

1978

A remark on the excess of Hadamard matrices and orthogonal designs

J Hammer

R Levingston

Jennifer Seberry

University of Wollongong, jennie@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers>



Part of the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Hammer, J; Levingston, R; and Seberry, Jennifer: A remark on the excess of Hadamard matrices and orthogonal designs 1978.

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/infopapers/984>

A remark on the excess of Hadamard matrices and orthogonal designs

Abstract

Some improved upper and lower bounds are given for the excess of Hadamard matrices. The excess of orthogonal designs is defined and discussed.

Disciplines

Physical Sciences and Mathematics

Publication Details

Hammer, J, Levingston, R and Seberry, J, A remark on the excess of Hadamard matrices and orthogonal designs, *Ars Combinatoria*, 5, 1978, 237-254.

A REMARK ON THE EXCESS OF HADAMARD MATRICES
AND ORTHOGONAL DESIGNS

J. Hammer, R. Levingston,
Jennifer Seberry

ABSTRACT. Some improved upper and lower bounds are given for the excess of Hadamard matrices. The excess of orthogonal designs is defined and discussed.

An *orthogonal design* $D = Y_1Y_1 + Y_2Y_2 + \dots + Y_tY_t$ of order n and type (s_1, \dots, s_t) on the commuting variables Y_1, \dots, Y_t is a square matrix satisfying

$$DD^T = \sum_{j=1}^t s_j Y_j^2.$$

Each Y_j is a $(0,1,-1)$ -matrix satisfying $Y_jY_j^T = s_jI_n$ and is called a *weighing matrix* of weight s_j . A weighing matrix of order n and weight n is called an *Hadamard matrix*.

We call the sum of the entries of an Hadamard matrix, H , the *excess* of H . We denote by $\sigma(n)$ the maximum possible sum of an Hadamard matrix of order n . We define the *excess of the orthogonal design* D as

$$\sigma(D) = \sigma(Y_1) + \dots + \sigma(Y_t),$$

where $\sigma(Y_i)$ is the sum of the entries of Y_i .

Wallis [4] and Schmidt and Wang [2] defined the weight of an Hadamard matrix H , denoted $w(H)$, to be the number of ones in H . $W(n)$ is used for the maximum value of $w(H)$ for Hadamard matrices of order n . $W(n) = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + \sigma(n))$. The excess was studied by Best [1].

Wang and Schmidt found

$$(1) \quad \sigma(n) \leq 2\{\frac{1}{2}n\sqrt{2n+1}\} - n .$$

This was improved by Best who found

$$\sigma(n) \leq n\sqrt{n} .$$

1. A construction.

Here are four slightly different ways to construct an orthogonal design of type (t,t,t,t) in order $4t$ using 4 circulant $(0,1,-1)$ -matrices X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 which satisfy

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 X_i X_i^T = tI_t , \\ \text{(ii)} \quad X_i J = x_i J , \\ \text{(iii)} \quad X_i * X_j = 0 , \quad i \neq j . \\ \text{(iv)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 X_i \text{ is a } (1,-1)\text{-matrix} , \\ \text{(v)} \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = t . \end{array} \right.$$

Let y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 be commuting variables and

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} -y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \\ y_2 & y_1 & y_4 & -y_3 \\ y_3 & -y_4 & y_1 & y_2 \\ y_4 & y_3 & -y_2 & y_1 \end{bmatrix} = (u_{ij}), \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \\ y_2 & -y_1 & -y_4 & y_3 \\ y_3 & y_4 & -y_1 & -y_2 \\ y_4 & -y_3 & y_2 & -y_1 \end{bmatrix} = (v_{ij}).$$

Write

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} -A_1 & A_2R & A_3R & A_4R \\ A_2R & A_1 & A_4^TR & -A_3^TR \\ A_3R & -A_4^TR & A_1 & A_2^TR \\ A_4R & A_3^TR & -A_2^TR & A_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2R & A_3R & A_4R \\ -A_2R & A_1 & A_4^TR & -A_3^TR \\ -A_3R & -A_4^TR & A_1 & A_2^TR \\ -A_4R & A_3^TR & -A_2^TR & A_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where R is the back diagonal matrix and A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 are circulant matrices.

