

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2021.06.10.447990

# A putative de novo evolved gene required for spermatid chromatin condensation in Drosophila melanogaster — Source link $\square$

Emily L Rivard, Emily L Rivard, Andrew G. Ludwig, Prajal H. Patel ...+11 more authors

Institutions: Harvard University, College of the Holy Cross, University of Münster, Ohio State University ...+1 more institutions

Published on: 11 Jun 2021 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Chromatin, Comparative genomics, Spermatid, Gene and Drosophila melanogaster

Related papers:

- A putative de novo evolved gene required for spermatid chromatin condensation in Drosophila melanogaster.
- Chromosomal rearrangements as a source of new gene formation in Drosophila yakuba
- A Continuum of Evolving De Novo Genes Drives Protein-Coding Novelty in Drosophila
- On the origin of new genes in Drosophila
- Heterochromatic genes in Drosophila: a comparative analysis of two genes.



Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

1 2	A putative <i>de novo</i> evolved gene required for spermatid chromatin condensation in Drosophila melanogaster
3	, ,
4	
5	
6	Emily L. Rivard <sup>1,4,*</sup> . Andrew G. Ludwig <sup>1,*</sup> . Praial H. Patel <sup>1,*</sup> . Anna Grandchamp <sup>2</sup> . Sarah E.
7	Arnold <sup>1,5</sup> , Alina Berger <sup>2</sup> , Emilie M. Scott <sup>1</sup> , Brendan J. Kelly <sup>1,6</sup> , Grace C. Mascha <sup>1</sup> , Erich
8	Bornberg-Bauer <sup>2,3</sup> , Geoffrey D. Findlay <sup>1,**</sup>
9	
10	<ol> <li>Department of Biology, College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, MA, USA</li> </ol>
11	<ol><li>Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity, University of Münster, Münster, Germany</li></ol>
12	3. Department of Protein Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology, Tübingen,
13	Germany
14	<ol><li>Current address: Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University,</li></ol>
15	Cambridge, MA, USA
16	5. Current address: Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University,
17	Cambridge, MA, USA
18	6. Current address: Department of Entomology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA
19	
20	
21	*equal contribution
22	**Correspondence to: <u>gfindlay@holycross.edu</u>
23	
24	

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

# 25 Abstract

# 26

Comparative genomics has enabled the identification of genes that potentially evolved *de novo* from non-coding sequences. Many such genes are expressed in male reproductive tissues, but

their functions remain poorly understood. To address this, we conducted a functional genetic

30 screen of over 40 putative *de novo* genes with testis-enriched expression in *Drosophila* 

31 *melanogaster* and identified one gene, *atlas*, required for male fertility. Detailed genetic and

32 cytological analyses show that *atlas* is required for proper chromatin condensation during the

33 final stages of spermatogenesis. Atlas protein is expressed in spermatid nuclei and facilitates

34 the transition from histone- to protamine-based chromatin packaging. Complementary

35 evolutionary analyses revealed the complex evolutionary history of *atlas*. The protein-coding

36 portion of the gene likely arose at the base of the *Drosophila* genus on the X chromosome but

37 was unlikely to be essential, as it was then lost in several independent lineages. Within the last

<sup>38</sup> ~15 million years, however, the gene moved to an autosome, where it fused with a conserved

39 non-coding RNA and evolved a non-redundant role in male fertility. Altogether, this study

40 provides insight into the integration of novel genes into biological processes, the links between

41 genomic innovation and functional evolution, and the genetic control of a fundamental

42 developmental process, gametogenesis.

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

# 44 Introduction

45

The evolution of new genes is integral to the extensive genotypic and phenotypic 46 47 diversity observed across species. The best-characterized mechanism of novel gene 48 emergence is gene duplication (Zhang 2003; Lipinski et al. 2011); however, rapid expansion in 49 high-quality genomic resources has provided mounting evidence of lineage-specific sequences 50 and the existence of alternative modes of new gene origination. One such mechanism is de 51 novo evolution, the birth of new genes from previously non-genic or intronic regions, which is 52 now a widely acknowledged source of protein-coding and RNA genes (McLysaght and Hurst 53 2016; Bornberg-Bauer and Schmitz 2017; Van Oss and Carvunis 2019). Although de novo 54 origination was once considered an unlikely event, catalogs of *de novo* genes have now been 55 published for an expansive range of species (Zhao et al. 2014; Guerzoni and McLysaght 2016; 56 Li et al. 2016; Ruiz-Orera et al. 2016; Lu et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2019; Chamakura et al. 2020; 57 Puntambekar et al. 2020). Multiple models explain how protein-coding de novo genes may acquire both an open reading frame (ORF) and regulatory sequences permitting transcription 58 59 (Carvunis et al. 2012; Schlötterer 2015; Wilson et al. 2017; Schmitz et al. 2018). Interrogation of the biochemical and biophysical properties of the proteins encoded by de novo genes has 60 offered initial insight into the mechanisms of emergence and functional potential of these genes 61 (Schmitz et al. 2018; Vakirlis et al. 2018; Heames et al. 2020; Lange et al. 2021). 62 63 The capacity of protein-coding de novo genes to evolve important functions is a topic of 64 interest from evolutionary, physiological and molecular perspectives (Keeling et al. 2019). In the 65 last couple of decades, the products of *de novo* genes have been shown to play diverse roles in 66 a variety of organisms. For example, de novo genes function in fundamental molecular 67 processes in yeast, such as BSC4, a gene implicated in DNA repair, and MDF1, which mediates 68 crosstalk between reproduction and growth (Cai et al. 2008; Li et al. 2014). De novo genes also 69 evolve roles in organismal responses to disease and changing environmental factors. A 70 putatively de novo evolved gene in rice regulates the plant's pathogen resistance response to 71 strains causing bacterial blight (Xiao et al. 2009). Antifreeze glycoprotein genes, essential for 72 survival in frigid ocean temperatures, evolved *de novo* in the ancestor of Arctic codfishes to 73 coincide with cooling oceans in the Northern Hemisphere (Baalsrud et al. 2018; Zhuang et al. 74 2019). De novo genes are additionally implicated in the development and physiology of 75 mammals. In house mice, a de novo evolved gene expressed in the oviduct functions in female 76 fertility by regulating pregnancy cycles (Xie et al. 2019). A de novo gene found in humans and 77 chimpanzees regulates the oncogenesis and growth of neuroblastoma, revealing the relevance 78 of novel genes to human disease (Suenaga et al. 2014). These studies have started to 79 demonstrate the significance of *de novo* genes, thereby challenging previous assumptions that 80 only ancient, highly conserved genes can be essential. Across multicellular animals, male reproductive tissues serve as hubs for new gene 81 82 emergence via numerous mechanisms, including *de novo* evolution (Margues et al. 2005; 83 Levine et al. 2006; Begun et al. 2007; Kaessmann 2010; Baker et al. 2012; Cui et al. 2015; Ruiz-Orera et al. 2016). Proposed causes of this "out of the testis" phenomenon include the 84 85 high level of promiscuous transcription in testis cells (Soumillon et al. 2013; Necsulea and

Kaessmann 2014), the relative simplicity of promoter regions driving expression in the testis
 (Sorourian et al. 2014), and preferential retention of novel genes with male-biased expression

88 (Palmieri et al. 2014). Sexual selection also drives rapid evolution of reproductive proteins

89 (Wilburn and Swanson 2016) and could drive the emergence of new genes as a mechanism of 90 improving male reproductive ability (Levine et al. 2006). The testis-biased expression of novel

genes, combined with growing evidence for new genes acting across a variety of tissue

92 contexts, suggests that many novel genes may function in male reproduction. For example, a

pair of young duplicate genes in *Drosophila, apollo* and *artemis,* are essential for male and

94 female fertility, respectively (VanKuren and Long 2018). Continued efforts to identify and

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

4

characterize testis-expressed novel genes are consequently critical for understanding the
 genetic basis of male reproductive phenotypes.

Drosophila serves as an ideal system for interrogating the prevalence, sequence 97 98 attributes, expression patterns, and functions of testis-expressed de novo genes. The 99 availability of well-annotated genomes for numerous Drosophila species, the tractability of flies 100 to molecular genetics techniques, and our thorough understanding of Drosophila reproductive 101 processes facilitate comprehensive analyses of novel fly reproductive proteins (Demarco et al. 102 2014; Hales et al. 2015). As observed in other biological systems, Drosophila de novo genes 103 retained by selection demonstrate enriched expression in the testis (Levine et al. 2006; Begun et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2014; Heames et al. 2020). The expression patterns of emerging de 104 novo genes in the Drosophila testis were recently analyzed at single cell resolution (Witt et al. 105 106 2019), thereby providing insight into the dynamics of novel gene expression throughout 107 spermatogenesis. In addition to bioinformatic screens that have started to identify de novo genes and large-scale expression analyses of testis-expressed genes, RNAi (Reinhardt et al. 108 2013) and CRISPR/Cas9-based (Kondo et al. 2017) functional screens have identified putative. 109 testis-expressed de novo genes required for fertility. However, a need remains for in-depth 110 experimental and evolutionary characterization of the genes identified in such screens. Detailed 111 112 examination of the function of *de novo* proteins will enable us to understand how these proteins 113 might integrate into existing gene networks and become essential.

114 We previously conducted a pilot functional screen of *de novo* genes with testis-enriched 115 expression in *D. melanogaster* and identified two novel genes, goddard and saturn, that are required for full fertility (Gubala et al. 2017). Goddard knockdown males failed to produce any 116 117 sperm. Saturn knockdown males produced fewer sperm, which were inefficient at migrating to 118 female sperm storage organs. Subsequent characterization of Goddard using null deletion 119 alleles and a biochemically tagged rescue construct showed that the protein localizes to 120 elongating sperm axonemes and that, in its absence, individualization complexes associate less 121 efficiently with spermatid nuclei and do not successfully progress along sperm tails (Lange et al. 122 2021). These data suggested that putative de novo genes can evolve essential roles in a 123 rapidly evolving reproductive process, spermatogenesis,

Here, we expand this functional screen by evaluating whether any of 42 putative de novo 124 125 genes that show testis-enriched expression in *D. melanogaster* are required for male fertility. 126 We identified one gene, which we named *atlas*, whose knockdown or knockout results in nearly 127 complete male sterility. We show that atlas encodes a transition protein that facilitates 128 spermatid chromatin condensation. The atlas gene in D. melanogaster arose when a likely de 129 novo evolved protein-coding sequence moved off of the X chromosome and was inserted 130 upstream of a well-conserved non-coding RNA. While the *atlas* protein-coding sequence has 131 undergone multiple, independent gene loss events since its apparent origin at the base of the 132 Drosophila genus, the gene has evolved a critical function in *D. melanogaster*. These results 133 underscore the importance of detailed functional and evolutionary characterization in 134 understanding the origins of new protein-coding genes and the selective forces that affect their 135 subsequent evolution.

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

#### 5

# 137 **Results**

138

139 An RNAi screen identifies a putative de novo gene essential for Drosophila male fertility 140

141 A previous pilot screen of 11 putative *de novo* evolved, testis-expressed genes identified 142 two genes that are critical for male fertility in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Gubala et al. 2017). 143 This result, and other recent work (e.g., Abrusán 2013; Zhang et al. 2015; VanKuren and Long 144 2018), suggested that lineage-specific, newly evolved genes can rapidly become important for 145 fertility, perhaps by gaining interactions with existing protein networks. To determine more comprehensively the frequency with which potential de novo evolved genes become essential 146 147 for fertility, we identified de novo or putative de novo evolved genes with testis-biased 148 expression. A previous computational analysis identified genes that are detectable only within 149 the Drosophila genus, lack identifiable protein domains, and show no homology to other known 150 proteins through BLASTP and TBLASTN searches (Heames et al. 2020). We filtered these genes to identify those expressed exclusively or predominantly in the testis, a common site of 151 152 de novo gene expression in animal species (Begun et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2011; Palmieri et al. 2014; Xie et al. 2019). This resulted in a set of 96 target genes. 153

154



155 156 Figure 1. An RNAi screen of putative de novo genes identifies CG13541 as a major contributor to 157 Drosophila melanogaster male fertility. A) All RNAi lines that showed at least partial knockdown of the 158 target gene were screened in group fertility assays (see Materials and Methods). Relative fertility was 159 calculated by dividing the average number of progeny produced per female mated to knockdown males 160 by the average number of progeny produced per female mated to control males in a contemporaneous 161 experiment. Relative fertility measurements lack error bars because each gene was tested in only 1-2 162 replicates. Knockdown of goddard was used as a positive control. B) A single-mating, single-pair fertility assay confirms the observed defect when males are knocked down for CG13541, as knockdown males 163 164 showed significantly reduced fertility (control fertility (mean ± SEM): 109.0 ± 5.3; knockdown fertility: 0.2 ± 165 0.1; two-sample *t*-test assuming unequal variances,  $p = 5.6 \times 10^{-13}$ ).

