

A PUTNAM AREA INEQUALITY FOR THE SPECTRUM OF n -TUPLES OF p -HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

B. P. DUGGAL

*Department of Mathematics, University of Botswana, Private Bag 0022, Gaborone, Botswana
(Southern Africa)*

(Received 16 December, 1997)

Abstract. We prove an n -tuple analogue of the Putnam area inequality for the spectrum of a single p -hyponormal operator.

Let $B(H)$ denote the algebra of operators (i.e. bounded linear transformations) on a separable Hilbert space H . The operator $A \in B(H)$ is said to be p -hyponormal, $0 < p \leq 1$, if $|A^*|^{2p} \leq |A|^{2p}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(p)$ denote the class of p -hyponormal operators. Then $\mathcal{H}(1)$ consists of the class of p -hyponormal operators and $\mathcal{H}(\frac{1}{2})$ consists of the class of semi-hyponormal operators introduced by D. Xia. (See [11, p. 238] for the appropriate reference.) $\mathcal{H}(p)$ operators for a general p with $0 < p < 1$ have been studied by a number of authors in the recent past; (see [3, 4, 5] for further references). Generally speaking, $\mathcal{H}(p)$ operators ($0 < p < 1$) have spectral properties very similar to those of hyponormal operators. In particular, a Putnam inequality relating the norm of the commutator $D_p = |A|^{2p} - |A^*|^{2p}$ of $A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$ to the area of the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A holds; indeed

$$\|D_p\| \leq \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{\sigma(A)} r^{2p-1} dr \quad (1)$$

(See [4, Theorem 3]; also see [7,8] for the case $p = 1$.)

Let $\mathcal{U} = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$ be a commuting n -tuple of unitaries, and let $E(\cdot)$ denote the spectral measure of \mathcal{U} . Let $\partial\mathbb{D}$ denote the boundary of the unit disc in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $\Gamma(\mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \sigma(\mathcal{U})$ the Taylor joint spectrum of \mathcal{U} . Denote the set of (all) products $\Delta = \delta_1 \times \delta_2 \times \dots \times \delta_n$ of open arcs $\delta_i \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ containing z_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Let $\mathcal{A} \in B(H)$. The Xia spectrum of the non-commuting $(n + 1)$ -tuple $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A})$, denoted $\sigma_x(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A})$, is defined to be the set

$$\{(\mathbf{z}, r) : \mathbf{z} \in \sigma(\mathcal{U}), r \in \bigcap_{\Delta \in \Gamma(\mathbf{z})} \sigma(E(\Delta)\mathcal{A}E(\Delta))\}.$$

(see [10]). The concept of Xia spectrum has proved to be a very useful one: it has been used by Xia [10] to study the spectra of semi-hyponormal n -tuples, by Chen and Huang [2] to describe the Taylor spectrum of (and prove a Putnam area inequality for) n -tuples of hyponormal operators, and (recently) by Chō and Huruya [3] in their consideration of p -hyponormal tuples. Let $Q_i : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ be the operator $Q_i L = L - U_i L U_i^*$; U_i 's, as above. Let $\mathcal{A} \geq 0$. Then $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A})$ is said to be a p -hyponormal tuple if $Q_{i_1} Q_{i_2} \dots Q_{i_k} \mathcal{A}^{2p} \geq 0$, for all $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$.

Extending Xia’s result on semi-hyponormal tuples [10], Chō and Huruya [3] have shown that if $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A})$ is a p -hyponormal tuple, then

$$\|Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_n \mathcal{A}^{2p}\| \leq \frac{2p}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\sigma_x(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A})} \dots \int r^{2p-1} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n dr. \tag{2}$$

In this note we prove an analogue of inequality (1) for n -tuples of doubly commuting $\mathcal{H}U(p)$ operators (notation as below).

It is an immediate consequence of the Löwner inequality [11, p. 5] that an $\mathcal{H}(p)$ operator is an $\mathcal{H}(q)$ operator, for all $0 < q \leq p$; hence we may assume that $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$. If an $A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$, $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$, has equal defect and nullity, then the partial isometry U in the polar decomposition $A = U|A|$ may be taken to be a unitary. Let $\mathcal{H}U(p)$ denote those $A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$ for which the partial isometry U (in $A = U|A|$) is unitary. Given an $A_i \in \mathcal{H}U(p)$, $\hat{A}_i = U_i|A_i|$, define $\tilde{A}_i = V_i|\hat{A}_i|$ and $\check{A}_i = W_i|\hat{A}_i|$ by $\hat{A}_i = |A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}U_i|A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\tilde{A}_i = |A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}V_i|\hat{A}_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}$; \tilde{A}_i then $\in \mathcal{H}U(p + \frac{1}{2})$ and $\check{A}_i \in \mathcal{H}U(1)$. Let \mathbb{A} denote the n -tuple $\mathbb{A} = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$, $A_i \in \mathcal{H}U(p)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and let $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ denote the n -tuple $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = (U_1 V_1 |A_1|^p, \dots, U_n V_n |A_n|^p)$. Define the commutators D_{pi} , \tilde{D}_{pi} , \check{D}_i and \check{D}_{pi} as follows:

$$D_{pi} = |A_i|^{2p} - |A_i^*|^{2p} (\geq 0), \quad \tilde{D}_{pi} = |\tilde{A}_i|^{2p} - |\tilde{A}_i^*|^{2p} (\geq 0),$$

$$\check{D}_i = |\check{A}_i|^2 - |\check{A}_i^*|^2 (\geq 0) \text{ and } \check{D}_{pi} = |\tilde{A}_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |\tilde{A}_i^*|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^*.$$

Let

$$D_p = \prod_{i=1}^n D_{pi}, \quad \tilde{D} = \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{D}_{pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{D}_p = \prod_{i=1}^n \check{D}_{pi}.$$

Let dv denote the Lebesgue volume measure in \mathbb{C}^n , let m denote the (normalized) Haar measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and let μ denote the linear Lebesgue measure. For a given $A_i \in \mathcal{H}U(p)$, let P_i denote the pure part (=completely non-normal part) of A_i . We prove the following result.

THEOREM. *If \mathbb{A} is doubly commuting, then*

$$\|D_p\| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2p}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\sigma_x(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_n)} \dots \int d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n dr, \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int \int dv \right\}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n |\tilde{A}_i|$ and \mathcal{U} is as defined in Lemma 3 (below). If also either

- (i) $m(\sigma(U_i V_i)) = 0$ or
- (ii) $\mu(\sigma|P_i|) = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\|D_p\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{np}} \left(\int_{\sigma(\mathbb{A})} \int dv \right)^p. \tag{4}$$

REMARK. The hypothesis that $\mu(\sigma(|P_i|)) = 0$ implies that there exists a finite or countably infinite number of pairwise disjoint annuli $0_n = \{\lambda : a_n < |\lambda| < b_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $\sigma(P_i) = U 0_n$ (see [9, Theorem 9]).

The proof of the theorem proceeds through a number of steps, stated below as lemmas.

LEMMA 1. $0 \leq D_{pi} \leq \check{D}_{pi}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Let $E_i^{1/2p} = U_i^* |A_i|^{2p} U_i$, $F_i = |A_i|^{2p}$ and $G_i = U_i |A_i|^{2p} U_i^*$; then, since $A_i \in \mathcal{HU}(p)$, $E_i = U_i^* |A_i|^{2p} U_i \geq F_i \geq G_i$. It follows from an application of the Furuta inequality [6] that

$$|A_i^*|^{2(p+\frac{1}{2})} \leq |A_i|^{2(p+\frac{1}{2})} \leq |\hat{A}_i|^{2(p+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

The operator A_i being $\mathcal{HU}(p + \frac{1}{2})$ is $\mathcal{HU}(\frac{1}{2})$, and so $V_i |A_i| V_i^* \leq |\hat{A}_i| \leq V_i^* |\hat{A}_i| V_i$. This (together with an additional argument, similar to the one above) implies that

$$|\tilde{A}_i^*|^{2p} \leq |\hat{A}_i|^{2p} \leq |\tilde{A}_i|^{2p}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \check{D}_{pi} &= |\tilde{A}_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |\tilde{A}_i^*|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^* \\ &\geq |\hat{A}_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |\hat{A}_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^* = |\hat{A}_i|^{2p} - U_i |\hat{A}_i^*|^{2p} U_i^* \\ &\geq |A_i|^{2p} - U_i |A_i|^{2p} U_i^* \\ &= |A_i|^{2p} - |A_i^*|^{2p} = D_{pi} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Given $A, B \in B(H)$, let $[A, B] = AB - BA$. Recall that the *n*-tuple A is said to be doubly commuting if

$$[A_i, A_j] = 0 = [A_i, A_j^*],$$

for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

LEMMA 2. If \mathbb{A} is doubly commuting, then $[D_{pi}, D_{pj}] = 0 = [\check{D}_{pi}, \check{D}_{pj}]$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and $0 \leq D_p \leq \check{D}_p$.

Proof. The doubly commuting property of \mathbb{A} implies that $[S_i, T_j] = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, where S_i is either of $U_i, V_i, W_i, |A_i|, |\hat{A}_i|$ and $|\tilde{A}_i|$, and (similarly) T_j is either $U_j, V_j, W_j, |A_j|, |\hat{A}_j|$ and $|\tilde{A}_j|$. (See [5, Lemma 1].) This implies that

$[D_{pi}, D_{pj}] = 0 = [\check{D}_{pi}, \check{D}_{pj}]$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The commutativity of D_{pi} with D_{pj} taken together with the positivity of D_{pi} , for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, implies that $D_p \geq 0$. Since $\check{D}_{pi} \geq D_{pi}$, for all i , and \check{D}_{pi} commutes with \check{D}_{pj} , for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $\check{D}_p \geq D_p$.

