**Review Article** 

### A review on quarantine during COVID-19 outbreak: Lessons learned from previous epidemics

Kimia Vakili<sup>1</sup>, Elahe Ahsan<sup>1</sup>, Mobina Fathi<sup>1</sup>, Niloofar Deravi<sup>1</sup>, Shirin Yaghoobpoor<sup>1</sup>, Melika Mokhtari<sup>2</sup>, Tara Fazel<sup>3</sup>, Mercede Holaki<sup>4</sup>, Sara Javanmardi<sup>5</sup>, Reza Shekarriz-Foumani<sup>6</sup>, Maryam Vaezjalali<sup>7\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Student Research Committee, School of medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
<sup>2</sup> Student Research Committee, Faculty of Dentistry, Tehran Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Student Research Committee, school of international campus, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Dental Student's Scientific Research Center, School of dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>5</sup> Student research committee, Faculty of Dentistry, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

<sup>6</sup> Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>7</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

**Corresponding author and reprints:** Maryam Vaezjalali. Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Email: maryam.vaezjalali@sbmu.ac.ir

Accepted for publication: 6 March 2020

#### Abstract

**Background:** Since the emergence in December 2019, the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has caused a global pandemic that has infected so many people all around the world. As there are no vaccination or antiviral treatment available yet, public health measures play a substantial role in the management of this pandemic. Governments of affected countries have imposed different quarantine policies and travel bans. As quarantine can have many controversial aspects, this review intends to clarify its role in disease control and other aspects of human everyday life with due attention to a couple of epidemics in the past (SARS, MERS, and flu) and ongoing COVID-19 outbreak.

**Methods:** We conducted a thorough search in PubMed, Research Gate, Google Scholar, Excerpta Media Database (EMBASE), and Web of Science databases and collected all relevant articles to Quarantine in the past epidemics (SARS, MERS, and flu) as well as ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

**Results:** A total of 176 articles were extracted in our primary search process. Primarily, 53 articles have been excluded because of duplication. The other 44 articles have been excluded due to different reasons (Lack of useful information and eligibility of data). Finally, 79 articles were selected for more evaluation (published until April 2020).

**Conclusion:** By having previous epidemics, including SARS, MERS, and flu, in mind, quarantine and isolation seem to be proper choices for this situation. But, as this epidemy is bigger than former ones, stricter public health measurements, such as serious social distancing and community-wide containment, are recommended.

#### Keywords: COVID-19; Coronavirus Infections; Quarantine

**Cite this article as:** Vakili K, Ahsan E, Fathi M, Deravi N, Yaghoobpoor SH, Mokhtari M, Fazel T, Holaki M, Javanmardi S, Shekarriz-Foumani R, Vaezjalali M. A review on quarantine during COVID-19 outbreak: Lessons learned from previous epidemics. SDH. 2020;6(1):e13. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.22037/sdh.v6i1.31188</u>

#### Introduction

rem of quarantine is originated from "Quaranta" in Italian language and is related to the sequestration which was imposed on merchant ships that were arriving during the plague outbreak in the 14<sup>th</sup> century and lasted 40 days (1). Quarantine stands for the movement confinement of people who are assumed to have exposure to a contagious disease, but they do not feel ill, because they didn't get infected or they are in incubation period (2). This term may be used instead of isolation and containment interchangeably. All these terms are considered as public health strategies aimed to protect general hygiene, but they differ in the exposed individual whether they are symptomatic or not (1):

- Isolation: Isolation is the separating sick people with contagious diseases from non-infected people to protect non-infected ones, and mostly happens in hospital settings. Isolating patients is especially effective in interrupting transference if early detection is achievable before visible viral shedding (2, 3). When an individual shows symptoms, quarantine can no longer be applied and that person should be in isolation (1, 4).
- (2) Quarantine: Quarantine includes infringement of civil liberties and limitation of movement (5. 6). Limitations for people who are assumed to be exposed to a communicable disease but are not sick, either because they are not infected or they are still in their incubation period. These persons will require psychological supports, water and food, and medical and household supplies. Financial payment workdays should for lost be contemplated (7).
- (3) Social distancing: means to create a set space between persons that will reduce the chance of transmission of disease, e.g., 3 to 6 feet for droplets (1, 4). Diseases that are transmitted by respiratory droplets need definite

proximity of people. Cancellation of gatherings and closure of schools or office buildings and suspension of public markets are examples of social distancing (7).

If these measures are found to be insufficient, community-wide containment probably needs to be applied which is an intervention implemented to an entire society, city or region, that is designed to lower personal contacts, excluding minimal interaction to ensure essential supplies (7). As quarantine can have many different aspects in the field of public health, this review intends to clarify quarantine's role in disease control by going through what has happened during previous epidemics.

#### Methods

A systematic study was conducted by searching databases including PubMed, Google Scholar, ResearchGate, Excerpta Media Database (EMBASE), and Web of Science. Our search was based on the articles about the efficacy of quarantine and other useful public health measurements in management of the past epidemics (SARS, MERS, and flu) as well as ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. **Results** 

A total of 176 articles were extracted in our primary search process. Primarily, 53 articles have been excluded because of duplication. The other 44 articles have been excluded due to different reasons (Lack of useful information and eligibility of data). Finally, 79 articles were selected for more evaluation (published until April 2020). We did not have any language limitations and all the relevant studies in any language were included (Figure 1).