166

167 We used testis-specific RNA interference to screen these genes for roles in male fertility. We obtained RNAi lines from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC) and the 168 Transgenic RNAi Project (TRiP) and constructed additional lines using the TRiP-style 169 170 pValium20 vector (Ni et al. 2011), which is optimized for male germline expression. We obtained and tested an RNAi line for 57 genes and induced knockdown for each with the Bam-171 172 GAL4 driver, which is expressed in the male germline, and enhanced with a copy of UAS-173 Dicer2. RT-PCR confirmed at least partial knockdown in lines representing 42 genes (see 174 example in Fig. S1). We then screened knockdown males for fertility by allowing groups of 7 175 knockdown males to mate with 5 wild-type females for 2 days. Progeny counts were

176 standardized to the number of progeny produced by concurrently mated groups of 7 control

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

6

males and 5 wild-type females. The results are shown in Fig. 1A. This initial screen identified *CG13541*, whose knockdown severely reduced male fertility. We confirmed the result for *CG13541* by performing single-pair mating fertility assays (Fig. 1B). Knockdown of *CG43072*and *CG33284* also showed consistent fertility defects, but these results did not replicate upon
testing with CRISPR-generated null alleles for each gene, so we do not consider them further
here. Consistent with our previous convention of naming testis-expressed genes after American
rocketry (Gubala et al. 2017), we will from here on refer to *CG13541* as *atlas*.

185 186

# CRISPR-mediated gene mutation validates atlas RNAi results

187 We validated the observed fertility defect by using CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing 188 to construct putative loss-of-function alleles for atlas (Fig. S2). The principal allele we used for 189 validation and further functional studies (described below) was a null allele that completely 190 deleted atlas from the genome. This allele was generated by targeting each end of the locus with a gRNA. We made three additional frameshift alleles by inducing double-stranded breaks 191 192 at a gRNA target site just downstream of the atlas start codon, which induced non-homologous end joining. Males homozygous for the atlas deletion allele have the same fertility defect as 193 194 knockdown males (Fig. 2A). Males homozygous for any of three frameshift alleles showed 195 significantly reduced, but non-zero, fertility (Fig. 2B). It is possible that residual atlas function 196 may be present in these animals, perhaps due to translation initiation at a downstream start 197 codon to create a shorter protein with partial function. Finally, we constructed a genomic rescue 198 construct carrying both the atlas coding region and its native regulatory sequences. Atlas null 199 males that carried a single copy of the rescue construct had fully restored fertility (Fig. S3). 200 Overall, these data demonstrate that atlas loss, and not an RNAi or CRISPR off-target, causes 201 nearly complete male sterility.

202



203 204

205 Figure 2. CRISPR-generated deletion and frameshift alleles of *atlas* confirm the gene's

requirement for male fertility. A) Single-pair fertility assay for males homozygous for the null ( $\Delta at/as$ ) 206 207 allele or heterozygous controls ( $\Delta at/as/SM6$ ). Flies homozygous for the deletion had significantly reduced 208 fertility (control fertility: 82.9 ± 4.5; null fertility: 0.3 ± 0.6; two-sample t-test assuming unequal variances, p 209 =  $5.4 \times 10^{-18}$ ). B) Single-pair fertility assays for males homozygous or heterozygous for three frameshift 210 alleles of atlas generated by imprecise non-homologous end joining at a CRSIRP/Cas9 target site just downstream of the start codon: atlas<sup>52</sup> (control fertility: 104.7 ± 3.8, mutant fertility: 11.2 ± 4.6; two-sample 211 *t*-test assuming unequal variances:  $p = 8 \times 10^{-12}$ , *atlas*<sup>62</sup> (control fertility: 96.2 ± 5.7; mutant fertility: 8.4 ± 212 213 2.9; two-sample *t*-test assuming unequal variances:  $p = 6.1 \times 10^{-10}$  and *atlas*<sup>86</sup> (control fertility: 67.5 ± 6.6; 214 mutant fertility: 17.3 ± 5.8; two-sample *t*-test assuming unequal variances:  $p = 9.5 \times 10^{-6}$ ).

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

#### 216 Atlas is required for proper spermatid nuclear condensation

217

218 We next examined how atlas loss of function impacted male fertility at the cellular level. 219 Dissection and phase-contrast imaging of atlas deletion null or knockdown male reproductive tracts revealed that while the pre-meiotic and meiotic stages of spermatogenesis appeared 220 221 normal, sperm accumulated at the basal end of the testes, rather than in the seminal vesicles 222 (SVs), over the first week of adulthood (Fig. 3A and Fig. S4). To further characterize the fertility 223 defects in the absence of atlas, we examined the Mst35Bb-GFP ("protamine"-GFP) marker in 224 null or knockdown backgrounds (Manier et al. 2010). Mst35Bb encodes one of two protamine-225 like proteins (highly similar paralogs of each other) that bind DNA in mature sperm. Its GFP 226 fusion construct thus allows visualization of nuclei in late stage spermiogenesis and mature 227 sperm. Consistent with the observed conglomeration of sperm tails at the basal testes, SVs 228 from either atlas null or knockdown males contain fewer mature sperm (Fig. 3B, S4C). The nuclei of sperm from null males also appeared wider and less elongated than those of controls. 229 Together, these data suggest that atlas is required after meiosis, as developing spermatids take 230 231 on their final structures.

232 We next examined two post-meiotic processes: individualization of 64-cell spermatid 233 cysts into mature sperm, and spermatid nuclear condensation. Individualization initiates when 234 an actin-rich individualization complex (IC) associates with the bundle of spermatid nuclei. The 235 IC then proceeds down the sperm tails, expelling cytoplasmic waste and remodeling cell 236 membranes to form 64 individual sperm. We visualized this process in males 0-1 days old. 237 when spermatogenesis occurs at high levels, by staining whole mount testes for actin (Fig. 3C-238 D). Although ICs could associate with nuclear bundles present at the basal end of the testes in 239 both control and atlas null males, we observed significantly fewer nuclear bundle-associated ICs 240 in nulls (Fig. 3C-D). While control testes typically had several ICs progressing down sperm tails, 241 we saw a significantly reduced proportion of progressed bundles in nulls (Fig. 3C-D). In some 242 null testes, we also observed individual investment cones dissociated away from progressing 243 ICs (Fia. 3C).

244 The ability of ICs to assemble at nuclear bundles and progress down sperm tails may be 245 reduced if nuclear condensation is aberrant (reviewed in Steinhauer 2015). During Drosophila 246 spermiogenesis, round spermatid nuclei undergo a series of stepwise, morphological changes 247 that are the product of two distinct, but related processes: changes in the chromatin packaging 248 of DNA, and changes in nuclear shape (Rathke et al. 2007; Fabian and Brill 2012; Rathke et al. 249 2014). The end result is thin, condensed nuclei. We quantified this process in testes dissected from newly eclosed wild-type and atlas null males expressing Mst35Bb-GFP, which marks the 250 251 final stages of condensation. We shredded the post-meiotic region of the testes in the presence 252 of a fixative and counted the number of nuclear bundles that exhibited each of five stages of condensation (Rathke et al. 2007); round nuclei, early cance-stage (unmarked with Mst35Bb-253 254 GFP), late canoe-stage (marked with Mst35Bb-GFP), elongated nuclei, and fully condensed 255 nuclei (Fig. 4). Condensation of the nuclear bundles in atlas null testes progressed at similar 256 rates to controls through the late canoe stage (Table S1). However, in atlas null males, all 257 nuclear bundles that progressed past the canoe stage (which included ~60% [range: 26-100%] 258 of all observed bundles) showed an aberrant "curled" phenotype (Fig. 4; Table S1). These data 259 suggest that Atlas protein is required during the later stages of nuclear condensation and are consistent with the idea that the loss of atlas affects nuclear shape in a way that reduces IC 260 261 assembly and sperm individualization (see Fig. 3C).

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.







264 265 Figure 3. Cytological investigations of the atlas mutant fertility defect. A) Phase contrast microscopy of male reproductive tracts dissected from 7-day-old, unmated control ( $w^{1118}$ ) or atlas null 266 267 males. Control males show the expected accumulation of sperm in the seminal vesicle (SV), which 268 appears here as a darker brown shading, while null male have an aberrant accumulation of sperm tails at 269 the basal end of the testis (T). B) Visualization of Mst35Bb-GFP in 4-day old control and atlas null testes. 270 While Mst35Bb is expressed in spermatid nuclei in the absence of atlas, the nuclei appear shorter and 271 much less numerous in the outlined SV. C) Representative images from phalloidin staining of  $w^{1118}$  and 272 atlas null testes used to assess the association of individualization complexes (ICs) with nuclear bundles 273 and the progression of ICs down the length of sperm tails. D) At top, number of nuclear bundles with ICs 274 associated in control and atlas null testes. Significantly more ICs were observed in control testes (control: 275 N = 17, median = 14; mutant: N = 13, median = 7; Wilcoxon rank-sum test W = 34, p = 0.0014). At 276 bottom, proportion of all observed ICs that were intact and that had progressed away from nuclear 277 bundles. Three mutant testes with no observed ICs were excluded from the analysis. A significantly 278 higher proportion of ICs progressed in control testes (control: N = 17, median = 0.27; control: N = 10, 279 median = 0; Wilcoxon rank-sum test W = 28, p = 0.0038).

- 280
- 281

#### Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.



282

289

**Figure 4.** *Atlas* null males show aberrant nuclear shaping at and beyond the elongated stage of spermatid nuclear condensation. Early and late cance stages were distinguished by the absence or presence of Mst35Bb-GFP, respectively. Elongated and condensed stages were distinguished by the presence or absence of GFP-positive puncta, respectively. As shown in Table S1, nuclear bundles from *atlas* null testes consistently took on a curved shape after the cance stage, though the degree of curvature was variable, as exemplified above.

290 That condensing spermatid nuclei are misshapen in the absence of atlas suggests the 291 possibility that Atlas protein is critical for nuclear condensation. This idea is further supported 292 by its predicted biochemical properties. Previously characterized spermatid chromatin binding 293 proteins are small and highly basic (Jayaramaiah Raja and Renkawitz-Pohl 2005; Rathke et al. 294 2007; Kanippayoor et al. 2013), as the excess of positively charged amino acid side chains 295 facilitates ionic interactions with negatively charged DNA. Many such proteins (i.e., Tpl94D, 296 Mst35Ba, Mst35Bb, Prtl99C and Mst77F) also contain a conserved protein domain, the high-297 mobility-group box (HMG-box) domain (Dorus et al. 2008; Alvi et al. 2013; Rathke et al. 2014; 298 Eren-Ghiani et al. 2015; Gärtner et al. 2015; Alvi et al. 2016; Gärtner et al. 2019), suggesting 299 that this variety of chromatin binding proteins could have originated through gene duplication 300 and divergence. Consistent with its putative de novo origin, Atlas lacks a detectable HMG-box 301 domain. However, Atlas is otherwise similar to these other sperm chromatin binding proteins: 302 the ~20 kDa protein has a highly basic predicted isoelectric point of 10.7, and its primary 303 sequence contains the sequence KRDK, which matches the canonical consensus sequence for nuclear import, K(K/R)X(K/R) (Lange et al. 2007). To test the hypothesis that Atlas is nuclear 304 305 localized, and could thus bind DNA, we generated an atlas-GFP transgene under UAS control

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

10

and expressed it ubiquitously using *tubulin*-GAL4 and in the early male germline using *Bam*-GAL4. In both larval salivary glands and early male germline cells, atlas-GFP appeared to be

308 nuclear localized (Fig. S5).

309 While these results were consistent with Atlas protein localizing to the nucleus, they did 310 not allow us to visualize Atlas in the cells in which it is normally expressed. To do so, we used CRISPR/Cas9-induced homology directed repair (https://flycrispr.org/scarless-gene-editing/) 311 312 (Bruckner et al. 2017; Bier et al. 2018; Hill et al. 2019) to create an atlas-GFP fusion at the endogenous atlas locus (see Fig. S6 and Materials and Methods). We first confirmed the 313 314 functionality of the knock-in allele by showing that males with the *atlas* locus genotype *atlas*-GFP/ $\Delta at/as$  had equivalent fertility to males of genotype  $at/as + \Delta at/as$  (Fig. 5A). We then 315 316 visualized Atlas-GFP fusion protein in whole-mount testes in conjunction with phalloidin-stained actin (Fig. 5C). Atlas-GFP was absent from seminal vesicles, consistent with its absence from 317 318 the proteome of mature *D. melanogaster* sperm (Dorus et al. 2006; Wasbrough et al. 2010). 319 Instead, Atlas-GFP colocalized with condensing nuclear bundles near the basal end of the 320 testes (Fig. 5C). Actin-based ICs were also observed in the basal testes, but generally did not 321 co-localize with Atlas-GFP, suggesting that Atlas-GFP is present in condensing nuclei before IC 322 association (Fig. 5C). This result, taken together with the aberrant nuclear condensation in the 323 absence of atlas (Fig. 4), is consistent with the idea that Atlas is a transition protein. Transition 324 proteins are chromatin components that act transiently during spermatid nuclear condensation. 325 A series of transition proteins first replace histones as the primary DNA binding proteins in the nucleus and then give way to protamines, the proteins that package chromatin in mature sperm 326 327 (Rathke et al. 2007; Rathke et al. 2014; Gärtner et al. 2015). 328 To further elucidate the role of atlas in nuclear condensation, we next examined Atlas-329 GFP localization in the presence of either an early spermatid nuclear marker, histone H2Av-

GFP localization in the presence of either an early spermatid nuclear marker, histone H2Av RFP (Schuh et al. 2007; Rathke et al. 2014), or Mst35Bb-dsRed (Manier et al. 2010), a marker
 of nuclei from the late canoe stage through final condensation. Atlas-GFP showed no co localization with H2Av-RFP, suggesting that Atlas functions after histone removal (Fig. 5B). In
 contrast, some GFP-positive bundles co-localized with Mst35Bb-dsRed, but others did not (Fig.
 5D). These data suggest that Atlas may be one of the final transition proteins used in nuclear
 condensation before the chromatin becomes fully condensed with protamines.