Now we can form A_i by either choosing

$$A_i = \sum_{k=1}^4 u_{ik} X_k \quad \text{or} \quad A_i = \sum_{k=1}^4 v_{ik} X_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

The different orthogonal designs now arise by using G and H .

We further observe that if circulant X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 of order t , exist with first rows $a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1t}$ respectively, then the circulant matrices $\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \underline{X}_3, \underline{X}_4$ of order $2t$ with first rows

$$a_{11}a_{2t}a_{12}a_{2,t-1}a_{13} \cdots a_{22}a_{1t}a_{21} ,$$

$$\bar{a}_{21}a_{1t}\bar{a}_{22}a_{1,t-1}\bar{a}_{23} \cdots a_{12}\bar{a}_{2t}a_{11} ,$$

$$a_{31}a_{4t}a_{32}a_{4,t-1}a_{33} \cdots a_{42}a_{3t}a_{41} ,$$

$$\bar{a}_{41}a_{3t}\bar{a}_{42}a_{3,t-1}\bar{a}_{43} \cdots a_{32}\bar{a}_{4t}a_{31} ,$$

where \bar{a}_{ij} denotes $-a_{ij}$, satisfy the similar equations

$$(i) \sum_{i=1}^4 \underline{x}_i \underline{x}_i^T = 2tI_{2t} ,$$

$$(ii) \underline{x}_1^J = (x_1 + x_2)^J , \quad \underline{x}_2^J = (x_1 - x_2)^J ,$$

$$\underline{x}_3^J = (x_3 + x_4)^J , \quad \underline{x}_4^J = (x_3 - x_4)^J ,$$

$$(iii) \underline{x}_i * \underline{x}_j = 0 , \quad i \neq j ,$$

$$(iv) \sum_{i=1}^4 \underline{x}_i \text{ is a } (1,-1)\text{-matrix} ,$$

$$(v) (x_1 + x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_3 + x_4)^2 + (x_3 - x_4)^2 = 2t .$$

We consider the excess of the different orthogonal designs D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 and find for $D_i = y_1Y_{1i} + y_2Y_{2i} + y_3Y_{3i} + y_4Y_{4i}$

$$\sigma(D_i) = \sigma(y_{1i}) + \sigma(y_{2i}) + \sigma(y_{3i}) + \sigma(y_{4i}) .$$

Now $\sigma(G) = 2\sigma(A_1) + 2\sigma(A_2) + 2\sigma(A_3) + 2\sigma(A_4)$ and $\sigma(H) = 4\sigma(A_1)$.

Using the two methods for forming A_i we obtain

$$\sigma(D_1) = 4t(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) ,$$

$$\sigma(D_2) = 8tx_1 ,$$

$$\sigma(D_3) = 8tx_1 ,$$

$$\sigma(D_4) = 4t(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) .$$

Hence we obtain

$$(3) \quad \sigma(4t) \geq 4t \max(2x_1, x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4) .$$

To see both are needed we consider

$$t = 5 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 0 + 0 , \quad \sigma(20) \geq 20 \max(4,3) = 80 ,$$

$$t = 7 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 , \quad \sigma(28) \geq 28 \max(4,5) = 140 .$$

We note that for $t = s^2 + 0^2 + 0^2 + 0^2$

$$(4) \quad \sigma(4s^2) \geq 8s^3 ,$$

and when the A_i are constructed using U and G or V and H we have

$$\sigma(A_1) = \sigma(A_2) = \sigma(A_3) = \sigma(A_4) = 2\sigma(x_1) .$$

LEMMA 1. Suppose the matrices X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 described above in (2) exist for order t with $t = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$. Then there is an orthogonal design D of order $4t$ and type (t, t, t, t) with $\sigma(4t) \geq \sigma(D) = 4t \max\{2x_1, x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4\}$, where $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4$. Further if $t = s^2$ and $x_1 = s$, $\sigma(D) = 8s^3$ and $\sigma(Y_1) = 2s^3$. Also $\sigma(8t) \geq 16t(x_1 + x_2)$.