Since the first day of new Coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China, the number of cases and casualties of this disease in mainland China and other countries (such as USA, Italy, Spain, South Korea, and

Iran) is constantly increasing, and the shape of the epidemiological map is changing quickly (8). As a result, the World Health



Figure 1. The flowchart of selected articles COVID-19 and Quarantine

Organization (WHO), announced that "Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) is a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC)" (9). So, with its high transmittance and rapid global spreading, COVID-19 is now being compared with the Spanish flu outbreak in 1918, which infected about a quarter of the world's population at the time (10). Based on the primary epidemiologic data of the COVID-19 outbreak (until 22 January 2020), the mean reproduction number (R0) was estimated to be 6.47 (11), but after that, as the disease was spreading throughout the world this number has changed. According to several studies published until March 2020, have estimated the minimum R0 for China (and overseas) at 1.4 and the maximum at 7.23. In Italy, R0 was 4.2. These numbers were larger than R0 of SARS (R0=4.91) (12) and MERS (R0=2.0-2.8) (13). Hence, COVID-19 can be introduced as a highly transmittable disease in comparison to SARS and MERS. The very first observation of the incubation

period of SARS-CoV-2 came from the National Health Commission of China, reporting an incubation time between 1 and 14 days (14). But according to Leung's study, the distribution of the incubation period is significantly different between travelers to Wuhan and non-travelers. This difference, indicated by the means of 1.8 to 7.2 days (with variances of 0.7), might be due to the different infection doses (based on location) to which travelers and nontravelers were exposed (15). This difference implies that the incubation period of this disease may change under different conditions, therefore it requires further studies.

At first, as this disease emerged in Wuhan, China, prevention strategies for COVID-19 outbreak were defined at three levels (16): on 20 January 2020, "No.1 announcement" was issued by National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China, officially including COVID-19 into class B infectious diseases management, and also approved class A infectious disease management to be conducted parallel (17). According to this policy, isolation observation and treatment protocols should be run by medical institutes to control COVID-19 spread. On 22 January 2020, guidelines for the control and prevention of COVID-19 were published by the National Health Commission for medical institutes for nosocomial infection prevention (18). On 28 January 2020, protocols for rapid control and prevention measures were issued by National Health Commission to apply a "big disinfection and big isolation" policy, and therefore effectively restrict the epidemic spread during the Chinese New Year Festival (19). Some targeted measures were applied for rural (published on 28 January 2020) and the elderly population (published on 31 January 2020) by these strategies as well (20, 21). Several public health measures (intended to prevent or slow down COVID-19 transmission) were implemented; including diagnosis of the cases, case isolation, identification and follow-up of contacts, rapid identification, infection control and prevention in health care centers, awareness-raising in the population (especially high-risk groups), conduction of health measures for travelers, and use of personal protective equipment 23). Furthermore, other (PPE) (22, countries started taking measures to prevent COVID-19 as well, for example, the President of the USA stated Suspension of Immigrant and Nonimmigrant Entry (who may pose a risk of COVID-19 transmission) on January 31, 2020 (24). Other measures implemented by other countries were quarantine of travelers who from high-risk countries came and symptoms-based screening at borders (25). These measures were employed during Ebola outbreaks as well. As an example, temperature screening at borders led to detect the majority of exported cases of COVID-19 according to a WHO report (26).

As respiratory droplets are one of the main transmission ways of COVID-19, isolation (of the affected population) and the use of PPE are some of the key measures to prevent transmission of this disease. For subjects coming from high-risk areas or having contact with confirmed cases, a 14 days' quarantine period is applied. As a result, if any sign or symptoms related to COVID 19 did not emerge in this period, the subject is considered not infected and therefore the quarantine can be removed. A 14 days domiciliary quarantine (i.e. staying at home, with minimal contact with others) since the confirmation of positive test, is applied for patients who were diagnosed with mild disease (and did not need medical support) (27).

These rules can be effectively running in the community, but they should be cautiously applied to hospitals. Hospitals are key places during epidemics: they admit frail patients, expose them to the virus, and then readmit them to the community; as a result, they can spread the infection. For instance, the current outbreak in Northern Italy is occurred due to a single infected patient, having access to a community hospital. In that hospital, this patient infected several patients and health-care providers (28). Furthermore, the patient isolation in hospitals can cost a lot of money, for example in the terms of PPE used by the health-care providers, and also, space and time dedicated to them. This condition can be even more complicated with patients in ICU, where endotracheal tubes and other operations on patients' respiratory tract can be considered as highways for viral spreading (27). In the 3 following, examples of different measures that have already been conducted in the case of COVID-19 outbreak and were reported to be effective due to recent studies will be discussed:

Quarantine for asymptomatic visitors from high-risk areas can be considered as a preventive method that its effectiveness has been historically proven; it should be actively exerted (29, 30). Nevertheless, this measure seems inadequate to alleviate the present epidemic. Additionally, with the great risk of dissemination within the community, the more active preventive containment policy is recommended to decrease international transmission. It is also important to note that, according to public attitude, high-level quarantine should not be considered as a violation of human rights or irrational racism; while a serious health security emergency is threatening public health (31).

The other important thing that must be cautiously regarded in the case of quarantine, is its duration. The parameter that defines this duration is the incubation period of the disease. According to recent studies, the incubation period of SARS-CoV-2 infection ranges from 2 to 14 days (most often, from 3 to 7 days) (32). On the other hand, according to a study conducted by Leung, which reported that there must be a difference in incubation period between travelers and non-travelers, revealed that the 95th percentile of incubation period in non-travelers could be 14.6 days and up to 17.1 days. As a result of this high variability in the incubation period, it was suggested that the duration of the guarantine period must be prolonged to 3 weeks (15).

#### Other limitations

#### Travel restriction

According to modeling assessments of travel limitations in the COVID-19 outbreak, as the virus had already spread from Wuhan to other cities within China by 23 January 2020, the travel quarantine of Wuhan has managed to only modestly delay the epidemic spread to other cities of China (33-35). These models indicate that despite the initial effectiveness of the Wuhan travel ban minimizing at international case entrance, after 2-3 weeks. the number of cases outside China have continued to grow because of cases that originated from other places. Moreover, modeling studies also showed that extra travel limitations (up to 90% of the traffic) have a modest effect unless they were further public paired with health interventions and behavioral changes, to achieve a significant reduction in disease spread (36). These models also showed that

even with strong travel restrictions in mainland China (since 23 January 2020), many subjects, who were already exposed to the SARS-CoV-2, have been traveling throughout the world undetected. In conclusion, it is expected that travel restrictions in the case of COVID-19 outbreak will have modest effects, and should be paired with other transmissionreduction interventions to mitigate the epidemic (37).