337 338

339 Figure 5. An atlas-GFP allele generated at the endogenous atlas locus rescues the fertility defect 340 of atlas null flies and encodes a protein that localizes to condensing spermatid nuclei. A) A single 341 copy of the *atlas*-GFP allele completely rescues the fertility defect caused by the  $\Delta atlas$  allele and shows 342 equivalent fertility to males heterozygous for the wild-type atlas allele ( $\Delta atlas/atlas$ -GFP fertility: 86.0 ± 343 3.2:  $\Delta at/as/+$  fertility: 84.9 ± 4.1: two-sample t-test assuming unequal variances.  $\rho = 0.85$ ). B) Atlas-GFP 344 does not co-localize with histone H2Av-RFP, a marker of the initial stages of spermatid nuclear 345 condensation. C) Visualization of Atlas-GFP in whole-mount testes from atlas-GFP homozygotes shows 346 that the fusion protein co-localizes with a subset of condensing spermatid nuclear bundles. While actin 347 associates with fully condensed nuclei at the basal testis, Atlas-GFP does not overlap and is also absent 348 from the seminal vesicle. Some Atlas-GFP is observed near progressing individualization complexes 349 toward the apical testis in the merged image (marked with asterisks; see also Fig. 6D). D) Atlas-GFP 350 partially colocalizes with Mst35Bb-dsRed, a marker of the final stage of nuclear condensation. Open 351 arrow: example of co-localization. Filled arrowhead: example of Atlas-GFP that does not co-localize with 352 Mst35Bb-dsRed. Collectively, these data suggest that atlas may serve as a transition protein involved in 353 the final stages of nuclear condensation.

354

To determine the stage(s) of nuclear condensation at which *atlas* functions, we analyzed the shape of fixed nuclear bundles from shredded testes isolated from *atlas*-GFP males on the day of eclosion. Based on the stage of the defect in *atlas* null males (Fig. 3-4) and the pattern of Atlas-GFP-positive bundles in whole-mount testes (Fig. 5), we hypothesized that Atlas-GFP would localize to the later stages of nuclear condensation. Consistent with this hypothesis, we

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

360 did not detect Atlas-GFP in round or early canoe stage bundles (Fig. 6A-B). Atlas-GFP colocalized with DNA in late canoe stage bundles (Fig. 6C). Interestingly, when nuclei elongated 361 362 further, GFP was detected not in the nucleus, but as puncta basal to the nuclei (Fig. 6D; see 363 also Fig. 5C). Since Atlas-GFP is not observed in mature sperm in the SV (Fig. 5C), these data suggest that Atlas may function as a transition protein that facilitates the condensation of 364 spermatid nuclei from histone-based DNA packaging to protamine-like-based DNA packaging 365 (Rathke et al. 2007) and is then removed from nuclei once protamines bind DNA. Indeed, the 366 appearance of Atlas in nuclei during the late canoe stage of condensation is similar to the 367 368 pattern observed for a previously characterized transition protein, TpI94D (Rathke et al. 2007). We hypothesize that the failure of *atlas* null sperm to form needle-like nuclei can be explained 369 370 by the absence of Atlas from the late canoe nucleus. It is also possible that the apparent 371 removal of Atlas-GFP from nuclei (Fig. 6D) represents a mechanism for removing transition 372 proteins from the nucleus after they exert their functions. We observed above that some 373 Mst35Bb-GFP also appears to be removed from the nucleus in puncta during the elongation stage of nuclear condensation (see elongated stage of control nuclear bundles, Fig. 4), even 374 375 though other Mst35Bb-GFP molecules ultimately package DNA in mature, individualized sperm. 376 This could occur if Mst35Bb-GFP is present in excess of what is needed to package DNA. 377



378 379

Figure 6. Atlas-GFP is present in late canoe-stage spermatid nuclei and then appears to leave the nucleus in puncta. Staging of condensing spermatid nuclei fixed in paraformaldehyde from *atlas*-GFP males stained with TO-PRO-3 DNA stain. Atlas-GFP is not detectable in (A) round stage or (B) early canoe stage nuclei. Atlas-GFP is nuclear localized in the late canoe stage (C). When nuclei become fully elongated (D), puncta of Atlas-GFP appear to be removed from the nucleus.

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

### 387 Evolutionary origins of atlas

388

389 To better understand the evolutionary origin of *atlas* and its evolution since emergence, 390 we used a combination of BLAST- and synteny-based approaches to identify atlas orthologs throughout the genus (Gubala et al. 2017; Rele et al. 2020). One notable feature of this two-391 exon gene is that the protein-coding region (519 nucleotides) is contained entirely within the first 392 393 exon (622 nt); the longer, second exon (910 nt) appears to be entirely non-coding (Fig. 7). 394 Surprisingly, the second exon is more widely conserved. BLASTN detected significant matches 395 to this region (range of hit length: 185-864 nt) in the same genomic location on Muller element C 396 (see Schaeffer et al. 2008 for explanation of Muller elements), as assessed by synteny, in all Drosophila species examined, including distantly related species such as D. virilis and D. 397 398 grimshawi (Fig. 7 and S7). The protein-coding first exon showed a more limited phylogenetic 399 distribution. In most members of the *melanogaster* group of *Drosophila* (gray box in Fig. 7), this exon is found in a conserved position, adjacent to the non-coding region on the equivalent of D. 400 melanogaster chromosome 2R (Table S2). In D. ananassae, however, the protein-coding 401 region is found on the X chromosome (Muller element A). A putative ortholog for the protein-402 coding sequence is detectable by BLASTP in a partially syntenic region on the same Muller 403 404 element in *D. virilis* (Table S2, Fig. S8). These data suggest that the *atlas* protein-coding sequence initially arose on Muller element A and then moved to Muller element C, giving rise to 405 406 the gene structure observed in extant D. melanogaster and its sister species.





408

- 409 Figure 7. Molecular evolution and gene expression of atlas across the Drosophila genus. The
- 410 gene structure of *atlas* in *D. melanogaster* is shown at top left. The predicted protein-coding sequence is 411 contained entirely within exon 1, while exon 2 encodes the presumed 3' UTR. The gene is located on 412 chromosome 2R, equivalent to Muller element C. The phylogeny shows BLAST- and synteny-based 413 detection of sequences orthologous to the protein-coding sequence and the 3' UTR sequence across 414 *Drosophila* species. Sex-specific adult RNA-seq data were used to assess male expression across 415 species, with RT-PCR verification performed in species marked with asterisks. RNA-seq data for the 416 syntenic region of the 3' UTR in *D. virilis* were ambiguous; see Figs. S7 and S9.
- 417

To confirm the lack of *atlas* protein-coding sequences identifiable by BLASTP or TBLASTN in most non-*melanogaster* group species, we identified the regions syntenic to those containing *atlas* in *D. ananassae* and *D. virilis* in 11 additional *Drosophila* species and used

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

14

421 more sensitive methods to search for potential orthologs (Rele et al. 2020). Specifically, we: a) 422 relaxed the BLAST cut-offs for detection, since default parameters can cause false-negative 423 results when searching for potential *de novo* genes in divergent species (Weisman et al. 2020); 424 b) used adult male RNA-seg data to detect transcribed areas within each syntenic region that 425 did not match annotated genes; and, c) predicted the isoelectric point of the potential proteins encoded, under the hypothesis that Atlas orthologs would have conserved, DNA-binding 426 427 functions. The results are summarized in Fig. S8 and Table S2. These searches detected no evidence for atlas orthologs in the following Drosophila species: obscura, miranda, willistoni, 428 429 hydei, arizonae, mojavensis, navojoa, and grimshawi. Some of these species had unannotated, 430 male-expressed transcripts in the regions syntenic to the Muller A location of atlas in D. 431 ananassae and D. virilis, but when each was compared with BLASTP to the D. melanogaster 432 proteome, all matched proteins other than Atlas, suggesting they may be lineage-specific 433 paralogs of other genes (Fig. S8). In sister species D. pseudoobscura and D. persimilis, we detected a male-expressed transcript predicted to encode a protein with a pl > 10 in the region 434 syntenic to the location of atlas in D. virilis, but the predicted protein sequences showed no 435 significant BLASTP similarity to atlas orthologs (Table S2). While this predicted protein may 436 represent a divergent atlas ortholog, the abSENSE method predicts low probabilities of BLASTP 437 438 detection failure when searching for Atlas protein in these species (0.02 and 0.04, respectively). 439 so we favor the hypothesis of a lineage-specific, newly evolved gene in the region. Conversely, 440 in D. busckii, we detected, in the region syntenic to the D. virilis atlas locus, a male-expressed 441 transcript predicted to encode a protein with significant BLASTP identity to D. melanogaster 442 Atlas (e = 4e-8), but with a predicted pl of 5.1 and a ~50 percent shorter open-reading frame 443 (Table S2). The ortholog status of this predicted protein is also unclear, but because of its 444 dramatically altered size and pl, it is unlikely to have a functional role equivalent to that of D. 445 melanogaster Atlas.

To investigate whether the protein-coding region may have reproductive functions in 446 447 other species, we used sex-specific RNA-seq data from numerous Drosophila species curated 448 by the Genomics Education Partnership (Rele et al. 2020; thegep.org) and verified several of these results by RT-PCR (Fig. 7, Fig. S9). In all species in which atlas was detected, the 449 450 protein-coding region was expressed specifically in males regardless of its genomic location 451 (Fig. 7). Interestingly, the non-coding region showed male-specific expression in species 452 lacking an unambiguous, orthologous coding region, such as D. pseudoobscura and D. 453 mojavensis. Conversely, while D. yakuba and D. erecta express the protein-coding region 454 robustly, we found no RNA-seq evidence to support expression of the non-coding second exon, 455 in spite of its sequence conservation (Fig. S7). Based on its high level of sequence 456 conservation, consistent genomic location and expression in a variety of species, it is possible 457 that what we now consider to be the 3' untranslated region of atlas from D. melanogaster was, 458 ancestrally, a non-coding RNA.

459 The FlyBase database reports two transcript isoforms of atlas in D. melanogaster: the atlas-RA isoform is 986 nucleotides, while the atlas-RB isoform is 1528 nt. These isoforms 460 461 differ in how much of the second, non-coding exon is included in the transcript. We used RT-PCR of whole male cDNA to assess the presence of these isoforms and their relative 462 abundances. Primers designed to amplify a region present in both isoforms produced products 463 464 that appeared more abundant than primers designed to amplify only the long isoform, even though both primer pairs appeared to amplify genomic DNA with equal efficiency. Based on 465 RT-PCR band intensities and controlling for product size and genomic PCR band intensities, we 466 467 estimated that the short isoform is about 3-fold more abundant. This difference in abundance is mirrored in available RNA-seg data, which show approximately 3- to 4-fold higher levels of 468 469 expression in the upstream part of exon 2 (Fig. S7), a pattern that also appears in D. simulans 470 and *D. sechellia*. Evaluating the potential significance of this finding awaits functional characterization of the non-coding region. 471

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

15

472 As we have observed for other putative *de novo* genes with essential male reproductive 473 functions (Gubala et al. 2017), the pattern of atlas protein-coding sequence presence/absence 474 across the phylogeny is difficult to explain parsimoniously. If we assume that gene birth events 475 are less frequent than gene deaths, since the latter can occur through many possible mutational 476 events and can happen separately along multiple phylogenetic lineages, our data support the 477 hypothesis of a single origin of the protein-coding sequence at the base of the genus, followed 478 by independent loss events on the lineages leading to D. grimshawi, D. mojavensis and D. 479 willistoni, and potentially also D. pseudoobscura/persimilis (Table S2). We summarize these 480 findings for 12 representative species of *Drosophila* in Fig. 7. The general patterns of loss do 481 not change when all species of Table S2 are considered, though an additional loss in the melanogaster group is likely due to the absence of a detectable ortholog in D. kikkiwai and D. 482 483 serrata. As noted above, the pattern of gene loss can also appear due to orthology detection 484 failure (Weisman et al. 2020), for which we tried to account with our additional search methods described above. We also note, however, that the probability of BLASTP-based ortholog 485 detection failure is relatively low for some Drosophila species that lack atlas, including D. 486 487 pseudoobscura (probability of non-detection due to divergence = 0.02), D. persimilis (p = 0.04) and *D. willistoni* (p = 0.06). The probability is higher for other species, *D. mojavensis* (p = 0.33) 488 489 and *D. grimshawi* (p = 0.66), underscoring the importance of our additional search strategies. 490 Overall, our data support the hypothesis of multiple, independent loss events within Drosophila. 491 AbSENSE produces a 1.00 probability of BLASTP-based atlas ortholog detection failure 492 outside of Drosophila, reflecting the protein's short length and relatively rapid divergence (see 493 below). Indeed, the protein-coding and non-coding transcriptomes from each species showed 494 no matches to Atlas protein or cDNA sequences by BLAST. We thus used another synteny-495 based approach, summarized in Fig. S10, to look for the protein-coding gene in other Dipterans 496 with well-resolved genomes: Musca domestica, Glossina morsitans, Lucilia cuprina, Aedes 497 aegypti, Anopheles darlingi, Anopheles gambiae, Culex guinguefasciatus and Mayetiola 498 destructor. In none of these species was a putative homolog found in any potential syntenic 499 reaion. 500 Recognizing the limitation of even this approach, we also used HMMER (Potter et al. 501 2018) to search iteratively either all genomes in ENSEMBL, or all metazoan genomes in 502 ENSEMBL, for annotated proteins with identity to Atlas from *D. melanogaster* or *D. virilis*. 503 These searches initially identified significant hits to the Atlas orthologs we identified above from 504 other Drosophila species. When these collections of orthologs were used as queries, no further 505 proteins outside of Drosophila were a significant match. As a control, we performed the same

search strategy with *D. melanogaster* Mst35Bb, a protein whose length, amino acid
composition, and function are similar to Atlas. These searches readily identified orthologs
throughout Diptera, consistent with predictions of its conservation from the OrthoDB database
(Zdobnov et al. 2017). Thus, we conclude that *atlas* is a putative *de novo* evolved gene that is
limited phylogenetically to the *Drosophila* genus.

