# A Real Life Light Flicker Case-Study with LED Lamps

#### Jiri Drapela

Dept. of Electrical Power Engineering Brno University of Technology Brno, Czech Republic drapela@feec.vutbr.cz Roberto Langella Alfredo Testa Dept. of Ind. and Inform. Engineering The University of Campania Aversa, Italy roberto.langella@unicampania.it alfredo.testa@unicampania.it Joseph E. Grappè

Duke Energy Lead Power Quality Engineer Garner, NC 27529, USA Joseph.Grappe@duke-energy.com

*Abstract*— This paper shows the results of a real life case-study which demonstrates also that there is a need for new Flickermeters able to cope with new lamps' technologies different from standard incandescent, in particular with LED lamps. The case-study refers to a LED lamp that was visibly flickering even if measurements taken onsite with a standard PQ instrument reported neither THD nor Pst issues. Numerical tests using a new Flickermeter proposed by some of the authors and laboratory experiments by means of an objective light Flickermeter have demonstrated the reasons of this singular case.

### *Index Terms*— Flickermeters, LED lamps, Light Flicker, Power Quality.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that IEC compliant Flickermeters (FM) are capable of measuring fast voltage fluctuations in terms of Pst that is a good indicator of the amount of Light Flicker (LF) produced by a standard incandescent lamp which is sensible to RMS time variations [1]. Recent literature have demonstrated the sensitivity of the new lamps (CFL and LED) in terms of Light Flicker to interharmonic frequencies lower and higher than the double of the system frequency even in absence of harmonics [2]-[13]. Moreover, the CIGRE WG C4.111 [14] has recently reviewed additional available research regarding sensitivities lamp to make recommendations for possible future ways to manage voltage the Standard fluctuations. Finally, IEEE P1453 "Recommended Practice for the Analysis of Fluctuating Installations on Power Systems [15], contains the Annex A (informative) titled "Impact of interharmonics on flicker related to non-incandescent lamps".

In the relevant literature, recent examples of new FM are reported in [16]-[22]. In particular, [20]-[22] remove the demodulator and modify weighting filters of the IEC FM in order to evaluate LF directly from fluctuations of lamp light flux evaluating temporal flicker severity of any lamp at any voltage condition very accurately but are not practical, since the measurement methodology needs laboratory tests with real lamp placed in opportune test chambers.

In [22] a tunable flickermeter based on a generalized lamp model able to account for lamp technologies different from incandescent is presented. The generalized lamp model is based on the use of only three input parameters derived from the type of the lamp and its electrical circuit that have to be selected to emulate the behavior of a specific lamp. Starting from the measurement of the instantaneous input line voltages (L1, L2, L3) as for the classical IEC FM, the Pst and Plt outputs can obtained.

This paper shows the results of a real life case-study which demonstrate that there is a need for new Flickermeters able to cope with new lamps' technologies different from standard incandescent, in particular with respect to LED lamps. The casestudy refer to a LED lamp that was visible flickering even if measurements taken onsite with a standard PQ instrument reported neither THD nor Pst issues. The flickering lamp was substituted with another model and the Light Flicker problem fixed. In this paper, after a preliminary description of the casestudy, measured line voltage waveforms are analysed in order to deeply characterize their spectral content. Finally, numerical tests using a new Flickermeter proposed by some of the authors and laboratory experiments by means of an objective light Flickermeter have demonstrated the reasons of this singular case.

#### II. CASE-STUDY DESCRIPTION

#### A. Circuit Description

A chicken house served by an electric utility complained about flickering lights inside their facilities after recently changing over to LED lights as a means to reduce energy cost.

The paper was prepared at the SUN-EMC Lab. of the University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli" and at the Centre for Research and Utilization of Renewable Energy of the Brno University of Technology. The authors acknowledge financial support from Italian Ministry of University and Research (PON03PE-00178-1) and from National Feasibility Programme I of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport of the Czech Republic (LO1210).

The facilities in question consisted of a total of 12 chicken houses. Each house is approximately 500ft long by 50ft wide and contains 100 LED lights (120V) per house of which, only 70 are on a dimmer system. There are also outside LED area lights around the chicken houses that are not on a dimmer system.