Enhanced Traffic Control Bundling (eTCB) Taiwan, conducted a national version of TCB during the SARS epidemic in 2003, that could effectively minimize nosocomial infection among patients, healthcare workers (HCWs), and visitors coming to hospitals (38, 39). Traffic Control Bundling (TCB), a type of multi-modal care (40, 41), includes three important measurements:

- Triage before entering hospitals: during outdoor triage stations, according to fever screening, suspected patients will directly be sent to a specific contamination zone (through a guarded control route).
- (2) Strict separation among zones of risk:
  "zones of risk" are specifically differentiated (a) contamination, (b) transition, and (c) clean zones.
- (3) Strict requirements and protocols for personal protective equipment (PPE) use coupled with checkpoint hand disinfection: HCWs that move from contamination zone to clean zones, must stop in the transition zone and undergo decontamination process. They also should disinfect their hands at every checkpoint between these zones (38).

Enhanced TCB (eTCB) has two enhancements, in addition to traditional TCB:

(1) eTCB adds a quarantine ward to the transition zone. The quarantine ward is devoted to patients with uncommon manifestations and those who are waiting for their final diagnosis. These patients are directly sent to this ward from outdoor triage and stay there for the full incubation period (42). Like traditional TCB, HCWs coming from the clean zone to quarantine ward must use PPE. On the other hand, during moving from the quarantine ward to the isolation ward, HCWs must undergo checkpoint disinfection, which is must also be done when they move from isolation ward to the clean zone as well (again, via a transition zone).

(2) Face masks and checkpoint hand disinfection are necessary for all visitors coming to the hospital. This measurement should be supplemented with elevated environmental disinfection.

In conclusion, it can be said that eTCB breaks the cycle of community-hospitalcommunity disease circulation, by limiting nosocomial transmissions (43).

#### Lessons from previous epidemics

#### SARS and Quarantine:

SARS first appeared in Guangdong, China, in mid-November 2002 (44). SARS was a highly contagious viral disease caused by a sub-type of coronaviruses called SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome) coronavirus (SARS-CoV). The virus was transmitted to humans from other species and subsequently spread between humans (45). After China, the first reports of similar cases were in Hanoi, Vietnam (46), Hong Kong (47), Canada, Singapore, and Taiwan (48), respectively. Between November 2002 and July 2003, 8096 cases of SARS were confirmed in 29 countries, with 774 deaths (49).

With no definitive treatment or vaccine for virus, global organizations, the governments, and public health authorities recommended and took measures to control outbreak. These included public the awareness of the disease, reducing social identifying and quarantining contact, people after contact with the affected person, early detection of the disease, and isolation of the symptomatic individuals (50). Quarantine and isolation were two very effective strategies for controlling the SARS epidemic because they reduced the

contact of vulnerable people with affected people, thereby reduced the risk of transmitting the disease to susceptible individuals (12, 44, 51). Public health epidemiologists have used mathematical models and ethical frameworks to evaluate the rationality and effectiveness of largescale quarantine at its social cost (52).

At the SARS epidemic, different countries considered different methods for quarantine. In China, Singapore, Canada, Taiwan, and Vietnam, quarantine was carried out for 10 to 14 days for people who were in close contact with people with SARS or suspected of having the disease (45).

In Toronto, Canada, public health officials ordered people who had been in contact with SARS patients to stay in quarantine for 10 days after exposure (52). The incubation period for SARS is between 2 to 9 days (29); therefore, 10 days was chosen for quarantine. During this time, people under quarantine had to wear masks, avoid contact with other people in the home, and constantly monitor themselves looking for symptoms of SARS. During the quarantine period, public health workers were required to contact guarantined individuals to check whether they complied with quarantine or not, to support them, and if necessary, provide food and income lost due to quarantine by referring to the relevant institutions. People who did not comply with quarantine rules could be fined up to \$ 5,000 (52, 53). Although quarantine has had a significant impact on the eradication of SARS around the world, according to a study conducted by Hawryluck et al. on 129 quarantined individuals through an online survey, 28.9% and 31.2% of them showed PTSD and depression symptoms, respectively. Also, having direct or indirect contact with people infected with SARS was associated with PTSD and depression. On the other hand, the prevalence of PTSD was higher in people who were quarantined for a longer period (54). In some other countries quarantine was accompanied by the imposition of more restrictions and breaking the quarantine resulted in more severe penalties. Among the countries affected by SARS, China had the highest incidence and probably had 5,327 cases of SARS (55, 56). About 50 percent of cases of SARS in China was in Beijing (57). About 30,000 were quarantined in Beijing, of which 60 percent were quarantined individually (56). Also in Beijing, a collective quarantine was enforced for the remaining 40% (12,000)individuals). Hospitals, residential buildings, construction sites, and universities were completely blockaded and also, some rural areas in China, such as Hubei Province, were sealed off. China was not the only country to have a collective quarantine, but quarantine collective was the also implemented in Vietnam, Hong Kong, and Taiwan in addition to individual quarantine. Holiday camps, hotels, hospitals, universities, government buildings, etc. were used to house people under group quarantine (45, 53, 58). Due to the high prevalence of SARS in China, the Chinese government had imposed prison sentences or even the death penalty on those who did with quarantine not comply and deliberately violated quarantine laws. In China, meals were provided for and delivered to quarantined people. Social committees also sent flowers and letters to them. In Taiwan, as in China, meals were delivered to people under quarantine. Supportive phone calls and giving \$ 147 to post-quarantine people were also among the support provided to the Taiwanese people (52, 56, 59, 60). In Singapore, home quarantine was implemented since March 24 for people in contact with SARS patients (61). However, In Hong Kong, people who had had contact with people with SARS advised to be under medical were supervision for ten days and to visit the prescribed medical centers (62). In general, there was a lot of cooperation from people in different countries to comply with quarantine laws (53). In Toronto, Canada, for example, only 27 official quarantine

orders were issued, and other people voluntarily quarantined themselves (63).

many countries, identifying In and quarantining patients, as well as examining those who had been in contact with them, significantly prevented the transmission of the virus. The quarantine led to stress, psychosocial pressures, financial problems, and numerous workforce challenges for individuals, families, and governments (50). Investigating the effectiveness of public health interventions, searching for ways to make quarantine more focused, and making it less onerous are among the priorities for further research set by The WHO SARS Scientific Research Advisory Committee (64).