Finally, we used standard tests of molecular evolution to examine the selective 511 512 pressures that have shaped Atlas protein within the *melanogaster* group. We aligned the *atlas* 513 protein-coding sequences from 12 species and used PAML to ask whether a model (M8 514 allowing for positive selection, as well as neutral evolution and purifying selection, explained the 515 data better than models (M7 and M8a) that allowed only neutral evolution and purifying selection (Yang 2007; McGeary and Findlay 2020). These data showed that while the atlas 516 protein-coding sequence's rate of evolution was accelerated relative to most Drosophila proteins 517 (whole-gene estimated  $d_N/d_S$ ,  $\omega = 0.41$  by PAML model M0), there was no significant evidence 518 519 for positive selection acting to recurrently diversify a subset of sites within the protein (Table 2). 520

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

16

# 522 **Table 2. PAML sites tests for positive selection acting on atlas in the** *melanogaster* 523 group.

- 524
- 525 Model M0 (uniform w across all sites):  $\omega = 0.41$ , ln L = -4032.24, np = 23
- 526 Model M7 (10 site classes, each with  $0 \le \omega \le 1$ ): ln L = -3961.28, np = 24
- 527 Model M8 (10 site classes as in M7, plus one class with  $\omega \ge 1$ ): ln L = -3960.41, np = 26,  $\omega$  for
- 528 extra class of sites = 1.39 (9.1% of sites)
- 529 Model M8a (10 site classes as in M7, plus one class with  $\omega$  = 1): ln L = -3961.02, np = 25,
- 530 16.6% of sites in the  $\omega$  = 1 class
- 531
- 532 M7 vs. M8 likelihood ratio test:  $\chi^2$  = 1.74, df = 2, *p* = 0.42
- 533 M8 vs. M8a likelihood ratio test:  $\chi^2 = 1.22$ , df = 1, p = 0.27
- 534
- 535
- 536

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

# 537 Discussion

538

539 Across taxa, many *de novo* evolved genes are expressed in the male reproductive 540 system (Levine et al. 2006; Begun et al. 2007; Cui et al. 2015; Ruiz-Orera et al. 2016). 541 Identifying those genes that have evolved essential roles in reproduction will provide insight into 542 how newly evolved genes integrate with existing cellular networks (Abrusán 2013) and how 543 evolutionary novelties permit adaptation in the face of sexual selection. Here, we screened 42 544 putatively de novo evolved genes for major effects on male D. melanogaster reproduction. Our primary screen identified three genes whose knockdown caused an apparent reduction in male 545 fertility. However, subsequent CRISPR-mediated gene deletion revealed that only one of these 546 genes, atlas, was truly essential. This result underscores the importance of validating genes 547 548 identified in RNAi screens through traditional loss-of-function genetics and other approaches.

549 Using such genetic tools, we then showed that *atlas* loss of function reduces fertility by 550 affecting mature sperm production. During spermiogenesis, atlas mutants show aberrant nuclear condensation and an inability to individualize spermatid bundles successfully. GFP-551 552 tagged Atlas protein localizes to condensing spermatid nuclei in the basal testis and partially co-553 localizes with Mst35Bb, a protamine around which DNA is wrapped in mature, individualized 554 sperm. Evolutionary analysis showed that the *atlas* protein-coding sequence likely arose at the 555 base of the Drosophila genus but was unlikely to have played an essential role immediately 556 upon birth, as the gene was subsequently lost along several independent lineages. Within the 557 melanogaster group of Drosophila, however, the gene moved from the X chromosome to an 558 autosome, where it formed a single transcriptional unit with a conserved, non-coding sequence. 559 Since this point, the gene has encoded a protein with a conserved length, isoelectric point and 560 male-specific expression pattern, suggesting potential functional conversation over the last ~15 561 million years.

Several lines of evidence suggest that Atlas is a transition protein that facilitates the 562 563 change from histone-based to protamine-based chromatin packaging in spermatid nuclei. Atlas 564 localizes throughout spermatid nuclei (Fig. 6) and has biochemical properties consistent with direct DNA interaction. The protein appears specifically at the late canoe stage of nuclear 565 compaction (Fig. 5B-D). Its lack of overlap with testis-specific histones (Fig. 5C), partial overlap 566 567 with Mst35Bb (Fig. 5D), likely removal from needle-stage nuclei (Fig. 6) and absence from 568 mature sperm (Figs. 5-6) are all consistent with the expression profile of a transition protein. 569 Several other transition proteins have been characterized in *D. melanogaster*, including Tpl94D, 570 thmg-1, thmg-2, and Mst84B (Rathke et al. 2007; Gärtner et al. 2015; Gärtner et al. 2019). Collectively, the transition proteins vary in the stage of nuclear condensation at which they first 571 572 appear and the range of nuclear shapes over which they are found (Hundertmark et al. 2018), 573 but otherwise match Atlas in their biochemical properties, transient roles, and localization 574 throughout the nucleus. Compared to these other transition proteins. Atlas is present over a 575 fairly narrow range of nuclear condensation stages and reaches its peak expression just prior to 576 the onset of individualization. Atlas is also the only transition protein gene characterized to date whose removal disrupts fertility, as Tpl94D, thmg-1, thmg-2 and Mst84B mutants are all fertile 577 578 (Rathke et al. 2007; Gärtner et al. 2015; Gärtner et al. 2019). This may reflect the relatively 579 later timing of Atlas's expression in spermatid nuclei, reduced functional redundancy between 580 DNA-binding proteins at the later stages of condensation, a potential interaction between Atlas and an essential protamine-like protein, and/or a more stringent requirement for DNA binding at 581 582 these stages.

Transition proteins give way in spermatid nuclei to protamine-like proteins, which bind
 DNA in mature sperm and persist through fertilization. In this way, protamine-like proteins
 function analogously to vertebrate protamines, though they are believed to be evolutionarily
 independent (Jayaramaiah Raja and Renkawitz-Pohl 2005; Doyen et al. 2015). In *D. melanogaster*, protamine-like proteins include Mst35Ba, Mst35Bb, Prtl99C and Mst77F (Rathke)

#### Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

18

588 et al. 2010: Eren-Ghiani et al. 2015: Kimura and Loppin 2016). Interestingly, while all 589 characterized protamine-like proteins are present in mature sperm, only some are essential for 590 fertility. Knockouts of Mst35Ba, Mst35Bb, or both show occasional nuclear shaping defects, but 591 male fertility is normal (Rathke et al. 2010; Tirmarche et al. 2014). In contrast, mutants of 592 Prtl99C or Mst77F are sterile. Prtl99C and Mst35Ba/b bind condensed DNA independently of 593 each other and contribute additively to the shortening of needle-stage nuclei, but Prtl99C's 594 effect is ~3x greater (Doyen et al. 2015; Eren-Ghiani et al. 2015). This difference is apparently 595 great enough to reduce fertility only in Prt/99C mutants. In contrast, Mst77F and Mst35Ba/b 596 show a genetic interaction, as Mst35Ba/b null flies become nearly sterile in an Mst77F 597 heterozygous background (Kimura and Loppin 2016). Furthermore, while Mst35Bb-GFP is expressed in Mst77F nulls, these flies show deformed spermatid nuclei that do not reach a 598 599 recognizable needle-like stage. Because atlas nulls show considerable phenotypic similarity to 600 Mst77F nulls, but not Prt/99C nulls, we hypothesize that Atlas may act in a pathway with Mst77F. Our observation of inefficient IC movement down sperm tails in atlas null testes is 601 reminiscent of a similar phenotype in Mst77F nulls (Kimura and Loppin 2016), providing further 602 603 evidence that these proteins may act in a common pathway. In both cases, ICs can form at 604 misshapen canoe-stage nuclei, but fail at a subsequent step. While the exact relationship 605 between nuclear abnormalities in the late canoe stage and individualization is not entirely 606 understood, it is possible that nuclear shape and the organization of nuclear bundles impact the 607 ability of IC association and IC progression, as is also observed in mutants of another gene, 608 dPSMG1, which controls nuclear shape (Gärtner et al. 2019). 609 Because *de novo* genes emerge from non-coding sequences, they typically encode

610 proteins that are short and lack complex structure (Schlötterer 2015; Van Oss and Carvunis 2019). Indeed, expanding the length of the protein-coding region and evolving higher-level 611 612 protein structures are hypothesized to be among the final stages of new gene evolution 613 (Bornberg-Bauer and Schmitz 2017). In light of these constraints, what kinds of cellular 614 functions might be available to newly evolved proteins? Vakirlis et al. (2020) overexpressed 615 emerging proto-genes in S. cerevisiae and found that those encoding proteins with predicted transmembrane (TM) domains were more likely to be adaptive, as assessed by the effect of 616 proto-gene overexpression on growth rate. Such proteins may arise when thymine-rich 617 618 intergenic regions undergo mutations that allow protein-coding gene birth and expression, since 619 many codons with multiple U nucleotides encode amino acids commonly found in TM domains 620 (Vakirlis et al. 2020). Our imaging data, in addition to the prediction tools employed by Vakirlis 621 et al. (2020), suggest that Atlas does not contain a TM domain. However, just as the amino acid compositional requirements of a TM domain are not overly complex, neither are those of 622 623 DNA binding proteins. In essence, these proteins must simply be small, have a high 624 concentration of positively charged residues, and contain a nuclear localization signal, which itself requires a small patch of positively charged residues (Lange et al. 2007). Thus, DNA 625 626 binding proteins may be a relatively easy class of protein to evolve *de novo*.

While many putative de novo genes are expressed in the D. melanogaster testis (Fig. 1A 627 and Heames et al. 2020), atlas was the only verified hit from our screen that was essential for 628 629 male fertility. This result raises two related questions. First, why has selection maintained the expression of the other potential de novo genes in our screen? In general, it is common for the 630 631 knockdown of protein-coding genes expressed in reproductive tissues in D. melanogaster to result in no detectable fertility defects (Ravi Ram and Wolfner 2007; Schnakenberg et al. 2011; 632 Findlay et al. 2014; Gubala et al. 2017). One hypothesis to explain this pattern is that while the 633 634 loss of function of such genes may cause small reductions in fertility that would be subject to strong negative selection in nature, the conditions used to assay such knockdown animals in the 635 636 primary screens are rarely tailored to detect differences of this magnitude. Another possibility, not mutually exclusive, is that while the genes may be expendable in non-competitive, non-637 exhaustive mating conditions, their absence may result in lower fitness in sperm competitive 638

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

19

639 environment, environments in which males mate several times in quick succession, or

640 environments in which sperm must persist in storage for longer intervals or during less optimal 641 conditions (Wong et al. 2008; Yeh et al. 2012; Civetta and Finn 2014).

642 A second guestion raised by our finding that atlas encodes an essential transition protein 643 is: how might atlas have evolved to become essential for fertility in D. melanogaster, particularly 644 when other transition proteins appear functionally redundant? Other proteins involved in 645 spermatid chromatin compaction show variable levels of conservation across Drosophila. For example, the protamines around which DNA is wrapped in mature sperm (Jayaramaiah Raja 646 and Renkawitz-Pohl 2005) are found across all sequenced Drosophila species (Alvi et al. 2013), 647 and orthologs are also reported in FlyBase from other Dipteran and non-Dipteran insects (Larkin 648 et al. 2021). However, transition protein Tpl94D is reported to be restricted to species ranging 649 650 from D. melanogaster to D. pseudoobscura (Alvi et al. 2016), as are the related proteins tHMG1 651 and tHMG2 with high-mobility group domains (Gärtner et al. 2015; Larkin et al. 2021). Results 652 like these suggest that while some protamine-like proteins (i.e., Mst35Ba and Mst35Bb) have 653 consistently been among the final chromatin-packaging proteins, the specific proteins facilitating 654 the transition from histones to protamines have likely varied over evolutionary time. Against this 655 backdrop, and based on our analyses of the protein's presence/absence, biochemical 656 properties, and expression patterns in extant species, we hypothesize that while the Atlas 657 protein likely had some DNA-binding ability and male-specific expression upon its origin, it was 658 only one of several proteins involved in spermatid chromatin compaction. Since atlas was lost 659 independently in several lineages after its birth (Fig. 7), Atlas was likely non-essential at its outset, but rather evolved an essential function within the *melanogaster* group of species. Such 660 661 evolution of essentiality could have occurred because of the loss of a protein with a 662 complementary function and/or changes in the process of spermatogenesis that thrust Atlas into 663 a functionally unique role. It is also worth noting that species that have evidently lost atlas might 664 have undergone other compensatory changes in their repertoires of spermatid DNA binding proteins. For example, D. willistoni lacks atlas but appears to have several additional paralogs 665 666 of the protamines found only in duplicate in *D. melanogaster*, which could have evolved 667 transition-protein-like roles.

