

A remark on the Dunkl differential-difference operators

by G.J. Heckman, University of Nijmegen.

§1. Introduction

Let E be a Euclidean vector space of dimension n with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . For $\alpha \in E$ with $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ we write

$$(1.1) \quad r_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - (\alpha, \lambda)\alpha, \quad \lambda \in E$$

for the orthogonal reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to α .

Definition 1.1. A normalized root system R in E is a finite set of non zero vectors in E , normalized by $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2 \quad \forall \alpha \in R$, such that $r_\alpha(\beta) \in R \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in R$.

Let $R \subset E$ be a normalized root system. We write $W = W(R)$ for the group generated by the reflections r_α , $\alpha \in R$. Denote by $\mathbb{C}[E]$ the algebra of \mathbb{C} -valued polynomial functions on E . For $w \in W$, $\xi \in E$, $\alpha \in R$ introduce the operators

$$(1.2) \quad w, \partial_\xi, \Delta_\alpha : \mathbb{C}[E] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[E]$$

by

$$(1.3) \quad (wp)(\lambda) = p(w^{-1}\lambda)$$

$$(1.4) \quad (\partial_\xi p)(\lambda) = \frac{d}{dt} \{p(\lambda + t\xi)\}_{t=0}$$

$$(1.5) \quad (\Delta_\alpha p)(\lambda) = \frac{p(\lambda) - p(r_\alpha \lambda)}{(\alpha, \lambda)}.$$

Remark 1.2. The operators Δ_α , $\alpha \in R$ were studied by Bernstein, Gel'fand and Gel'fand and are related to the Schubert cells and the cohomology of G/P [BGG]. They are the infinitesimal analogues of the Demazure operators [De 1,2].

Let $R_+ = \{\alpha \in R; (\alpha, \lambda) > 0\}$ for some fixed generic $\lambda \in E$ be a positive subsystem of R .

Definition 1.3. Suppose for $\alpha \in R$ we have given $k_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $k_{w\alpha} = k_\alpha \forall w \in W, \forall \alpha \in R$. For $\xi \in E$ the operator

$$(1.6) \quad D_\xi = \partial_\xi + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha(\alpha, \xi) \Delta_\alpha : \mathbb{C}[E] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[E]$$

is called the Dunkl differential-difference operator.

Remark 1.4. It is easy to see that D_ξ is independent of the choice of the positive subsystem $R_+ \subset R$. If we write $q_\alpha = e^{2\pi i k_\alpha}$ then one can think of the operator D_ξ as a q -analogue (corresponding to the case $k_\alpha \rightarrow 0$) of the directional derivative ∂_ξ . We also write $D_\xi = D_\xi(k)$ to indicate the dependence on $k \in K = \{k = (k_\alpha)_{\alpha \in R} \in \mathbb{C}^R; k_{w\alpha} = k_\alpha \forall w \in W, \forall \alpha \in R\}$.

Theorem 1.5 (Dunkl [Du]): We have $D_\xi D_\eta = D_\eta D_\xi \forall \xi, \eta \in E$.

Let $\mathbb{C}[E^*]$ be the symmetric algebra on E . For $\pi \in \mathbb{C}[E^*]$ we write ∂_π when we think of π as a constant coefficient differential operator on E (rather than a polynomial function on E^*). In view of Theorem 1.5 the constant coefficient differential operator ∂_π has a well defined q -analogue

$$(1.8) \quad D_\pi : \mathbb{C}[E] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[E]$$

defined for a monomial $\pi = \xi_1^{d_1} \dots \xi_n^{d_n}$ by

$$(1.9) \quad D_\pi = D_\pi(k) = D_{\xi_1}^{d_1} \dots D_{\xi_n}^{d_n}$$

and extended by linearity.

Theorem 1.6 (Dunkl [Du]): Suppose ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is an orthonormal basis for E . The q -analogue of the Laplacian is given by

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \{\partial_\alpha - \Delta_\alpha\}.$$

In Section 2 we review the proofs of both theorems as given by Dunkl.

