A18 MR6=AR1-AR3 MIG=AII-AI3 MR7=AR5+MR2
MIT=AI5+MI2
MR8=AR5-MR2
MI8=AI5mMI2
MR9=AR74MRI MI9=AI7+MII MR10=AR7 10 MR1 MI10=AI7=MI1 UR(1) $=$ MR4 + MR3 $U I(1)=M 14+M I 3$ $U R(2)=M R 7-M I 9$ UI (2) =MI7 + MR9 UR (3) $=$ MR 5 mM 16 U1 (3) $=$ MI 5 + MR6 UR(4) $=$ MR8 8 +M110 UI (4) $=$ MI $8-M R 10$ UR (5) $=$ MR $4 \operatorname{mon} 3$
UI (5) $=$ MI $4-M I 3$
UR (6) $=$ MR $8=M 110$
UI (6) $=$ MI $8+$ MRI 0
UR (7) 7 =MR5 + M 16
UI (7) =MI 5-MR6
$U R(B)=M R 7+M I 9$
UI ( $B$ ) $=$ MI 7 - MR9
GOTO 40

C 9 POINT TRANSFGRM
900 ARI=UR(2)+UR(9)
AII =UI(2) +UI(9) AR2=UR(2) $\rightarrow$ UR(9) AI2=UI(2) mil (9) AR $3=U R(3)+U R(8)$ AI 3=UI(3)+U1(8) AR4 $=$ UR(3) $\operatorname{muR}(8)$ AI $4=U I(3) \mathrm{mUI}(8)$ $A R 5=U R(5)+U R(6)$ AI 5=UI(5) +UI(6) $A R 6=U R(5) \operatorname{UR}(6)$ AI 6=UI (5) =UII (6) AR $7=$ UR (4) 4 UR ( 7 ) AI 7=UI(4)+UI(7) AR8=UR(4) muR(7) AI8=UI(4) $=$ UI (7) $A R=A R 1+A R 3+A R 5$ $A I=A I I+A I 3+A I 5$ MRI $1=00.5 * A R 7$ MI $1=-0.5 * A I 7$ MR2 $=0.8660254$ *ARB M12 $=0.8660254$ \#AI 8
 MI 3=0. $19746542 *(-A I I+A 15)$ MR 4 $=0.56857902 *(A R 1-A R 3)$ MI 4=0.56857902* (A11mA13) MR5 $=0.3711136 *(-A R 3+A R 5)$ MI5=0.3711136*(-AI3+A15) MR 6 $=0.54253179 *(A R 2$ mAR6) MI6=0.54253179* (AI2=A16) MR7 $=0.10025582 *(A R 2+A R 4)$ MI 7 $=0.10025582 *(A I 2+A I 4)$ MR8=0.44227597* (-AR4mAR6) MI 8=0.44227597* (mAI4:AI6) MR 9==1.5*AR MI 9=-1.5*AI MR10 $10.8660254 *($ AR2 $=$ AR $4+$ AR 6) MI 10 $=0.8660254 *(A I 2-A 14+A I 6)$ ARI $=U R(1)+M R 1$ AII=UI(1)+MII UR (1) $=A R+A R 7+U R(1)$ UI(1)=AI+AI7+UI(1) $A R=U R(1)+M R 9$
$A I=U I(1)+M I 9$
AR2=MR4 4 MR5
A12=MI4=MI5
AR 3 $=$ MR3 + MR4
AI $3=M I 3+M I 4$
AR 4 $=$ MR $7 \times M R 8$
AI 4=MI7 $=M$ I B
AR5=MRGOMR7
AI5=M16mMI7
$A R 6=A R 2=M R 5=M R 3+A R 1$
AI6=AI2eoMI5-MI3+AII

Figure 1. DFT implemented with convolution showing expansion
caused by more than 1 multiply per point.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A R 7=A R 3+M R 3+M R 5+A R 1 \\
& A I 7=A I 3+M I 3+M I 5+A I I \\
& A R 8=-A R 3 \cdots A R 2+A R 1 \\
& A I 8=m A I 3 \approx A I 2+A I I \\
& \text { MR } 1=M R 6 \sim M R B \\
& \text { MII=MI6-MI8 } \\
& M R 3=A R 4+M K 1+M R 2 \\
& \text { MI } 3=A 14+M I 1+M I 2 \\
& \text { MR4 } 4=A R 5+M R 1=M R 2 \\
& \text { MI4 }=A I 5+M I 1 m M 2 \\
& M R 5=A R 5=A R 4+M R 2 \\
& \text { MI5=AI5 }=A 14+M I 2 \\
& \text { UR (2) =AR6 }=\text { M13 } \\
& \text { UI (2) }=A 16+M R 3 \\
& \text { UR (3) =AR7-M14 } \\
& \text { UI (3) =AI7+MR4 } \\
& \text { UR(4) =ARaMI } 10 \\
& \text { UI (4) }=A I+M R 10 \\
& \text { UR (5) }=A R 8 \operatorname{mI} 5 \\
& \text { UI (5) }=A 18+M R 5 \\
& \text { UR (6) }=A R 8+M I 5 \\
& \text { UI (6) =AI8-MR5 } \\
& \text { UR (7) }=A R+M I 10 \\
& U I(7)=A I-M R 10 \\
& \text { UR (8) }=A R 7+M \backslash 4 \\
& \text { UI ( } 8 \text { ) =AI } 7 \text { mMR4 } \\
& \text { UR (9) =AR } 6+M 13 \\
& \text { UI (9) =A16 } 1 \text { - MR3 } \\
& \text { GOTO } 40 \\
& 1003 \text { RETURN } \\
& \text { END }
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2. Multidimensional prime factor FFT algorithm for length 15.

Figure 3. Rearrangement of operations to nest multiplications
inside of additions.


___ prime factor
$A=k C$

Additions per point

Figure 5. Multiplications and


6a. General flowchart of a prime factor FFT

Figure 6. a) General flowchart of a prime factor FFT
b) Input indexing
c) Short transforms
d) More input indexing
e) Output indexing

indicates off page connector in b) through e).

## SUBROUTINE GOODFT (XR,XI,N,M1,M2,M3,M4,NFT,KOUT , A , B)




6c. Short Transforms


6d. More Input Indexing


6e. Output Indexing