While our study cannot establish whether the movement of the atlas protein-coding 668 669 sequence off of the X chromosome onto an autosome early in the evolution of the melanogaster group (Fig. 7) affected the gene's essentiality, such movement remains noteworthy. Prior work 670 671 has found a significant dearth of testis-expressed genes on the X chromosome in Drosophila 672 (Parisi et al. 2003; Parisi et al. 2004; Dorus et al. 2006; Vibranovski, Zhang, et al. 2009) and other species (Emerson et al. 2004; Reinke et al. 2004). Furthermore, Drosophila exhibit 673 674 suppression of X-linked testis-expressed genes, and transfer of such genes from the X chromosome to autosomal loci results in higher expression levels (Kemkemer et al. 2014; 675 Aravridou et al. 2017; Aravridou and Parsch 2018). One of several proposed mechanisms for 676 677 both the paucity of X-linked testis-expressed genes and the suppression of their expression is meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI), in which the X chromosome becomes 678 679 transcriptionally silenced earlier than autosomes (Vibranovski, Lopes, et al. 2009; Zhang, 680 Vibranovski, Krinsky, et al. 2010; Zhang, Vibranovski, Landback, et al. 2010; Vibranovski et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2014; Mahadevaraju et al. 2021). Thus, genes that affect meiotic or post-681 682 meiotic processes, as atlas does, could exert beneficial effects more strongly and/or for a longer period of time if they become encoded autosomally. While the atlas protein-coding sequence 683 appears to show male-specific expression regardless of its chromosomal location, it is possible 684 685 that the movement of atlas to chromosome 2 allowed it to evolve a broader or different expression pattern that expanded or modified its role in spermiogenesis. The complex 686 molecular bases of both X suppression and "escape" from the X chromosome in Drosophila 687 continue to be actively investigated and debated (Meiklejohn et al. 2011; Mikhaylova and 688 Nurminsky 2011; Vibranovski et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2014; Vibranovski 2014; Landeen et al. 689

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

20

690 2016; Mahadevaraju et al. 2021), but continued research in this area might inform further 691 interrogation of the forces driving *atlas* off of the X chromosome.

The movement of the atlas protein-coding sequence to chromosome 2 also created the 692 693 two-exon gene observed in *D. melanogaster*, in which the longer second exon appears to be 694 entirely non-coding. This second exon is highly conserved across the genus in both sequence and genomic location, and it shows male-specific expression in several species that lack the 695 696 protein-coding sequence upstream (Fig. 7 and Fig. S7). These patterns of conservation 697 suggest that the second exon might originally have been a non-coding RNA, a class of molecule 698 whose importance in *Drosophila* male reproduction has recently become recognized (Wen et al. 699 2016; Bouska and Bai 2021). While these previous examples of functional ncRNAs in 700 spermatogenesis have generally acted in trans to regulate other genes or affect the functions of 701 other proteins, it is also possible that the long 3' UTR of atlas in D. melanogaster could affect 702 the translation of atlas transcripts. Many genes functioning in spermatid differentiation are 703 transcribed early in spermatogenesis but translationally repressed until later in spermiogenesis, a phenomenon that relies on various forms of post-transcriptional regulation (White-Cooper 704 705 2010; Lim et al. 2012). Future studies of the atlas protein-coding sequence in the absence of its 706 3' UTR, the expression patterns of Atlas protein in species in which it is encoded from the X 707 chromosome, or the genetic ablation of the conserved region in species lacking the protein-708 coding sequence will provide additional insights.

709 A final issue raised by our results is the exact timing and mechanism of origin for the 710 atlas protein-coding sequence. The bioinformatic screen (Heames et al. 2020) that identified 711 atlas and the other genes tested in Fig. 1 was designed to identify both "de novo" genes, 712 defined as protein-coding regions in Drosophila that had recognizable, but non-ORF-713 maintaining, TBLASTN hits in outgroup species, and "putative de novo" genes, which had no 714 TBLASTN hits in outgroup species. (Importantly, the screen also eliminated any protein with an 715 identifiable protein domain, thus reducing the chances of identifying divergent members of gene 716 families.) The vast majority of the genes we tested with RNAi, including atlas, fell into the 717 putative *de novo* category. The bioinformatic screen's criteria were reasonable for a high-718 throughput analysis, but BLAST-based methods have known limitations for detecting 719 orthologous sequences in diverged species (Moyers and Zhang 2015, 2018; Weisman et al. 720 2020). The lack of identifiable atlas protein-coding genes in several Drosophila species (e.g., D. 721 pseudoobscura and D. willistoni) is unlikely to be due to BLAST homology detection failure, and 722 extensive synteny-based searches confirmed the gene's absence (Fig. S9). BLAST and 723 synteny-based searches for orthologs in non-Drosophila species also did not detect an ortholog, though BLAST searches are not predicted to have adequate sensitivity, for a protein of this size 724 725 and evolutionary rate, at this level of species divergence (Weisman et al. 2020). Hence, in 726 addition to using synteny to search for orthologs, we used HMMER, which employs hidden 727 Markov models and builds a sequence profile of the target protein using information from 728 multiple orthologs. Since HMMER also did not detect orthologs outside of Drosophila, we 729 hypothesize that atlas evolved de novo at the base of the genus. However, since we remain

rypotnesize that *alias* evolved *de novo* at the base of the genus. However, since we remain
 unable to identify the non-protein-coding sequence from which *atlas* arose, we continue to refer
 to *atlas* as a putative *de novo* gene (McLysaght and Hurst 2016).

Overall, we find that while many putative de novo evolved genes are expressed in the D. 732 733 melanogaster testes, few have major, non-redundant effects on fertility. However, several such genes have acquired critical roles, acting at distinct stages of spermatogenesis and sperm 734 735 function. We showed previously that the putative de novo gene saturn is required for maximal 736 sperm production, as well as for the ability of transferred sperm to migrate successfully to sperm storage organs in females (Gubala et al. 2017). Another putative de novo gene, goddard, is 737 738 required for sperm production and encodes a cytoplasmic protein that appears to localize to 739 elongating axonemes (Gubala et al. 2017; Lange et al. 2021). Loss of goddard impairs the 740 individualization of spermatid bundles (Lange et al. 2021), thus exerting an effect that appears

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

to be upstream of those observed for *saturn* and *atlas*. Here, we report another novel function

for a putative *de novo* gene: encoding an essential transition protein that is necessary for proper

nuclear condensation in spermiogenesis. Taken together, these results demonstrate that while

744 many *de novo* genes may play subtle roles or share functional redundancy with other genes, *de* 

*novo* genes can also become essential players in complex cellular processes that mediate
 successful reproduction.

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

# 748 Materials and Methods

#### 749

# 750 RNA Interference Screen751

752 De novo and putative de novo genes inferred to be no older than the Drosophila genus 753 were identified previously (Heames et al. 2020). We filtered these genes with publicly available 754 RNA-seg data (Brown et al. 2014) to identify those expressed predominantly in the testes (>50% of RPKM sum deriving from the testes from ModENCODE data; Brown et al. 2014), 755 756 giving a total of 96 genes. To assess each of these candidates for effects on male fertility, we 757 induced knockdown in the male germline by crossing UAS-RNAi flies to Bam-GAL4, UAS-758 Dicer2 flies (White-Cooper 2012; Gubala et al. 2017). Control flies were generated by crossing 759 the genetic background into which UAS-RNAi was inserted crossed to the same GAL4 line. 760 Flies carrying UAS-RNAi were of two types. Roughly half of the genes had publicly available 761 lines from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (Dietzl et al. 2007) or the Transgenic RNAi 762 Project (Ni et al. 2011). For the other genes, no publicly available RNAi stock was available, so 763 we constructed TRiP-style stocks in the pValium20 vector as previously described (Findlay et al. 2014). These constructs were integrated into an AttP site in stock BL 25709 ( $y^1 v^1$  P{nos-764 765 phiC31\int.NLS}X; P{CaryP}attP40) from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (injections 766 by Genetivision; Houston, TX, USA) and crossed into a y v background to screen for  $v^{\dagger}$ . We 767 attempted at least two rounds of transgenic production for each gene. In total, we were able to 768 obtain and test RNAi lines for 57 of the 96 identified genes.

769 We initially screened males knocked down for each candidate gene for major fertility 770 defects by crossing groups of 7 knockdown or control males to 5 virgin Canton S females, 771 letting the adults lay eggs for ~48 hours, and then discarding adults and quantifying the resulting 772 progeny by counting the pupal cases, as previously described (Gubala et al. 2017). To assess the degree of knockdown achieved, 10 whole males of each line were homogenized in TRIzol 773 774 reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). RNA isolation, DNAse treatment, cDNA synthesis and semi-quantitative RT-PCR with gene-specific primers were performed as previously 775 776 described; amplification of RpL32 was used as a positive control (Gubala et al. 2017). Any 777 gene that did not show at least partial knockdown was discarded from further analysis, leaving a 778 total of 42 genes successfully screened. Table S3 lists all lines used and results of tests for 779 effective target gene knockdown.

780 781

# 781 CRISPR Genome Editing782

783 To validate RNAi results for atlas, CG43072 and CG33284, we used CRISPR/Cas9 784 genome-editing to generate null alleles that could be used for further analysis, as described 785 previously (Lange et al. 2021). Briefly, our general strategy was to design gRNAs in the pU6.3 786 vector (Drosophila Genome Resource Center (DGRC) #1362) that targeted each end of a locus. 787 These plasmids, along with plasmids encoding gRNAs that targeted the w+ locus, were coinjected by Rainbow Transgenics (Camarillo, CA) into embryos laid by vasa-Cas9 females in a 788 789 w+ background, Bloomington stock #51323 (Ge et al. 2016). G<sub>0</sub> animals were crossed to w-790 flies, and members of G<sub>1</sub> broods with a higher-than-expected fraction of *w*- progeny were 791 individually crossed to an appropriate balancer line and then PCR-screened for the desired 792 deletion of the targeted locus.

We also constructed three frameshift, expected loss-of-function alleles for *atlas* by using
CRISPR to induce non-homologous end joining at a single PAM site just downstream of the *atlas* start codon. *Vasa*-Cas9 embryos were co-injected and screened for w- progeny as
described above. We then used squish preps to isolate DNA from G1 flies and used a PCRRFLP assay to detect mutations. PCR products spanning the gRNA-targeted site were digested
with *Bfal* (New England Biolabs (NEB), Ipswich, MA); undigested products in which the

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

23

expected *Bfal* site was lost indicated a mutation, which was balanced and then confirmed byPCR and sequencing of homozygous mutant lines.

We used scarless CRISPR editing and homology-directed repair (HDR) to insert the 801 802 GFP protein-coding sequence in-frame at the end of the *atlas* protein sequence (see Fig. S6; 803 (https://flycrispr.org/scarless-gene-editing/) (Bruckner et al. 2017; Bier et al. 2018; Hill et al. 2019). We first generated an *atlas*-GFP DNA construct by cloning the *atlas* protein-coding 804 805 sequence into pENTR and using LR Clonase II (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) to recombine the sequence with pTWG (DGRC #1076; T. Murphy), generating a C-terminally 806 807 tagged atlas:GFP construct. We amplified the atlas fragment from vasa-Cas9 strain #51323 genomic DNA. Once atlas-GFP was obtained in a plasmid, we amplified it with primers that 808 contained 5' Esp3I sites and overhangs designed for Golden Gate Assembly (GGA) and that, in 809 810 the case of the reverse primer, also added on 42 nucleotides downstream of the atlas stop 811 codon to reach a PAM site identified by FlyCRISPR TargetFinder (Gratz et al. 2014) as being optimal for Cas9/gRNA recognition and cleavage. The primer also introduced a mutation in the 812 PAM site so that insertion of the designed piece of DNA into the genome *in vivo* would not be 813 814 subject to re-cutting. We also used the NEB Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit to introduce a 815 silent mutation into the atlas protein-coding sequence to eliminate an internal Esp3I site. The 816 resulting construct was used as the "left" homology arm for homology-directed repair (HDR) 817 editing. We constructed a "right" homology arm by using NEB Q5 PCR to amplify a 982-bp 818 fragment downstream of the PAM site, using primers modified to contain Esp3I sites and 819 overhangs compatible with GGA. We performed GGA by combining these left and right arms, a 820 plasmid containing a PiggyBac transposase-excisable 3xP3-dsRed flanked by Esp3I sites, and 821 backbone plasmid pXZ13, with Esp3I and T4 DNA ligase (NEB). A combination of colony PCR, 822 restriction digestion and sequencing identified properly assembled plasmids suitable for HDR. Vasa-Cas9 embryos were co-injected with the assembled plasmid and a pU6.3 plasmid 823 encoding a gRNA targeting the region just downstream of the atlas stop codon. G0 flies were 824 crossed to w<sup>1118</sup> adults, and G1 flies were screened for red fluorescent eyes using the 825 826 NIGHTSEA system (NIGHTSEA LLC, Lexington, MA). Six balanced lines from two independent 827 G1 broods were established. To remove the dsRed from the atlas locus, we crossed these lines to a PiggyBac transposase line (BDSC #8285) and then selected against pBac and dsRed in 828 829 the following generation. PCR and sequencing confirmed the expected "scarless" insertion of 830 GFP at the atlas locus.