Figure 1. Photoorto map of the houses

Electrically, the site is slightly over 13 miles from the substation and connected to a 23kV circuit feeding three separate single phase 100kVA transformers providing 60Hz 120/240V service. Each 100kVA transformer serves 4 houses. The service conductor going to each of the four chicken houses and circuit breaker panel is 2/0 Aluminum Triplex. The 70 dimmable lights are served from a LED dimmer system controller which is in turn fed from the panel. The remaining 30 lights are straight off a breaker from the panel. Upon inspection of the facility visible flicker phenomenon was present in all LED lights served from the 100 kVA transformer.

#### B. Measurement Instrument and waveforms measured

A power quality recorder was connected to the service conductors at the transformer to measure the variations in voltage, current, flicker, power, and to capture waveforms of the phenomenon. Originally the service transformer location was chosen due to the fact that all four chicken houses were seeing the flicker effects however when the RMS data for the voltage and current were graphed there appeared to be no fluctuations in either that would account for the visual fluctuation in the light. Fig. 2 shows an RMS Voltage Plot recorded at the site with a nominal of 120 V phase to neutral.

Flicker Values never went above 0.32 Pst while the phenomenon was occurring. Fig. 3 shows a plot of the Pst values obtained at the transformer. The waveforms of the voltage and current however showed a repetitive transient that was occurring at the peak of the voltage waveforms due to a high speed current draw of the LEDs on the dimmer circuit. A waveform of the voltage and current is shown in Fig.4. This high speed current draw was found to be the root cause of the

flickering LED lights however, why this phenomenon caused the LED lights to flicker and why the existing flicker indices model did not align with the observed phenomenon needed further investigation. The problem was fixed substituting the original LED lights (LED\_OLD) with another model from another manufacturer (LED NEW).



Figure 2. Voltage RMS versus the time measured on site.





Figure 3. Flicker severity index,  $P_{st}$ , versus the time measured on site.

Figure 4. Time waveforms measured on site: line to neutral voltages; line currents.

#### **III. DISTORTION ANALYSYS**

This section reports a very accurate spectral analysis of the line voltage measured on the field in order to the origin of the phenomenon and its simple analytical explanation using the theory of inter-modulation.

#### A. Distrotion analysis of experimental data

Fig. 5 shows the zoom of the absolute value of the line voltage versus the time where it is evident the phenomenon of the peak modulation that seems to be the cause of the flickering of the LED lamps.



Figure 5. Zoom of the absolute value of the line voltage versus the time.





b) Spectrum in the ranges from dc to 0.8 kHz to 10 kHz.

Figure 6. Line voltage spectrum versus frequency: a) range from dc to 0.8 kHz; b) range from 0.8 kHz to 10 kHz (red dashed lines refer to interharmonics, black dash-dotted lines refer to harmonics).

Fig. 6 shows line voltage spectrum versus frequency: a) range from dc to 0.8 kHz and b) range from 0.8 kHz to 10 kHz (red dashed lines refer to interharmonics, black dashdotted lines refer to harmonics). It is possible to observe that in the low frequency range (Fig. 6a)) some interharmonics are present but their amplitude is too small to produce LF. On the contrary, in the high frequency range (Fig. 6b) frequency tones characterized by an amplitude triple with respect to the abovementioned interharmonics are present. The richness of this spectrum evidences the presence of an intermodulation phenomenon in the line voltage.

## B. Theoretical analysis to show the origin of the peak modulation

Let's consider an ideal sinusoidal voltage at fundamental frequency,  $v_l(t)$ , with a superimposed high frequency signal composed by a couple of harmonics and a couple of interharmonics,  $v_{HF}(t)$ :

$$v_1(t) = 100 \sin(2\pi \cdot 50 \cdot t),$$
 (1)

$$v_{HF}(t) = 2 \cdot \sin(2\pi \cdot 2500 \cdot f_1 t) + 1 \cdot \sin(2\pi \cdot 5000 \cdot f_1 t) + + 0.75 \cdot \sin(2\pi \cdot 6090 \cdot f_1 t) + 2 \cdot \sin(2\pi \cdot 8090 \cdot f_1 t).$$
(2)

Then, let's consider a modulating signal, M(t), that intermodultes the HF voltage in correspondence of peaks of the fundamental voltage so that the resulting signal,  $v_{res}(t)$ , will be in the form:

$$v_{res}(t) = v_{res}(t) + |M(t)| \cdot v_{HF}(t).$$
 (3)

Fig. 7 shows the abovementioned quantities  $v_l(t)$ ,  $v_{res}(t)$  and M(t) versus the time, respectively. Fig. 8 shows the waveform of the resulting signal  $v_{res}(t)$  and the zoom of its absolute value while Fig. 9 shows the spectrum of  $v_{res}(t)$ .