We write $\mathbb{C}[E]^W$ and $\mathbb{C}[E^*]^W$ for the space of W -invariants in $\mathbb{C}[E]$ and $\mathbb{C}[E^*]$ respectively. We denote by \mathbb{A} the associative algebra of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[E]$ generated by (multiplication by) (ξ, \cdot) and D_η for $\xi, \eta \in E$. Let $\mathbb{A}^W = \{D \in \mathbb{A}; wD = Dw \forall w \in W\}$ be the subalgebra of W -invariant operators in \mathbb{A} , and denote by

$$(1.10) \quad \text{Res}(D) : \mathbb{C}[E]^W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[E]^W, \quad D \in \mathbb{A}^W$$

the restriction of D to $\mathbb{C}[E]^W$. Clearly $\text{Res} : \mathbb{A}^W \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[E]^W)$ is a homomorphism of algebras. Since $wD_\xi w^{-1} = D_{w\xi} \forall w \in W, \forall \xi \in E$ we have $D_\pi \in \mathbb{A}^W \forall \pi \in \mathbb{C}[E^*]^W$.

Theorem 1.7. Suppose by the Chevalley theorem that $\mathbb{C}[E]^W = \mathbb{C}[p_1, \dots, p_n]$ with p_1, \dots, p_n homogeneous of degrees $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_n$. Then the set

$$(1.11) \quad \{\text{Res}(D_\pi); \pi \in \mathbb{C}[E^*]^W\}$$

is a commuting family of differential operators in the Weyl algebra $\mathbb{C}[k, p_1, \dots, p_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}]$ containing the operator

$$(1.12) \quad \text{Res}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha.$$

Remark 1.8. The proof of this theorem is a triviality. However it can be reformulated as the complete integrability for the generalized non periodic Calogero-Moser system (both on the quantum mechanical level of differential operators and on the classical mechanical level of symbols). For root systems R of type A the complete integrability of the Calogero-Moser system was first established by Moser by realizing the system as a Lax pair [Mo]. The method of Moser was extended by Olshanetsky and Perelomov to cover the root systems R of classical type [OP]. In the crystallographic case $(\alpha, \beta)^2 \in \mathbb{Z} \forall \alpha, \beta \in R$ the above theorem has been obtained before by Opdam using transcendental methods [HO, He1, Op 1,2, He 2].

Suppose $S \subset R$ is a set of roots in R invariant under W . Let $S_+ = S \cap R_+$ and put

$$(1.13) \quad p_S(\cdot) = \prod_{\alpha \in S_+} (\alpha, \cdot) \in \mathbb{C}[E]$$

$$(1.14) \quad \pi_S = \prod_{\alpha \in S_+} \alpha \in \mathbb{C}[E^*].$$

Clearly we have

$$(1.15) \quad wp_S = \chi(w)p_S, w\pi_S = \chi(w)\pi_S \quad \forall w \in W$$

for some one dimensional character $\chi = \chi_S$ of W , and conversely every $p \in \mathbb{C}[E]$ with $wp = \chi(w)p \forall w \in W$ is divisible in $\mathbb{C}[E]$ by p_S . Although $p_S^{-1}D_{\pi_S}(k)$ need not be an endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[E]$ it follows that $p_S^{-1}D_{\pi_S}(k)(p) \in \mathbb{C}[E]^W \forall p \in \mathbb{C}[E]^W$, and hence

$$(1.16) \quad G(1_S, k) := \text{Res}(p_S^{-1}D_{\pi_S}(k)) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[E]^W)$$

is a well defined endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[E]^W$. We also write

$$(1.17) \quad G(-1_S, k) := \text{Res}(D_{\pi_S}(k - 1_S) \cdot p_S) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[E]^W)$$

where $k - 1_S \in K$ is the multiplicity function by $(k - 1_S)_\alpha = k_\alpha - 1$ for $\alpha \in S$ and $(k - 1_S)_\alpha = k_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in R \setminus S$.