831

833

# 832 Atlas Rescue Line

834 We constructed an HA-tagged atlas rescue line that contained the atlas gene flanked by 835 1345 bp of sequence upstream of the start codon (but excluding the coding sequence of upstream gene CG3124) and 3000 bp of sequence downstream of the stop codon (including the 836 837 full 3' UTR) as follows. Genomic sequences were PCR amplified using Q5 High fidelity Polymerase (NEB), purified Canton S genomic DNA (Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit, Qiagen, 838 Germantown, MD), and the atlas rescue F1/R1 and atlas rescue F3/R3 primer sets (see Table 839 840 S4). The 3x-HA tag was likewise amplified from pTWH (DGRC 1100; T. Murphy) using atlas rescue F2/R2 primers. These DNA fragments were subsequently assembled into a Xbal/AscI-841 842 linearized w+attB plasmid (Addgene, Watertown, MA, plasmid 30326, deposited by J. Sekelsky). The assembled construct was then phiC31 integrated into the PBac{ $y^+$ -attP-843 3B}VK00037 (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC) stock #24872) docking site 844 845 (Rainbow Transgenics) and crossed into the atlas null background to assess rescue. 846

847 Fertility Assays and Sperm Visualization

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

849 To validate the finding of reduced fertility for *atlas* knockdown males in the group fertility 850 assay described above, we performed single-pair fertility assays in which knockdown or mutant males or their controls were mated individually to Canton S virgin females. Based on previous 851 852 experience analyzing genes that resulted in sterility or near-sterility (Gubala et al. 2017; Lange 853 et al. 2021), we designed assays with N = 20-30 flies per male genotype. Matings were observed, and males were discarded after copulation. Females were allowed to lay eggs into 854 855 the vials for 4 days and then discarded. Pupal cases were counted as a measure of fertility. Crosses to generate and mating assays involving RNAi flies were maintained at 25° to optimize 856 857 knockdown. Before all assays, flies were reared to sexual maturity (3-7 days) in single-sex 858 groups on commeal-molasses food supplemented with dry yeast grains (Gubala et al. 2017).

To assess the ability of *atlas*-GFP to rescue the fertility defect of the  $\Delta atlas$  allele, we crossed atlas-GFP and w1118 flies to  $\Delta atlas$ /SM6. Males with genotypes atlas-GFP/ $\Delta atlas$  and +/ $\Delta atlas$  were compared using the single-pair fertility assay described above.

To observe the production of sperm in knockdown or mutant males, we introduced the Mst35Bb-GFP marker into these males, which labels mature sperm and late-stage spermatid nuclei with GFP (Manier et al. 2010). Samples were prepared, imaged and analyzed as described previously (Gubala et al. 2017); because the large differences in testis shape and sperm production observed in initial phase contrast imaging would be obvious to any experimenter, we were not blind to male genotypes.

869 Atlas-GFP Ectopic Expression

870 We used the Gateway cloning system (Thermo) to construct an *atlas*-GFP transgene 871 expressed under UAS control (primers in Table S4). The atlas protein-coding sequence in 872 873 pENTR was recombined with pTWG (Drosophila Genomics Resource Center, T. Murphy) as 874 described above. The resulting plasmid was then inserted into w- flies using P-element-875 mediated transposition (Rainbow Transgenics), w+ G1s were selected, and several 876 independent insertions were balanced. We crossed male UAS-atlas:GFP flies to females from 877 two different driver lines: tubulin-GAL4 (to drive ubiguitous expression) and Bam-GAL4 (to drive 878 expression in the early germline). We dissected larval salivary glands of the tub>atlas:GFP males, since these cells are exceptionally large and ideal for visualizing subcellular localization. 879 880 We then dissected the testes of Bam>atlas:GFP males to evaluate whether the localization pattern observed in the salivary gland was consistent in testis tissue, albeit not the same cells in 881 882 which endogenous atlas appears to be expressed. Protein localization was visualized by fluorescence confocal microscopy on a Leica SP5 microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, 883 884 Germany) and images were captured with LASAF as described previously (Lange et al. 2021).

885

- 886 Imaging Spermatogenesis and Spermatid Nuclear Condensation
- 887 888 We used phase-contrast microscopy to examine the stages of spermatogenesis in whole 889 mount testes (White-Cooper 2004). To assess the processes of nuclear condensation and 890 individualization of 64-cell cysts of spermatids in the post-meiotic stages of spermatogenesis, 891 we used fluorescence and confocal microscopy to visualize actin-based individualization 892 complexes and nuclei. Samples were processed, and actin and nuclear DNA were visualized 893 with TRITC-phalloidin (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) and TOPRO-3 iodine (Thermo), 894 respectively, as described previously (Lange et al. 2021). The final stages of nuclear 895 condensation were visualized with the Mst35Bb-GFP marker described above, as well as an 896 equivalent marker, Mst35Bb-dsRed (Manier et al. 2010). Earlier nuclear stages were visualized 897 with histone H2AvD-RFP (BDSC stock #23651), which is present in round spermatid nuclei and 898 the earliest stages of nuclear elongation (Clarkson and Saint 1999; Rathke et al. 2007). Images

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

25

899 with H2AvD-RFP were obtained with epifluorescence microscopy, since we lack an appropriate 900 confocal laser for RFP.

901 To examine spermatid nuclei at various stages of condensation, we visualized nuclear 902 bundles using TOPRO-3. Testes of newly eclosed (<1 day old) atlas null and control males 903 were dissected in PBS. Testes were then transferred to a droplet of 4% paraformaldehyde on poly-L-lysine treated glass slides and were gently shredded in the post-meiotic region to release 904 905 sperm bundles. Testes were gently squashed beneath coverslips coated in Sigmacote (Sigma 906 Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). We then froze slides in liquid nitrogen for a few seconds and popped off of the siliconized coverslip with a razor. Slides were incubated in Coplin jars filled with 95% 907 908 ethanol at -20°C for 30 minutes and then mounted in VECTASHIELD (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Nuclear staging was performed by examining the shape of the nuclei. Early 909 910 and late canoe stages of condensation were distinguished by the absence or presence of 911 Mst35Bb-GFP, respectively. Elongated and condensed stages were distinguished by the 912 presence or absence, respectively, of vesicles of GFP-tagged nuclear proteins (Atlas-GFP or Mst35Bb-GFP) located basal to the nuclei. Examples of stages are given in Fig. 4. Confocal 913 914 stacks were taken on a Leica SP5 microscope, images were captured by LASAF, and ImageJ 915 was used to flatten stacks into a single, two-dimensional image. All intact nuclear bundles were 916 counted for each dissection.

For the experiments measuring nuclear condensation stage (Table S1), a sample size of N = 10 for each genotype was selected based on the magnitude of the *atlas* null phenotype and the consistent differences observed in previous dissections of these genotypes with Mst35Bb-GFP. Likewise, for the IC-nuclear bundle association and IC progression analysis (Fig. 3C-D), we selected sample sizes of N = ~15 per genotype based on pilot experiments showing that aberrant actin phenotypes were highly consistent in null testes and previous experience with such quantification (Lange et al. 2021).

924

925 Evolutionary and Gene Expression Analysis of atlas

We searched for orthologs of the *D. melanogaster* Atlas protein in the original
sequenced *Drosophila* species with BLASTP searches in FlyBase (Clark et al. 2007). We also
used TBLASTN searches to identify orthologs in species lacking complete protein annotations.
We identified syntenic regions for each species by looking for conserved neighboring genes,
such as *ord* and *CG3124*. In addition to analyzing the *atlas* coding region, we conducted
separate BLASTN searches for the sequence of the *D. melanogaster* 3'UTR across *Drosophila*species since it has a different conservation pattern than the coding sequence.

To test for sex-specific expression biases for both the ORF and the 3' UTR sequences, we used adult male- and female-specific RNA-seq data from numerous *Drosophila* species accessed through the Genomics Education Partnership version of the UCSC Genome Browser (<u>http://gander.wustl.edu/</u>) and initially collected by Brown et al. (2014) and Chen et al. (2014). We also confirmed these findings experimentally in several species by performing RT-PCR on cDNA isolated from whole males and whole females, as previously described (Gubala et al. 2017).

941 To search for atlas orthologs in non-Drosophila Dipterans, we obtained from ENSEMBL 942 Metazoa the genomes of Musca domestica, Glossina morsitans, Lucilia cuprina, Aedes aegypti, Anopheles darlingi, Anopheles gambiae, Culex guinguefasciatus and Mayetiola destructor. We 943 performed a synteny search (summarized in Fig. S10) in each species by identifying the nearest 944 945 neighbors of atlas in the D. ananassae and D. virilis genomes that had an identifiable homolog 946 in each species. In all cases, the homologs of the nearest neighbors on each side of atlas were 947 found on different contigs, suggesting synteny breakdown. We obtained up to 1 Mb of 948 sequence on each side of each identified homolog and queried it with BLASTN, TBLASTN, and 949 Exonerate (Slater and Birney 2005) for regions with significantly similarity to any portion of the

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

26

Atlas protein or cDNA sequences. No significant hits, and no hits better than what could be found in other parts of the genome, were found. Finally, we used HMMER to search for orthologs in all annotated proteomes and all metazoan proteomes. We first queried the database with Atlas from either *D. melanogaster* or *D. virilis* and accepted hits that fell below an e-value cutoff of 0.01 and a minimum hit length of 3%. These hits were then included iteratively in subsequent searches until no new significant hits were found.

956 We analyzed the molecular evolution of the *atlas* protein-coding sequence by obtaining 957 orthologous protein-coding sequences from *melanogaster* group species. (Analysis out of this 958 group was not performed due to high sequence divergence and poor alignment quality.) We 959 used BLASTP to identify these sequences from GenBank and then extracted the coding DNA sequence for each. Sequences were aligned, checked for recombination, used to construct a 960 961 gene tree, and analyzed with the PAML sites test as described previously (McGeary and Findlay 962 2020), except that alignment positions that included gaps were masked from the PAML analysis. We initially analyzed a set of 13 species (melanogaster, simulans, sechellia, vakuba, 963 erecta, suzukii, takahashii, biarmipes, rhopaloa, ficusphila, elegans, eugracilis and ananassae); 964 965 we excluded an ortholog detected in *D. bipectinata* due to poor alignment. This initial analysis detected a class of sites with significant evidence of positive selection, but closer inspection of 966 967 the alignment revealed that the site with the strongest evidence of selection, corresponding to 968 D. melanogaster residue 31R, may have been driven by a guestionable alignment due to an 969 insertion in that region that was unique to *D. takahashii*. The results reported derive from an 970 analysis with *D. takahashii* excluded, which produced a more reliable alignment and showed no 971 evidence for any sites under positive selection. 972

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

# 973 Acknowledgements

# 974

975 We thank Dr. Alexis Hill for assistance with developing the atlas-GFP transgene; Gynesis 976 Vance, Elvis Perez, Ishanpepe Jagusah, Emily Gualdino, and students in the Biology 261 Lab at Holy Cross for assistance with RNAi fertility screens; Dr. Rob Bellin for assistance with confocal 977 978 microscopy; Dr. Justin McAlister for use of the NIGHTSEA system; the Bloomington Stock 979 Center and the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center for fly strains; and Dr. Mariana Wolfner and 980 members of the Findlay and Bornberg-Bauer labs for helpful discussions about the project and 981 the manuscript. This work was supported by NSF CAREER Award #1652013 (to GDF) and a 982 Humboldt Fellowship (to AG). GDF and EBB are also grateful to the Evolution Think Tank at the University of Münster, which funded GDF as a visiting fellow for in-person collaboration. 983 984