#### MERS and Quarantine:

MERS outbreak in Korea from May till December 2015, caused 38 deaths, 186 infected individuals, and 16992 exposed persons who were guarantined at home for two weeks (65), which resulted in about 9.311 won economic loss, equal to 8.5 billion dollars (66). According to Oh et al. (67) peak of the viral shedding was in the second week of the disease period in severely infected cases; so to limit the virus spread remarkably, MERS cases should be identified rapidly and then quarantined properly. Park et al. (68) reported that such a strategy was helpful in South Korea to control the MERS outbreak. Oh et al. (69) stated that aggressive quarantine strategies may be required particularly when many individuals in health care centers are exposed. Because asymptomatic MERS-CoV infected persons are not diagnosed, they may cause a continuous outbreak (70-72).

Voluntary quarantine pieces of advice recommended individuals contacted with MERS infected cases within the illness period have to quarantine themselves in a health care center or at home for two weeks. Therefore, a total of 14702 individuals were quarantined. In the quarantine period, the health status of the individuals was monitored, and to compensate for the income loss within this period, necessities were provided. Within the quarantine period, those individuals who showed symptoms of the disease would be isolated and then treated at an early stage, and those who didn't develop disease symptoms in a 2-week quarantine would be discharged from additional monitoring (73). Although, most of the time these guidelines were ignored and therefore resulted in public outrage in Korea and also China and Hong Kong (74, 75) and additionally caused legislating punishments for passengers who declare misleadingly about their health status (74, 76). Cohort quarantine perhaps was useful in hindering the spread of the virus in the community, though there was an occurrence of further transmission among the hospital members who were cohort quarantined. it is reported that caregivers might cause the transmission (77).

Findings of Kim et al. study (78) shows that MERS infected patients' treatment in quarantine had considerable effects on their mental health. Based upon Jeong et al. (79) study on the mental health of 1656 quarantined patients, 7.6% developed anxiety symptoms and 6.4% declared experiencing anger feeling within the 14 days of quarantine. Based on Lee et al. study, medical staff (who performed tasks related to MERS) were more likely to develop mental problems during the outbreak and quarantine period, so they should be considered as the target of psychiatric intervention and care (65). Kim et al. recommended offering mental services to all MERS patients, whether they have any psychiatric disorder or not, to detect the psychiatric symptoms at early stages (78).

## 1918 Pandemic (H1N1 virus): a historical lesson

A historic quarantine perspective may help to comprehend its uses better and trace stigma and prejudice long roots from the 1918 influenza epidemic (H1N1 virus) (80). The multilateral health surveillance systems made with difficulty over the last few decades in the US and Europe did not help to control the influenza pandemic during the years 1918–1919 when the war had divided the world (81).

The army's medical officers quarantined soldiers with symptoms or signs at the pandemic start; the disease being very contagious, however, spreads rapidly, infecting people in almost all countries. Different pandemic reactions were attempted. In main Western cities across the world, health authorities applied many disease-containment tactics, such as the closure of schools, theaters, and churches, as well as public gathering debarment. A sporting event, where 10,000 adolescences were to take part, was suspended in Paris (82).

The application of measures, such as social distancing and respiratory hygiene, was promoted by physicians. These measures, however, were employed relatively late and uncoordinatedly in war-torn zones in particular, where interventions (such as border controls, travel restrictions) were not practical as the troops' movement was making the virus possible to spread more (80).

Often. authorities' health decisions appeared more concentrated on convincing the public that the attempts are made to prevent the virus from spreading rather than on preventing its spread in reality (83). The role of the media in affecting future public opinion started to be shaped. Newspapers took opposing views concerning health measures, thus assisted panic spread. In Italy, civil authorities forced Corriere Della Sera, the largest and most powerful newspaper, to stop reporting the mortality rate (150-180 deaths/day) in Milan as the reports made people more anxious (83).

In the 20<sup>th</sup> century, during the "Asian flu" pandemic of 1957-1958 as the second influenza pandemic, several countries adopted steps to control the disease spread. In general, the disease was milder than the 1918 influenza: thus, the universal condition was different. Influenza awareness was significantly advanced: the pathogenic cause was identified in 1933, seasonal epidemic vaccines were and accessible. the treatment of complications with was possible antimicrobial medicines. global А influenza surveillance network further was by World introduced the Health Organization, warning new influenza (H2N2) virus early when spread in February 1957 in China and later globally. Over the third and mildest influenza in the 20th century, i.e., the influenza A (H3N2) pandemic of 1968-1969, this scenario reoccurred. The virus was identified first in early 1968 in Hong Kong, and in September 1968, it reached the US by its Marines, who returned from Vietnam. The virus spread across the world over the winter of 1968-69: the impact was minimal; thus, no particular containment steps were taken (80).

## Psychological aspects of quarantine and social distancing

Isolation and quarantine of the people at risk often avert the spread of infectious diseases. Such strategies limit daily the mobility, and activities. social connection of the individuals who are affected. In recent COVID-19 pandemic isolation and quarantine are being implemented in many situations, requiring an assessment of global research about how these interventions impact the outcomes of mental health among communities (84).

A high mental health burden was recorded among people who have undergone quarantine or isolation (85-87). Differing depression rates were reported among the research participants (86-91). Anger and irritability were reported among the research participants (86, 88, 89, 92, 93). Also, four reviews reported different stress rates between the research participants who underwent isolation or quarantine (85, 86, 89, 90). Another concern to those who are confined due to quarantine order is psychological stress. The psychological effect arises from two causes: first the disease's fear and vagueness, and second incarceration (1).

Available studies have studied factors linked to rage and anxiety symptoms after quarantine during the MERS epidemic and found that during the beginning stages of the MERS epidemic, individuals experienced heightened anxiety with a higher level of information about the infection and higher trust in unofficial information (94).

A Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-nCoV) epidemic in Korea from May to December 2015 resulted in 38 deaths, 186 infection cases, and 16,692 exposed people who were quarantined. Healthcare staff who treated MERS-nCoV contaminated patients in quarantine have observed a great risk of symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Also, 16.6 percent and 7.6 percent of the exposed individuals in quarantine who had not been diagnosed with MERSnCoV contamination showed feelings of anger and anxiety symptoms, especially, during the quarantine time. 6.4% and 3.0% of patients showed anger and anxiety symptoms even 4 to 6 months after the time when guarantine ended (95).