A similar process, using 4 circulant $(1, -1)$ -matrices A, B, C, D of order t with row sums x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 allows us to say:

LEMMA 2. Let $n = 4t = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ be the order of an Hadamard matrix, H , constructed using four circulant matrices with row sums x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 respectively, $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4$. Then

$$\sigma(H) = 2t(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(2H) = 8t(x_1 + x_2) ,$$

$$\sigma(2^{2r}H) = 2^{3r-1}n(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sigma(2^{2r+1}H) = 2^{3r+1}n(x_1 + x_2) .$$

Some suitable first rows to use in Lemmas 1 and 2 are given in Table 1, others can be found in J. Wallis [3]. So we have $\sigma(12) \geq 36$, $\sigma(16) \geq 64$, $\sigma(20) \geq 80$ and $\sigma(24) \geq 96$.

t	W(H)	$\sigma(H)$	A	B	C	D
3	90	36	111	1--	1--	1--
4	160	64	-111	-111	-111	-111
5	240	80	1-11-	11--1	-1111	-1111
6	336	96	111-1-	1-1111	11-----	1--1-1

Table 1

In particular, using $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 2$ and H of order $n = 4$ we obtain

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma(2^{2r}) \geq 2^{3r-1}, \\ \sigma(2^{2r+1}) \geq 2^{3r}. \end{cases}$$

We also see

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma(2^{2r}.3) \geq 2^{3r-1}.9, \\ \sigma(2^{2r+1}.3) \geq 2^{3r+2}.9. \end{cases}$$

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma(2^{2r}.5) \geq 2^{3r+1}.5, \\ \sigma(2^{2r+1}.5) \geq 2^{3r+1}.15. \end{cases}$$

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime power, then $p = x^2 + y^2$.
 Moreover, a suitable Hadamard matrix can be found [3, p.315] of
 order $2(p+1)$ with row sums

$$a_1 = x + 1, \quad a_2 = x - 1, \quad a_3 = a_4 = y.$$

Hence

$$\sigma(2(p+1)) \geq 2(p+1)(x+y),$$

which gives $\sigma(12) \geq 36$, $\sigma(28) \geq 140$, $\sigma(52) \geq 364$ and
 $\sigma(60) \geq 420$.

2. An Upper Bound.

Let $a_i \geq 0$ be the column sum of the i th column of a
 weighing matrix, W , of order n and weight k . Then $WW^T = kI_n$.
 Let e be the $1 \times n$ matrix of ones. Then

$$\begin{aligned} eWW^Te^T &= ekI_n e^T = nk \\ &= (a_1 \dots a_n) \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now to find the maximum excess $\sigma(W)$ we wish to maximize

$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ subject to $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = nk$. We use Schwarz' inequality

to see that the maximum is for

$$a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = \sqrt{k},$$

so the maximum excess is

$$\sigma(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = n\sqrt{k}.$$

Since this is the maximum, we have for any weighing matrix and Hadamard matrix

$$(8) \quad \sigma(W) \leq n\sqrt{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(n) \leq n\sqrt{n}.$$

This improves Schmidt and Wang's bound (1) and is that given by Best. In particular, we have for Hadamard matrices of order $4s^2$

$$\sigma(4s^2) \leq 8s^3.$$

Compare this with (4).

Now one of us (Seberry) has shown:

THEOREM 3. *Given any natural number $q > 3$ there exists a regular symmetric Hadamard matrix with constant diagonal of order $2^{2s}q^2$ for every $s \geq \lceil 2 \log_2(q-3) \rceil$.*

So we have, using a result of Best:

COROLLARY 4. Let $q > 3$ be any natural number and $s \geq 2 \lceil \log_2(q-3) \rceil$ be an integer. Then

$$\sigma(2^{2s}q^2) = 2^{3s}q^4.$$

If \sqrt{k} is not an integer we use a lattice point nearest $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sqrt{k}(1, \dots, 1)$. For the next discussion we confine ourselves to $k = n$. Then, with x the greatest even integer $< \sqrt{n}$,

$$a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_i = t,$$

and

$$a_{i+1} = a_{i+2} = \dots = a_n = t+4,$$

where $t = x$ if $|n-x^2| < |(x+2)^2-n|$ and $t = x-2$ otherwise.