Theorem 1.9. The operators (1.16) and (1.17) are differential operators in the Weyl algebra $\mathbb{C}[k, p_1, \dots, p_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}]$ and satisfy the shift relations

$$(1.18) \quad G(1_S, k) \text{Res}(D_\pi(k)) = \text{Res}(D_\pi(k + 1_S)) G(1_S, k)$$

$$(1.19) \quad G(-1_S, k) \text{Res}(D_\pi(k)) = \text{Res}(D_\pi(k - 1_S)) G(-1_S, k)$$

$\forall \pi \in \mathbb{C}[E^*]^W$. Here $(k \pm 1_S)_\alpha = k_\alpha \pm 1 \forall \alpha \in S$ and $(k \pm 1_S)_\alpha = k_\alpha \forall \alpha \in R \setminus S$.

The proofs of both Theorem 1.7 and 1.9 will be given in Section 3.

Remark 1.10. In the terminology of Opdam the operator (1.16) is a raising operator and the operator (1.17) a lowering operator for the commuting family (1.11). Again in the crystallographic case the above theorem was obtained by Opdam [Op 2]. Recall Macdonald's (infinitesimal) constant term conjecture, which says that for $\mathcal{R}(s) > 0$

$$(1.20) \quad \int_E \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} |(\alpha, \lambda)|^{2s} d\gamma(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(sd_j)!}{s!},$$

where $d\gamma(\lambda) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda, \lambda)} d\lambda$ is the Gaussian measure on E [Ma].

The same arguments as given in [Op 3, Section 6] show that the evaluation of this integral is equivalent with

$$(1.21) \quad G(-1, k)(1) = |W| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} (d_i k - j),$$

where $-1 = -1_R$ and $k = k_\alpha \forall \alpha \in R$. In turn this latter formula is related to the normalization of the “multivariable Bessel function associated with R ” at $\xi = 0$. This normalization problem has been analyzed by Opdam, and the desired formula (1.21) can be obtained [Op 4]. After this one can proceed as in [Op 3, Section 7] to compute the Bernstein-Sato polynomial of the discriminant without the crystallographic restriction in accordance with a conjecture of Yano and Sekiguchi [YS].

§2. The Dunkl differential-difference operators.

Using the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ for the commutator of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[E]$ we can write for $\xi, \eta \in E$

$$(2.1) \quad [D_\xi, D_\eta] = I + II + III$$

with

$$(2.2) \quad I = [\partial_\xi, \partial_\eta] = 0$$

$$(2.3) \quad II = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \{(\alpha, \xi)[\Delta_\alpha, \partial_\eta] + (\alpha, \eta)[\partial_\xi, \Delta_\alpha]\}$$

$$(2.4) \quad III = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_+} k_\alpha k_\beta (\alpha, \xi)(\beta, \eta)[\Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\beta].$$

Lemma 2.1. For $\xi \in E, \alpha \in R$ we have

$$(2.5) \quad [\partial_\xi, \Delta_\alpha] = \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \{r_\alpha \partial_\alpha - \Delta_\alpha\}.$$

Proof: Using the definition $\Delta_\alpha = \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)}(1 - r_\alpha)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_\xi, \Delta_\alpha] &= [\partial_\xi, \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)}](1 - r_\alpha) + \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)}[\partial_\xi, 1 - r_\alpha] \\ &= -\frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, \cdot)^2}(1 - r_\alpha) + \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)}r_\alpha(\partial_\xi - \partial_{r_\alpha \xi}) \\ &= -\frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, \cdot)}\Delta_\alpha + \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, \cdot)}r_\alpha \partial_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D

Using (2.5) the second term (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$(2.6) \quad II = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)(\alpha, \eta)}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \{r_\alpha \partial_\alpha - \Delta_\alpha\}(-1 + 1) = 0.$$

The third term (2.4) can be written as

$$(2.7) \quad III = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_+} k_\alpha k_\beta \{(\alpha, \xi)(\beta, \eta) - (\alpha, \eta)(\beta, \xi)\} \Delta_\alpha \Delta_\beta$$

and for the proof of Theorem 1.5 it remains to verify the vanishing of this third term.