Isolation and quarantine for the prevention of infection also affected the health care workers 'wellbeing and mental health. Brooks et al. for example, noticed many mental health problems in health care providers who worked in quarantine such as posttraumatic stress-related symptoms, alcohol use, poor concentration, irritability, anxiety, exhaustion, avoidance behavior, work performance deterioration, insomnia, depression, detachment and acute stress disorder even after 3 years of quarantine. In addition, isolation and quarantine had impacted the informal caregivers' mental health. Brooks et al. recorded that 28 percent of parents of children in quarantine had trauma-related mental disorders (86). Many other psychological conditions and mental disorders were observed among study populations, such as fear (1, 86, 88), loneliness (1, 85, 88-90, 95, 96), low selfesteem (88, 90, 92), boredom (1, 88, 89,

95), guilt (86), a lack of control feeling (85,

# 89, 90), vigilant handwashing (86), mood disorders (86, 90), insomnia (86), perceived dirtiness (90) and avoiding social meetings and crowds even after isolation or quarantine (86).

Brooks et al. reported that stressors such as fears of infection, inadequate information, frustration and boredom, lack of in-person teachers, friends, contact with and classmates, family financial loss and lack of personal space at home can have even more enduring and troubling effects on adolescents and children (86).

It seems that particular consideration should be paid to the community mental health issues. Presented programs to screen mental conditions and to manage and treat cases by hiring psychologists, psychiatrists, and other related medical groups seem essential, particularly in quarantine cases (93). Focusing on trustworthy information, keeping in contact with friends, family, and colleagues, allowing your negative feelings to be expressed, maintaining daily life activities, preserving your pride, and engaging in enjoyable activities are mentioned as recommendations for coping skills for people in quarantine (95). Social media can be very helpful in this regard.

#### Socio-economic aspects of quarantine and social distancing

Similar to the economic events during World War II (explained by black swan theory) the COVID-19 outbreak has had a lot of harmful effects on the nation's social and economic status (97). In an attempt to slow the spread speed of this disease, governments have tried to shut down the border. restrict travels, and apply quarantine for the exposed individual. As these decisions were enforced in countries with the largest economies in the world, they may raise the fear of recession and economic crisis (98). According to Nicola et al. (97), to clarify the economic effects of COVID-19, these effects must be assessed in three sectors:

1. *Primary Sectors*: industries involved in the raw material provision, such as:

- Agriculture: prices of agricultural commodities drop by 20% as a result of decreased demand by hotels and restaurants (99).
- Petroleum & Oil: the fastest one-day price crash was seen in about the last 30 years (Brent Crude dropped by 24% to \$34 per barrel, on 23 March 2020) (100).
- 2. Secondary Sectors: industries involved in finished product provision, such as: Manufacturing Industry: as a result of self-isolation policies, manufacturing industries are facing staffing deficiencies and importation issues (97).
- 3. *Tertiary Sectors*: industries involved in service provision, such as:
- Education: according to UNESCO, closure of educational institutions has affected about 900 million learners all over the world (101).
- Finance Industry: lockdowns and further inappropriate responses by governmental have interrupted the supply and demand chain (97).
- Healthcare and the pharmaceutical industry: lack of enough PPE, high healthcare costs, and insufficient medical capacity (ventilators and ICU beds) are challenges ahead of this industry (97).
- Hospitality, tourism, and aviation: The World Travel and Tourism Council has stated that, as a result of the COVID-19 outbreak, about 50 million jobs in this sector might be at risk (102).
- Sports industry: The International Olympic Committee has decided to postpone the Tokyo 2020 Olympics to 2021 (103).

#### Conclusion

By having previous epidemics, including SARS, MERS, and flu, in mind, quarantine and isolation seem to be proper choices for this situation. But, as this epidemic is bigger than former ones, stricter public health measurements, such as serious social distancing and community-wide containment, are recommended. Until now, these measurements were applied to different levels by affected countries and has been very useful in disease control. However, it should be noted that these measures have come with some costs. For example, psychological issues are one of the most important consequences of quarantine, which threatens people with the history of psychological disorders and medical staff (due to their higher exposure to terminally ill patients) even more than others. Economic issues are also very important in this regard, especially in lowincome countries. On the other hand, early termination of quarantine and other public health measures can lead to the second wave of outbreak, which might be even worse than the first one. At last, it should be noted that raising public awareness of this disease is very important to prevent unpleasant experiences, like the 1918 Spanish flu pandemic, in the past.

Conflict of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interests.

#### References

- 1. Barbisch D, Koenig KL, Shih F-Y. Is there a case for quarantine? Perspectives from SARS to Ebola. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2015;9(5):547-53.
- 2. Wilder-Smith A, Freedman DO. Isolation, quarantine, social distancing and community containment: pivotal role for old-style public health measures in the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak. Journal of travel medicine. 2020;27(2).
- Nishiura H, Mizumoto K, Ejima K, Zhong Y, Cowling B, Omori R. Incubation period as part of the case definition of severe respiratory illness caused by a novel coronavirus. Euro surveillance: bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles= European communicable disease bulletin. 2012;17(42).
- 4. Order E. 12452—Revised list of quarantinable communicable diseases. National Archives website. 2014.
- 5. Koenig KL. Quarantine for Zika virus? Where is the science? Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2016;10(5):704-6.
- 6. Koenig KL. Health care worker quarantine for Ebola: to eradicate the virus or alleviate fear? Annals of emergency medicine.

2015;65(3):330-1.