From Figs. 8 and 9 it is possible to observe the similarities with Figs. 5 and 6 which demonstrate that the richness of the spectrum is caused by the intermodulation of the high frequency disturbance (which is characterised by only four spectral lines) by the signal |M(t)|.





978-1-5386-0517-2/18/\$31.00 ©2018 IEEE

In other words, going back to the real case-study, it is reasonable to say that the high frequency disturbance is "triggered" in correspondence of the peaks of the line voltage. This seems due to the control strategy adopted by the LED connected to the mains.



Figure 8. Waveform of the resulting signal  $v_{res}(t)$  and zoom of its absolute value.



Figure 9. Spectrum of the resulting signal versus frequency.

#### IV. NUMERICAL CASE-STUDY

Numerical experiments have been conducted applying the measured line voltage to the new Flickermeter proposed by some of the authors [23].

Being the recorded line voltage only 400 ms long, this waveform was taken and applied in loop but since this waveform is not periodic, small phase jump between consecutive segments are caused producing some additional flicker that was demonstrated to be negligible.

Fig. 10 shows the instantaneous flicker level,  $P_{inst}$ , versus the time when the Flickermeter is set using the three constants corresponding to the standard incandescent lamp (60 W, 120 V, 60 Hz). It is confirmed what was measured onsite: the measured was *Pst*=0.26 that is to say the standard FM is not able to measure LF for non-incandescent lamps.

On the contrary, Fig. 11 shows  $P_{inst}$  versus the time when the FM is set using the three constants corresponding to the LED lamp referred to as LED 06 in [13]. It is possible to observe that  $P_{inst}$  oscillates around 20 which corresponds to a flicker severity level,  $P_{st}$  equal to 3.24. This further demonstrates the ability of the new FM to assess LF produced by LED lamps in the case-study analyzed.

Finally, Fig. 12 shows the results of a parametric analysis conducted using the same values of  $\tau_L$  and *K* of LED 06 but varying the  $\tau_C$  in the range from 10 ms to 100 ms. It is possible to observe that growing  $\tau_C$  the  $P_{st}$  saturates around a value equal to 3.6.



#### V. EXPERIMENTAL LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Experimental activities have been conducted in the laboratories of the Brno University of Technology where an objective light FM is available. Details about the test setup used are contained in [21]. The lamps utilized during the experiments are LED a, LED b and LED c, further on, obviously, LED\_OLD and LED\_NEW, with classification according to [24] in Table I..

#### A. Lamps Charachterization by means of standard tests

Lamps characterization has been firstly conducted applying the following standard test procedures for steady state disturbances (fundamental voltage 120 Vrms @ 60 Hz):

- Gain factor curves due to interharmonics [13] (Fig. 13);
- Pst gain curves due to interharmonics [23] (Fig. 14);
- Interharmonic-flicker curves [23] (Fig. 15);

Both the lamps have shown very good performances demonstrating their quality and a quite low sensitivity in terms of Light Flicker.



Figure 13. Gain Factors curves for the two tested lamps.



Interharmonic frequency,  $f_{IH}$  (Hz)

Figure 15. Interharmonic flicker curves for the two tested lamps.

#### B. Application of measured line voltages

As done for the numerical case-study, measured line voltages have been generated by a controllable power generator and applied in loop to the two lamps described in Section II and to three other LED lamps available in the laboratory equipped with a driver which is free of any constant output regulation loop.

The results in terms of Flicker sensitivity level,  $P_{st}$ , evaluated in 10 s, are reported in Table I. It is possible to observe that the three LED lamps without regulation loops do show flicker issues as it is expected for their circuit simplicity that reflects on the inability to react to supply voltage changes. LED\_NEW does not suffer at all. On the contrary, for LED\_OLD two values of  $P_{st}$  are reported: the first refer to the response of the lamps when it was still cold which is equal to 2.80 while the second refers to the response of the lamps after some minutes of operation which was 0.55. In other words, the LED\_OLD lamps flickers due to momentary failure in the driver control to stabilize output related to operation conditions when subject to the test voltage waveform.