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

#### 28

# 985 **<u>References</u>**

- 986
- Abrusán G. 2013. Integration of New Genes into Cellular Networks, and Their Structural
   Maturation. Genetics 195:1407-1417.
- Alvi ZA, Chu T-C, Schawaroch V, Klaus AV. 2016. Genomic and expression analysis of transition proteins in *Drosophila*. Spermatogenesis 5:e1178518.
- Alvi ZA, Chu T-C, Schawaroch V, Klaus AV. 2013. Protamine-like proteins in 12 sequenced
   species of Drosophila. Protein and peptide letters 20:17-35.
- Argyridou E, Huylmans AK, Königer A, Parsch J. 2017. X-linkage is not a general inhibitor of
   tissue-specific gene expression in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Heredity 119:27-34.
- Argyridou E, Parsch J. 2018. Regulation of the X Chromosome in the Germline and Soma of
   Drosophila melanogaster Males. Genes 9:242.
- Baalsrud HT, Tørresen OK, Solbakken MH, Salzburger W, Hanel R, Jakobsen KS, Jentoft S.
   2018. *De novo* gene evolution of antifreeze glycoproteins in codfishes revealed by whole
   genome sequence data. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35:593-606.
- 1000Baker RH, Narechania A, Johns PM, Wilkinson GS. 2012. Gene duplication, tissue-specific1001gene expression and sexual conflict in stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae). Philosophical1002Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 367:2357-10032375.
- Begun DJ, Lindfors HA, Kern AD, Jones CD. 2007. Evidence for *de Novo* Evolution of Testis Expressed Genes in the *Drosophila yakuba/Drosophila erecta* Clade. Genetics
   176:1131-1137.
- 1007Bier E, Harrison MM, O'Connor-Giles KM, Wildonger J. 2018. Advances in Engineering the Fly1008Genome with the CRISPR-Cas System. Genetics 208:1-18.
- 1009Bornberg-Bauer E, Schmitz JF. 2017. Fact or fiction: Updates on how protein-coding genes1010might emerge *de novo* from previously non-coding DNA. F1000Research 6:57.
- 1011Bouska MJ, Bai H. 2021. Long noncoding RNA regulation of spermatogenesis via the spectrin1012cytoskeleton in Drosophila. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics.
- Brown JB, Boley N, Eisman R, May GE, Stoiber MH, Duff MO, Booth BW, Wen J, Park S,
   Suzuki AM, et al. 2014. Diversity and dynamics of the Drosophila transcriptome. Nature
   512:393-399.
- 1016Bruckner JJ, Zhan H, Gratz SJ, Rao M, Ukken F, Zilberg G, O'Connor-Giles KM. 2017. Fife1017organizes synaptic vesicles and calcium channels for high-probability neurotransmitter1018release. Journal of Cell Biology 216:231-246.
- 1019Cai J, Zhao R, Jiang H, Wang W. 2008. De novo origination of a new protein-coding gene in1020Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 179:487-496.
- Carvunis A-R, Rolland T, Wapinski I, Calderwood MA, Yildirim MA, Simonis N, Charloteaux B,
   Hidalgo C, Barbette J, Santhanam B, et al. 2012. Proto-genes and *de novo* gene birth.
   Nature 487:370-374.
- Chamakura KR, Tran JS, O'Leary C, Lisciandro HG, Antillon SF, Garza KD, Tran E, Min L,
   Young R. 2020. Rapid de novo evolution of lysis genes in single-stranded RNA phages.
   Nature Communications 11.
- Chen Z-X, Sturgill D, Qu J, Jiang H, Park S, Boley N, Suzuki AM, Fletcher AR, Plachetzki DC,
   FitzGerald PC, et al. 2014. Comparative validation of the *D. melanogaster* modENCODE
   transcriptome annotation. Genome Research 24:1209-1223.
- 1030Civetta A, Finn S. 2014. Do candidate genes mediating conspecific sperm precedence affect1031sperm competitive ability within species? A test case in *Drosophila*. G3 (Bethesda, Md.)10324:1701-1707.
- Clark AG, Eisen MB, Smith DR, Bergman CM, Oliver B, Markow TA, Kaufman TC, Kellis M,
   Gelbart W, Iyer VN, et al. 2007. Evolution of genes and genomes on the Drosophila
   phylogeny. Nature 450:203-218.

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

- 1036Clarkson M, Saint R. 1999. A His2AvDGFP fusion gene complements a lethal His2AvD mutant1037allele and provides an in vivo marker for Drosophila chromosome behavior. DNA and1038Cell Biology 18:457-462.
- 1039Cui X, Lv Y, Chen M, Nikoloski Z, Twell D, Zhang D. 2015. Young genes out of the male: an1040insight from evolutionary age analysis of the pollen transcriptome. Molecular Plant10418:935-945.
- 1042Demarco RS, Eikenes TH, Haglund K, Jones DL. 2014. Investigating spermatogenesis in1043Drosophila melanogaster. Methods 68:218-227.
- 1044Dietzl G, Chen D, Schnorrer F, Su K-C, Barinova Y, Fellner M, Gasser B, Kinsey K, Oppel S,1045Scheiblauer S, et al. 2007. A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene1046inactivation in Drosophila. Nature 448:151-156.
- 1047Dorus S, Busby SA, Gerike U, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, Karr TL. 2006. Genomic and functional1048evolution of the Drosophila melanogaster sperm proteome. Nature Genetics 38:1440-10491445.
- 1050Dorus S, Freeman ZN, Parker ER, Heath BD, Karr TL. 2008. Recent Origins of Sperm Genes in1051Drosophila. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25:2157-2166.
- 1052 Doyen CM, Chalkley GE, Voets O, Bezstarosti K, Demmers JA, Moshkin YM, Verrijzer CP.
   1053 2015. A Testis-Specific Chaperone and the Chromatin Remodeler ISWI Mediate
   1054 Repackaging of the Paternal Genome. Cell Reports 13:1310-1318.
- 1055 Emerson JJ, Kaessmann H, Betrán E, Long M. 2004. Extensive gene traffic on the mammalian 1056 X chromosome. Science 303:537-540.
- 1057Eren-Ghiani Z, Rathke C, Theofel I, Renkawitz-Pohl R. 2015. Prtl99C Acts Together with1058Protamines and Safeguards Male Fertility in *Drosophila*. Cell Reports 13:2327-2335.
- 1059 Fabian L, Brill JA. 2012. Drosophila spermiogenesis. Spermatogenesis 2:197-212.
- Findlay GD, Sitnik JL, Wang W, Aquadro CF, Clark NL, Wolfner MF. 2014. Evolutionary rate
   covariation identifies new members of a protein network required for *Drosophila melanogaster* female post-mating responses. PLoS Genetics 10:e1004108.
- Gao G, Vibranovski MD, Zhang L, Li Z, Liu M, Zhang YE, Li X, Zhang W, Fan Q, Vankuren NW,
   et al. 2014. A long-term demasculinization of X-linked intergenic noncoding RNAs in
   *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genome Research 24:629-638.
- 1066Gärtner SMK, Hundertmark T, Nolte H, Theofel I, Eren-Ghiani Z, Tetzner C, Duchow TB,1067Rathke C, Krüger M, Renkawitz-Pohl R. 2019. Stage-specific testes proteomics of1068Drosophila melanogaster identifies essential proteins for male fertility. European Journal1069of Cell Biology 98:103-115.
- Gärtner SMK, Rothenbusch S, Buxa MK, Theofel I, Renkawitz R, Rathke C, Renkawitz-Pohl R.
   2015. The HMG-box-containing proteins tHMG-1 and tHMG-2 interact during the
   histone-to-protamine transition in *Drosophila* spermatogenesis. European Journal of Cell
   Biology 94:46-59.
- 1074Ge DT, Tipping C, Brodsky MH, Zamore PD. 2016. Rapid screening for CRISPR-directed1075editing of the Drosophila genome using white coconversion. G3 (Bethesda, Md.) 6:3197-10763206.
- 1077Gratz SJ, Ukken FP, Rubinstein CD, Thiede G, Donohue LK, Cummings AM, O'Connor-Giles1078KM. 2014. Highly specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed1079repair in Drosophila. Genetics 196:961-971.
- 1080Gubala AM, Schmitz JF, Kearns MJ, Vinh TT, Bornberg-Bauer E, Wolfner MF, Findlay GD.10812017. The goddard and saturn genes are essential for Drosophila male fertility and may1082have arisen de novo. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34:1066-1082.
- 1083Guerzoni D, McLysaght A. 2016. De novo genes arise at a slow but steady rate along the1084primate lineage and have been subject to incomplete lineage sorting. Genome biology1085and evolution 8:1222-1232.

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

1086	Hales KG, Korey CA, Larracuente AM, Roberts DM. 2015. Genetics on the fly: a primer on the
1087	Drosophila model system. Genetics 201:815-842.
1088	Heames B, Schmitz J, Bornberg-Bauer E. 2020. A continuum of evolving de novo genes drives
1089	Hill A Jain D. Ban Shahar V. 2010. The Dresenhile EDC shannel esizure playe a role in the
1090	Hill A, Jain P, Ben-Shahar Y. 2019. The <i>Drosophila</i> ERG channel seizure plays a role in the
1091	Hundertmark T. Cärtner SMK. Bethke C. Benkewitz Behl B. 2019. Neiiro/dCBD mediated
1092	hunderlinark T, Garmer Sivik, Ramke C, Renkawitz-Poni R. 2010. Nejire/uCDP-mediated
1095	misione no acelylation during spermatogenesis is essential for male remitiy in <i>Drosophila</i>
1094	Inclanoyaster. FLOS ONE 15.00203022.
1095	Jayaramalan Raja S, Renkawitz-Poni R. 2005. Replacement by <i>Drosophila metanogaster</i>
1090	and role of Socame in the removal of these proteins from the male productors
1097	And fole of Sesame in the removal of these proteins from the male producieus. Molecular and Callular Diology 25:6165, 6177
1098	Keesemenn H. 2010. Original evolution and phonetynic impact of new genes. Conome
1099	Response 20:1212 1226
1100	Research 20. 1313-1320.
1101	Calippayoon RL, Alpenn Jinin, Moenning AJ. 2013. Protainines and Spermatogenesis in
1102	Losophila and Homo sapiens. Spermalogenesis 5.624570.
1105	and the problematic sees of do nove gone emergence, of its 9:o47014
1104	Komkomor C. Catalán A. Darsch J. 2014. 'Escaping' the X chromosome loads to increased
1105	dono expression in the male cormline of Dresenhile melanogaster. Heredity 112:140
1100	155
1107	Kimura S. Lonnin B. 2016. The Dresenhile chromosomal protein Mst77E is processed to
1100	concrete an essential component of mature sporm chromatin. Open Biology 6:160207
11109	Kondo S. Vodanavagam I. Mohammod I. Eizadshonass S. Kan I. Pang N. Aradhya P. Siopol
1110	A Steinbauer I Lai EC 2017 New genes often acquire male-specific functions but
1111	rarely become essential in Drosonbila. Genes & development 31:1841-1846
1112	Landeen EL Muirhead CA Wright L Meikleighn CD Presgraves DC 2016 Sex Chromosome-
1117	wide Transcriptional Suppression and Compensatory Cis-Regulatory Evolution Mediate
1115	Gene Expression in the Drosonhila Male Germline. PLOS Riology 14:e1002499
1116	Lange A Mills RE Lange C.L Stewart M Devine SE Corbett AH 2007 Classical nuclear
1117	localization signals: definition function and interaction with Importing Journal of
1118	Biological Chemistry 282:5101-5105
1110	Lange A Patel PH Heames B Damry AM Saenger T Jackson CJ Findlay GD Bornberg-
1120	Bauer F 2021 Structural and functional characterization of a putative de novo gene in
1121	Drosophila Nature Communications 12.1667
1122	Larkin A Marvoold SJ Antonazzo G Attrill H Gilberto Garapati PV Joshua Millburn G
1123	Strelets VB Tabone CJ et al 2021 FlyBase: updates to the Drosophila melanogaster
1124	knowledge base. Nucleic acids research 49:D899-D907.
1125	Levine MT. Jones CD. Kern AD. Lindfors HA. Begun DJ. 2006. Novel genes derived from
1126	noncoding DNA in Drosophila melanogaster are frequently X-linked and exhibit testis-
1127	biased expression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103:9935-9939.
1128	Li D. Yan Z. Lu L. Jiang H. Wang W. 2014. Pleiotropy of the <i>de novo</i> -originated gene <i>MDF1</i> .
1129	Scientific reports 4:7280.
1130	Li Z-W. Chen X. Wu Q. Hagmann J. Han T-S. Zou Y-P. Ge S. Guo Y-L. 2016. On the origin of
1131	de novo genes in Arabidopsis thaliana populations. Genome biology and evolution
1132	8:2190-2202.
1133	Lim C, Tarayrah L, Chen X. 2012. Transcriptional regulation during Drosophila
1134	spermatogenesis. Spermatogenesis 2:158-166.

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

1135 1136 1137	Lipinski KJ, Farslow JC, Fitzpatrick KA, Lynch M, Katju V, Bergthorsson U. 2011. High spontaneous rate of gene duplication in Caenorhabditis elegans. Current biology : CB 21:306-310
1137	Lu T-C Leu LV Lin W-C 2017 A comprehensive analysis of transcript-supported de novo
1130	denes in Saccharomyces sensu stricto veasts. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34:2823-
1140	
1141	Mahadevaraju S. Fear, IM. Akeju M. Galletta B.I. Pinheiro MMI S. Avelino CC. Cabral-De-Mello
11/12	DC Conton K Dell'Orso S Demere 7 et al 2021 Dynamic sex chromosome
1142	expression in Drosophila male germ cells. Nature Communications 12
1144	Manier MK Belote JM Berben KS Novikov D Stuart WT Pitnick S 2010 Resolving
1145	mechanisms of competitive fertilization success in Drosophila melanogaster. Science
1146	328:354-357.
1147	Margues AC, Dupanloup I, Vinckenbosch N, Reymond A, Kaessmann H, 2005, Emergence of
1148	voung human genes after a burst of retroposition in primates. PLOS Biology 3:e357.
1149	McGeary MK. Findlay GD. 2020. Molecular evolution of the sex peptide network in <i>Drosophila</i> .
1150	Journal of Evolutionary Biology 33:629-641.
1151	McLysaght A, Hurst LD. 2016. Open questions in the study of <i>de novo</i> genes: what, how and
1152	why. Nature Reviews Genetics 17:567.
1153	Meiklejohn CD, Landeen EL, Cook JM, Kingan SB, Presgraves DC. 2011. Sex Chromosome-
1154	Specific Regulation in the Drosophila Male Germline But Little Evidence for
1155	Chromosomal Dosage Compensation or Meiotic Inactivation. PLOS Biology 9:e1001126.
1156	Mikhaylova LM, Nurminsky DI. 2011. Lack of global meiotic sex chromosome inactivation, and
1157	paucity of tissue-specific gene expression on the Drosophila X chromosome. BMC
1158	Biology 9:29.
1159	Moyers BA, Zhang J. 2015. Phylostratigraphic bias creates spurious patterns of genome
1160	evolution. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32:258-267.
1161	Moyers BA, Zhang J. 2018. Toward reducing phylostratigraphic errors and biases. Genome
1162	biology and evolution 10:2037-2048.
1163	Necsulea A, Kaessmann H. 2014. Evolutionary dynamics of coding and non-coding
1164	transcriptomes. Nature Reviews Genetics 15:734-748.
1165	NI J-Q, Zhou R, Czech B, Liu L-P, Holderbaum L, Yang-Zhou D, Shim H-S, Tao R, Handler D,
1160	Karpowicz P, et al. 2011. A genome-scale shrink resource for transgenic Rinki in Drocophile. Netwo Methodo 9:405-407
116/	Diosophila. Nature Methods 8:405-407. Delmiari N. Kasial C. Sablättarar C. 2014. The life avala of Drasanhila araban ganas, al ifa
1160	
1170	Darisi M. Nuttall R. Edwards P. Minor, I. Naiman D. Lii, I. Doctolero M. Vainer M. Chan C.
1170	Malley L et al. 2004. A survey of overver testise and some-biased gene expression in
1172	Drosophila melanogaster adults. Genome biology 5:R40
1173	Parisi M Nuttall R Naiman D Bouffard G Malley J Andrews J Eastman S Oliver B 2003
1174	Paucity of genes on the <i>Drosophila</i> X chromosome showing male-biased expression
1175	Science 299:697-700.
1176	Potter SC, Luciani A, Eddy SR, Park Y, Lopez R, Finn RD, 2018, HMMER web server: 2018
1177	update. Nucleic acids research 46:W200-W204.
1178	Puntambekar S, Newhouse R, Navas JSM, Chauhan R, Vernaz G, Willis T, Wayland MT,
1179	Umrania Y, Miska EA, Prabakaran S. 2020. Evolutionary divergence of novel open
1180	reading frames in cichlids speciation. Scientific reports 10.
1181	Rathke C, Baarends WM, Awe S, Renkawitz-Pohl R. 2014. Chromatin dynamics during
1182	spermiogenesis. In. Chromatin and epigenetic regulation of animal development. p. 155-
1183	168.
1184	Rathke C, Baarends WM, Jayaramaiah-Raja S, Bartkuhn M, Renkawitz R, Renkawitz-Pohl R.
1185	2007. Transition from a nucleosome-based to a protamine-based chromatin