- 7. Cetron M, Simone P. Battling 21st-century scourges with a 14th-century toolbox. Emerging infectious diseases. 2004;10(11):2053.
- 8. Organization WH. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Situational Report-74 Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/defaultsource/coronaviruse/situationreports/20200403-sitrep-74-covid-19mp.pdf?sfvrsn=4e043d03\_12.
- 9. Organization WH. Rolling updates on coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Last updated 2 April 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/ novel-coronavirus-2019/events-as-theyhappen.
- Morens DM, Daszak P, Taubenberger JK. Escaping pandora's box—another novel coronavirus. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020.
- 11. Tang B, Wang X, Li Q, Bragazzi NL, Tang S, Xiao Y, et al. Estimation of the transmission risk of the 2019-nCoV and its implication for public health interventions. Journal of clinical medicine. 2020;9(2):462.
- Gumel AB, Ruan S, Day T, Watmough J, Brauer F, Van den Driessche P, et al. Modelling strategies for controlling SARS outbreaks. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences. 2004;271(1554):2223-32.
- Majumder MS, Rivers C, Lofgren E, Fisman D. Estimation of MERS-coronavirus reproductive number and case fatality rate for the spring 2014 Saudi Arabia outbreak: insights from publicly available data. PLoS Currents. 2014;6.
- 14. Government NHCotP. State Council Information Office Press Conference. Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xwzb/webcontroller .do?titleSeq=11209&gecstype=1.
- Leung C. The difference in the incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) infection between travelers to Hubei and non-travelers: The need of a longer quarantine period. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2020:1-8.
- Adhikari SP, Meng S, Wu Y-J, Mao Y-P, Ye R-X, Wang Q-Z, et al. Epidemiology, causes, clinical manifestation and diagnosis, prevention and control of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) during the early outbreak period: a scoping review. Infectious Diseases of Poverty. 2020;9(1):1-12.
- 17. China NHCoPsRo. Pneumonia infected with novel coronavirus is included in the

management of legal infectious diseases Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/jkj/s7915/202001/e 4e2d5e6f01147e0a8df3f6701d49f33.shtml.

- China NHCoPsRo. Notice on printing and distributing the technical guide for prevention and control of novel coronavirus infection in medical institutions (First Edition) Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/s7659/20200 1/b91fdab7c304431eb082d67847d27e14.sh tml.
- China NHCoPsRo. Notice on printing and distributing the work plan for prevention and control of pneumonia caused by novel coronavirus infection in the near future Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/tigs/s7848/202001/ 808bbf75e5ce415aa19f74c78ddc653f.shtml
- 20. China NHCoPsRo. Notice on further prevention and control of pneumonia caused by novel coronavirus infection in rural areas. Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/jkj/s3578/202001/f8 d45f6af1d24ef18151c1d91cf8a028.shtml.
- 21. China NHCoPsRo. Notice on prevention and control of novel coronavirus infection pneumonia in the elderly people. Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/lljks/tggg/202001/9

6e82ba8a14d41b283da990d39771493.shtm l.

- 22. Wei Q, Ren Z. Disinfection measures for pneumonia foci infected by novel coronavirus in 2019. Chin J Disinfect. 2020;37:59-62.
- 23. Organization WH. Novel Coronavirus ( 2019-nCoV). Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/ novel-coronavirus-2019/situationreports.
- 24. Proclamation on suspension of entry as immigrants and nonimmigrants of persons who pose a risk of transmitting 2019 novel coronavirus. Available from: https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidentialactions/proclamation-suspensionentryimmigrants-nonimmigrants-personspose-risk-transmitting-2019novelcoronavirus/.
- 25. How airports are screening travelers for deadly new coronavirus-type disease. Available from: https://thepointsguy.com/news/howairports-are-screeningtravelers-for-deadlynew-coronavirus-type-disease/.
- 26. Updated WHO advice for international traffic in relation to the outbreak of the novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV. Available from: https://www.who.int/ith/2020-24-01-

outbreak-of-Pneumonia-caused-by-new-coronavirus/en/.

- 27. Lombardi A, Bozzi G, Mangioni D, Muscatello A, Peri AM, Taramasso L, et al. Duration of quarantine in hospitalized patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection: a question needing an answer. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2020.
- 28. Covid-19 Situazione in Italia n.d. Available from: http://www.salute.gov.it/portale/nuovocoro navirus/dettaglioContenutiNuovoCoronavir us.jsp?lingua=italiano&id=5351&area=nuo voCoronavirus&menu=vuoto.
- 29. Gensini GF, Yacoub MH, Conti AA. The concept of quarantine in history: from plague to SARS. Journal of Infection. 2004;49(4):257-61.
- 30. Tognotti E. Lessons from the history of quarantine, from plague to influenza A. Emerging infectious diseases. 2013;19(2):254.
- 31. Yoo J-H, Hong S-T. The outbreak cases with the novel coronavirus suggest upgraded quarantine and isolation in Korea. Journal of Korean Medical Science. 2020;35(5).
- 32. Chen Z-M, Fu J-F, Shu Q, Chen Y-H, Hua C-Z, Li F-B, et al. Diagnosis and treatment recommendations for pediatric respiratory infection caused by the 2019 novel coronavirus. World journal of pediatrics. 2020:1-7.
- 33. Tian H, Liu Y, Li Y, Kraemer MU, Chen B, Wu C-H, et al. Early evaluation of transmission control measures in response to the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in China. medRxiv. 2020.
- 34. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. The Lancet. 2020;395(10225):689-97.
- 35. Du Z, Wang L, Cauchemez S, Xu X, Wang X, Cowling B, et al. Risk for transportation of 2019 novel coronavirus disease from Wuhan to other cities in China. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2020;26(5).
- 36. Drake JM, Chew SK, Ma S. Societal learning in epidemics: intervention effectiveness during the 2003 SARS outbreak in Singapore. PloS one. 2006;1(1).
- 37. Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, Gioannini C, Litvinova M, Merler S, et al. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. Science. 2020.
- 38. Yen M-Y, Lin Y-E, Lee C-H, Ho M-S,

Huang F-Y, Chang S-C, et al. Taiwan's traffic control bundle and the elimination of nosocomial severe acute respiratory syndrome among healthcare workers. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2011;77(4):332-7.