TABLE I.  $P_{st}$  results Applying measured line voltages

Lamp	LED a	LED b	LED c	LED_NEW	LED_OLD
Type [24]	В	В	A1	Dla	Dla
Pst (10s)	3.76	2.6	1.21	0.52	2.80 - 0.55

Fig. 16 shows the measured  $P_{st}$  behavior for LED\_OLD during the test for 15 minutes. It is clearly visible that at the beginning the LED\_OLD produces very high values  $P_{st}$  but after a minute a regulation action starts to compensate the input voltage fluctuations. This control action becomes effective after 7-8 minutes when the corresponding  $P_{st}$ becomes lower than 1. This is also shown in Fig. 17 in terms of Instantaneous flicker level,  $P_{inst}$ .



Figure 17. LED\_OLD instantaneous flicker level, Pinst versus time.

It is also possible to observe that if input voltage distortion is not constant, some changes happen in consecutive segments of supply voltage and the driver tends to fail in stabilization and the lamp starts to flicker again, but for shorter intervals. Thus if there are repetitive changes in supply (stochastic time variations), the lamp can strongly flicker continually. Finally, it is possible to conclude that both the lamps used in the chicken farm are of very good driver topology. Their immunity is one of the best, namely in range of LED lamps dedicated for household applications. However, the LED\_OLD lamp driver control tends to fail under certain operation conditions, such as ambient temperature and permanent changes in time varying fluctuations. The same was not found in case of the LED NEW lamp.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has shown the results of a real life case-study which has demonstrated the there is a need for new Flickermeters able to cope with new lamps' technologies different from standard incandescent, in particular with LED lamps. The case-study refers to a LED lamp that was visibly flickering even though measurements taken onsite with a standard PQ instrument reported neither THD nor Pst issues. The flickering lamp was substituted with another model and the Light Flicker problem ceased. Numerical tests using a new Flickermeter proposed by some of the authors and laboratory experiments by means of an objective light Flickermeter have been used to investigate the reasons of this singular case.

Finally, it is possible to conclude, that LED\_NEW, being equipped with high quality driver, is able to "compensate" for voltage variations even if they are due to such time varying spikes as recorded in the field measurements. However, the behavior of LED\_OLD, even if its driver topology is more or less the same of LED\_NEW, is sometimes, under specific conditions, different. Through investigation, it was discovered that the control circuit of the driver in LED\_OLD is not able to stabilize output when the driver is cold. Once the driver is warmed up, after a certain time interval, and in a few evident steps, the control loops starts to work properly. Therefore, it has been found in the LED\_OLD lamp that there is a strong relation to temperature of the driver and to a slightly lesser extent sharp changes in supply voltage (still containing modulated spikes) to flicker.

#### REFERENCES

- IEEE Recommended Practice 1453.2012 —Adoption of IEC 61000-4-15:2010, Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC)—Testing and measurement techniques—Flickermeter— Functional and design specifications.
- [2] D. Gallo, R. Langella, C. Landi and A. Testa, "On the Use of the Flickermeter to Limit Low Frequency Interharmonic Voltages", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Vol. 23, N. 4, 2008, pp. 1720-1727.
- [3] Wiczynski, G., "Inaccuracy of Short-Term Light Flicker P<sub>st</sub> Indicator Measuring With a Flickermeter," in *Power Delivery*, *IEEE Transactions on*, vol.27, no.2, pp.842-848, April 2012.
- [4] L. Feola, R. Langella, A. Testa, "A new frequency approach for light flicker evaluation in electric power systems", EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, Volume 85: 2015.
- [5] Emanuel, A.E., Peretto, L. The Response of Fluorescent Lamps with Magnetic Ballast to Voltage Distortion. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol.12, no.1, pp. 289-295, January 1997

- [6] Drapela, J. Kratky, M., Weidinger, L., Zavodny, M. Light Flicker of Fluorescent Lamps with Different Types of Ballasts Caused by Interharmonics. 2005 IEEE St. Petersburg PowerTech Proceedings. St. Petersburg, Russia, IEEE PES, 2005, 7pp., ISBN 5-93208-034-0
- [7] Drapela, J., Toman, P. Interharmonic Flicker Curves of Lamps and Compatibility Level for Interharmonic Voltages. 2007 IEEE Laussane Powertech, Switzerland., 2007, pp. 1552-1557, ISBN 978-1-4244-218
- [8] Kim, T., Rylander, M., Powers, E.J., Grady, W. M.,and Arapostathis, A., "LED Lamp Flicker Caused by Interharmonics," IEEE International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference, 2008, Victoria, Vancouver Island, Canada, May 12-15, 2008.
- [9] Cai, R. et al. Flicker responses of different lamp types. *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, 2009, Vol. 3, Iss. 9, pp. 816–824
- [10] I. Azcarate, J.J. Gutierrez, A. Lazkano, P. Saiz, L.A. Leturiondo and K. Redondo. "Sensitivity to Flicker of Dimmable and Non-dimmable Lamps", IEEE International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference (I2MTC), 2012, pp. 344-347
- [11] Drapela, J., Langella, R. Lighting systems. Light sources in modern buildings: characterization, modeling and simulations (presentation). IEEE PES GM 2014, Panel Session: New Harmonic Sources in Modern Buildings, Washington DC, 31 pp.
- [12] J. Slezingr, J. Drapela, R. Langella, A. Testa. "A new simplified model of compact fluorescent lamps in the scenario of smart grids", 15th IEEE International Conference on Harmonics and Quality of Power (ICHQP'12), 2012, pp. 835-841.
- [13] J. Drapela, R. Langella, J. Slezingr, A. Testa. "Generalized Lamp Model for Light Flicker Studies", *Electric Power Systems Research*, 154, 2018, pp. 413-422.
- [14] CIGRE WG C4.111 http://c4.cigre.org/WG-Area/WG-C4.111-Review-of-LV-and-MV-Compatibility-Levels-for-Voltage-Fluctuation
- [15] IEEE Standard P1453-2015, Recommended Practice for the Analysis of Fluctuating Installations on Power Systems.
- [16] Taekhyun Kim; Powers, E.J.; Grady, W.M.; Arapostathis, A., "Detection of Flicker Caused by Interharmonics," in *Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on*, vol.58, no.1, pp.152-160, Jan. 2009.
- [17] Slezingr, J.; Drapela, J., "An alternative flickermeter evaluating high-frequency interharmonic voltages," in *Applied Measurements for Power Systems (AMPS), 2012 IEEE International Workshop on*, vol., no., pp.1-6, 26-28 Sept. 2012
- [18] Zargari, A.; Moallem, P.; Kiyoumarsi, A., "Studying and improvement of operation of IEC flickermeter," in *Electrical Engineering (ICEE)*, 2010 18th Iranian Conference on, vol., no., pp.925-931, 11-13 May 2010.
- [19] Hooshyar, A.; El-Saadany, E.F., "Development of a Flickermeter to Measure Non-Incandescent Lamps Flicker," in *Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on*, vol.28, no.4, pp.2103-2115, Oct. 2013
- [20] I. Azcarate, J.J. Gutierrez, P. Saiz, A. Lazkano, L.A. Leturiondo, K. Redondo, Flicker characteristics of efficient lighting assessed by the IEC flickermeter, in *Electric Power Systems Research*, Volume 107, February 2014, Pages 21-27, ISSN 0378-7796
- [21] Drapela J. and Slezingr J., "Design and utilization of a light flickermeter," International Workshop On Applied Measurement in Power System, Aachen, Germany, September 2013.
- [22] Frater, L., Watson, N. Light flicker sensitivity of high efficiency compact fluorescent lamps. *Power Engineering Conference, AUPEC* 2007, pp.1-6, 2007. ISBN: 978-0-646-49488-3
- [23] J. Drapela, R. Langella, J. Slezingr and A. Testa, "A Tunable Flickermeter to Account for Different Lamp Technologies", IEEE Tran. on Power Delivery, Volume: 32, Issue: 2, April 2017, pages 872 - 880.
- [24] J. Drapela, R. Langella, A. Testa, A. Collin, X. Xu and S. Z. Djokic, "Experimental Evaluation and Classification of LED lamps for Light Flicker Sensitivity" ubmitted to the 18th International Conference on Harmonics and Quality of Power, ICHQP. Ljubljana, Slovenia, 2018.