Now

$$a_1^2 = a_2^2 = \dots = a_i^2 = t^2 < n$$

and

$$a_{i+1}^2 = \dots = a_n^2 = (t+4)^2 > n.$$

So

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 = it^2 + (n-i)(t+4)^2 = n^2,$$

that is

$$i = \frac{n((t+4)^2 - n)}{8(t+2)}.$$

This value of i may not be integral and must be an integer, so we

choose the integer part of $\frac{n((t+4)^2 - n)}{8(t+2)}$ for i . We note we increase $\sum a_j$ by choosing i smaller. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(n) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j = ti + (t+4)(n-i), \\ &= n(t+4) - 4 \left[\frac{n((t+4)^2 - n)}{8(t+2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad W(n) \leq \frac{1}{2}n(t+n+4) - 2 \left[\frac{n((t+4)^2 - n)}{8(t+2)} \right],$$

where x is the greatest integer $\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\leq \sqrt{n}$,
 $t = x$ if $|n-x^2| < |(x+2)^2 - n|$ and $t = x-2$ otherwise.

3. A Lower Bound.

An examination of Best's lower bound shows that we can exclude the rows of the Hadamard matrix of order n and so obtain

$$\sigma(n) \geq \frac{n^2 \left(\binom{n}{\frac{1}{2}n} - 2 \right)}{2^n - 2n}.$$

While we were always able to improve on this bound constructively, it is, nevertheless, the best general lower bound available.

4. The Powers of 2.

Schmidt and Wang noted that if H and G are Hadamard matrices of orders h and g , respectively, and maximal weights, then

$$(10) \quad W(hg) \geq w(H \times G) = h^2 g^2 + 2W(g)W(h) - h^2 W(g) - g^2 W(h) .$$

THEOREM 5.

$$\sigma(2^{2r}) = 2^{3r} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad W(2^{2r}) = 2^{2r-1}(2^{2r} + 2^r) .$$

Proof. Let $X_1 = \{1\}$, $X_2 = X_3 = X_4 = \{0\}$ be circulant matrices of order 1. Then by repeated use of the doubling construction of section 1 we obtain four circulant matrices of order $t = 2^{2r-2}$ with row sums 2^{r-1} , 0 , 0 , 0 respectively and by (3) we have

$$\sigma(2^{2r}) \geq 2^{3r} .$$

By equation (8) we have

$$\sigma(2^{2r}) \leq 2^{3r} .$$

So we have the result.

LEMMA 6.

$$2^{3r-1} + 2^{4r+1} \leq W(2^{2r+1}) \\ \leq 2^{2r}(t+4+2^{2r+1}) - 2 \left[\frac{2^{2r-2}((t+4)^2 - 2^{2r+1})}{t+2} \right],$$

where $t = [2^{\frac{r}{2}}]$ or $[2^{\frac{r}{2}}] - 3$ according as $[2^{\frac{r}{2}}]$ is even or odd.

Proof. The right-hand-side follows immediately from (9). Also we have

$$W(2^{2r+1}) = 2W(2^a)(W(2^{2r+1-a}) - 2^{4r+1-2a}) \\ + 2^{2a}(2^{4r+2-2a} - W(2^{2r+1-a})).$$

Since we know $W(2^{2s})$ exactly, we use $a = 2p+1$ when $2r+1 = 4p+1$ in (10) to obtain

$$(11) \quad W(2^{2r+1}) \geq 2^{3[\frac{r}{2}]} W(2^{2[r/2]+1}) + 2^{4r+1} \\ - 2^{4r+1-[4(r+1)]}.$$

Now we use (5) to obtain the quoted lower bound.

COROLLARY 7. Bounds on $W(2^{2r+1})$ are given by the table

r	$x \leq W(2^{2r+1}) \leq y$
1	42 = W(8)
2	592 \leq W(32) \leq 600
3	8832 \leq W(128) \leq 8910
4	136192 \leq W(512) \leq 136854
5	2138112 \leq W(2048) \leq 2143466

Proof. The lower bounds for W(32) and W(128) are found using W(8) and (11), while those for W(512) and W(2048) are found using W(32) and (11).

5. The Excess of Orthogonal Designs.

From the discussion at the beginning of section 2 we see that a weighing matrix of order n with k non-zero entries per row has excess $n\sqrt{k}$.