- 39. Yen M-Y, Chiu A-H, Schwartz J, King C-C, Lin Y, Chang S-C, et al. From SARS in 2003 to H1N1 in 2009: lessons learned from Taiwan in preparation for the next pandemic. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2014;87(4):185-93.
- 40. Kao C-C, Chiang H-T, Chen C-Y, Hung C-T, Chen Y-C, Su L-H, et al. National bundle care program implementation to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care units in Taiwan. Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection. 2019;52(4):592-7.
- 41. Lai C-C, Cia C-T, Chiang H-T, Kung Y-C, Shi Z-Y, Chuang Y-C, et al. Implementation of a national bundle care program to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in intensive care units in Taiwan. Journal of microbiology, immunology and infection. 2018;51(5):666-71.
- 42. Schwartz J, King C-C, Yen M-Y. Protecting Health Care Workers during the COVID-19 Coronavirus Outbreak–Lessons from Taiwan's SARS response. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 43. Yen M-Y, Schwartz J, Chen S-Y, King C-C, Yang G-Y, Hsueh P-R. Interrupting COVID-19 transmission by implementing enhanced traffic control bundling: Implications for global prevention and control efforts. Journal of microbiology, immunology, and infection= Wei mian yu gan ran za zhi. 2020.
- 44. Organization WH. Consensus document on the epidemiology of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). World Health Organization; 2003.
- 45. Ahmad A, Krumkamp R, Reintjes R. Controlling SARS: a review on China's response compared with other SARSaffected countries. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2009;14:36-45.
- 46. Organization WH. SARS: how a global epidemic was stopped: Manila: WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific; 2006.
- 47. Tomlinson B, Cockram C. Sars: Experience at prince of wales hospital, hong kong. The lancet. 2003;361(9368):1486-7.
- 48. Ksiazek TG, Erdman D, Goldsmith CS, Zaki SR, Peret T, Emery S, et al. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. New England journal of medicine. 2003;348(20):1953-66.

- 49. Organization WH. Cumulative number of reported probable cases of SARS. 2003.
- 50. Bell DM. Public health interventions and SARS spread, 2003. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2004;10(11):1900.
- 51. Chowell G, Fenimore PW, Castillo-Garsow MA, Castillo-Chavez C. SARS outbreaks in Ontario, Hong Kong and Singapore: the role of diagnosis and isolation as a control mechanism. Journal of theoretical biology. 2003;224(1):1-8.
- 52. Cava MA, Fay KE, Beanlands HJ, McCay EA, Wignall R. Risk perception and compliance with quarantine during the SARS outbreak. Journal of Nursing Scholarship. 2005;37(4):343-7.
- 53. Rothstein M, Alcalde M, Elster N. Quarantine and Isolation: Lessons Learned from SARS. A Report to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Louisville, Ky.: University of Louisville School of Medicine Institute for Bioethics, Health Policy and Law, November 2003). lo uis vil le edu/bioe thics/publichealth/SARS pdf, LM Hall, J Angus, E Peter, et al" Media Portrayal of Nurses' Perspectives and Concerns in the SARS Crisis in Toronto," Journal Scholarship. of Nursing 2003;35:211-16.
- 54. Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S, Pogorski S, Galea S, Styra R. SARS control and psychological effects of quarantine, Toronto, Canada. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2004;10(7):1206.
- 55. Feng D, De Vlas SJ, Fang LQ, Han XN, Zhao WJ, Sheng S, et al. The SARS epidemic in mainland China: bringing together all epidemiological data. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2009;14:4-13.
- 56. Pang X, Zhu Z, Xu F, Guo J, Gong X, Liu D, et al. Evaluation of control measures implemented in the severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak in Beijing, 2003. Jama. 2003;290(24):3215-21.
- 57. Beaglehole R. The world health report 2003: shaping the future: World Health Organization; 2003.
- 58. Balasegaram M, Schnur A. China: from denial to mass mobilization. SARS: How a global epidemic was stopped. 2006:73-85.
- 59. Barbera J, Macintyre A, Gostin L, Inglesby T, O'Toole T, DeAtley C, et al. Large-scale quarantine following biological terrorism in the United States: scientific examination, logistic and legal limits, and possible consequences. Jama. 2001;286(21):2711-7.
- 60. Control CfD, Prevention. Use of quarantine to prevent transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome--Taiwan, 2003.

MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 2003;52(29):680.

- 61. James L, Shindo N, Cutter J, Ma S, Chew S. Public health measures implemented during the SARS outbreak in Singapore, 2003. Public Health. 2006;120(1):20-6.
- 62. Tsang T. Public health response: a view from Hong Kong. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome. 2005:165-8.
- 63. Introduction CtIt, Syndrome SoSAR, Campbell A. The SARS commission second interim report: SARS and public health legislation: SARS Commission; 2005.
- 64. World Health Organization Scientific Research Advisory Committee on Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) Report of the first meeting, Geneva, Switzerland, 20-21 Oct 2003. WHO/CDS/CSR/GAR/2004. 16. [cited 2004 Sep 20]. Available from http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publicati ons/ WHO\_CDS\_CSR\_GAR\_2004\_16/en/.
- 65. Lee SM, Kang WS, Cho AR, Kim T, Park JK. Psychological impact of the 2015 MERS outbreak on hospital workers and quarantined hemodialysis patients. Comprehensive psychiatry. 2018;87:123-7.
- 66. Oh M-d. The Korean Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus outbreak and our responsibility to the global scientific community. Infection & chemotherapy. 2016;48(2):145-6.
- Oh M-d, Park WB, Choe PG, Choi S-J, Kim J-I, Chae J, et al. Viral load kinetics of MERS coronavirus infection. New England Journal of Medicine. 2016;375(13):1303-5.
- 68. Park GE, Ko J-H, Peck KR, Lee JY, Lee JY, Cho SY, et al. Control of an outbreak of Middle East respiratory syndrome in a tertiary hospital in Korea. Annals of internal medicine. 2016;165(2):87-93.
- 69. Oh MD, Park WB, Park SW, Choe PG, Bang JH, Song KH, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome: what we learned from the 2015 outbreak in the Republic of Korea. The Korean journal of internal medicine. 2018;33(2):233-46.
- Drosten C, Meyer B, Müller MA, Corman VM, Al-Masri M, Hossain R, et al. Transmission of MERS-coronavirus in household contacts. New England Journal of Medicine. 2014;371(9):828-35.
- 71. Memish ZA, Al-Tawfiq JA, Makhdoom HQ, Al-Rabeeah AA, Assiri A, Alhakeem RF, et al. Screening for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in hospital patients and their healthcare worker and family contacts: a prospective descriptive study. Clinical microbiology and infection : the official publication of the

European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. 2014;20(5):469-74.