Let \mathbb{A} be doubly commuting; let \mathcal{A}_n and U_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be the operators $\mathcal{A}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n |\tilde{A}_i|$ and $U_i =$ sum of the $\binom{n}{i}$ combinations of $U_1 V_1 W_1, U_2 V_2 W_2, \dots, U_n V_n W_n$ taken i at a time. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n);$$

then the n -tuple \mathcal{U} consists of mutually commuting unitaries and the Xia spectrum $\sigma_x(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_n)$ is well defined.

LEMMA 3. *If \mathbb{A} is doubly commuting, then*

$$\|D_p\| \leq \frac{2p}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\sigma_x(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_n)} \dots \int r^{2p-1} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n dr.$$

Proof. Let $Q_i : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be defined as before. A straight forward computation (using the commutativity relations $[S_i, T_j]$ of Lemma 2) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \prod_{j=1}^k D_{pi_j} \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \check{D}_{pi_j} \\ &= Q_{i_1} Q_{i_2} \dots Q_{i_k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k |\tilde{A}_{i_j}| \right)^{2p} \\ &= Q_{i_1} Q_{i_2} \dots Q_{i_k} \mathcal{A}_k^{2p}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$. Hence the $(n + 1)$ -tuple $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_n)$ is p -hyponormal (equivalently, $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_n^{2p})$ is semi-hyponormal). It follows from [3, Theorem 2] and [10, Theorem 5]) that

$$\|D_p\| \leq \|\check{D}_p\| \leq \frac{2p}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\sigma_x(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_n)} \dots \int r^{2p-1} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n dr.$$

Given an $A_i \in \mathcal{H}U(p)$, let $A_i = N_i \oplus P_i$ denote the direct sum decomposition of A_i into its normal and pure parts.

LEMMA 4. *Given $A_i \in \mathcal{H}U(p)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\| |A_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^* \| \\ &\leq \min\{ \| |A_i|^{2p} \| m(\sigma(U_i V_i)), \mu(\sigma(|A_i|^{2p})) \}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let $A_i = U_i|A_i| \in \mathcal{HU}(p)$; then

$$\begin{aligned} |A_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^* &= U_i \{ U_i^* |A_i|^{2p} U_i - V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* \} U_i^* \\ &\geq U_i \{ |A_i|^{2p} - V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* \} U_i^* \text{ (since } A_i \in \mathcal{HU}(p)) \\ &\geq U_i \{ |A_i|^{2p} - V_i |\hat{A}_i|^{2p} V_i^* \} U_i^* \\ &\quad \text{(since } |A_i|^{2p} \leq |\hat{A}_i|^{2p} \text{ by Lemma 1)} \\ &= U_i \{ |A_i|^{2p} - |\hat{A}_i^*|^{2p} \} U_i^* \\ &\geq 0 \text{ (since } |\hat{A}_i^*|^{2p} \leq |A_i|^{2p} \text{ - see Lemma 1).} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $P_i \in \mathcal{HU}(p)$. Let P_i have the polar decomposition $P_i = u_i |P_i|$ and define (the pure $\mathcal{HU}(p + \frac{1}{2})$ operator) $\hat{P}_i = v_i |\hat{P}_i|$ by $\hat{P}_i = |P_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} u_i |P_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $0 \leq |P_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} - u_i v_i |P_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} v_i^* u_i^*$, u_i and v_i are unitaries; also

$$\begin{aligned} \||A_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^*\| &= \|0 \oplus (|P_i|^{2p} - u_i v_i |P_i|^{2p} v_i^* u_i^*)\| \\ &= \||P_i|^{2p} - u_i v_i |P_i|^{2p} v_i^* u_i^*\| \\ &\leq \mu(\sigma(|P_i|^{2p})) \text{ (by [7, p. 143; Problem 5(b)])} \\ &\leq \mu(\sigma(|A_i|^{2p})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq |A_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^*$, $\||A_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^*\| \leq \||A_i|^{2p}\| m(\sigma(U_i V_i))$, (by [7, p. 143; Problem 5(a)]), the lemma is proved.