Proposition 2.2. Suppose $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a bilinear form on E such that

$$(2.8) \quad B(r_\alpha \lambda, r_\alpha \mu) = B(\mu, \lambda) \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in E, \forall \alpha \in R \cap \text{span} \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle.$$

If $w \in W$ is a pure rotation (i.e. $\dim \operatorname{Im}(w - \operatorname{Id}) = 2$) then

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_+, r_\alpha r_\beta = w} k_\alpha k_\beta B(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)(\beta, \cdot)} = 0$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_+, r_\alpha r_\beta = w} k_\alpha k_\beta B(\alpha, \beta) \Delta_\alpha \Delta_\beta = 0.$$

Proof: Using the definition $\Delta_\alpha = \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)}(1 - r_\alpha)$ the left hand side of (2.10) can be written as a sum of the following three terms

$$(2.11) \quad A = \sum k_\alpha k_\beta B(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)(\beta, \cdot)}$$

$$(2.12) \quad B = - \sum k_\alpha k_\beta B(\alpha, \beta) \left\{ \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)(r_\alpha \beta, \cdot)} r_\alpha + \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)(\beta, \cdot)} r_\beta \right\}$$

$$(2.13) \quad C = \sum k_\alpha k_\beta B(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)(r_\alpha \beta, \cdot)} r_\alpha r_\beta$$

with the summations over the same index set as in (2.9) and (2.10).

Let $S = R \cap \operatorname{Im}(w - \operatorname{Id})$ be the normalized root system of the largest dihedral group $W(S)$ containing w . If $w = r_\alpha r_\beta$ then for $\gamma \in S$ we have $r_\gamma w r_\gamma = w^{-1}$ and hence $r_{r_\gamma \alpha} r_{r_\gamma \beta} = r_\beta r_\alpha$. We claim that $r_\gamma A = A \forall \gamma \in S$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_\gamma A &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_+, r_\alpha r_\beta = w} k_\alpha k_\beta B(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{(r_\gamma \alpha, \cdot)(r_\gamma \beta, \cdot)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in r_\gamma R_+, r_\beta r_\alpha = w} k_\alpha k_\beta B(r_\gamma \alpha, r_\gamma \beta) \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)(\beta, \cdot)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in r_\gamma R_+, r_\beta r_\alpha = w} k_\alpha k_\beta B(\beta, \alpha) \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)(\beta, \cdot)} \\ &= A \end{aligned}$$

since the summation in (2.9) is independent of the choice of R_+ . Let $S_+ = R_+ \cap S$ and put $p_S = \prod_{\alpha \in S_+} (\alpha, \cdot)$. Then p_S transforms under the group $W(S)$ according to the sign character and every polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[E]$ transforming under $W(S)$ according to the sign character is divisible in $\mathbb{C}[E]$ by p_S . Now observe that $p_S A \in \mathbb{C}[E]$ transforms

under $W(S)$ according to the sign character. Hence $A \in \mathbb{C}[E]$. Since A is homogeneous of degree minus two we have $A = 0$. This proves (2.9).

Since $w = r_\alpha r_\beta = r_{r_\alpha \beta} r_\alpha$ and $B(\alpha, \beta) = B(r_\alpha \beta, r_\alpha \alpha) = -B(r_\alpha \beta, \alpha)$ the vanishing of the term (2.12) is clear, and for the term (2.13) we can write $C = -Aw = 0$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.3. For $\xi, \eta \in E$ fixed the bilinear form

$$(2.14) \quad B(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda, \xi)(\mu, \eta) - (\lambda, \eta)(\mu, \xi)$$

on E satisfies condition (2.8).