Thus an orthogonal design $D = Y_1Y_1 + Y_2Y_2 + \dots + Y_tY_t$ of type (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t) would have maximal excess

$$\sigma(D) = \sigma(Y_1) + \dots + \sigma(Y_t) \leq n\sqrt{s_1} + n\sqrt{s_2} + \dots + n\sqrt{s_t},$$

while the orthogonal design $\underline{D} = y(Y_1 + \dots + Y_t)$ obtained by equating all the variables has maximal excess

$$\sigma(\underline{D}) = n\sqrt{s_1 + \dots + s_t} .$$

Clearly, in general,

$$\sigma(\underline{D}) = n\sqrt{s_1 + \dots + s_t} \leq n\sqrt{s_1} + \dots + n\sqrt{s_t} .$$

So the question arises:

QUESTION. Let $D = y_1 Y_1 + \dots + y_t Y_t$ be an orthogonal design of order n and type (s_1, \dots, s_t) on the commuting variables Y_1, \dots, Y_t . For what types and orders of orthogonal design is the excess the same as the excess of the weighing matrix of order n and weight $s_1 + \dots + s_t$?

As a partial answer we see the following orthogonal designs of orders 4 and 8 and types $(1,1,1,1)$ and $(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$, respectively, have the same excess as the underlying Hadamard matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -a & b & c & d \\ b & a & d & -c \\ c & -d & a & b \\ d & c & -b & a \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc|cccc} -a & b & c & d & e & f & g & h \\ b & a & d & -c & f & -e & h & -g \\ c & -d & a & b & -g & h & e & -f \\ d & c & -b & a & h & g & -f & -e \\ \hline e & -f & g & -h & a & b & -c & d \\ f & e & -h & -g & -b & a & d & c \\ g & -h & -e & f & c & -d & a & b \\ h & g & f & e & -d & -c & -b & a \end{array}$$

Replacing the variables of this orthogonal design of order eight by circulant matrices with first rows 111, for a and b and -11 for c, d, e, f, g, h gives an Hadamard matrix of order 24 with $\sigma(24) = 108$. Replacing the variables by the circulant matrices with first rows 111111 for a and 111-1-- for b, c, d, e, f, g, h gives an Hadamard matrix of order 56 with $\sigma(56) = 392$. Also the orthogonal designs of order $4t$, t odd and square free, and type (t, t, t, t) constructed via Lemma 1 have the same excess as the underlying Hadamard matrix for $t = 3, 5, 7$ and 13 and give the best known lower bound for $t = 11$ and 15.

This suggests another possible conjecture:-

$$\sigma(4t) = 4t \max\{2x_1, x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4\},$$

where $t = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$, $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4$, t odd and square-free.

6. Numerical Results.

Previously Wallis had found $W(8) = 42$, $W(12) = 90$ and $W(20) = 240$. Theorem 5 of Wallis [2] gave $W(16) \geq 154$. The results of Wallis [4] and Schmidt and Wang [2] gave

$$334 \leq W(24) \leq 360$$

$$756 \leq W(36)$$

$$866 \leq W(40) \leq 960 .$$

Best found $W(24) = 344$. We find

n	Best's conjecture for		$\sigma(n)$	Calculated
	$\sigma(n)$	$W(n)$		$W(n)$
4			2	3
8	20		20	42
12	36		36	90
16	64		64	160
20	80		80	240
24	108	342	112	344
28	140	462	140	462
32	176	600		$592 \leq W(32) \leq 600$
36	216	756	216	756
40	240	920	240	920
44	264	1100		$1100 \leq W(44) \leq 1108$
48	312	1308		$1296 \leq W(48) \leq 1312$
52	364	1534	364	1534
56	392	1764	392	$1764 \leq W(56) \leq 1774$
60	420	2010		$2010 \leq W(60) \leq 2030$
64	512	2304	512	2304

Best conjectured

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n[2\sqrt{n}]) , & n &\equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \\
 W(n) &= \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + \sigma(n)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + n[\sqrt{n}]) , & n &\equiv 4 \pmod{8}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparison with the above results shows this is an excellent conjecture.

References

- [1] M.R. Best, *The excess of a Hadamard matrix*, *Indag. Math.*, 39 (1977), 357-361.
- [2] K.W. Schmidt and Edward T.H. Wang, *The weights of Hadamard matrices*, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A* (to appear).
- [3] Jennifer Seberry Wallis, *Hadamard matrices, Room squares, Sum-free sets, Hadamard matrices*, by W.D. Wallis, Anne Penfold Street, Jennifer Seberry Wallis, in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972, p.273-489.
- [4] W.D. Wallis, *On the weights of Hadamard matrices*, *Ars Combinatoria*, 3 (1977), 287-292.

University of Sydney