Regular Article - Theoretical Physics

A realistic pattern of lepton mixing and masses from S₄ and CP

Ferruccio Feruglio^{1,2,a}, Claudia Hagedorn^{1,2,3,b}, Robert Ziegler^{4,5,c}

¹ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia 'G. Galilei', Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padua, Italy

² INFN, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padua, Italy

³ SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy

⁴ Physik-Department, Technische Universität München, James-Franck-Strasse, 85748 Garching, Germany

⁵ TUM Institute for Advanced Study, Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstrasse 2a, 85748 Garching, Germany

Received: 15 October 2013 / Accepted: 21 January 2014 / Published online: 12 February 2014 © The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract We present a supersymmetric model with the flavour symmetry $S_4 \times Z_3$ and a CP symmetry which are broken to a Z_3 subgroup of the flavour symmetry in the charged lepton sector and to $Z_2 \times CP$ (× Z_3) in the neutrino one at leading order. This model implements an approach capable of predicting lepton mixing angles and Dirac as well as Majorana phases in terms of one free parameter. This parameter, directly related to the size of the reactor mixing angle θ_{13} , can be naturally of the correct order in our model. Atmospheric mixing is maximal, while $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \gtrsim 1/3$. All three phases are predicted: the Dirac phase is maximal, whereas the two Majorana phases are trivial. The neutrino mass matrix contains only three real parameters at leading order and neutrino masses effectively only depend on two of them. As a consequence, they have to be normally ordered and the absolute neutrino mass scale and the sum of the neutrino masses are predicted. The vacuum of the flavons can be correctly aligned. We study subleading corrections to the leading order results and show that they are small.

1 Introduction

The discovery of neutrino oscillations and the first information on lepton mixing angles has led to an intense research in this field. The data could shed light on the flavour mystery and help to establish some organising principle relevant for fermion masses and mixing parameters. Before the determination of the reactor mixing angle θ_{13} several flavour symmetries, usually broken in a particular way, have been proposed, see [1,2], which give rise to mixing patterns with vanishing θ_{13} . A prime example is tri-bimaximal (TB) mixing [3,4] which was well compatible with the experimental data and can be derived from flavour groups such as A_4 [5–8] and S_4 [9–12]. The discovery of a relatively large reactor mixing angle [13–18], however, requires most likely additional ingredients beyond the presence of a small discrete flavour symmetry. The simplest assumption which can be made is to invoke sufficiently large corrections to the leading order (LO) pattern such that current data [19] can be reproduced, see e.g. [20]. A disadvantage of this Ansatz is that the predictability of such models is in general reduced, since several corrections are present. Discrete groups larger than A_4 or S₄ have also been considered in order to accommodate a non-vanishing θ_{13} [21,22]. Another possibility is to apply less stringent requirements on the residual symmetries in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors [23–26]. In this case θ_{13} becomes essentially a free parameter. However, correlations among the other mixing angles and θ_{13} could still provide a testable prediction.

The possible role of CP and its ability to explain a nonvanishing reactor mixing angle and to constrain, at the same time, CP phases has been explored to some extent in the past. In such a case CP acts non-trivially on flavour space [27,28] and is thus dubbed generalised CP transformation. A well-known example is given by the $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry [29–32], which exchanges a muon (tau) neutrino with a tau (muon) antineutrino in the charged lepton mass basis. If this symmetry is imposed, the atmospheric mixing angle is predicted to be maximal, while θ_{13} is in general non-vanishing for a maximal Dirac phase δ . This symmetry is preserved by the neutrino mass matrices of two recently proposed models [33,34] which combine the flavour symmetries S_4 and A_4 , respectively, with CP. In [33] a non-supersymmetric extension of the standard model (SM) is considered in which neutrino masses arise from the type I seesaw mechanism. The flavour symmetry, which is augmented by a direct product of Z_2 symmetries, is broken spontaneously through the vacuum

^ae-mail: feruglio@pd.infn.it

^be-mail: hagedorn@pd.infn.it

^c e-mail: robert.ziegler@ph.tum.de

expectation values (VEVs) of gauge singlets. The neutrino mass spectrum allows for normal as well as inverted hierarchy. However, crucial aspects, such as the vacuum alignment, are only sketched and restrictions on couplings being real or imaginary seem to be imposed by hand. The model in [34] is also non-supersymmetric and neutrino masses are generated through the type II seesaw mechanism. Neutrino masses are normally ordered. The VEVs of multiple Higgs doublets and triplets break the flavour symmetry spontaneously. However, their potential is not discussed explicitly. Furthermore, the $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry of the neutrino mass matrix seems to be accidental and the value of the solar mixing angle is not protected by a symmetry.¹

Taking these examples as starting point, we have considered an approach in which a discrete flavour group G_{f} and a CP symmetry are broken in a particular way such that the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) mixing matrix depends only on one continuous parameter. In the presence of a flavour symmetry G_f CP transformations cannot be defined arbitrarily, but they are subject to certain consistency conditions [44–46]. If these are fulfilled, we can consider a theory with G_f and CP and can study the scenario in which residual symmetries G_e and G_v are preserved in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors, respectively. G_e is assumed to be a subgroup of G_f , while, generalising the idea of the $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry, G_{ν} is taken to be the direct product of CP and a Z_2 symmetry contained in G_f . In [45] we have shown, in a model-independent way, that such a breaking leads to a mixing pattern with only one free parameter and thus mixing angles as well as CP phases are strongly correlated. We have furthermore shown that for $G_f = S_4$ several new mixing patterns, well compatible with current experimental data [19], can be derived.

In the present paper we discuss a concrete model for leptons in which the above idea of the breaking of a flavour and a CP symmetry is implemented. The model is SUSY and adopts the gauge symmetry, lepton superfields and Higgs multiplets of the Minimal SUSY SM (MSSM).² G_f = $S_4 \times Z_3$ plays the role of the flavour symmetry. The Z_3 symmetry is employed in order to naturally generate the charged lepton mass hierarchy. We introduce additional degrees of freedom, flavons and driving fields, which are singlets under the gauge group and are necessary for the correct spontaneous breaking of G_f and CP. Two distinct sets of flavons are present whose VEVs contribute either to the charged lepton or to the neutrino mass matrix at LO. This separation is achieved by the Z_3 symmetry as well as with the help of an additional Z_{16} group that is, in general, responsible for forbidding unwanted operators. The VEVs of the former set of flavons leave G_e invariant, while those of the latter $G_{\nu} = Z_2 \times CP (\times Z_3)$. The Z_3 symmetry preserved in the neutrino sector is irrelevant as regards lepton mixing and masses and thus will be omitted in the following. The vacuum alignment is achieved via the requirement of vanishing F-terms of the driving fields. Neutrino masses arise in our model from the Weinberg operator. The lepton mixing matrix is of the same form as in one of the cases exemplified in [45] and the following predictions are obtained: $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 1/2$, $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \gtrsim 1/3$ and δ maximal. The free parameter which is directly related to the reactor mixing angle is naturally of the correct size due to its origin from a subleading contribution to the neutrino mass matrix. All mixing angles agree within 3σ with the latest global fit results [19]. Both Majorana phases are trivial at LO. The maximality of the atmospheric mixing angle and of the Dirac phase are consequences of the invariance of the neutrino mass matrix under the $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry in the charged lepton mass basis. Since some of the contributions to the neutrino mass matrix that are compatible with the preservation of G_{ν} are suppressed in our model, the neutrino mass spectrum can only be normally ordered. Indeed, the three neutrino masses effectively depend on only two different parameters so that, after fitting the two known mass squared differences, the absolute mass scale and the sum of the neutrino masses are strongly constrained.

The paper is organised as follows: in Sect. 2 we briefly recall the framework of [45] and we apply it to the case of our interest. We assign the transformation properties of lepton and Higgs supermultiplets under $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$ and CP and we determine the most general VEVs of the flavon fields, compatible with the desired residual symmetries in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors. In Sect. 3 we specify the superpotential involving lepton superfields, we analyze charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices, both at LO and at next-to-leading order (NLO), and we present the results for lepton masses and mixing parameters. In Sect. 4 we discuss the vacuum alignment and show that at LO the desired pattern of VEVs is a minimum of the scalar potential in the SUSY

¹ Several other approaches can be found in the literature in which the interplay of a discrete symmetry and CP is studied. In [35-39] models with the flavour symmetry $\Delta(27)$ or $\Delta(54)$ are discussed in which socalled geometrical CP violation is realised, i.e. the potential allows for a configuration in which the (relative) phases of the VEVs of different scalar fields are fixed and take values independent of the parameters of the potential. Subsequently, several aspects, such as the influence of non-renormalisable operators on the stability of this vacuum and ways to accommodate fermion masses and mixing, have been studied. In [40,41] supersymmetric (SUSY) extensions of the SM with the doublevalued dihedral group Q_6 (also called D'_3) are analyzed in which CP is spontaneously broken so that the so-called SUSY CP problem is solved and certain predictions for fermion masses and mixing are made. The models in [42,43] focus on the attempt to predict CP phases by making use of complex Clebsch-Gordan coefficients that are associated with certain irreducible representations of the group T' (T' is the double cover of the well-known group A_4) and by assuming at the same time real couplings in the Lagrangian and real VEVs.

 $^{^2}$ The mass of the scalar resonance found at LHC [47–49] is presumed to be accommodated by some mechanism.

limit. Furthermore, we examine NLO contributions to the flavon superpotential which induce shifts in the flavon VEVs. In Sect. 5 we summarise the main results of our paper. Some details of the group theory of S_4 are found in Appendix A.

2 Approach

We elaborate on a proposal which we have recently presented in [45]. We assume a finite, discrete flavour group, here $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$, the direct product of the permutation group of four distinct objects and the cyclic symmetry Z_3 . This group is combined with a generalised CP transformation X which also acts on flavour space. If these symmetries are broken in a particular way, all lepton mixing angles and CP phases can be predicted in terms of only one free parameter.

The group S_4 can be conveniently represented in terms of three generators S, T and U with S and U having order two, while T is of order three [50]. For details as regards the group theory of S_4 see Appendix A. A generic multiplet of fields is denoted by ϕ and transforms in a certain representation (\mathbf{r} , q) of the flavour group G_f (\mathbf{r} is one of the S_4 representations and q stands for the Z_3 charge of the multiplet: 1, ω or ω^2 with $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$). The CP transformation X acts on ϕ as

$$\phi'(x) = X\phi^*(x_{\rm CP}) \tag{1}$$

with $x_{CP} = (x^0, -\vec{x})$. The choice of X is not arbitrary, but X has to fulfill certain conditions: first, we require X to be a unitary and symmetric matrix,

$$XX^{\dagger} = XX^* = \mathbb{1},\tag{2}$$

so that $CP^2 = 1$ holds. Secondly, *X* also has to fulfill a consistency condition involving transformations which belong to the group G_f . For all elements *g* of the group G_f the following statement has to hold: let *A* be the (unitary) matrix which represents *g* in (\mathbf{r} , *q*), then an element $g' \in G_f$ should exist such that

$$(X^{-1}AX)^* = A' (3)$$

with A' denoting the (unitary) matrix associated with g' in (**r**, q). In general, g and g' are distinct elements. The mathematical structure of the group $G_{\rm CP}$ comprising G_f and CP turns out to be a semi-direct product and can be written as $G_{\rm CP} = G_f \rtimes H_{\rm CP}$ with $H_{\rm CP}$ being the parity group generated by CP [44–46].

Closely following the analysis in [45], we show that the lepton mixing parameters can be predicted from the breaking of the group $G_{\rm CP}$ to $G_{\nu} = Z_2 \times {\rm CP}$ in the neutrino and to $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$ in the charged lepton sector. The group $Z_3^{(D)}$ is the diagonal subgroup of the group $Z_3 \subset S_4$, which is generated by T and the additional Z_3 symmetry.³ The requirement

that G_{ν} should be a direct product of $Z_2 \subset G_f$ and CP leads to a further constraint on X

$$XZ^* - ZX = 0 \tag{4}$$

with Z being the generator of the Z_2 symmetry in the representation (\mathbf{r}, q).

In [45] we have performed a comprehensive study of all admissible X for the possible choices of Z in the case of $G_f = S_4$. As can be checked these transformations X are also viable for $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$, since the cyclic symmetry Z_3 in G_f does not impose any further constraints on X. In order to simplify our considerations regarding the diagonal subgroup $Z_3^{(D)}$, we choose a basis in which the generator T is diagonal in all representations of S_4 . Its explicit realisations as well as those of the other two generators, S and U, can be found in Appendix A.

If we take

Ζ

$$=S,$$
(5)

a consistent choice for X satisfying Eqs. (2-4) is

$$X_{1} = 1, \ X_{1'} = -1, \ X_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$X_{3} = -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$X_{3'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

Here we denote by $X_{\mathbf{r}}$ the realisation of the CP transformation X in the representation \mathbf{r} of S_4 . This choice together with Eq. (1) specifies the action of CP on flavour space. Note that the transformations in Eq. (6) correspond to the choice $X_{3'} = 1$ in [45] (this can be checked by applying the basis transformation mentioned in footnote 7 in [45]). The generalised CP transformation $X_{3'}$ in Eq. (6) is known as $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry in the literature [29–32].

Let us consider that left-handed leptons l transform as $(\mathbf{3}', 1)$ under $S_4 \times Z_3$. For $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$, the combination $m_l^{\dagger} m_l$ $(m_l$ is the charged lepton mass matrix in the right-left basis, $l^c m_l l$) is diagonal and thus does not contribute to the lepton mixing, up to possible relabelling of the generations. For neutrinos we assume that they are Majorana particles and that their masses arise from the Weinberg operator. Preserving $G_v = Z_2 \times CP$ in the neutrino sector requires that the neutrino mass matrix m_v fulfills the invariance conditions

$$Z^{T}m_{\nu}Z = m_{\nu}, \qquad X_{3'}m_{\nu}X_{3'} = m_{\nu}^{*}$$
⁽⁷⁾

from which follows

$$U_{PMNS}^T m_{\nu} U_{PMNS} = m_{\nu}^{\text{diag}} \tag{8}$$

³ Compare also [51,52] for such a type of residual symmetry G_e .

with UPMNS

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos\theta & \sqrt{2} & 2\sin\theta\\ -\cos\theta + i\sqrt{3}\sin\theta & \sqrt{2} & -\sin\theta - i\sqrt{3}\cos\theta\\ -\cos\theta - i\sqrt{3}\sin\theta & \sqrt{2} & -\sin\theta + i\sqrt{3}\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} K$$
(9)

and m_{ν}^{diag} containing the neutrino masses m_i , i = 1, 2, 3. The unitary matrix K is diagonal with entries ± 1 and $\pm i$, which encode the CP parity of the neutrino states. Notice that, without a particular model, the mixing matrix is only determined up to permutations of rows and columns, since neither charged lepton nor neutrino masses are constrained by the above conditions. All mixing parameters, angles and Dirac as well as Majorana phases, are predicted in terms of the parameter θ , which depends on the entries of the neutrino mass matrix and that can take in general values between 0 and π . From Eq. (9) the mixing angles can be read off⁴

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{2 + \cos 2\theta}, \\ \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(10)

Thus, for $\theta \approx 0.185$ the best fit value of the reactor mixing angle can be accommodated well, $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \approx 0.023$, and also the solar and atmospheric mixing angles are within their 3 σ ranges [19], $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \approx 0.341$. For a χ^2 analysis we refer to [45]. Since the second column of the mixing matrix in Eq. (9) is tri-maximal, the solar mixing angle is constrained by $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \geq 1/3$ [53–57]. The Majorana phases α and β are trivial,

$$\sin \alpha = 0, \quad \sin \beta = 0, \tag{11}$$

while the Dirac phase δ is maximal

$$|\sin\delta| = 1\tag{12}$$

and thus $|J_{CP}| = |\sin 2\theta|/(6\sqrt{3})$, which results in $|J_{CP}| \approx 0.0348$ for $\theta \approx 0.185$. A characteristic feature of the matrix in Eq. (9), which has already been noticed in the literature [29–32], is that the absolute values of the entries of the second and third rows are equal, i.e. $|U_{\mu i}| = |U_{\tau i}|$ for i = 1, 2, 3. This directly leads to maximal atmospheric mixing, since $\sin \theta_{23} = \cos \theta_{23}$ and for non-vanishing θ_{13} also to a maximal Dirac phase, $\cos \delta = 0$.

In the subsequent section we want to construct an explicit model based on the above choices for *Z* and *X*, see Eqs. (5, 6), since this choice leads to, at least, one non-trivial CP phase and, simultaneously, all mixing angles are in good accordance with the experimental data for a certain value of θ .⁵

Table 1 Matter superfields and MSSM Higgs doublets $h_{u,d}$ of our model

	l	e^{c}	μ^c	$ au^c$	h_u	h_d
S_4	3′	1′	1	1	1	1
Z_3	1	1	ω	ω^2	1	1
Z_{16}	1	ω_8^7	1	1	ω_{16}^7	1

Notice that only e^c and h_u are charged under the auxiliary symmetry. Note also that the auxiliary symmetry Z_{16} is effectively a Z_8 symmetry at the level of operators with matter superfields, since $(lh_u)^2$ carries a phase ω_8^7 . The phases are $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$, $\omega_8 = e^{2\pi i/8}$ and $\omega_{16} = e^{2\pi i/16}$

Relevant aspects of the model are outlined in the following. The flavour symmetry is broken spontaneously by the VEVs of gauge singlets. While we have chosen left-handed leptons to be in (3', 1) under $S_4 \times Z_3$, the right-handed charged leptons transform as singlets: $e^c \sim (1', 1), \mu^c \sim (1, \omega)$ and $\tau^c \sim (1, \omega^2)$. This choice turns out to be useful for accommodating the hierarchy among charged lepton masses correctly and at the same time does not affect the results for lepton mixing. As we argue in Sect. 3, the mass hierarchy can be naturally achieved with a judicious choice of flavons. The auxiliary symmetry Z_{16} is invoked to sufficiently disentangle the two flavour symmetry breaking sectors and to forbid, in general, unwanted operators. The transformation properties of the matter superfields and the MSSM Higgs doublets under $S_4 \times Z_3$ and Z_{16} can be found in Table 1.

Since we wish to break the group G_{CP} spontaneously to $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$ and $G_v = Z_2 \times CP$, it is convenient to list the most general form of the VEVs that flavon fields in the different representations of S_4 can take and which leave G_e and G_v invariant, respectively. In order to preserve the group $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$, flavons ξ and ξ' in one-dimensional representations 1 and 1' of S_4 , which have a non-vanishing VEV, should transform trivially under Z_3 . In the case of a flavon χ being a doublet under S_4 the group G_e can be preserved only if χ carries a non-trivial charge under Z_3 . The allowed VEVs are

$$\chi \sim (\mathbf{2}, \omega) : \langle \chi \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\chi \sim (\mathbf{2}, \omega^2) :$
 $\langle \chi \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$ (13)

Flavons $\psi \sim 3$ and $\varphi \sim 3'$ can always acquire a nonzero VEV, independent of their charge under Z_3 . The latter charge, however, determines which of the components actually acquires a VEV:

⁴ Our convention for mixing angles and CP phases can be found in Appendix A.1 in [45].

⁵ Apart from this choice, called case I in [45], only one other choice out of the seven presented in [45], namely case IV, allows for a non-trivial

Footnote 5 continued

Dirac phase and for good agreement with the data on lepton mixing angles. Thus, it might also be interesting to implement this other case in a model.

$$\psi \sim (\mathbf{3}, 1) : \langle \psi \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \psi \sim (\mathbf{3}, \omega) : \langle \psi \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\psi \sim (\mathbf{3}, \omega^2) : \langle \psi \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

The same is true for the structure of the VEVs of $\varphi \sim 3'$.

The VEVs that preserve G_{ν} are of the form

$$\langle \xi \rangle = v_{\xi}, \quad \langle \xi' \rangle = v_{\xi'}, \quad \langle \chi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_{\chi} \\ v_{\chi}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\langle \psi \rangle = v_{\psi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = v_{\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(15)$$

with the parameters v_{ξ} and v_{φ} being real, $v_{\xi'}$ and v_{ψ} being imaginary, while v_{χ} is in general complex. Because of $G_{\nu} = Z_2 \times CP$ it is irrelevant for the vacuum structure preserving G_{ν} how these fields transform under Z_3 . Since left-handed leptons *l* are in the triplet **3'** of S_4 , only the flavons ξ , χ and φ can couple to the Weinberg operator at LO, see Appendix A. Upon breaking to G_{ν} the most general form that the neutrino mass matrix can take is

$$m_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{\xi}v_{\xi} + 2 y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} & y_{\chi}v_{\chi} - y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} & y_{\chi}v_{\chi}^{*} - y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} \\ y_{\chi}v_{\chi} - y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} & y_{\chi}v_{\chi}^{*} + 2 y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} & y_{\xi}v_{\xi} - y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} \\ y_{\chi}v_{\chi}^{*} - y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} & y_{\xi}v_{\xi} - y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} & y_{\chi}v_{\chi} + 2 y_{\varphi}v_{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \frac{\langle h_{u} \rangle^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}$$
(16)

with y_{ξ} , y_{χ} and y_{φ} indicating the Yukawa couplings to the flavons ξ , χ and φ , respectively. These couplings are real because of the invariance of the original theory under a CP symmetry generated by X. Λ is the generic high-energy cutoff scale and it suppresses all higher-dimensional operators appropriately. In our model it is inversely proportional to the neutrino mass scale. As expected, the matrix in Eq. (16) is diagonalised by the PMNS matrix in Eq. (9) (remember that the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal). If the imaginary part of v_{χ} vanishes, m_{ν} in Eq. (16) becomes $\mu\tau$ symmetric and G_{ν} is promoted to $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times CP.^6$ Thus, its relative size with respect to the other VEVs is directly related to the size of the reactor mixing angle θ_{13} . In our model this imaginary part (and consequently θ_{13}) is appropriately suppressed, since it arises effectively from an operator with two flavons, whereas flavons transforming as 1 and 3' contribute through operators with one flavon to the matrix m_{ν} . In addition, the contribution corresponding to the real part of v_{χ}

Table 2 Flavons and their transformation properties under $G_f \times Z_{16}$

	Xε	φ_E	$\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N$	XN	φ_N	ξ'_N
S_4	2	3′	1	2	3′	1′
Z_3	ω	ω	1	1	1	1
Z_{16}	1	1	ω_8	ω_8^5	ω_8	ω_8^4

The flavons labelled with *E* are charged under Z_3 and neutral under Z_{16} , whereas those with an index *N* only carry a non-trivial charge under Z_{16} . The phases are $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $\omega_8 = e^{2\pi i/8}$

Table 3 Driving fields and their transformation properties under $G_f \times Z_{16}$

	ξ^0_E , $ ilde{\xi}^0_E$	χ^0_E	ξ_N^0	χ^0_N	$arphi_N^0$	$\tilde{\xi}_N^0$
S_4	1	2	1	2	3′	1
Z_3	ω	ω	1	1	1	1
Z_{16}	1	1	ω_4^3	ω_4^3	ω_4^3	1

The fields labelled by *E* are charged under Z_3 and neutral under Z_{16} . The opposite holds for fields labelled by *N*. The phases are $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $\omega_4 = e^{2\pi i/4}$

is doubly suppressed so that neutrino masses depend effectively on only two parameters at LO in our model (which are determined by the measured mass squared differences). As a consequence, they have to follow a normal ordering.

3 Model with S₄ and CP

As anticipated, we adopt the MSSM framework with lefthanded lepton and right-handed charged lepton superfields and neglect all SUSY breaking effects in the following. The flavour symmetry is $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$ and the CP transformation X is defined in Eq. (6) for each representation (\mathbf{r}, q) of G_f . The transformation properties under $G_f \times Z_{16}$ of leptons and MSSM Higgs doublets are collected in Table 1, while those of flavons and driving fields are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. We discuss the results for lepton masses and mixing at LO in Sect. 3.1 and at NLO in Sect. 3.2. All operators directly contributing to the lepton mass matrices are non-renormalisable in our model and are suppressed by appropriate powers of the cutoff scale Λ . We consider two different sets of flavons, one responsible for the breaking to G_e and one for the breaking to G_{ν} . At LO the fields whose VEVs leave G_e invariant only contribute to charged lepton masses, while those with VEVs leaving G_{ν} intact generate neutrino masses. At the subleading level this changes and all flavons contribute to charged lepton as well as neutrino mass matrices.

3.1 Leading order results

As outlined in Sect. 2, left-handed leptons form a triplet 3', while right-handed charged leptons are singlets under $S_4 \times Z_3$. With this assignment the different charged lepton masses

⁶ As can be checked, also the second Z_2 group commutes with the CP symmetry.

can arise at the one-, two- and higher-flavon level in our model and thus are naturally hierarchical. As flavon fields $\varphi_E \sim (\mathbf{3}', \omega)$ and $\chi_E \sim (\mathbf{2}, \omega)$ under $S_4 \times Z_3$ are included.⁷ The most relevant operators for charged lepton masses are then⁸

$$w_e = y_\tau (l\varphi_E) \tau^c h_d / \Lambda + y_{\mu,1} (l\varphi_E^2) \mu^c h_d / \Lambda^2 + y_{\mu,2} (l\chi_E \varphi_E) \mu^c h_d / \Lambda^2.$$
(17)

Since we impose CP as symmetry on the theory in the unbroken phase, all couplings in w_e and in the following superpotentials are real. According to the results of Sect. 4, the flavons χ_E and φ_E acquire at LO the VEVs

$$\langle \chi_E \rangle = v_{\chi_E} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\langle \varphi_E \rangle = v_{\varphi_E} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (18)

with v_{χ_E} and v_{φ_E} being complex, see Eq. (47). If we compare the form of the VEVs in Eq. (18) with the VEVs given in Eqs. (13, 14), we see that $\langle \chi_E \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi_E \rangle$ indeed leave the subgroup $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$ of $S_4 \times Z_3$ invariant. Plugging them into the operators in Eq. (17), we find m_l to be diagonal in flavour space and to only have non-zero entries in the (22) and (33) elements that correspond to the muon and tau lepton masses

$$m_{\mu} = \left| (2 \ y_{\mu,1} v_{\varphi_E} + y_{\mu,2} v_{\chi_E}) \frac{v_{\varphi_E}}{\Lambda^2} \right| \langle h_d \rangle \quad \text{and} \\ m_{\tau} = \left| y_{\tau} \frac{v_{\varphi_E}}{\Lambda} \right| \langle h_d \rangle, \tag{19}$$

respectively. Their relative hierarchy is correctly reproduced for

$$|v_{\chi_E}|, |v_{\varphi_E}| \sim \lambda^2 \Lambda \text{ with } \lambda \approx 0.2.$$
 (20)

Since $|v_{\varphi_E}|/\Lambda \approx \lambda^2 \approx 0.04$, $\tan \beta = \langle h_u \rangle / \langle h_d \rangle$ is expected to lie in the interval $2 \leq \tan \beta \leq 12$ (for $0.5 \leq y_\tau \leq 3$). The electron remains massless at this level and its mass is generated via higher-dimensional operators, as discussed in Sect. 3.2.

As mentioned, neutrino masses arise in our model from the Weinberg operator. The flavon multiplets ξ_N , $\tilde{\xi}_N$ and φ_N are in (1, 1) and in (3', 1) under $S_4 \times Z_3$, respectively, and each of them can be coupled independently to neutrinos, while the fields $\xi'_N \sim (1', 1)$ and $\chi_N \sim (2, 1)$ couple to the latter in the combination $\chi_N \xi'_N$. This situation can be arranged by an appropriate choice of the charges under the auxiliary symmetry Z_{16} , see Table 2. Note that the two fields ξ_N and $\tilde{\xi}_N$ have the same quantum numbers and both are necessary in order to achieve the correct vacuum alignment with the potential discussed in Sect. 4. However, only one of them acquires a

non-vanishing VEV, see Eq. (22). The lowest dimensional operators responsible for neutrino masses are

$$w_{\nu} = y_{\nu,1}(ll)\xi_N h_u^2 / \Lambda^2 + \tilde{y}_{\nu,1}(ll)\tilde{\xi}_N h_u^2 / \Lambda^2 + y_{\nu,2}(ll\varphi_N)h_u^2 / \Lambda^2 + y_{\nu,3}(ll\chi_N\xi'_N)h_u^2 / \Lambda^3.$$
(21)

As shown in Sect. 4, the flavons ξ_N , ξ_N , ξ'_N , χ_N and φ_N develop the following VEVs at LO

$$\langle \xi_N \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \xi_N \rangle = v_{\xi_N}, \quad \langle \xi'_N \rangle = v_{\xi'_N}, \langle \chi_N \rangle = v_{\chi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle \varphi_N \rangle = v_{\varphi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

with v_{ξ_N} , v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} being complex, while $v_{\xi'_N}$ is imaginary. The VEVs v_{ξ_N} , v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} are related via the parameters of the flavon superpotential, see Eq. (50), and thus, under very mild assumptions on these parameters, they carry the same phase (up to π). The neutrino mass matrix m_{ν} takes the form

$$m_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} t_{\nu} + 2u_{\nu} & -u_{\nu} - ix_{\nu} & -u_{\nu} + ix_{\nu} \\ -u_{\nu} - ix_{\nu} & 2u_{\nu} + ix_{\nu} & t_{\nu} - u_{\nu} \\ -u_{\nu} + ix_{\nu} & t_{\nu} - u_{\nu} & 2u_{\nu} - ix_{\nu} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\langle h_{u} \rangle^{2}}{\Lambda}$$
(23)

with

$$t_{\nu} = y_{\nu,1} \frac{v_{\xi_N}}{\Lambda}, \quad u_{\nu} = y_{\nu,2} \frac{v_{\varphi_N}}{\Lambda}, \quad x_{\nu} = i \ y_{\nu,3} \frac{v_{\xi'_N} v_{\chi_N}}{\Lambda^2}.$$
(24)

The common phase of v_{ξ_N} , v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} is unphysical as regards lepton masses and mixing at this level, since it can be factored out in m_{ν} in Eq. (23). Thus, the parameters t_{ν} , u_{ν} and x_{ν} can be considered as real. Among the leading contributions coming from the operators in Eq. (21) the one comprising the fields ξ'_N and χ_N renders m_ν invariant only under $G_{\nu} = Z_2 \times CP$ and not $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times CP$. Note that the matrix in Eq. (23) slightly differs from the one in Eq. (16), since, after extracting the overall phase, the combination $\chi_N \xi'_N$ only develops an imaginary VEV. The latter is responsible for a non-vanishing value of θ_{13} and is naturally smaller than the other contributions to m_{ν} , because it arises from an operator with two flavons. The correct size of θ_{13} is achieved, if the relative strength of this contribution is suppressed by a factor λ with respect to the ones from the first three operators in Eq. (21). This is the case, if we choose⁹

$$|v_{\xi_N}|, |v_{\xi'_N}|, |v_{\chi_N}|, |v_{\varphi_N}| \sim \lambda \Lambda.$$
 (25)

As mentioned in Sect. 2, the neutrino mass matrix m_{ν} is diagonalised by a mixing matrix of the form given in Eq. (9). At LO this matrix gives the PMNS mixing matrix, since the

⁷ Adding χ_E is mainly necessary in order to achieve the correct vacuum alignment, see Sect. 4.

⁸ We indicate the contraction to a trivial singlet **1** of S_4 by (\cdots) .

⁹ Choosing all flavon VEVs associated with the neutrino sector to be of order $\lambda \Lambda$ is only convenient, but a priori it is not necessary.

charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal at this level. Thus, maximal atmospheric mixing and a maximal Dirac phase are obtained. The angle θ is directly related to θ_{13} , see Eq. (10), and it depends on the two parameters u_{ν} and x_{ν} in our model

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{x_{\nu}}{\sqrt{3}\,u_{\nu}}.\tag{26}$$

Our predictions for the mixing parameters, especially maximal atmospheric mixing and the maximal Dirac phase, can be tested in various ways: indirectly, with global fits of all neutrino data, e.g. [19], as well as directly, with the experiments T2K and NOvA which could determine after five to six years of data taking the octant of the atmospheric mixing angle at the $(2 \div 3) \sigma$ level [58], depending on the actual value of θ_{23} . The same experiments can possibly exclude certain ranges of the Dirac phase within the next ten years, depending on θ_{23} and the neutrino mass hierarchy [59]. Indeed, T2K has recently reported a slight preference for δ close to $3\pi/2$ [60], when their data are combined with the measurements of the reactor experiments. This preference is compatible with the 1σ preferred range for δ , $\pi \lesssim \delta \lesssim 1.9\pi$, found in global fits [19,61]. Dedicated experiments like LBNE [62] and Hyper-Kamiokande [63,64] will allow for a measurement of the Dirac phase with a certain precision and thus have the potential to rule out our model. The neutrino masses read

$$m_{1} = |t_{\nu} + \tilde{u}_{\nu}| \frac{\langle h_{u} \rangle^{2}}{\Lambda}, \quad m_{2} = |t_{\nu}| \frac{\langle h_{u} \rangle^{2}}{\Lambda},$$
$$m_{3} = |t_{\nu} - \tilde{u}_{\nu}| \frac{\langle h_{u} \rangle^{2}}{\Lambda}$$
(27)

and we have defined

$$\tilde{u}_{\nu} = \sqrt{3} \operatorname{sign}(u_{\nu} \cos 2\theta) \sqrt{3u_{\nu}^2 + x_{\nu}^2}.$$
(28)

Neutrino masses as in Eq. (27) imply the normal mass hierarchy: the requirement $m_2 > m_1$ entails $t_{\nu}\tilde{u}_{\nu} < 0$ and $2|t_{\nu}| \gtrsim |\tilde{u}_{\nu}|$ and, consequently, the atmospheric mass squared difference

$$\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2 = m_3^2 - m_1^2 = -4 t_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu \left(\frac{\langle h_u \rangle^2}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \tag{29}$$

is always positive. The smallness of the ratio $\Delta m_{sol}^2 / \Delta m_{atm}^2$ is achieved by an appropriate tuning of $2 t_{\nu} + \tilde{u}_{\nu}$. The normal mass hierarchy is an important consequence of the fact that $\chi_N \xi'_N$ only develops an imaginary VEV, which implies that m_{ν} contains only three real parameters at LO, see Eq. (23).

Since the neutrino masses only depend on the two real parameters t_{ν} and \tilde{u}_{ν} , both of them can be fixed by the measured values of the mass squared differences and the absolute scale of neutrino masses and also their sum are predicted. Taking the best fit values for Δm_{sol}^2 and Δm_{atm}^2 quoted in [19] we get

$$m_1 \approx 0.016 \,\mathrm{eV}, \quad m_2 \approx 0.018 \,\mathrm{eV}, \quad m_3 \approx 0.052 \,\mathrm{eV}$$
(30)

and

$$\Sigma m_{\nu} \approx 0.086 \,\mathrm{eV}.$$
 (31)

This value of the sum of the neutrino masses is well compatible with the latest results from the Planck satellite [65]. For tan $\beta \approx 10$ and $\Lambda \approx 3 \times 10^{14} \text{ GeV}, \langle h_u \rangle^2 / \Lambda = 0.1 \text{ eV}$ follows and the parameters t_{ν} , u_{ν} and x_{ν} are of order λ , λ and λ^2 , respectively. The quantity m_β , which is measured in β decay experiments, is $m_{\beta} \approx 0.018 \,\mathrm{eV}$, which is an order of magnitude below the expected sensitivity of the KATRIN experiment [66]. Thus, a positive signal of KATRIN or of the proposed experiments Project 8, ECHo, MARE and PTOLEMY could rule out our model, see e.g. [67]. Also an experiment like EUCLID [68] which could reach a 1 σ precision of 0.01 eV for the sum of the neutrino masses [69] could disfavour our model. An exclusion of the wrong mass ordering is expected at the 3σ level with experiments like PINGU, ORCA, JUNO and RENO50 (and LBNE), within the next 10 to 15 years, for recent studies see [70,71]. At any rate the information on the mass hierarchy will be useful for improving the reach of measuring e.g. CP violation.

Both Majorana phases α and β are determined by the form of the neutrino masses in Eq. (27) and by the requirement $t_{\nu}\tilde{u}_{\nu} < 0$

$$\alpha = \pi, \ \beta = \pi \tag{32}$$

and the quantity m_{ee} , which encodes neutrinoless double β decay [72,73] is $m_{ee} \approx 0.003$ eV. Such a low value is experimentally very challenging [72–76]. On the other hand, if a positive signal is found, our model would be disfavoured.

3.2 Next-to-leading order results

In this subsection we address relevant contributions arising from shifts in the LO vacuum and from operators with more flavons than those discussed above. It is important to keep in mind in the following that we have chosen different expansion parameters in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors, see Eqs. (20, 25).

We first discuss the most important corrections to the neutrino mass matrix. These arise from the shift in the VEV of the flavon χ_N , see Eq. (54), if plugged into the fourth operator of Eq. (21), and they lead to a contribution to the neutrino mass matrix in Eq. (23) which is suppressed by λ^2 with respect to those coming from the first three terms in Eq. (21). This new contribution cannot be absorbed via a re-definition of the three parameters t_{ν} , u_{ν} and x_{ν} in m_{ν} , but instead it requires a fourth parameter p_{ν} . This parameter is real, since the corrections to the VEV of χ_N as well as $v_{\xi'_N}$ are both imaginary and all couplings are real. Furthermore, the contribution is $\mu\tau$ -symmetric in flavour space. The corrected neutrino mass matrix thus reads

$$m_{\nu}^{\text{NLO}} = \begin{pmatrix} t_{\nu} + 2u_{\nu} & -u_{\nu} - ix_{\nu} + p_{\nu} & -u_{\nu} + ix_{\nu} + p_{\nu} \\ -u_{\nu} - ix_{\nu} + p_{\nu} & 2u_{\nu} + ix_{\nu} + p_{\nu} & t_{\nu} - u_{\nu} \\ -u_{\nu} + ix_{\nu} + p_{\nu} & t_{\nu} - u_{\nu} & 2u_{\nu} - ix_{\nu} + p_{\nu} \end{pmatrix} \times \frac{\langle h_{u} \rangle^{2}}{\Lambda}$$
(33)

with

$$p_{\nu} = i \, y_{\nu,3} \, \alpha \, \frac{v_{\xi'_N} \, v_{\chi_N}}{\Lambda^2} \, \lambda \tag{34}$$

being real, since $i v_{\xi'_N}$ is real. This matrix has the most general form which is compatible with the preservation of the group G_{ν} in the neutrino sector (modulo the overall phase which also shows up in the parameter p_{ν} and thus can be factored out). Consequently, the results for the mixing parameters remain unchanged, whereas the neutrino masses, see Eq. (27), acquire corrections proportional to p_{ν} . Since p_{ν} is suppressed by λ^2 with respect to t_{ν} and u_{ν} (and thus \tilde{u}_{ν}), the correction to the LO results for the neutrino masses is of relative order λ^2 .

The largest correction to the mass matrix m_{ν} which alters its structure in such a way that it is no longer compatible with the preservation of the residual symmetry G_{ν} arises at order λ^6 in units of $\langle h_u \rangle^2 / \Lambda$.¹⁰ It originates from shifting the vacuum of the field ξ'_N so that $\langle \xi'_N \rangle$ gets a small real part, being suppressed by λ^4 with respect to $v_{\xi'_{\lambda'}}$ (which is imaginary). This shift contributes to m_{ν} through the fourth operator in Eq. (21) and leads to unequal real parts of the (12) and (13) and (22) and (33) elements of m_{ν} , respectively, whose difference is of relative order λ^5 compared to the LO term in these elements. If we include operators with more flavons and compute their contribution using the LO vacuum of these fields, corrections to m_v that break the residual symmetry G_{ν} of m_{ν}^{NLO} in Eq. (33) are generated at order λ^7 (and smaller) in units of $\langle h_u \rangle^2 / \Lambda$. The most relevant ones contain three flavons belonging to the set $\{\chi_E, \varphi_E\}$ and one being either ξ_N, ξ_N or φ_N . Both types of corrections will affect our results for the mixing parameters. However, they are suppressed by at least λ^4 with respect to the parameters in m_{ν} in Eq. (23) and so can be safely neglected.

In the same fashion we can analyze the subleading contributions to the charged lepton mass matrix which is diagonal at LO and does not allow for a non-zero electron mass. The latter instead is generated in two ways: first through operators with five flavons with an index N, if we take into account the shift in the VEV of the flavon χ_N (in the following we

only mention operators that actually give a non-zero contribution to the electron mass, omit all (real) Yukawa couplings and do not specify whether these operators arise from only one independent S_4 contraction or whether there are several independent ones):

$$le^{c}\xi_{N}^{3}\chi_{N}\varphi_{N}h_{d}/\Lambda^{5} + le^{c}\xi_{N}\chi_{N}\varphi_{N}^{3}h_{d}/\Lambda^{5} + le^{c}\xi_{N}\chi_{N}^{3}\varphi_{N}h_{d}/\Lambda^{5},$$
(35)

and secondly through operators with six flavons with an index N (one of them being ξ'_N , an even number of fields χ_N and the rest either ξ_N , $\tilde{\xi}_N$ or φ_N), if we use the LO vacuum of these fields,

$$le^{c}\xi_{N}^{4}\xi_{N}^{\prime}\varphi_{N}h_{d}/\Lambda^{6} + le^{c}\xi_{N}^{2}\xi_{N}^{\prime}\varphi_{N}^{3}h_{d}/\Lambda^{6} + le^{c}\xi_{N}^{\prime}\varphi_{N}^{5}h_{d}/\Lambda^{6} + le^{c}\xi_{N}^{2}\xi_{N}^{\prime}\chi_{N}^{2}\varphi_{N}h_{d}/\Lambda^{6} + le^{c}\xi_{N}^{\prime}\chi_{N}^{2}\varphi_{N}^{3}h_{d}/\Lambda^{6} + le^{c}\xi_{N}^{\prime}\chi_{N}^{4}\varphi_{N}h_{d}/\Lambda^{6}.$$
(36)

All these operators induce contributions to the (1i) elements, i = 1, 2, 3 of the charged lepton mass matrix that are of the order $\lambda^6 \langle h_d \rangle$ and equal. They lead to an electron mass of order $\lambda^6 \langle h_d \rangle$, which is in agreement with the observed mass hierarchy among the charged leptons. The reason for these operators to give rise to a contribution that is equal for all three elements of the first row of m_l is the following: $le^c h_d \sim (\mathbf{3}, 1)$ under $S_4 \times Z_3$ and the most general form of the VEV of a field in the representation $\mathbf{3}$ of S_4 , which leaves the group G_{ν} invariant is a vector with three equal entries, see Eq. (15), so that the contribution to the charged lepton mass matrix involving only fields with an index N leads to equal (1i) elements.

Also the other elements of the charged lepton mass matrix are corrected at a subleading level. One type of corrections originates from the shifts in the VEVs of the fields χ_E and φ_E , see Eq. (56), and it results in (31) and (32) elements of order λ^6 and (21) and (23) elements of order λ^8 in units of $\langle h_d \rangle$. A second source of corrections are operators with more flavons which turn out to have the following structure: an operator with μ^c comprises two fields from the set { χ_E, φ_E } in order to saturate the Z_3 charge and either one field χ_N together with three flavons of the type { $\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N, \varphi_N$ } or three fields χ_N and one field $\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N$ or φ_N , whereas an operator with τ^c only requires one field from the set { χ_E, φ_E }, while otherwise maintaining the same form as the operator with μ^c , e.g.

$$l\mu^{c}\chi_{E}^{2}\xi_{N}^{2}\varphi_{N}\chi_{N}h_{d}/\Lambda^{6} + l\tau^{c}\varphi_{E}\xi_{N}^{3}\chi_{N}h_{d}/\Lambda^{5}.$$
(37)

Taking into account the LO form of the flavon VEVs, we see that such operators contribute at the same level to the charged lepton mass matrix as the leading operators, if the latter are computed with the shifted vacuum of χ_E and φ_E . Eventually we find the order of magnitudes of the different entries of the charged lepton mass matrix, including subleading corrections, to be

¹⁰ Here and in the following we assume that the LO vacuum of the flavons ξ_N , $\tilde{\xi}_N$, χ_N , φ_N and ξ'_N actually preserves G_ν , i.e. in our case that the VEVs v_{ξ_N} , v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} are real.

$$m_l^{\rm NLO} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 \\ \lambda^8 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^8 \\ \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \langle h_d \rangle.$$
(38)

The charged lepton masses thus follow the correct hierarchy

$$m_e: m_\mu: m_\tau \approx \lambda^4: \lambda^2: 1 \tag{39}$$

with $m_{\tau} \approx \lambda^2 \langle h_d \rangle$ and we can estimate the size of the contribution to the lepton mixing angles coming from the charged lepton sector as

$$\theta_{ij}^l \sim \lambda^4 \quad \text{with} \quad ij = 12, 13, 23.$$
 (40)

Such corrections have a small impact on our results for the lepton mixing parameters and can be neglected.

4 Flavon superpotential

In order to construct the superpotential responsible for the alignment of the flavon VEVs we assume the existence of an *R*-symmetry $U(1)_R$ [5] under which matter superfields carry charge +1, fields acquiring a non-vanishing vacuum (i.e. $h_{u,d}$ and all flavons) are uncharged and so-called driving fields, indicated with the superscript "0" carry charge +2. In this way all terms in the superpotential either contain two matter superfields (like the terms leading to lepton masses) or one driving field, like those to be discussed in this section. In the limit of unbroken SUSY, the F-terms of these fields have to vanish and in this way the vacuum of the flavons gets aligned.¹¹ The driving fields necessary for our construction of the flavon superpotential are given in Table 3. Their transformation properties under the flavour and auxiliary symmetries are chosen in such a way that, at the renormalisable level, the vacua of the sets of fields $\{\chi_E, \varphi_E\}, \{\xi_N, \xi_N, \chi_N, \varphi_N\}$ and ξ'_N are aligned separately: the vacuum of the first set of fields spontaneously breaks G_{CP} to $Z_3^{(D)}$, while the one of the second set breaks G_{CP} to $Z_2 \times G_{\nu}$ (up to the overall phase of the VEVs, see Eq. (50), which is irrelevant for lepton masses and mixing at LO), which is broken to G_{ν} if the vacuum of ξ'_N is also considered.

4.1 Leading order results

We can divide the flavon superpotential $w_{\rm fl}$ in three parts, $w_{\rm fl,e}$, $w_{\rm fl,\nu}$ and $w_{\rm fl,\xi}$,

$$w_{\rm fl} = w_{{\rm fl},e} + w_{{\rm fl},\nu} + w_{{\rm fl},\xi} \tag{41}$$

with

$$w_{\mathrm{fl},e} = a_e \,\xi_E^0(\chi_E \chi_E) + \tilde{a}_e \,\tilde{\xi}_E^0(\varphi_E \varphi_E) + b_e \,(\chi_E^0 \chi_E \chi_E) + c_e \,(\chi_E^0 \varphi_E \varphi_E), \tag{42}$$

$$w_{\mathrm{fl},\nu} = a_{\nu} \xi_{N}^{0} \xi_{N}^{2} + \tilde{a}_{\nu} \xi_{N}^{0} \tilde{\xi}_{N}^{2} + \bar{a}_{\nu} \xi_{N}^{0} \xi_{N} \tilde{\xi}_{N} + b_{\nu} \xi_{N}^{0} (\chi_{N} \chi_{N}) + c_{\nu} \xi_{N}^{0} (\varphi_{N} \varphi_{N}) + d_{\nu} (\chi_{N}^{0} \chi_{N} \chi_{N}) + e_{\nu} (\chi_{N}^{0} \varphi_{N} \varphi_{N}) + f_{\nu} \tilde{\xi}_{N} (\varphi_{N}^{0} \varphi_{N}) + g_{\nu} (\varphi_{N}^{0} \varphi_{N} \varphi_{N})$$
(43)

and

$$w_{\rm fl,\xi} = \tilde{\xi}_N^0 M^2 + a_{\xi} \tilde{\xi}_N^0 (\xi_N' \xi_N').$$
(44)

A few things are noteworthy: all couplings are real, since the theory is invariant under CP in the unbroken phase; the driving fields ξ_E^0 and $\tilde{\xi}_E^0$ are defined in such a way that the former only couples to ($\chi_E \chi_E$) and the latter only to ($\varphi_E \varphi_E$); similarly, we use the freedom to define the fields ξ_N and $\tilde{\xi}_N$ in such a way that only $\tilde{\xi}_N$ couples to φ_N (at the renormalisable level); moreover, we neglect all terms containing the MSSM Higgs doublets $h_{u,d}$, since these are completely irrelevant in the discussion of the alignment of the flavon VEVs, which are typically of order 10¹³ GeV.

The F-terms of the driving fields with an index E are of the form

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \xi_F^0} = 2a_e \,\chi_{E,1}\chi_{E,2},\tag{45a}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \tilde{\xi}_E^0} = \tilde{a}_e \left(\varphi_{E,1}^2 + 2\varphi_{E,2}\varphi_{E,3}\right),\tag{45b}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \chi_{E,1}^0} = b_e \,\chi_{E,1}^2 + c_e \,(\varphi_{E,3}^2 + 2\varphi_{E,1}\varphi_{E,2}),\tag{45c}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \chi_{E,2}^0} = b_e \,\chi_{E,2}^2 + c_e \,(\varphi_{E,2}^2 + 2\varphi_{E,1}\varphi_{E,3}). \tag{45d}$$

Equating these to zero and solving for the VEVs of the flavons χ_E and φ_E we get

$$\langle \chi_E \rangle = v_{\chi_E} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \langle \varphi_E \rangle = v_{\varphi_E} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (46)

up to symmetry transformations belonging to S_4 . Obviously, also the trivial vacuum is a solution which, however, can easily be excluded by requiring that at least one of the flavons χ_E and φ_E acquires a non-vanishing VEV. The two VEVs v_{χ_E} and v_{φ_E} are related through

$$v_{\varphi_E}^2 = -\frac{b_e}{c_e} v_{\chi_E}^2 \tag{47}$$

with v_{χ_E} being a free parameter which is in general complex. The presence of this parameter indicates a flat direction which exists in the flavon potential in the SUSY limit. Since v_{χ_E} and v_{φ_E} are directly related, it is natural to expect them to be of the same order of magnitude, as we did in the preceding discussion. Since their size is related to a flat direction, we, however, cannot fix it, but we choose it by hand in order to correctly accommodate the hierarchy among the tau and the muon mass, see Eqs. (19,20).

¹¹ The vanishing of the F-terms of the flavons can be achieved for vanishing VEVs of the driving fields.

Analogously, the F-terms of the driving fields ξ_N^0 , χ_N^0 and φ_N^0 are given by

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \xi_N^0} = a_\nu \, \xi_N^2 + \tilde{a}_\nu \, \tilde{\xi}_N^2 + \bar{a}_\nu \, \xi_N \tilde{\xi}_N + 2 \, b_\nu \, \chi_{N,1} \chi_{N,2} + c_\nu \, (\varphi_{N,1}^2 + 2\varphi_{N,2}\varphi_{N,3}), \qquad (48a)$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \chi_{N,1}^0} = d_\nu \, \chi_{N,1}^2 + e_\nu \, (\varphi_{N,3}^2 + 2\varphi_{N,1}\varphi_{N,2}), \tag{48b}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \chi^0_{N,2}} = d_\nu \, \chi^2_{N,2} + e_\nu \, (\varphi^2_{N,2} + 2\varphi_{N,1}\varphi_{N,3}), \tag{48c}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \varphi_{N,1}^0} = f_{\nu} \,\tilde{\xi}_N \varphi_{N,1} + 2 \,g_{\nu} \,(\varphi_{N,1}^2 - \varphi_{N,2} \varphi_{N,3}), \tag{48d}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{\mathrm{fl}}}{\partial \varphi_{N,2}^{0}} = f_{\nu} \,\tilde{\xi}_{N} \varphi_{N,3} + 2 \,g_{\nu} \,(\varphi_{N,2}^{2} - \varphi_{N,1} \varphi_{N,3}), \qquad (48e)$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{\rm fl}}{\partial \varphi_{N,3}^0} = f_{\nu} \,\tilde{\xi}_N \varphi_{N,2} + 2 \,g_{\nu} \,(\varphi_{N,3}^2 - \varphi_{N,1} \varphi_{N,2}). \tag{48f}$$

Equating these to zero, we find several possible solutions for the vacuum. These can be classified and have different features: one class is formed by the trivial vacuum, one class by solutions with vanishing VEVs of the fields χ_N and φ_N , a third class comprises the vacua in which the VEV of χ_N vanishes, while the other flavons acquire non-zero VEVs, and the last class requires $\langle \tilde{\xi}_N \rangle = 0$ and all other VEVs to be different from zero. We are indeed interested in this last class and, as one sees, it can be selected by requiring that (at least) the flavon χ_N being in the two-dimensional representation of S₄ acquires a non-zero VEV. Then all solutions belonging to this class are related by S₄ transformations and/or by a change of the relative sign of the VEVs of the two components of the flavon χ_N . The latter feature can be traced back to the fact that the expressions in Eqs. (48b, 48c) only depend quadratically on $\chi_{N,i}$. Given these different possibilities, we choose as vacuum

$$\langle \xi_N \rangle = v_{\xi_N}, \quad \langle \chi_N \rangle = v_{\chi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \varphi_N \rangle = v_{\varphi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(49)

and $\langle \tilde{\xi}_N \rangle = 0.^{12}$ As can be derived from Eqs. (48a–48c), the VEVs v_{ξ_N} , v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} are related through

$$v_{\xi_N}^2 = \frac{3}{a_\nu} \left(2 \, \frac{b_\nu e_\nu}{d_\nu} - c_\nu \right) \, v_{\varphi_N}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\chi_N}^2 = -3 \, \frac{e_\nu}{d_\nu} \, v_{\varphi_N}^2 \tag{50}$$

with v_{ω_N} parametrising the second flat direction of our flavon potential in the SUSY limit. This parameter is in general complex so that also the VEVs of ξ_N , χ_N and φ_N are in general complex. Apparently, CP is broken in this vacuum and thus in the neutrino sector. However, as explained in Sect. 3.1, such violation of CP is irrelevant for (the residual symmetry of) the neutrino mass matrix m_{ν} and thus the lepton mixing (at LO), as long as the VEVs v_{ξ_N} , v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} have the same phase up to π , because the latter becomes then an overall phase which can be factored out in m_{ν} , see Eqs. (23,24). From Eq. (50) we see that this is achieved for $e_v/d_v < 0$ and $(2b_{\nu}e_{\nu}/d_{\nu}-c_{\nu})/a_{\nu} > 0$. Another way to show that the common phase of the VEVs v_{ξ_N} , v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} is unphysical is to re-define the fields of the model so that this phase becomes an overall phase of the LO superpotential. The VEVs are expected to have the same order of magnitude, which we choose to be $\lambda \Lambda$, since they are directly related through the parameters of $w_{\rm fl,\nu}$, see Eq. (50). Eventually, requiring the F-term of $\tilde{\xi}_N^0$ to vanish leads to

$$v_{\xi_N'}^2 = -\frac{M^2}{a_{\xi}}.$$
 (51)

For $M^2/a_{\xi} > 0$ we immediately see that the VEV of ξ'_N is imaginary, as it should in order to be compatible with G_{ν} . Notice for $M \sim \lambda \Lambda$ also $\langle \xi'_N \rangle$ is of this order of magnitude. Such type of alignment has been proposed in [77].

4.2 Next-to-leading order results

We now turn to the discussion of the non-renormalisable contributions to the flavon superpotential. These induce shifts in the vacuum obtained at LO. Taking into consideration the sizes of the LO VEVs (fields with an index *E* have a VEV of order $\lambda^2 \Lambda$, whereas those with an index *N* have VEVs of order $\lambda \Lambda$), we find the most relevant subleading corrections to arise from the following three operators

$$s_{\nu,1}\xi_N (\chi_N^0 \xi'_N \chi_N) / \Lambda + \tilde{s}_{\nu,1} \tilde{\xi}_N (\chi_N^0 \xi'_N \chi_N) / \Lambda + s_{\nu,2} (\varphi_N^0 \xi'_N \chi_N \varphi_N) / \Lambda.$$
(52)

Adding these to $w_{\rm fl}$, re-computing the F-terms in a linear expansion in the shifts of the vacuum and equating them to zero, we find that they give rise to a correction of the VEV of χ_N

$$\delta v_{\chi_{N,1}} = -\delta v_{\chi_{N,2}} = \frac{s_{\nu,1}}{2 \, d_{\nu}} \, \frac{v_{\xi_N}}{\Lambda} \, v_{\xi'_N} \tag{53}$$

with $\delta v_{\chi_{N,i}}$ denoting the shifts of the vacuum of the *i*th component of χ_N , $\langle \chi_{N,i} \rangle = v_{\chi_N} + \delta v_{\chi_{N,i}}$. Since $\delta v_{\chi_{N,i}}$ are proportional to v_{ξ_N} , they carry the phase that is associated with the second flat direction of the flavon potential and that is also carried by the LO VEVs of the fields ξ_N , χ_N and φ_N . At the same time, $\delta v_{\chi_{N,i}}$ are proportional to $v_{\xi'_N}$, which is imaginary. Their relative suppression with respect to the LO

¹² If the vacuum with a relative sign among the VEVs of the two components of χ_N were chosen, the resulting neutrino mass matrix at LO, see Eq. (23), would turn out to be $\mu\tau$ symmetric. As a consequence, the reactor mixing angle θ_{13} would vanish, in contradiction to experimental observation, and the Dirac phase would become unphysical. This example shows that not all degenerate vacua are physically equivalent in our model.

VEVs of the flavons with index N is λ . Thus, the shifted vacuum of χ_N can be parameterised as

$$\langle \chi_N \rangle = v_{\chi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i \, \alpha \, \lambda \\ 1 - i \, \alpha \, \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
(54)

with α real. Its form leaves the group G_{ν} invariant (up to the above-mentioned phase of $v_{\chi N}$), see Eq. (15), because the operators in Eq. (52) that induce the shift only contain fields with index N (especially, exactly one of them is ξ'_N). The other VEVs do not acquire non-trivial shifts at this order. Indeed, all terms, which lead to contributions of order $\lambda^5 \Lambda^2$ or larger to the F-terms of the driving fields ξ^0_N , χ^0_N , φ^0_N and $\tilde{\xi}^0_N$, if the LO flavon VEVs are plugged in, only comprise flavons with an index N and thus cannot lead further non-trivial shifts in the vacuum.¹³ The most relevant terms including the flavons χ_E and φ_E which do change the alignment are

$$\tilde{\xi}_N^0(\varphi_E^3)/\Lambda + \tilde{\xi}_N^0(\varphi_E^2\chi_E)/\Lambda + \tilde{\xi}_N^0(\chi_E^3)/\Lambda.$$
(55)

Their contribution to the F-term of $\tilde{\xi}_N^0$ is of order $\lambda^6 \Lambda^2$ and hence they are relatively suppressed by λ^4 compared to the contribution from the LO terms in Eq. (44) [see also Eq. (51) and below]. Since the VEVs of χ_E and φ_E carry an undetermined phase, see Eq. (47), such contributions lead to a shift in the phase of the VEV of ξ'_N so that it acquires a small real part which is suppressed by λ^4 with respect to $v_{\xi'_N}$.

Similarly, we can discuss the subleading contributions to $w_{\mathrm{fl},e}$. The ones leading to shifts in the vacuum of χ_E and φ_E that cannot be absorbed into its LO form, given in Eq. (46), comprise six flavons. Two of them belong to the set { χ_E, φ_E } and are necessary in order to saturate the Z_3 charge, while the other four ones carry an index N (there are either three fields of the set { $\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N, \varphi_N$ } and one power of χ_N or three fields χ_N and one of the set { $\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N, \varphi_N$ }. Assuming the sizes of the VEVs, as discussed above, these contributions to the F-terms of the driving fields $\xi_E^0, \tilde{\xi}_E^0$ and χ_E^0 are of the order $\lambda^8 \Lambda^2$ and are thus suppressed by λ^4 with respect to the contributions from the renormalisable terms in $w_{\mathrm{fl},e}$, see Eqs. (42, 45). Consequently, they induce shifts in the VEVs of χ_E and φ_E at relative order λ^4 such that the latter take the form

$$\langle \chi_E \rangle = v_{\chi_E} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \lambda^4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\langle \varphi_E \rangle = v_{\varphi_E} (1 + \gamma \lambda^2) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \lambda^4 \\ 1 \\ \eta \lambda^4 \end{pmatrix}$
(56)

with β , γ , ϵ and η having absolute values of order one and being in general complex.

5 Summary

In this paper we have constructed a SUSY model for leptons with the flavour group $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$ and a generalised CP symmetry. The spontaneous breaking of these symmetries to a Z_3 subgroup in the charged lepton and to $Z_2 \times CP$ ($\times Z_3$) in the neutrino sector leads to a mixing matrix with one free parameter. The latter is determined by the elements of the neutrino mass matrix and is directly related to the reactor mixing angle. This angle is naturally of the correct order λ in our model due to its origin from a subleading operator. At the same time, the other mixing parameters are also predicted, especially the atmospheric mixing angle is maximal as well as the Dirac phase. The two Majorana phases are trivial. The solar mixing angle fulfills $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \gtrsim 1/3$. We predict the three neutrino masses to effectively depend on only two parameters at LO and thus the mass spectrum can only be normally ordered with the absolute neutrino mass scale being determined. We have discussed in detail the vacuum alignment of the flavour and CP symmetry breaking fields and have shown that this alignment is stable against the inclusion of higher-order terms in the flavon superpotential. We have computed leading as well as subleading contributions to the charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices and have shown that all our predictions are only slightly corrected by the latter contributions. The most relevant effect of the subleading corrections is the generation of the electron mass. Indeed, the charged lepton mass hierarchy is correctly reproduced without any tuning in our model, because the different lepton masses arise from operators with one, two and several flavons, respectively.

It would be interesting to extend our predictive and successful model from the lepton to the quark sector, for example in the framework of a SUSY grand unified theory.

Note Added: During the completion of this manuscript another paper [78] has been submitted to the arXiv that contains similar SUSY models in which the flavour group S_4 and a generalised CP symmetry are broken to $Z_2 \times CP$ in the neutrino sector and the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal.

Acknowledgments FF has been partly supported by the European Programme "Unification in the LHC Era" (UNILHC, PITN-GA-2009-237920) and by the European Union FP7 ITN INVISIBLES (Marie Curie Actions, PITN-GA-2011-289442). CH is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 267985, "Electroweak Symmetry Breaking, Flavour and Dark Matter: One Solution for Three Mysteries"

¹³ Note that we have to assume here that the VEV v_{φ_N} is real so that the LO vacuum of the flavons ξ_N , $\tilde{\xi}_N$, χ_N and φ_N leaves the CP symmetry intact. Otherwise shifts in the VEVs would be larger and thus also the corrections to the neutrino mass matrix.

(DaMeSyFla). CH would like to thank Michael A. Schmidt for email correspondence.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

Funded by SCOAP3 / License Version CC BY 4.0.

Appendix A: Group theory of S₄

We follow the convention and notation of [50] and repeat for the reader's convenience the form of the S_4 generators S, Tand U in this particular basis, the Kronecker products and the form of the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients.

The generators S, T and U in the five different representations are chosen as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}: S &= 1, & T &= 1, & U &= 1, \\ \mathbf{1}': S &= 1, & T &= 1, & U &= -1, \\ \mathbf{2}: S &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & T &= \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}, & U &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{3}: S &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & T &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, & U &= -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{3}': S &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & T &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, & U &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

with $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$. These fulfill the relations

$$S^{2} = 1, T^{3} = 1, U^{2} = 1,$$

 $(ST)^{3} = 1, (SU)^{2} = 1, (TU)^{2} = 1, (STU)^{4} = 1.$

In order to perform explicit computations of S_4 invariants, we need the Kronecker products as well as the Clebsch– Gordan coefficients. The Kronecker products are of the form

$$1 \times \mu = \mu \quad \forall \quad \mu, \quad 1' \times 1' = 1, \quad 1' \times 2 = 2,$$

$$1' \times 3 = 3', \quad 1' \times 3' = 3,$$

$$2 \times 2 = 1 + 1' + 2, \quad 2 \times 3 = 2 \times 3' = 3 + 3',$$

$$3 \times 3 = 3' \times 3' = 1 + 2 + 3 + 3',$$

$$3 \times 3' = 1' + 2 + 3 + 3'.$$

In the following we list the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients using the notation $x \sim \mathbf{1}, x' \sim \mathbf{1}', (y_1, y_2)^t, (\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)^t \sim \mathbf{2},$ $(z_1, z_2, z_3)^t, (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_3)^t \sim \mathbf{3}, (z'_1, z'_2, z'_3)^t, (\tilde{z}'_1, \tilde{z}'_2, \tilde{z}'_3)^t \sim \mathbf{3}'.$ For a singlet multiplied with a doublet or a triplet we find

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{1}^{(\prime)} &\times \mathbf{2} \colon (xy_1, xy_2)^t \sim \mathbf{2}, \quad (x'y_1, -x'y_2)^t \sim \mathbf{2}, \\ \mathbf{1}^{(\prime)} &\times \mathbf{3} \colon (xz_1, xz_2, xz_3)^t \sim \mathbf{3}, \quad (x'z_1, x'z_2, x'z_3)^t \sim \mathbf{3}', \\ \mathbf{1}^{(\prime)} &\times \mathbf{3}' \colon (xz_1', xz_2', xz_3')^t \sim \mathbf{3}', \quad (x'z_1', x'z_2', x'z_3')^t \sim \mathbf{3}. \end{split}$$

For a doublet coupled to a doublet we have

2 × **2**:
$$y_1 \tilde{y}_2 + y_2 \tilde{y}_1 \sim \mathbf{1}, \quad y_1 \tilde{y}_2 - y_2 \tilde{y}_1 \sim \mathbf{1}', (y_2 \tilde{y}_2, y_1 \tilde{y}_1)^t \sim \mathbf{2}$$

and for a doublet multiplied with a triplet

2 × **3**:
$$(y_1z_2 + y_2z_3, y_1z_3 + y_2z_1, y_1z_1 + y_2z_2)^t \sim$$
3,
 $(y_1z_2 - y_2z_3, y_1z_3 - y_2z_1, y_1z_1 - y_2z_2)^t \sim$ **3**',

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{3}': \quad (y_1 z_2' - y_2 z_3', y_1 z_3' - y_2 z_1', y_1 z_1' - y_2 z_2')^t \sim \mathbf{3} , \\ (y_1 z_2' + y_2 z_3', y_1 z_3' + y_2 z_1', y_1 z_1' + y_2 z_2')^t \sim \mathbf{3}' . \end{aligned}$$

For the products $\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{3}' \times \mathbf{3}'$ (with z_i, \tilde{z}_i to be replaced by z'_i, \tilde{z}'_i) we get

$$z_{1}\tilde{z}_{1} + z_{2}\tilde{z}_{3} + z_{3}\tilde{z}_{2} \sim \mathbf{1}, \quad (z_{1}\tilde{z}_{3} + z_{2}\tilde{z}_{2} + z_{3}\tilde{z}_{1}, z_{1}\tilde{z}_{2} + z_{2}\tilde{z}_{1} + z_{3}\tilde{z}_{3})^{t} \sim \mathbf{2},$$

$$(z_{2}\tilde{z}_{3} - z_{3}\tilde{z}_{2}, z_{1}\tilde{z}_{2} - z_{2}\tilde{z}_{1}, z_{3}\tilde{z}_{1} - z_{1}\tilde{z}_{3})^{t} \sim \mathbf{3},$$

$$(2 z_{1}\tilde{z}_{1} - z_{2}\tilde{z}_{3} - z_{3}\tilde{z}_{2}, 2 z_{3}\tilde{z}_{3} - z_{1}\tilde{z}_{2} - z_{2}\tilde{z}_{1}, 2 z_{2}\tilde{z}_{2} - z_{1}\tilde{z}_{3} - z_{3}\tilde{z}_{1})^{t} \sim \mathbf{3}',$$

while the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients for the product $3\times 3^\prime$ read

$$z_{1}z'_{1} + z_{2}z'_{3} + z_{3}z'_{2} \sim \mathbf{1}', \quad (z_{1}z'_{3} + z_{2}z'_{2} + z_{3}z'_{1}, - (z_{1}z'_{2} + z_{2}z'_{1} + z_{3}z'_{3}))^{t} \sim \mathbf{2}, (2 z_{1}z'_{1} - z_{2}z'_{3} - z_{3}z'_{2}, 2 z_{3}z'_{3} - z_{1}z'_{2} - z_{2}z'_{1}, 2 z_{2}z'_{2} - z_{1}z'_{3} - z_{3}z'_{1})^{t} \sim \mathbf{3}, (z_{2}z'_{3} - z_{3}z'_{2}, z_{1}z'_{2} - z_{2}z'_{1}, z_{3}z'_{1} - z_{1}z'_{3})^{t} \sim \mathbf{3}'.$$

References

- G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2701–2729 (2010). [arXiv:1002.0211 [hep-ph]]
- H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, Y. Shimizu, H. Okada, M. Tanimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 183, 1–163 (2010). [arXiv: 1003.3552 [hep-th]]
- P.F. Harrison, D.H. Perkins, W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B 530, 167 (2002). [arXiv:hep-ph/0202074]
- 4. Z-z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B 533, 85 (2002). [arXiv:hep-ph/0204049]
- 5. G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, Nucl. Phys. B **741**, 215 (2006). [arXiv: hep-ph/0512103]
- I. de Medeiros Varzielas, S.F. King, G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B 644, 153 (2007). [arXiv:hep-ph/0512313]
- X.-G. He, Y.-Y. Keum, R.R. Volkas, JHEP 0604, 039 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0601001]
- 8. E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 70, 031901 (2004). [arXiv:hep-ph/0404199]
- C.S. Lam, Phys. Lett. B 656, 193 (2007). [arXiv:0708.3665 [hepph]]
- C.S. Lam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 121602 (2008). [arXiv:0804.2622 [hep-ph]]
- C.S. Lam, Phys. Rev. D 78, 073015 (2008). [arXiv:0809.1185 [hepph]]
- 12. E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B 632, 352 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0508231]
- K. Abe et al., [T2K Collaboration] Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 041801 (2011). [arXiv:1106.2822 [hep-ex]].
- P. Adamson et al., [MINOS Collaboration] Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 181802 (2011). [arXiv:1108.0015 [hep-ex]].
- Y. Abe et al., [Double-Chooz Collaboration]. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 131801 (2012). [arXiv:1112.6353 [hep-ex]].

- F.P. An et al., [Daya-Bay Collaboration]. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 171803 (2012). [arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex]].
- J.K. Ahn et al., [RENO Collaboration]. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 191802 (2012). [arXiv:1204.0626 [hep-ex]].
- F.P. An et al., [Daya-Bay Collaboration]. Chin. Phys. C 37, 011001 (2013). [arXiv:1210.6327 [hep-ex]].
- M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado, T. Schwetz, JHEP 1212, 123 (2012). [arXiv:1209.3023 [hep-ph]]
- G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, L. Merlo, E. Stamou, JHEP **1208**, 021 (2012). [arXiv:1205.4670 [hep-ph]]
- 21. R. de Adelhart Toorop, F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, Phys. Lett. B **703**, 447 (2011). [arXiv:1107.3486 [hep-ph]]
- 22. R. de Adelhart Toorop, F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, Nucl. Phys. B 858, 437 (2012). [arXiv:1112.1340 [hep-ph]]
- S.-F. Ge, D.A. Dicus, W.W. Repko, Phys. Lett. B 702, 220 (2011). [arXiv:1104.0602 [hep-ph]]
- S.-F. Ge, D.A. Dicus, W.W. Repko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 041801 (2012). [arXiv:1108.0964 [hep-ph]]
- D. Hernandez, AYu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D 86, 053014 (2012). [arXiv:1204.0445 [hep-ph]]
- D. Hernandez, AYu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D 87, 053005 (2013). [arXiv:1212.2149 [hep-ph]]
- 27. G. Ecker, W. Grimus, H. Neufeld, J. Phys. A 20, L807 (1987)
- H. Neufeld, W. Grimus, G. Ecker, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 3, 603 (1988)
 P.F. Harrison, W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B 535, 163 (2002). [arXiv: hep-ph/0203209]
- P.F. Harrison, W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B 547, 219 (2002). [arXiv: hep-ph/0210197]
- P.F. Harrison, W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B 594, 324 (2004). [arXiv: hep-ph/0403278]
- 32. W. Grimus, L. Lavoura, Phys. Lett. B 579, 113 (2004). [arXiv: hep-ph/0305309]
- R. Krishnan, P.F. Harrison, W.G. Scott, JHEP 1304, 087 (2013). [arXiv:1211.2000 [hep-ph]]
- R.N. Mohapatra, C.C. Nishi, Phys. Rev. D 86, 073007 (2012). [arXiv:1208.2875 [hep-ph]]
- 35. G.C. Branco, J.M. Gerard, W. Grimus, Phys. Lett. B 136, 383 (1984)
- I. de Medeiros Varzielas, D. Emmanuel-Costa, Phys. Rev. D 84, 117901 (2011). [arXiv:1106.5477 [hep-ph]]
- I. de Medeiros Varzielas, D. Emmanuel-Costa, P. Leser, Phys. Lett. B 716, 193 (2012). [arXiv:1204.3633 [hep-ph]]
- I. de Medeiros Varzielas, JHEP 1208, 055 (2012). [arXiv:1205. 3780 [hep-ph]]
- G. Bhattacharyya, I. de Medeiros Varzielas, P. Leser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 241603 (2012). [arXiv:1210.0545 [hep-ph]]
- K.S. Babu, J. Kubo, Phys. Rev. D 71, 056006 (2005). [hepph/0411226]
- 41. K.S. Babu, K. Kawashima, J. Kubo, Phys. Rev. D 83, 095008 (2011). [arXiv:1103.1664 [hep-ph]]
- M.-C. Chen, K.T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Lett. B 681, 444 (2009). [arXiv:0904.1721 [hep-ph]]
- A. Meroni, S.T. Petcov, M. Spinrath, Phys. Rev. D 86, 113003 (2012). [arXiv:1205.5241 [hep-ph]]
- 44. W. Grimus, M.N. Rebelo, Phys. Rept. 281, 239 (1997). [arXiv: hep-ph/9506272]
- F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, R. Ziegler, JHEP 1307, 027 (2013). [arXiv:1211.5560 [hep-ph]]
- M. Holthausen, M. Lindner, M.A. Schmidt, JHEP 1304, 122 (2013). [arXiv:1211.6953 [hep-ph]]
- 47. G. Aad et al., [ATLAS Collaboration]. Phys. Lett. B 716, 1 (2012). [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].

- S. Chatrchyan et al., [CMS Collaboration]. Phys. Lett. B 716, 30 (2012). [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].
- 49. A. Djouadi and G. G. Moreau, arXiv:1303.6591 [hep-ph].
- C. Hagedorn, S.F. King, C. Luhn, JHEP **1006**, 048 (2010). [arXiv: 1003.4249 [hep-ph]]
- 51. C. Hagedorn, M. Serone, JHEP **1110**, 083 (2011). [arXiv:1106. 4021 [hep-ph]]
- C. Hagedorn, M. Serone, JHEP **1202**, 077 (2012). [arXiv:1110. 4612 [hep-ph]]
- X.G. He, A. Zee, Phys. Lett. B 645, 427 (2007). [arXiv:hep-ph/ 0607163]
- 54. W. Grimus, L. Lavoura, JHEP **0809**, 106 (2008). [arXiv:0809.0226 [hep-ph]]
- C.H. Albright, W. Rodejohann, Eur. Phys. J. C62, 599–608 (2009). [arXiv:0812.0436 [hep-ph]]
- 56. Y. Lin, Nucl. Phys. B 824, 95 (2010). [arXiv:0905.3534 [hep-ph]]
- W. Grimus, L. Lavoura, A. Singraber, Phys. Lett. B 686, 141 (2010). [arXiv:0911.5120 [hep-ph]]
- S.K. Agarwalla, S. Prakash, S.U. Sankar, JHEP 1307, 131 (2013). [arXiv:1301.2574 [hep-ph]]
- P. A. N. Machado, H. Minakata, H. Nunokawa and R. Z. Funchal, arXiv:1307.3248 [hep-ph].
- 60. K. Abe et al. [T2K Collaboration], arXiv:1311.4750 [hep-ex].
- F. Capozzi, G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino and A. Palazzo, arXiv:1312.2878 [hep-ph].
- 62. C. Adams et al. [LBNE Collaboration], arXiv:1307.7335 [hep-ex].
- K. Abe, T. Abe, H. Aihara, Y. Fukuda, Y. Hayato, K. Huang, A. K. Ichikawa and M. Ikeda et al., arXiv:1109.3262 [hep-ex].
- E. Kearns et al. [Hyper-Kamiokande Working Group Collaboration], arXiv:1309.0184 [hep-ex].
- P. A. R. Ade et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076 [astroph.CO].
- A. Osipowicz et al. [KATRIN Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/ 0109033.
- 67. A. de Gouvea et al. [Intensity Frontier Neutrino Working Group Collaboration], arXiv:1310.4340 [hep-ex].
- R. Laureijs et al. [EUCLID Collaboration], arXiv:1110.3193 [astro-ph.CO].
- 69. T. Basse, O. E. Bjaelde, J. Hamann, S. Hannestad and Y. Y. Y. Wong, arXiv:1304.2321 [astro-ph.CO].
- M. Blennow, T. Schwetz, JHEP 1309, 089 (2013). [arXiv:1306. 3988 [hep-ph]]
- M. Blennow, P. Coloma, P. Huber and T. Schwetz, arXiv:1311. 1822 [hep-ph].
- W. Rodejohann, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 20, 1833 (2011). [arXiv:1106. 1334 [hep-ph]]
- J. J. Gomez-Cadenas, J. Martin-Albo, M. Mezzetto, F. Monrabal and M. Sorel, Riv. Nuovo Cim. 35 (2012) 29 [arXiv:1109.5515 [hep-ex]].
- M. Auger et al., EXO Collaboration. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 032505 (2012). [arXiv:1205.5608 [hep-ex]].
- A. Gando et al., KamLAND-Zen Collaboration. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 062502 (2013). [arXiv:1211.3863 [hep-ex]].
- M. Agostini et al., GERDA Collaboration. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 122503 (2013). [arXiv:1307.4720 [nucl-ex]].
- S. Antusch, S.F. King, C. Luhn, M. Spinrath, Nucl. Phys. B 850, 477 (2011). [arXiv:1103.5930 [hep-ph]]
- G.-J. Ding, S.F. King, C. Luhn, A.J. Stuart, JHEP 1305, 084 (2013). [arXiv:1303.6180 [hep-ph]]