Proof: Clearly $B(\mu, \lambda) = -B(\lambda, \mu)$ is an alternating form. For $\lambda \in E, \lambda \neq 0$ we write $\lambda' = \sqrt{2}|\lambda|^{-1}\lambda$ and get

$$B(r_{\lambda'} \lambda, r_{\lambda'} \mu) = B(-\lambda, \mu - (\lambda', \mu)\lambda') = B(-\lambda, \mu) = B(\mu, \lambda).$$

Hence for $\lambda, \mu \in E$ generic we get by continuity

$$B(r_\nu \lambda, r_\nu \mu) = B(\mu, \lambda) \quad \forall \nu \in \text{span} \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle, (\nu, \nu) = 2. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 now follows by regrouping the terms in (2.7) as a sum over $\{\alpha, \beta \in R_+; r_\alpha r_\beta = w\}$ where $w \in W$ runs over the pure rotations in W and by applying (2.10).

The proof of Theorem 1.6 is just an easy calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_j} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha(\alpha, \xi_j) \Delta_\alpha)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \partial_{\xi_j}^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha(\alpha, \xi_j) (\partial_{\xi_j} \Delta_\alpha + \Delta_\alpha \partial_{\xi_j}) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_+} k_\alpha k_\beta(\alpha, \xi_j) (\beta, \xi_j) \Delta_\alpha \Delta_\beta \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j}^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha (\partial_\alpha \Delta_\alpha + \Delta_\alpha \partial_\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_+} k_\alpha k_\beta(\alpha, \beta) \Delta_\alpha \Delta_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The third term vanishes by Proposition 2.2 and because $\Delta_\alpha^2 = 0$. Using Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha \Delta_\alpha + \Delta_\alpha \partial_\alpha &= [\partial_\alpha, \Delta_\alpha] + 2\Delta_\alpha \partial_\alpha \\ &= \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \left\{ r_\alpha \partial_\alpha - \Delta_\alpha \right\} + \frac{2}{(\alpha, \cdot)} (1 - r_\alpha) \partial_\alpha \\ &= \frac{2}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \left\{ \partial_\alpha - \Delta_\alpha \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

§3. The Opdam shift operators.

Recall that $D \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[p_1, \dots, p_m])$ is a differential operator of degree $\leq d$ if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ad}(p)^{d+1}(D) = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{C}[p_1, \dots, p_n].$$

Hence the fact that the operators (1.11), (1.16) and (1.17) are differential operators is clear from

$$(3.2) \quad \text{ad}(p)(D_\xi) = \text{ad}(p)(\partial_\xi) = -\partial_\xi(p)$$

$$(3.3) \quad \text{ad}(p)^2(D_\xi) = 0$$

$\forall p \in \mathbb{C}[E]^W$, $\forall \xi \in E$. Hence Theorem 1.7 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 3.1. For the q -analogue of the Laplacian we have

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Res}(p_S^{-1} \circ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k) \right\} \circ p_S) = \text{Res}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k+1_S) \right).$$

Proof: First we observe that the left hand side of (3.4) is a well defined endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[E]^W$. We now use Theorem 1.6 and just calculate term by term. For the first term we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_S^{-1} \circ \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j}^2 \circ p_S &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in S_+} \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha + p_S^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j}^2 \right) (p_S) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in S_+} \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_S^{-1} \circ \left\{ 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha \right\} \circ p_S &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha + p_S^{-1} \cdot \left(2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha \right) (p_S) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+, \beta \in S_+} k_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \cdot)(\beta, \cdot)} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha + 2 \sum_{\beta \in S_+} k_\beta \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{(\beta, \cdot)^2} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R_+, \beta \in S_+ \\ \alpha \neq \beta}} k_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \cdot)(\beta, \cdot)} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha + 2 \sum_{\beta \in S_+} k_\beta \frac{2}{(\beta, \cdot)^2} \end{aligned}$$