- 72. Al-Gethamy M, Corman VM, Hussain R, Al-Tawfiq JA, Drosten C, Memish ZA. A case of long-term excretion and subclinical infection with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in a healthcare worker. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2015;60(6):973-4.
- 73. Organization WH. Managing contacts in the MERS-CoV outbreak in the Republic of Korea. . World Health Organization2015.
- 74. Cheung E LC. Hong Kong orders 18 into quarantine after Korean traveller is diagnosed with MERS. South China Morning Post. 2015.
- 75. Li D NJ. Punish travellers who lie about their health, SARS expert urges. South China Morning post. 2015.
- 76. Kim E, Liao Q, Yu E, Kim J, Yoon S, Lam W, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome in South Korea during 2015: risk-related perceptions and quarantine attitudes. American journal of infection control. 2016;44(11):1414-6.
- 77. Park JW, Lee KJ, Lee KH, Lee SH, Cho JR, Mo JW, et al. Hospital Outbreaks of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome, Daejeon, South Korea, 2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;23(6):898-905.
- 78. Kim HC, Yoo SY, Lee BH, Lee SH, Shin HS. Psychiatric Findings in Suspected and Confirmed Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Patients Quarantined in Hospital: A Retrospective Chart Analysis. Psychiatry investigation. 2018;15(4):355-60.
- 79. Jeong H, Yim HW, Song Y-J, Ki M, Min J-A, Cho J, et al. Mental health status of people isolated due to Middle East Respiratory Syndrome. Epidemiology and health. 2016;38.
- 80. Tognotti E. Lessons from the history of quarantine, from plague to influenza A. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013;19(2):254-9.
- 81. Howard-Jones N, World Health O. The scientific background of the International Sanitary Conferences, 1851-1938 / Norman Howard-Jones. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1975.
- 82. Taubenberger JK, Morens DM. 1918 Influenza: the mother of all pandemics. Emerging infectious diseases. 2006;12(1):15.
- 83. Tognotti E. Scientific triumphalism and learning from facts: bacteriology and the "Spanish flu" challenge of 1918. Social history of medicine : the journal of the Society for the Social History of Medicine.

2003;16(1):97-110.

- 84. Hossain MM, Sultana A, Purohit N. Mental health outcomes of quarantine and isolation for infection prevention: A systematic umbrella review of the global evidence. Available at SSRN 3561265. 2020.
- 85. Gammon J, Hunt J, Musselwhite C. The stigmatisation of source isolation: a literature review. Journal of Research in Nursing. 2019;24(8):677-93.
- 86. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. The Lancet. 2020.
- Sharma A, Pillai DR, Lu M, Doolan C, Leal J, Kim J, et al. Impact of isolation precautions on quality of life: a meta-analysis. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2020.
- Abad C, Fearday A, Safdar N. Adverse effects of isolation in hospitalised patients: a systematic review. Journal of hospital infection. 2010;76(2):97-102.
- Linda Barratt R, Shaban R, Moyle W. Patient experience of source isolation: lessons for clinical practice. Contemporary nurse. 2011;39(2):180-93.
- Gammon J, Hunt J. Source isolation and patient wellbeing in healthcare settings. British Journal of Nursing. 2018;27(2):88-91.
- 91. Purssell E, Gould D, Chudleigh J. Impact of isolation on hospitalised patients who are infectious: systematic review with meta-analysis. BMJ open. 2020;10(2).
- 92. Morgan DJ, Diekema DJ, Sepkowitz K, Perencevich EN. Adverse outcomes associated with contact precautions: a review of the literature. American journal of infection control. 2009;37(2):85-93.
- 93. Xiang Y-T, Yang Y, Li W, Zhang L, Zhang Q, Cheung T, et al. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(3):228-9.
- 94. Lee SM, Kang WS, Cho A-R, Kim T, Park JK. Psychological impact of the 2015 MERS outbreak on hospital workers and quarantined hemodialysis patients. Comprehensive psychiatry. 2018;87:123-7.

- 95. Park S-C, Park YC. Mental Health Care Measures in Response to the 2019 Novel Coronavirus Outbreak in Korea. Psychiatry investigation. 2020;17(2):85.
- 96. Zandifar A, Badrfam R. Iranian mental health during the COVID-19 epidemic. Asian journal of psychiatry. 2020;51:101990.
- 97. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, et al. The Socio-Economic Implications of the Coronavirus and COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review. International Journal of Surgery. 2020.
- 98. Buck T AM, Chazan G, Cookson C. Coronavirus declared a pandemic as fears of economic crisis mount 2020. Available from: https://www.ft.com/content/d72f1e54-

6396-11ea-b3f3-fe4680ea68b5.

- 99. RR. News Prices of agricultural commodities drop 20% post COVID-19 outbreak 2020. Available from: https://realtime.rediff.com/news/india/Price s-of-agricultural-commodities-drop-20post-COVID19outbreak/955078599584b749?src=interim\_ alsoreadimage.
- 100. NPR. Oil Prices, Stocks Plunge After Saudi Arabia Stuns World With Massive Discounts Available from: https://www.npr.org/2020/03/08/81343950 1/saudi-arabia-stuns-world-withmassivediscount-in-oil-sold-to-asia-europeand-u-.
- 101. UNESCO. COVID-19 Educational Disruption and Response [Available from: https://en.unesco.org/themes/educationemergencies/coronavirus-school-closures.
- 102. Forum WE. This is how coronavirus could affect the travel and tourism industry Available from: https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/03/ world-travel-coronavirus-covid19-jobspandemictourism-aviation/.
- 103. Guardian T. Tokyo Olympics postponed to 2021 due to coronavirus pandemic. Available from: https://www.theguardian.com/sport/2020/m ar/24/tokyo-olympics-to-be-postponed-to-2021-due-to-coronavirus-pandemic