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

1186 1187	configuration during spermiogenesis in <i>Drosophila</i> . Journal of cell science 120:1689- 1700.
1188	Rathke C, Barckmann B, Burkhard S, Jayaramaiah-Raja S, Roote J, Renkawitz-Pohl R. 2010.
1189	Distinct functions of Mst77F and protamines in nuclear shaping and chromatin
1190	condensation during Drosophila spermiogenesis. European Journal of Cell Biology
1191	89:326-338.
1192	Ravi Ram K, Wolfner MF. 2007. Sustained post-mating response in Drosophila melanogaster
1193	requires multiple seminal fluid proteins. PLoS Genetics 3:e238.
1194	Reinhardt JA, Wanjiru BM, Brant AT, Saelao P, Begun DJ, Jones CD. 2013. <i>De novo</i> ORFs in
1195	Drosophila are important to organismal fitness and evolved rapidly from previously non-
1196	Cooling sequences. PLoS Genetics 9:e1003860.
119/	Reinke V, San Gill, Ward S, Kazmer K. 2004. Genome-wide germine-enfiched and sex-blased
1198	Polo CP. Sandlin KM, Loung W, Pood J K, 2020, Manual Appotation of Conce within Dresenhile.
1200	Species: the Genomics Education Partnership protocol, bioRviv 12 10 /20521
1200	Ruiz-Orera I Hernandez-Rodriguez I Chiva C. Sabidó E Kondova I Bontron R Margués-
1201	Bonet T Albà MM 2016 Origins of <i>de novo</i> genes in human and chimpanzee. PLoS
1202	Genetics 11:e1005721
1204	Schaeffer SW. Bhutkar A. McAllister BF. Matsuda M. Matzkin LM. O'Grady PM. Rohde C.
1205	Valente VLS, Aguadé M, Anderson WW, et al. 2008. Polytene Chromosomal Maps of 11
1206	Drosophila Species: The Order of Genomic Scaffolds Inferred From Genetic and
1207	Physical Maps. Genetics 179:1601-1655.
1208	Schlötterer C. 2015. Genes from scratch – the evolutionary fate of <i>de novo</i> genes. Trends in
1209	Genetics 31:215-219.
1210	Schmitz JF, Ullrich KK, Bornberg-Bauer E. 2018. Incipient <i>de novo</i> genes can evolve from
1211	frozen accidents that escaped rapid transcript turnover. Nature Ecology & Evolution
1212	2:1626-1632.
1213	Schnakenberg SL, Matias WR, Siegal ML. 2011. Sperm-storage detects and live birth in
1214	Drosophila females lacking spermathecal secretory cells. PLOS Biology 9:e1001192.
1215	Schun M, Lenner CF, Heidmann S. 2007. Incorporation of <i>Drosophila</i> CiD/CENP-A and CENP-
1210	Slater G Birney E 2005 Automated generation of beuristics for biological sequence
1217	comparison BMC Bioinformatics 6:31
1210	Sorourian M Kunte MM Domingues S. Gallach M Özdil F. Río J. Betrán F. 2014. Relocation
1220	facilitates the acquisition of short <i>cis</i> -regulatory regions that drive the expression of
1221	retrogenes during spermatogenesis in <i>Drosophila</i> . Molecular Biology and Evolution
1222	31:2170-2180.
1223	Soumillon M, Necsulea A, Weier M, Brawand D, Zhang X, Gu H, Barthès P, Kokkinaki M, Nef S,
1224	Gnirke A, et al. 2013. Cellular source and mechanisms of high transcriptome complexity
1225	in the mammalian testis. Cell Reports 3:2179-2190.
1226	Steinhauer J. 2015. Separating from the pack: molecular mechanisms of Drosophila spermatid
1227	individualization. Spermatogenesis 5:e1041345.
1228	Suenaga Y, Islam SMR, Alagu J, Kaneko Y, Kato M, Tanaka Y, Kawana H, Hossain S,
1229	Matsumoto D, Yamamoto M, et al. 2014. NCYM, a <i>cis</i> -antisense gene of MYCN,
1230	encodes a <i>de novo</i> evolved protein that inhibits GSK3 $\beta$ resulting in the stabilization of MVCN is human neuroblastomes. Bl eS Constitute 4002000
1231	IVITUN IN NUMAN NEURODIASIOMAS. PLOS GENETICS 10:01003996.
1232	Drosophila Protomino Like Met25Ba and Met25Bb Are Dequired for Droper Sporm
1233	Nuclear Morphology but Are Dispensable for Male Fertility G3
1234	Genes/Genomes/Genetics 4:2241-2245
1233	

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

1236 1237	Vakirlis N, Acar O, Hsu B, Castilho Coelho N, Van Oss SB, Wacholder A, Medetgul-Ernar K, Bowman RW, Hines CP, Jannotta J, et al. 2020, De novo emergence of adaptive
1238	membrane proteins from thymine-rich genomic sequences. Nature Communications 11
1230	Vakirlis N. Hebert AS. Onulente DA. Achaz G. Hittinger CT. Fischer G. Coon J.J. Lafontaine I
1240	2018 A molecular portrait of de novo genes in yeasts. Molecular Biology and Evolution
1241	35.631-645
1242	Van Oss SB, Carvunis A-R, 2019, <i>De novo</i> gene birth, PLoS Genetics 15:e1008160
1242	VanKuren NW Long M 2018. Gene duplicates resolving sexual conflict rapidly evolved
1245	essential gametogenesis functions. Nature Ecology & Evolution 2:705-712
1245	Vibranovski MD 2014 Meiotic Sex Chromosome Inactivation in Drosonbila Journal of
1246	Genomics 2:104-117
1247	Vibranovski MD Lones HF Karr TL Long M 2009 Stage-specific expression profiling of
1248	Drosonhila spermatogenesis suggests that mejotic sex chromosome inactivation drives
1249	genomic relocation of testis-expressed genes. PLoS Genetics 5:e1000731
1250	Vibranovski MD Zhang Y Long M 2009 General gene movement off the X chromosome in the
1250	Drosonhila genus, Genome Research 19:897-903
1252	Vibranovski MD Zhang YE Kemkemer C. Lones HE Karr TL Long M 2012 Re-analysis of the
1253	larval testis data on meiotic sex chromosome inactivation revealed evidence for tissue-
1254	specific gene expression related to the drosophila X chromosome, BMC Biology 10:49
1255	Washrough FR Dorus S Hester S Howard-Murkin J Lillev K Wilkin F Polpitiva A Petritis K
1256	Karr TI 2010 The Drosophila melanogaster sperm proteome-II (DmSP-II) Journal of
1257	Proteomics 73:2171-2185.
1258	Weisman CM, Murray AW, Eddy SR, 2020, Many, but not all, lineage-specific genes can be
1259	explained by homology detection failure. PLOS Biology 18:e3000862.
1260	Wen K. Yang L. Xiong T. Di C. Ma D. Wu M. Xue Z. Zhang X. Long L. Zhang W. et al. 2016.
1261	Critical roles of long noncoding RNAs in <i>Drosophila</i> Spermatogenesis. Genome
1262	Research 26:1233-1244.
1263	White-Cooper H. 2010. Molecular mechanisms of gene regulation during Drosophila
1264	spermatogenesis. REPRODUCTION 139:11-21.
1265	White-Cooper H. 2004. Spermatogenesis: analysis of meiosis and morphogenesis. In:
1266	Henderson D, editor. Drosophila Cytogenetics Protocols. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press. p.
1267	45-75.
1268	White-Cooper H. 2012. Tissue, cell type and stage-specific ectopic gene expression and RNAi
1269	induction in the Drosophila testis. Spermatogenesis 2:11-22.
1270	Wilburn DB, Swanson WJ. 2016. From molecules to mating: Rapid evolution and biochemical
1271	studies of reproductive proteins. Journal of Proteomics 135:12-25.
1272	Wilson BA, Foy SG, Neme R, Masel J. 2017. Young genes are highly disordered as predicted
1273	by the preadaptation hypothesis of <i>de novo</i> gene birth. Nature Ecology & Evolution
1274	1:0146.
1275	Witt E, Benjamin S, Svetec N, Zhao L. 2019. Testis single-cell RNA-seq reveals the dynamics of
1276	de novo gene transcription and germline mutational bias in <i>Drosophila</i> . eLife 8.
1277	Wong A, Albright SN, Giebel JD, Ram KR, Ji S, Fiumera AC, Wolfner MF. 2008. A role for
1278	Acp29AB, a predicted seminal fluid lectin, in female sperm storage in Drosophila
1279	melanogaster. Genetics 180:921-931.
1280	Wu DD, Irwin DM, Zhang YP. 2011. De novo origin of human protein-coding genes. PLoS
1281	Genetics 7.
1282	Xiao W, Liu H, Li Y, Li X, Xu C, Long M, Wang S. 2009. A rice gene of <i>de novo</i> origin negatively
1283	regulates pathogen-induced defense response. PLOS ONE 4:e4603.
1284	XIE C, BEKPEN C, KUNZEI S, KESNAVARZ M, KREDS-Wheaton R, Skrabar N, Ullrich KK, Tautz D.
1285	2019. A de novo evolved gene in the house mouse regulates female pregnancy cycles.
1286	elite ð.

Rivard, Ludwig, Patel et al.

- 1287Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Molecular Biology and1288Evolution 24:1586-1591.
- Yeh SD, Do T, Chan C, Cordova A, Carranza F, Yamamoto EA, Abbassi M, Gandasetiawan
   KA, Librado P, Damia E, et al. 2012. Functional evidence that a recently evolved
   Drosophila sperm-specific gene boosts sperm competition. Proceedings of the National
   Academy of Sciences 109:2043-2048.
- Zdobnov EM, Tegenfeldt F, Kuznetsov D, Waterhouse RM, Simão FA, Ioannidis P, Seppey M,
   Loetscher A, Kriventseva EV. 2017. OrthoDB v9.1: cataloging evolutionary and
   functional annotations for animal, fungal, plant, archaeal, bacterial and viral orthologs.
   Nucleic acids research 45:D744-D749.
- 1297 Zhang J. 2003. Evolution by gene duplication: an update. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18:292-1298 298.
- Zhang L, Ren Y, Yang T, Li G, Chen J, Gschwend AR, Yu Y, Hou G, Zi J, Zhou R, et al. 2019.
   Rapid evolution of protein diversity by de novo origination in *Oryza*. Nature Ecology &
   Evolution 3:679-690.
- 1302Zhang W, Landback P, Gschwend AR, Shen B, Long M. 2015. New genes drive the evolution of<br/>gene interaction networks in the human and mouse genomes. Genome biology 16.
- 1304Zhang YE, Vibranovski MD, Krinsky BH, Long M. 2010. Age-dependent chromosomal1305distribution of male-biased genes in *Drosophila*. Genome Research 20:1526-1533.
- I306 Zhang YE, Vibranovski MD, Landback P, Marais GAB, Long M. 2010. Chromosomal
   I307 Redistribution of Male-Biased Genes in Mammalian Evolution with Two Bursts of Gene
   I308 Gain on the X Chromosome. PLOS Biology 8:e1000494.
- 1309Zhao L, Saelao P, Jones CD, Begun DJ. 2014. Origin and spread of *de novo* genes in1310Drosophila melanogaster populations. Science 343:769-772.
- Image X, Yang C, Murphy KR, Cheng CHC. 2019. Molecular mechanism and history of non sense to sense evolution of antifreeze glycoprotein gene in northern gadids.
   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116:4400-4405.
- 1313