by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Finally for the third term we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_S^{-1} \circ \left\{ 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \Delta_\alpha \right\} \circ p_S &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)^2} \{1 - p_S^{-1} \circ r_\alpha \circ p_S\} \\
&= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)^2} \{1 - \chi_S(r_\alpha) r_\alpha\} \\
&= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in S_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)^2} \{1 + r_\alpha\} + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+ \setminus S_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \Delta_\alpha \\
&= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in S_+} k_\alpha \frac{2}{(\alpha, \cdot)^2} - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in S_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \Delta_\alpha \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+ \setminus S_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \Delta_\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking all three terms together yields

$$\begin{aligned}
p_S^{-1} \circ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k) \right\} \circ p_S &= \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\xi_j}^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in S_+} \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \partial_\alpha \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in S_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \Delta_\alpha - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in R_+ \setminus S_+} k_\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \Delta_\alpha. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.2. We have the shift relations

$$(3.5) \quad G(1_S, k) \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k) \right) = \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k + 1_S) \right) G(1_S, k)$$

$$(3.6) \quad G(-1_S, k) \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k) \right) = \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k - 1_S) \right) G(-1_S, k).$$

Proof: Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Res} \left(p_S^{-1} D_{\pi_S}(k) \right) \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k) \right) &= \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_S^{-1} D_{\pi_S}(k) D_{\xi_j}^2(k) \right) \\
&= \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_S^{-1} D_{\xi_j}^2(k) D_{\pi_S}(k) \right) \\
&= \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_S^{-1} D_{\xi_j}^2(k) p_S \right) \text{Res} \left(p_S^{-1} D_{\pi_S}(k) \right) \\
&= \text{Res} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2(k + 1_S) \right) \text{Res} \left(p_S^{-1} D_{\pi_S}(k) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.5). The relation (3.6) is proved similarly.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.3. As endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[E]$ the operators

$$(3.7) \quad E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_j, \cdot)^2$$

$$(3.8) \quad H = \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_j, \cdot) \partial_{\xi_j} + \left(\frac{n}{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \right)$$

$$(3.9) \quad F = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n D_{\xi_j}^2$$

satisfy the commutation relations of $sl(2)$:

$$(3.10) \quad [H, E] = 2E, [H, F] = -2F, [E, F] = H.$$

Proof: The Euler operator $\sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_j, \cdot) \partial_{\xi_j}$ acts as multiplication by d on the space of homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[E]$ of degree d . Hence the commutation relations $[H, E] = 2E$, $[H, F] = -2F$ rephrase that E and F are homogeneous of degree plus and minus two respectively.

Since $[p, \Delta_\alpha] = 0 \forall p \in \mathbb{C}[E]^W$, $\forall \alpha \in R$ we get

$$(3.11) \quad [E, D_\xi] = [E, \partial_\xi] = -(\xi, \cdot) \quad \forall \xi \in E,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [E, F] &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n [E, D_{\xi_j}^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \{ (\xi_j, \cdot) D_{\xi_j} + D_{\xi_j} (\xi_j, \cdot) \} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_j, \cdot) D_{\xi_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n [D_{\xi_j}, (\xi_j, \cdot)] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_j, \cdot) D_{\xi_j} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha(\alpha, \xi_j) [\Delta_\alpha, (\xi_j, \cdot)] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_j, \cdot) \partial_{\xi_j} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha(\alpha, \cdot) \Delta_\alpha + \frac{n}{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha r_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_j, \cdot) \partial_{\xi_j} + \left(\frac{n}{2} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that for $\xi \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta_\alpha, (\xi, \cdot)] &= -\frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} [r_\alpha, (\xi, \cdot)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{(\alpha, \cdot)} \{(r_\alpha \xi, \cdot) - (\xi, \cdot)\} r_\alpha \\ &= (\alpha, \xi) r_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Proposition 3.4. Using the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) on E we have an isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}[E]$ and $\mathbb{C}[E^*]$. For $p \in \mathbb{C}[E]$ we write $\pi \in \mathbb{C}[E^*]$ for the corresponding element. For $p \in \mathbb{C}[E]$ homogeneous of degree d we have