Proof of the Theorem. As seen in Lemmas 1 and 2, $0 \leq D_{pi} \leq \check{D}_{pi}$ and $0 \leq D_p \leq \check{D}_p$. Hence $\|D_p\| \leq \|\check{D}_p\|$. Since the operator $U_i V_i |A_i|^p$ is hyponormal for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\check{\mathbb{A}}$ is a doubly commuting *n*-tuple of hyponormal operators. Hence, by [2, Theorem 5], we have

$$\|D_p\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\sigma(\check{\mathbb{A}})} \dots \int d\nu.$$

Combining this with inequality (5) we have inequality (3). We now prove inequality (4).

$$\text{Let } \prod_{i=1}^{n'} D_{pi} = D_{p1} D_{p2} \dots D_{p(i-1)} D_{p(i+1)} \dots D_{pn}, \quad \hat{D}_{pi} = U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^* - |A_i^*|^{2p}.$$

If either of the hypotheses (i) or (ii) of the statement of the theorem holds, then $\||A_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^*\| = 0$; (see the proof of Lemma 4). Suppose now that either (i) or (ii) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_p\| &= \left\| \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n'} D_{pi} \right) |A_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^* + \hat{D}_{pi} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n'} D_{pi} \right) \right\| \left\| |A_i|^{2p} - U_i V_i |A_i|^{2p} V_i^* U_i^* \right\| + \left\| \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n'} D_{pi} \right) \hat{D}_{pi} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n'} D_{pi} \right) \hat{D}_{pi} \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

and so, by repeating the argument, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_p\| &\leq \dots \leq \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{D}_{pi} \right\| \leq \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n U_i V_i (|\tilde{A}_i|^{2p} - |\tilde{A}_i^*|^{2p}) V_i^* U_i^* \right\| \\ &= \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{D}_{pi} \right\| = \left\| \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n'} \tilde{D}_{pi} \right) (|\tilde{A}_i|^{2p} - |\tilde{A}_i^*|^{2p}) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

(See the proof of Lemma 1.)

Let $\prod_{i=1}^{n'} \tilde{D}_{pi} = \tilde{D}_{p1} \tilde{D}_{p2} \dots \tilde{D}_{p(i-1)} \dots \tilde{D}_{p(i+1)} \dots \tilde{D}_{pn} = d$; then $d \geq 0$ and d commutes with \tilde{A}_i . Since \tilde{A}_i is hyponormal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_p\| &\leq \left\| (d^{\frac{1}{p}} |\tilde{A}_i|^2)^p - (d^{\frac{1}{p}} |\tilde{A}_i^*|^2)^p \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \{d^{\frac{1}{p}} |\tilde{A}_i|^2 - d^{\frac{1}{p}} |\tilde{A}_i^*|^2\}^p \right\| \text{ (by [1, Theorem 1])} \\ &= \|d \tilde{D}_i^p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by repeating the argument, we obtain

$$\|D_p\| \leq \|d \tilde{D}_i^p\| \leq \dots \leq \left\| \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{D}_i \right)^p \right\| \leq \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{D}_i \right\|^p,$$

(since $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$). The n -tuple $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} = (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}_n)$ is a doubly commuting n -tuple of hyponormal operators; applying [2, Theorem 5] we obtain

$$\|D_p\| \leq \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{D}_i \right\|^p \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{np}} \left(\int \int_{\sigma(\tilde{\mathbb{A}})} d\nu \right)^p.$$

Recall that $\sigma(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}) = \sigma(\mathbb{A})$ by [4; Theorem 1]; hence

$$\|D_p\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{np}} \left(\int \int_{\sigma(\mathbb{A})} d\nu \right)^p.$$

This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. It is my pleasure to thank Professor Muneo Chō for supplying me with a preprint copy of [3].

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, Comparison of norms $\|f(A) - f(B)\|$ and $\|f(|A - B|)\|$, *Math. Z.* **197** (1988), 403–409.
2. X. Chen and C. Huang, On the representation of the joint spectrum for a commuting n -tuple of non-normal operators, *Acta Math. Sinica (New Series)* **3** (1987), 215–226.
3. M. Chō and T. Huruya, Putnam’s inequality for p -hyponormal n -tuples, *Glasgow Math. J.* **41** (1999), 13–17.
4. M. Chō and M. Itoh, Putnam’s inequality for p -hyponormal operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1995), 2435–2440.
5. B. P. Duggal, On the spectrum of n -tuples of p -hyponormal operators, *Glasgow Math. J.* **39** (1997), 405–413.
6. T. Furuta, $A \geq B \geq 0$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq B^{\frac{(p+2r)}{q}}$ for $r \geq 0, p \geq 0, q \geq 1$ with $(1 + 2r)q \geq p + 2r$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101** (1987), 85–88.
7. M. Martin and M. Putinar, *Lectures on hyponormal operators* (Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1989).
8. C. R. Putnam, *Commutation properties of Hilbert space operators and related topics* (Springer-Verlag, 1967).
9. C. R. Putnam, Spectra of polar factors of hyponormal operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **188** (1974), 419–428.
10. D. Xia, On the semi-hyponormal n -tuple of operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **6** (1983), 879–898.
11. D. Xia, *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators* (Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1983).