

LENNART CARLESON

A remark on Denjoy's inequality and Herman's theorem

Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., tome 49 (1979), p. 235-241

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1979__49_235_0

© Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., 1979, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S. » (<http://www.ihes.fr/IHES/Publications/Publications.html>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

A REMARK ON DENJOY'S INEQUALITY AND HERMAN'S THEOREM

by LENNART CARLESON

1. In the preceding proof [1] by M. Herman of the Arnold conjecture, the Hurewicz (or the Chacon-Ornstein) ergodic theorem plays an important role and the proof is in this way non-constructive. The purpose of this note is to give a constructive argument which gives a remainder estimate in the basic Denjoy inequality. This argument also makes it possible to avoid the reduction to the case when

$$\int_0^1 |Df(x)| dx = V$$

is small, which was used by Herman.

Let us first recall the situation and some basic results from Herman's paper: $f(x)$ is an increasing continuous function on $-\infty < x < \infty$ such that $f(x+1) - f(x) = 1$, $0 < f(0) < 1$ and $f^i(x)$ are the iterates. Sometimes $f(x)$ will be considered on the torus \mathbf{T} (modulo 1) and this will be clear from the context; α is the rotation number, *i.e.*:

$$|f^n(x) - x - n\alpha| < 1;$$

α is assumed irrational with continued fraction expansion $[a_1, a_2, \dots]$ and p_i/q_i are the convergents. There is a homomorphism h of $(0, 1) \pmod{1}$, $t = h(x)$, so that

$$h^{-1} \circ f \circ h(t) = t + \alpha.$$

Herman's theorem asserts that if $f(x)$ is smooth, then, for almost all α , it follows that $h(t)$ is also smooth.

There is a unique probability measure μ on $(0, 1)$ which is invariant under $f(x) \pmod{1}$ and:

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \varphi(f^i(x_0)) - q \int_0^1 \varphi(f(x)) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \text{Var}(\varphi)$$

for all denominators $q = q_j$ in the convergents of α . This is Denjoy's inequality.

We shall prove the following theorem—without use of Herman's result but using his ideas:

For almost all α there are constants C and β so that

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{q_j-1} \varphi(f^i(x_0)) - q_j \int_0^1 \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right| < Cj^{-\beta}$$

for all φ on \mathbf{T} with $|\varphi''(x)| \leq 1$. The same result holds if $\varphi'(x)$ only satisfies some Hölder condition.

Once this is proved it follows that

$$(1.1) \quad |\log Df^{q_j}| < Cj^{-\beta}$$

and for almost all α :

$$|\log Df^n| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{c \log n} \frac{a_j}{j^\beta} = O((\log n)^{1-\beta'})$$

with $\beta' < \beta$. This is the crucial estimate needed for Herman's argument. (1.1) also implies the estimate $|f^q(x) - x - p| < q^{\delta-1}$, $\delta > 0$. See [1], Chapter VIII.

We shall use the letters C, c to denote different constants whose values are immaterial in the context.

2. Let q be one of the q_j and x_0 a fixed point. We define the measure on $(0, 1)$:

$$\nu_q = k \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} Df^i(x_0) \delta_{f^i(x_0)}$$

where k is chosen so that $\nu_q(0, 1) = 1$. Let I be an interval so that $f(I)$ does not contain x_0 or $f^q(x_0)$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\nu_q(f(I)) = f'(\xi) \nu_q(I) \quad \text{for some } \xi \in I.$$

If x_0 or $f^q(x_0) \in f(I)$, the situation is a little more complicated.

We first observe that:

$$(2.1) \quad |f^q(x_0) - x_0| < q^{-\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0 \pmod{1}$$

(see [1], VIII, (2.1)) for almost all α since $\sqrt[j]{q_j} \rightarrow \text{const}$, almost everywhere. Assume that the length $|I|$ of I is greater than $q^{-\lambda/2}$, and that e.g. $x_0 \in f(I)$. Suppose also that e.g. I extends by $\frac{1}{2}|I|$ to the right of $f^{-1}(x_0)$. For every second q_i , $f^{q_i}(x_0) > x_0$ and by the inequality (2.1):

$$f^{q_i}(x_0) \in f(I) \quad \text{if } q_i > \sqrt{q}.$$

This is true for at least $c \log q$ different i 's, so that

$$\sum_{f^i(x_0) \in f(I)} Df^i(x_0) \geq c \log q$$

since $Df^{q_i}(x_0) \geq c > 0$, as was observed by Denjoy.

Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_q(f(I)) &= k \sum_{\substack{f^i(x_0) \in f(I) \\ i=0, \dots, q-1}} Df^i(x_0) = kf'(\xi) \sum_{\substack{f^i(x_0) \in I \\ i=-1, 0, \dots, q-2}} Df^i(x_0) \\ &= f'(\xi) \nu_q(I) + O(kf'(\xi)) \\ &= f'(\xi) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log q}\right) \right) \nu_q(I) \end{aligned}$$

since $v_q(I) > ck \log q$. We obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 1. — *Let I be an interval of length $> q^{-\lambda/2}$. Then:*

$$v_q(f(I)) = f'(\xi)v_q(I), \quad \xi \in I, \quad \text{if } x_0, f^q(x_0) \notin f(I),$$

and
$$v_q(f(I)) = f'(\xi) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log q}\right) \right) v_q(I), \quad \xi \in I,$$

in all cases, provided α is not in an exceptional set of measure zero.

3. Next we need some information on the mapping $x = h(t)$. Let ω be an interval on the t -axis and assume that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{q_{i-1}} > |\omega| \geq \frac{1}{q_i}, \quad a = \frac{q_{i+2}}{q_{i-1}}.$$

We bisect ω into two equal intervals ω_1 and ω_2 and we want to estimate $|h(\omega_1)|$ compared to $|h(\omega)|$. From (3.1) follows that

$$\bigcup_{v=1}^{2aq_i} f^v(h(\omega)) \supset (0, 1)$$

and every point is covered at most $4a^2$ times. A similar statement is true for ω_1 . Namely, if $\alpha = \frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} + \frac{\delta}{q_{i+1}^2}$, then $\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_{i+2}} < |\delta| < 1$, so that $2aq_i$ iterations of an interval of length q_{i+1}^{-1} gives a complete covering. Furthermore:

$$|f^v(h(\omega))| = \left(\prod_{\mu=0}^{v-1} f'(\xi_\mu) \right) |h(\omega)|, \quad \xi_\mu \in f^\mu(h(\omega)),$$

and similarly for ω_1 . Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f^v(h(\omega))|}{|f^v(h(\omega_1))|} &= \frac{|h(\omega)|}{|h(\omega_1)|} \cdot \frac{\prod_{\mu=0}^{v-1} f'(\xi_\mu)}{\prod_{\mu=0}^{v-1} f'(\xi'_\mu)} \\ &\geq \frac{|h(\omega)|}{|h(\omega_1)|} e^{-ca^2} \end{aligned}$$

and
$$\begin{aligned} Ca^2 \geq \sum_{v=1}^{4aq_i} |f^v(h(\omega))| &\geq \frac{|h(\omega)|}{|h(\omega_1)|} \sum_{v=1}^{4aq_i} |f^v(h(\omega_1))| e^{-ca^2} \\ &\geq e^{-ca^2} \frac{|h(\omega)|}{|h(\omega_1)|}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the following lemma:

Lemma 2. — *Let $\frac{1}{q_i} \leq |\omega| < \frac{1}{q_{i-1}}$ and bisect ω into ω_1 and ω_2 . Then, for almost all α :*

$$|h(\omega_1)| \geq \exp\left(\left(-c \frac{q_{i+2}}{q_{i-1}}\right)^2\right) |h(\omega)|$$

and (see (2.1))

$$|h(\omega)| \leq |\omega|^\lambda C \frac{q_{i+2}}{q_{i-1}}.$$

4. We shall now describe the exceptional set of α .

Let δ be a small positive number and n a large integer. Denote by B_ℓ the interval:

$$B_\ell(n) : \delta \cdot \ell n \leq k < \delta(\ell + 1)n$$

$$\delta^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^n}{n} \leq \ell < \delta^{-1} \frac{3}{4} \frac{2^n}{n}.$$

The intervals $B_\ell(n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and ℓ as above, are disjoint.

For every B_ℓ , define the number $b_{\ell,n}(\alpha)$:

$$b_{\ell,n}(\alpha) = \text{Max}_{k \in B_\ell} \frac{q_{k+1}}{q_k}.$$

For fixed (ℓ, n) , $b_{\ell,n}(\alpha) \leq C$ on a set of measure $\geq 2^{-n\delta}$. For fixed n , $b_{\ell,n}(\alpha) \leq C$ for $\geq 2^{3/4n}$ values of ℓ , if we exclude a set E_n of measure $\leq 2^{-n}$ and if $\delta < 1/4$. We now do this for all n and consider those α which do not belong to infinitely many E_n . We also exclude those sets of measure zero mentioned earlier.

5. We shall now prove that ν_q converges weakly to Lebesgue measure and shall also obtain an estimate of the error. We first prove that for some suitable $\gamma > 0$ and $C < \infty$:

$$(5.1) \quad C^{-1} \leq \frac{\nu_q(h(\omega))}{|h(\omega)|} \leq C, \quad \text{if } |\omega| > q^{-\gamma}.$$

Take some q_i so that $\sqrt{q} < q_i < q$ and so that $\frac{q_{i+1}}{q_{i-1}} < C$. This is possible for almost all α . Then:

$$\alpha = \frac{p_i}{q_i} + \frac{\delta_i}{q_i^2}, \quad 1 > \delta_i > c > 0 \text{ (or } < -c).$$

It follows that if $\frac{1}{q_i} < |\omega| < \frac{2}{q_i}$, then $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{eq_i} f^\nu(h(\omega)) \supset (0, 1)$ and every point is covered a bounded number of times. Since both $\nu_q(I)$ and $|I|$ are transformed by the rules in lemma 1 it follows that:

$$\frac{\nu_q(h(\omega)) \cdot 1}{|h(\omega)| \cdot C} \leq \frac{\nu_q(f^i(h(\omega)))}{|f^i(h(\omega))|} \leq C \frac{\nu_q(h(\omega))}{|h(\omega)|}$$

and since both measures are additive, (5.1) follows.

We now wish to prove (5.1) with a constant C very close to 1. Let us define M_k by

$$\sup_{|\omega| \geq q_k^{-1}} \frac{\nu_q(h(\omega))}{|h(\omega)|} = M_k.$$

Suppose that $q = q_s$ and choose n so that

$$2^{n-1} < s \leq 2^n.$$

The number of blocks $B_{\ell,n}$ so that M_k increases in $B_{\ell,n}$ by more than a factor $(1 + 2^{-n/2})$ is less than $C \cdot 2^{n/2}$. Hence there exists ℓ so that (with $k = \delta \ell n + 2$)

- (i) $b_{\ell,n}(\alpha) \leq C,$
- (ii) $M_{k+\delta n} \leq (1 + 2^{-n/2}) M_k.$

Now pick an interval ω of length between q_k^{-1} and $2q_k^{-1}$, for which

$$(5.2) \quad \nu_q(h(\omega)) = M_k |h(\omega)|.$$

Divide ω into $e^{c\delta n}$ equal intervals ω' by successive bisections. We assert that for every ω' :

$$(5.3) \quad \nu_q(h(\omega')) \geq M_k |h(\omega')| (1 - e^{-c\delta n}).$$

To see this, recall that, by lemma 2,

$$|h(\omega')| \geq \exp(-c\delta n) |h(\omega)|.$$

Hence if (5.3) is false for one interval ω' , it follows by (ii) that

$$\nu_q(h(\omega)) \leq M_k ((1 + 2^{-n/2}) - e^{-c\delta n} \cdot e^{-c\delta n}) |h(\omega)| < M_k |h(\omega)|$$

if δ is small enough. This contradicts the choice (5.2).

Let ω^* be an arbitrary interval of length $|\omega^*|$ so that

$$\frac{1}{20} q_k^{-1} < |\omega^*| < \frac{1}{10} q_k^{-1}.$$

Then for some ω'' of the same length and $\omega'' \subset \omega$:

$$h(\omega^*) = f^m(h(\omega'')), \quad m < Cq_k.$$

Divide ω^* and ω'' into intervals of length $e^{-c\delta m} |\omega^*|$ and let ω_0^* and ω_0'' be two corresponding intervals. Then:

$$\nu_q(h(\omega_0^*)) = \prod_{v=1}^m f'(\xi_v) \nu_q(h(\omega_0'')) (1 + O(2^{-n}))$$

$$|h(\omega_0^*)| = \prod_{v=1}^m f'(\xi'_v) |h(\omega_0'')|$$

so that:

$$\frac{\nu_q(h(\omega_0^*))}{|h(\omega_0^*)|} = \frac{\nu_q(h(\omega_0''))}{|h(\omega_0'')|} \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{v=0}^m |f^v(h(\omega_0''))|\right)$$

$$= M_k (1 + O(e^{-cn\delta})) (1 + O(e^{-cn\delta}))$$

because, by lemma 2, $|f^v(h(\omega_0^*))| \leq e^{-cn\delta} |f^v(h(\omega_0''))|$, and $\sum_{v=0}^m |f^v(h(\omega_0''))| \leq C$.

We cover $(0, 1)$ by disjoint intervals ω^* and obtain:

$$1 = \sum_{\omega^*} \nu_q(h(\omega^*)) = M_k \sum_{\omega^*} |\omega^*| (1 + O(e^{-cn\delta}))$$

so that: $M_k = 1 + O(e^{-cn\delta}).$

Hence, if $|\omega| > q_k^{-1}$, it follows that

$$v_q(h(\omega)) \leq |h(\omega)| (1 + O((\log q)^{-\beta}))$$

and the reverse inequality is proved similarly.

If we observe that $|h(\omega)| \leq (\log q)^{-K}$ for all K if $|\omega| < q^{-c}$, we can conclude that

$$(5.4) \quad \int_0^1 \varphi(x) dv_q(x) = \int_0^1 \varphi dx + O((\log q)^{-\beta}) \quad \text{if } \varphi \in C^1.$$

It remains to prove the same remainder estimate in Denjoy's inequality.

We denote by ω_j the interval $\left(\frac{r}{q}, \frac{r+1}{q}\right)$ containing $h^{-1}(x_0) + j\alpha$ and denote by ω_{j_0} the subinterval $\left(\frac{r+\eta}{q}, \frac{r+1-\eta}{q}\right)$ of ω_j where $\eta = q_k/q$ and q_k is the integer defined above. We first observe that

$$\left| \varphi(f^j(x_0)) - q \int_{h(\omega_j)} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right| < C |h(\omega_j)|.$$

Divide $(0, q-1)$ into blocks C_1, \dots, C_m of length q_k . Since q_k does not divide q we have to skip a set Γ of less than q_k numbers. This set Γ is chosen so that

$$\sum_{\Gamma} |h(\omega_j)| < \frac{q_k^2}{q} < q^{-c}.$$

To estimate $\sum_{C_v} (\varphi(f^j(x_0)) - q \int_{h(\omega_j)} \varphi(x) d\mu(x))$ we write $h(\omega_j) = h(\omega_{j_0}) \cup h(\omega_j \setminus \omega_{j_0})$. Then:

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{C_v} \left(\sum_{C_v} \left| \varphi(f^j(x_0)) - q \int_{h(\omega_j \setminus \omega_{j_0})} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right| \right) < C\eta.$$

If $C_v = (\lambda, \lambda + q_k)$ we set $y_0 = f^\lambda(x_0)$. For $y \in h(\omega_{\lambda_0})$ we have $j = \lambda + s$,

$$\varphi(f^s(y_0)) - \varphi(f^s(y)) = \varphi'(z_s) \int_y^{y_0} Df^s(\xi) d\xi$$

and $f^s(y) \in h(\omega_j)$ and z_s is some number in $h(\omega_j)$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{C_v} \left(\varphi(f^j(x_0)) (1 - 2\eta) - q \int_{h(\omega_{j_0})} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right) \\ &= (1 - 2\eta) \sum_{s=0}^{q_k-1} \int_{h(\omega_{\lambda_0})} \varphi'(z_s) d\mu(y) \int_y^{y_0} Df^s(\xi) d\xi + \text{error} \\ &= (1 - 2\eta) \sum_{s=0}^{q_k-1} \int_{h(\omega_{\lambda_0})} d\mu(y) \int_y^{y_0} Df^s(\xi) \varphi'(f^s(\xi)) d\xi \\ &+ O(M_{\lambda} \max_{\lambda} |h(\omega_{\lambda_0})|) + \text{error}. \end{aligned}$$

We have used $|\varphi''(x)| \leq 1$. The error occurs because the intervals $h(\omega_{j_0})$ are not exactly maps of $h(\omega_{\lambda_0})$. The error is estimated as in (5.5). For the final sum we use (5.4) for $q = q_k$ and find the bound:

$$\sum_{s=0}^{q_k-1} \int_{h(\omega_{\lambda_0})} d\mu(y) \int_y^{y_0} Df^s(\xi) d\xi \cdot O((\log q)^{-\beta}) = O\left(\frac{q_k}{q} (\log q)^{-\beta}\right).$$

This proves the remainder estimate.

REFERENCES

- [1] HERMAN, Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, **49** (1979), p. 1-233.

Lennart Carleson
 Institut Mittag-Leffler, Avravagen 17
 18262-Djursholm (Sweden)

Manuscrit reçu le 5 août 1978.