$$(3.12) \quad D_\pi = (-1)^d \frac{1}{d!} \text{ad}(F)^d(p).$$

Proof: Clearly $\text{ad}(H)D_\pi = -dD_\pi$ and by Theorem 1.5 we have $\text{ad}(F)D_\pi = 0$. Using (3.11) and induction on d (assuming π to be a monomial as in (1.9) with $d = d_1 + \dots + d_n$) it is easy to see that

$$(-1)^d \frac{1}{d!} \text{ad}(E)^d(D_\pi) = p$$

and hence

$$\text{ad}(E)^{d+1}(D_\pi) = 0.$$

By standard representation theory of $sl(2)$ we conclude (3.12). Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.5. For $\pi \in \mathbb{C}[E^*]^W$ we have

$$(3.13) \quad \text{Res}\left(p_S^{-1} \circ D_\pi(k) \circ p_S\right) = \text{Res}\left(D_\pi(k + 1_s)\right).$$

Proof: This is easily derived from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.4. Q.E.D.

The proof of Theorem 1.9 now goes along the same lines as the proof of Corollary 3.2.

Remark 3.6. The above type of arguments to use an $sl(2)$ to reduce the computation of higher order operators to those of the second order one go back to Harish-Chandra [Ha].

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank the organizers of this conference for the invitation, and in particular Bill Barker for the hospitality at Bowdoin College.

References

- [BGG] I.N. Bernstein, I.M. Gel'fand, S.I. Gel'fand, Schubert cells and the cohomology of G/P , *Russ. Math. Surveys* 28 (1973), 1-26.
- [De 1] M. Demazure, Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées, *Ann. Sc. Éc. Norm. Sup.* 7 (1974), 53-88.
- [De 2] M. Demazure, Une nouvelle formule des caractères, *Bull. Sc. Math.* 98 (1974), 163-172.
- [Du] C.F. Dunkl, Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups, *Trans. AMS* Vol. 311 (1989), 167-183.
- [Ha] Harish-Chandra, Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra, *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957), 87-120, or the *Collected Works*, Vol.2, 243-276.
- [HO] G.J. Heckman, E.M. Opdam, Root systems and hypergeometric functions I, *Comp. Math.* 64 (1987), 329-352.
- [He 1] G.J. Heckman, Root systems and hypergeometric functions II, *Comp. Math.* 64 (1987), 353-373.
- [He 2] G.J. Heckman, Hecke algebras and hypergeometric functions, *Inv. Math.* (to appear).
- [Ma] I.G. Macdonald, Some conjectures for root systems, *Siam J. Math. Analysis*, Vol. 13, No. 6 (1982), 988-1007.
- [Mo] J. Moser, Three integrable systems connected with isospectral deformation, *Adv. Math.* 16 (1975), 197-220.
- [OP] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov. Completely integrable systems connected with semisimple Lie algebras, *Inv. Math.* 37 (1976), 93-108.
- [Op 1,2] E.M. Opdam, Root systems and hypergeometric functions III, IV, *Comp. Math.* 67 (1988), 21-49 and 191-209.
- [Op 3] E.M. Opdam, Some applications of hypergeometric shift operators, *Inv. Math.* (to appear).
- [Op 4] E.M. Opdam, Normalization problems for hypergeometric functions associated to root systems (in preparation).
- [YS] T. Yano, J. Sekiguchi, The microlocal structure of weighted homogeneous polynomials associated with Coxeter systems I, II, *Tokyo J. Math.* Vol. 2, No. 2 (1979), 193-219 and Vol. 4, No. 1 (1981), 1-34.

University of Nijmegen, Mathematisch Instituut,
Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen.