

**A Roadmap for US Robotics
– From Internet to Robotics
2020 Edition**

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Robotics

The State of Industrial Robotics: Emerging Technologies, Challenges, and Key Research Directions

Lindsay Sanneman, Christopher Fourie and Julie A. Shah

ISBN: 978-1-68083-800-8

Semantics for Robotic Mapping, Perception and Interaction: A Survey
Sourav Garg, Niko Sünderhauf, Feras Dayoub, Douglas Morrison, Akansel Cosgun, Gustavo Carneiro, Qi Wu, Tat-Jun Chin, Ian Reid, Stephen Gould, Peter Corke and Michael Milford

ISBN: 978-1-68083-768-1

Embodiment in Socially Interactive Robots

Eric Deng, Bilge Mutlu and Maja J Mataric

ISBN: 978-1-68083-546-5

Modeling, Control, State Estimation and Path Planning Methods for Autonomous Multirotor Aerial Robots

Christos Papachristos, Tung Dang, Shehryar Khattak, Frank Mascarich, Nikhil Khedekar and Kostas Alexis

ISBN: 978-1-68083-548-9

An Algorithmic Perspective on Imitation Learning

Takayuki Osa, Joni Pajarinen, Gerhard Neumann, J. Andrew Bagnell, Pieter Abbeel and Jan Peters

ISBN:978-1-68083-410-9

A Roadmap for US Robotics – From Internet to Robotics 2020 Edition

H. I. Christensen

UC San Diego

N. Amato

Univ. of Illinois Urbana Champaign

H. Yanco

Univ. of Mass. - Lowell

M. Mataric

Univ. of South. California

H. Choset

Carnegie Mellon Univ.

A. Drobot

Computing Research Assoc.

K. Goldberg

UC Berkeley

J. Grizzle

Univ. of Michigan

G. Hager

Johns Hopkins Univ.

J. Hollerbach

Univ. of Utah

S. Hutchinson

Georgia Inst. of Tech.

V. Krovi

Clemson Univ.

D. Lee

Cornell Univ.

W. Smart

Oregon State Univ.

J. Trinkle

Lehigh Univ.

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

H. I. Christensen et al.. *A Roadmap for US Robotics – From Internet to Robotics 2020 Edition*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 307–424, 2021.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-858-6

© 2021 H. I. Christensen et al.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics
Volume 8, Issue 4, 2021
Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

Julie Shah

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Honorary Editors

Henrik Christensen

University of California, San Diego

Roland Siegwart

ETH Zurich

Editors

Minoru Asada

Osaka University

Antonio Bicchi

University of Pisa

Aude Billard

EPFL

Cynthia Breazeal

*Massachusetts Institute of
Technology*

Oliver Brock

TU Berlin

Wolfram Burgard

University of Freiburg

Udo Frese

University of Bremen

Ken Goldberg

*University of California,
Berkeley*

Hiroshi Ishiguro

Osaka University

Makoto Kaneko

Osaka University

Danica Kragic

KTH Stockholm

Vijay Kumar

University of Pennsylvania

Simon Lacroix

LAAS

Christian Laugier

INRIA

Steve LaValle

*University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign*

Yoshihiko Nakamura

The University of Tokyo

Brad Nelson

ETH Zurich

Paul Newman

University of Oxford

Daniela Rus

*Massachusetts Institute of
Technology*

Giulio Sandini

University of Genova

Sebastian Thrun

Stanford University

Manuela Veloso

*Carnegie Mellon
University*

Markus Vincze

Vienna University

Alex Zelinsky

DSTG

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Mathematical modelling
- Kinematics
- Dynamics
- Estimation Methods
- Robot Control
- Planning
- Artificial Intelligence in Robotics
- Software Systems and Architectures
- Mechanisms and Actuators
- Sensors and Estimation
- Planning and Control
- Human-Robot Interaction
- Industrial Robotics
- Service Robotics

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Robotics, 2021, Volume 8, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1935-8253. ISSN online version 1935-8261. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Executive Summary	2
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	COVID-19	3
1.3	Main Findings	6
1.4	The Roadmap Document	8
2	Societal Drivers	9
2.1	Manufacturing	9
2.2	Logistics and E-Commerce	13
2.3	Transportation	17
2.4	Quality of Life	20
2.5	Clinical Healthcare	24
2.6	Feeding the planet	27
2.7	Security and Rescue Robotics	29
3	Mapping Societal Drivers to Research Challenges	34
3.1	Identifying challenges to growth/progress	34
3.2	Mapping challenges to research needs	41
4	Research Challenges	47
4.1	Architectures and Design Realizations	47
4.2	Locomotion	52
4.3	Grasping and Manipulation	57
4.4	Perception	61

4.5	Planning and Control	64
4.6	Learning and Adaptive Systems	69
4.7	Multi-Robot Systems	72
4.8	Human-Robot Interaction	76
5	Technology Context	84
5.1	Additive Manufacturing	85
5.2	Model Based Programming	85
5.3	Configuration Lifetime Management	86
5.4	Collaborative Systems	88
5.5	Internet of Things / Industry 4.0	88
5.6	Big Data and Analytics	90
6	Workforce Development	93
6.1	Introduction	93
6.2	Strategic Findings	94
6.3	Near Term Opportunities and Factors Affecting Immediate Deployments	96
7	Shared Infrastructure in Robotics	98
7.1	Flexible Research Platforms	99
7.2	Community Consensus Validation Benchmark Frameworks	99
7.3	Reference Open-Access Testbeds	100
8	Legal, Ethical, and Economic Context	102
8.1	Safety	103
8.2	Liability	104
8.3	Impact on Labor	105
8.4	Social Interaction	106
8.5	Privacy and Security	107
8.6	Recommendations	108
9	Contributors	109
	Acknowledgements	112
	References	113

A Roadmap for US Robotics – From Internet to Robotics 2020 Edition

H. I. Christensen, N. Amato, H. Yanco, M. Mataric, H. Choset, A. Drobnis, K. Goldberg, J. Grizzle, G. Hager, J. Hollerbach, S. Hutchinson, V. Krovi, D. Lee, W. Smart and J. Trinkle

ABSTRACT

Recently, the robotics industry celebrated its 60-year anniversary. We have used robots for more than six decades to empower people to do things that are typically dirty, dull and/or dangerous. The industry has progressed significantly over the period from basic mechanical assist systems to fully autonomous cars, environmental monitoring and exploration of outer space. We have seen tremendous adoption of IT technology in our daily lives for a diverse set of support tasks. Through use of robots we are starting to see a new revolution, as we not only will have IT support from tablets, phones, computers but also systems that can physically interact with the world and assist with daily tasks, work, and leisure activities. The present document is a summary of the main societal opportunities identified, the associated challenges to deliver desired solutions and a presentation of efforts to be undertaken to ensure that US will continue to be a leader in robotics both in terms of research innovation, adoption of the latest technology, and adoption of appropriate policy frameworks that ensure that the technology is utilized in a responsible fashion.

H. I. Christensen, N. Amato, H. Yanco, M. Mataric, H. Choset, A. Drobnis, K. Goldberg, J. Grizzle, G. Hager, J. Hollerbach, S. Hutchinson, V. Krovi, D. Lee, W. Smart and J. Trinkle (2021), “A Roadmap for US Robotics – From Internet to Robotics 2020 Edition”, *Foundations and Trends® in Robotics*: Vol. 8, No. 4, pp 307–424. DOI: 10.1561/23000000066.

1

Executive Summary

1.1 Introduction

Recently the robotics industry celebrated its 60-year anniversary. We have used robots for more than six decades to empower people to do things that are typically dirty, dull and/or dangerous. The industry has progressed significantly over the period from basic mechanical assist systems to fully autonomous cars, environmental monitoring and exploration of outer space. We have seen tremendous adoption of IT technology in our daily lives for a diverse set of support tasks. Through use of robots we are starting to see a new revolution, as we not only will have IT support from tablets, phones, computers but also systems that can physically interact with the world and assist with daily tasks, work, and leisure activities.

The “old” robot systems were largely mechanical support systems. Through the gradual availability of inexpensive computing, user interfaces, and sensors it is possible to build robot systems that were difficult to imagine before. The confluence of technologies is enabling a revolution in use and adoption of robot technologies for all aspects of daily life.

Thirteen years ago, the process to formulate a roadmap was initiated at the Robotics Science and Systems (RSS) conference in Atlanta. Through support from the Computing Community Consortium (CCC) a roadmap was produced by a group of 120 people from industry and academia. The roadmap was presented to the congressional caucus and government agencies by May 2009. This in turn resulted in the creation of the National Robotics Initiative (NRI), which has been an interagency effort led by the National Science Foundation. The NRI was launched 2011 and had its ten-year anniversary. The roadmap has been updated 2013 and 2016 prior to this update.

Over the last few years we have seen tremendous progress on robot technology across manufacturing, healthcare applications, autonomous cars and unmanned aerial vehicles, but also major progress on core technologies such as sensors, communication systems, displays and basic computing. All this combined motivates an update of the roadmap. With the support of the Computing Community Consortium three workshops took place 11-12 September 2019 in Chicago, IL, 17-18 October 2019 in Los Angeles, CA and 15-16 November 2019 in Lowell, MA. The input from the workshops was coordinated and synthesized at a workshop in San Diego, CA February 2020. In total the workshops involved 79 people from industry, academia, and research institutes. The 2016 roadmap was reviewed, and progress was assessed as a basis for formulation of updates to the roadmap.

The present document is a summary of the main societal opportunities identified, the associated challenges to deliver desired solutions and a presentation of efforts to be undertaken to ensure that US will continue to be a leader in robotics both in terms of research innovation, adoption of the latest technology, and adoption of appropriate policy frameworks that ensure that the technology is utilized in a responsible fashion.

1.2 COVID-19

Over the last few months we have seen some major changes to society. The COVID-19 (Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), [2020](#)), or the more accurate name for the infection Sars-CoV-2, has

changed many things. It has already infected more than 27 million people with 6+ million of them in the US alone (by September 2020) (Worldometer, 2020).

The outbreak of the pandemic has had a number of effects. First of all, the healthcare system has been challenged. People have also been quarantined at home for extended periods of time. A large number of people have been laid off in USA (and globally). In addition, people have almost stopped traveling. An obvious question is how robotics and automation can assist in such a scenario.

In the healthcare sector there are quite a few obvious use-cases. i) there is a need to increase the frequency of testing people to get a nuanced view of the degree of infection and the speed of infections (R_0). Laboratory robots allow for faster processing of samples and return of answers to people. Laboratory robots can automate the testing and allow for extensive testing. Many healthcare professionals have been exposed to COVID due to their front-line jobs. There is a real need to use automation to acquire samples from patients, but also to enable a doctor at a distance to examine a patient and acquire basic information such as temperature, blood pressure, pulse, etc. Using tele-presence robots, it is possible to increase the social distancing between patients and medical personnel for routine tasks and through this reduce the risk of exposure for professionals. There are numerous use-cases for medical robots beyond the well-known examples in surgery.

Manufacturing has declined significantly during COVID-19, which is partly due to changes in market needs, but also due to the economic recession gaining momentum after the start of the pandemic. Total industrial production is seeing a downturn. We have seen automotive sales go down by as much as 50% (Federal Research Economic Data, 2020). When isolated at home the traffic patterns change dramatically. Retail sales was down by 20+% during September 2020 and food/drink sales were down by 50% in September 2020. At the same time e-commerce continued to have significant growth. Sales of goods in the traditional retail sector was shifting from brick-and-mortar shops to the web.

E-Commerce has seen tremendous growth over the last year. The growth is both in US with major companies such as Amazon and Walmart, but also internationally by companies such as Alibaba, JD

and Tmall. Already today Alibaba with Taobao is 50% larger than Amazon and is expected to continue to grow. Amazon has deployed more than 200,000 mobile platforms in their warehouses (the number is more like 300,000 by now) (Tech Crunch, 2020). In addition, we are also seeing major progress on automated object pick-up / handling with companies such as Covariant.AI, Righthand Robotics and Berkshire Grey. As people desire a minimum of contact for items entering their house, we will see higher automation at distribution centers. There is significant interest in the last-mile problem of delivering from the truck to the front door in a domestic setting. The last mile could be solved using a traditional mobile platform as seen by Amazon's Scout (Amazon, 2020) another solution is clearly humanoid robots such as digit by Agility Robotics (Agility Robotics, 2020) or traditional services such as May Mobility (Maymobility Corp, 2020). Leaving the ground for a minute the drone market is considered for last mile deliveries as seen by Amazon Prime Air (Wikipedia, 2020) or the experiments by UPS (Drone Life, 2020).

Cleaning is another important topic. This includes cleaning and disinfection beyond the hospital and the home. iRobot has seen a major uptick in sales of vacuum cleaners and floor scrubbers during the pandemic and shares are up 65% year to date. Additional cleaning is important to many households. A flood of UV-C disinfection robots has also been announced. Using UV-C lighting it is possible to achieve a high degree of disinfection with more than 99.9% of the virus eliminated when more than 10 micro watt / cm² is radiated onto a surface. In many cases, a high-power source is used to allow even indirect illumination to kill the virus. There are already more than 100 companies worldwide pursuing this market. Keenon has developed a robot that uses both UV-C lighting and a vaporizer to disinfect an area. The vapor will get to areas that may not be directly exposed by the UV-C light and provide redundant security. These two robots are merely examples of the vast number of new robots entering this market. The first place to see deployment of these UV-C robots were hospitals and care facilities. High-traffic use-cases such as airports have also seen deployments. One would expect other use-cases to include hotels, malls, cruise ships, and eventually they may enter your house as supercharged home cleaning

robots. This is a new robotics segment that was unrealistic just a few months ago.

COVID has exposed a number of opportunities for robotics from cleaning/disinfection over e-commerce to manufacturing and transportation. Robots are primarily designed to empower people to do things better, in some cases in terms of accuracy in other cases as power or sensory extensions, and access. In the aftermath of the 2009 recession adoption of robotics grew significantly. In a post-COVID world we will see new behavior patterns for social interaction, cleaning, collaboration and delivery. There are thus many new opportunities for utilization of robot technology to enhance many of everyday life.

1.3 Main Findings

Over the last decade a tremendous growth in utilization of robots has been experienced. Manufacturing has in particular been impacted by the growth in collaborative robots. There is no longer a need for physical barriers between robots and humans on the factory floor. This reduces the cost of deploying robots. In the US the industrial robotics market has grown 10+% every year and the market has so far seen less than 10% penetration. We are thus far away for full automation of our factories. US is today using more robots than it has even done before.

A major growth area over the last decade has been in use of sensor technology to control robots. More digital cameras have been sold the last decade than ever before. When combined with advanced computing and machine learning methods it becomes possible to provide robust and more flexible control of robot systems.

A major limitation in the adoption of robot manipulation systems is lack of access to flexible gripping mechanisms that allow not only pick up but also dexterous manipulation of everyday objects. There is a need for new research on materials, integrated sensors and planning / control methods to allow us to get closer to the dexterity of a young child.

Not only manufacturing but also logistics is seeing major growth. E-commerce is seeing annual growth rates in excess of 40% with new methods such as Amazon Express, Uber Food, . . . these new commerce

models all drive adoption of technology. Most recently we have seen UPS experiment with use of Unmanned Vehicles for last mile package delivery. For handling of the millions of different everyday objects there is a need of have robust manipulation and grasping technologies but also flexible delivery mechanisms using mobility platforms that may drive as fast as 30 mph inside warehouses. For these applications there is a need for new R&D in multi-robot coordination, robust computer vision for recognition and modeling and system level optimization.

Other professional services such as cleaning in offices and shops is slowly picking up, this is in particular true given the recent COVID-19 pandemic. The layout of stores is still very complex and difficult to handle for robots. Basic navigation methods are in place, but it is a major challenge to build systems that have robust long-term autonomy with no or minimal human intervention. Most of these professional systems still have poor interfaces for use by non-expert operators.

For the home market the big sales item has been vacuum and floor cleaners. Only now are we starting to see the introduction of home companion robots. This includes basic tasks such as delivery services for people with reduced mobility to educational support for children. A major wave of companion robots is about to enter the market. Almost all these systems have a rather limited set of tasks they can perform. If we are to provide adequate support for children to get true education support or for elderly people to live independently in their home there is a need for a leap in performance in terms of situational awareness, robustness and types of services offered.

A new generation of autonomous systems are also emerging for driving, flying, underwater and space usage. For autonomous driving it is important to recognize that human drivers have a performance of 100 million miles driven between fatal accidents. It is far from trivial to design autonomous systems that have a similar performance. For aerial systems the integration into civilian airspace is far from trivial but does offer a large number of opportunities to optimize airfreight, environmental monitoring, etc. For space exploration it is within reach to land on asteroids as they pass by earth or for sample retrieval from far away planets. For many of these tasks the core challenge is the flexible integration with human operators and collaborators.

The emergence of new industrial standards as for example seen with Industry 4.0 and the Industrial Internet facilitates access to cheap and pervasive communication mechanisms that allow for new architectures for distributed computing and intelligent systems. The Internet of Things movement will facilitate the introduction of increased intelligence and sensing into most robot systems and we will see a significant improvement in user experience. The design of these complex systems to be robust, scalable, and interoperable is far from trivial and there is a new for new methods for systems design and implementation from macroscopic to basic behavior.

As we see new systems introduced into our daily lives for domestic and professional use it is essential that we also consider the training of the workforce to ensure efficient utilization of these new technologies. The workforce training has to happen at all levels from K-12 over trade schools to our colleges. Such training cannot only be education at the college level. The training is not only for young people but must include the broader society. It is fundamental that these new technologies must be available to everyone.

Finally, there is a need to consider how we ensure that adequate policy frameworks are in place to allow US to be at the forefront of the design and deployment of these new technologies but it never be at the risk of safety for people in their homes and as part of their daily lives.

1.4 The Roadmap Document

The roadmap document contains sections specific to societal drivers, mapping these drivers to main challenges to progress and the research needed to address these. Sections are also devoted to workforce development and legal, ethical and economic context of utilization of these technologies. Finally, a section discusses the value of access to major shared infrastructure to facilitate empirical research in robotics.

References

- Accuweather. (2019). “AccuWeather predicts 2018 wildfires will cost California total economic losses of \$400 billion”. URL: <https://www.accuweather.com/en/weather-news/accuweather-predicts-2018-wildfires-will-cost-california-total-economic-losses-of-400-billion/432732>.
- Adaptive Vehicle Make. (2020). web. URL: <http://cps-vo.org/group/avm>.
- Agility Robotics. (2020). “Agility Robotics - Go where humans go”. web. URL: <https://www.agilityrobotics.com>.
- Amadeo, K. (2020). “How wildfires impact the economy”. The Balance Report - Web. URL: <https://www.thebalance.com/wildfires-economic-impact-4160764>.
- Amazon. (2020). “What is next for the Amazon Scout”. web. URL: <https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/transportation/whats-next-for-amazon-scout>.
- American Immigration Council. (2021). “The cost of immigration enforcement and border security”. web. URL: <https://www.americanimmigrationcouncil.org/research/the-cost-of-immigration-enforcement-and-border-security>.
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). (2020). “Report Card for American Infrastructure”. web. URL: <https://www.infrastructurereportcard.org>.

- Atkinson, R. D. (2019). “Robotics and the Future Production and Work”. ITIF. URL: <https://itif.org/publications/2019/10/15/robotics-and-future-production-and-work>.
- Blomqvist, L. and D. Douglas. (2016). “Is precision agriculture the way to peak cropland?” *Tech. rep.* The Breakthrough Institute.
- Bohg, J., A. Morales, T. Asfour, and D. Kragic. (2014). “Data-driven grasp synthesis—a survey”. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*. 30(2): 289–309.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and A. McAfee. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. WW Norton & Company.
- Cal Fire. (2019). “Pleasant Fire”. URL: <https://www.fire.ca.gov/incidents/2018/2/18/pleasant-fire>.
- Calo, R. (2011). “Open Robotics”. *Maryland Law Review*. 70(3).
- Calo, R. (2015). “Robotics and the Lessons of Cyberlaw”. *California Law Review*. 103: 513.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2020). “COVID-19”. web. URL: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/index.html>.
- Christensen, H., A. Okamura, M. Mataric, V. Kumar, G. Hager, and H. Choset. (2016). “Next-Generation Robotics”. *Tech. rep.* CCC.
- Crawford, K. and R. Calo. (2016). “There is a blind spot in AI research”. *Nature News*. 538(7625): 311.
- Danielczuk, M., A. Kurenkov, A. Balakrishna, M. Matl, D. Wang, R. Martín-Martín, A. Garg, A. Savarese, and K. Goldberg. (2019). “Mechanical Search: Multi-Step Retrieval of a Target Object Occluded by Clutter”. In: *International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. Montreal, Canada.
- Dollar, A. and H. Herr. (2008). “Lower extremity exoskeletons and active orthoses: challenges and state-of-the-art”. *IEEE Trans. on Robotics*. 24(1): 144–158.
- Drone Life. (2020). “UPS Drone Delivery: DroneUp Flies to Prove the Case for Coronavirus Response”. web. URL: <https://dronelife.com/2020/04/21/ups-drone-delivery-droneup-partners-fly-to-prove-the-case-for-coronavirus-response>.
- Engstrom, N. F. (2012). “An Alternative Explanation for No-Fault’s Demise”. *DePaul Law Review*. 61(303).

- European Union. “Future of Manufacturing - Roadmap”. web. URL: <http://www.effra.eu/attachments/article/129/Factories%5C%20of%5C%20the%5C%20Future%5C%202020%5C%20Roadmap.pdf>.
- Federal Research Economic Data. (2020). “Industrial Production - Manufacturing - Automotive Vehicles”. web. URL: <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/IPG3361T3S>.
- FedEx. (2020). “FedEx Corporation Q4 Fiscal 2020 Statistics”. web. URL: https://s1.q4cdn.com/714383399/files/doc_financials/quarterly/2020/q4/FedEx-Q4-FY20-Stat-Book.pdf.
- Gartner Group. (2020). “Internet of Things”. web report. URL: <http://www.gartner.com/it-glossary/internet-of-things/>.
- Hadad, J. (2017). “E-Commerce & Online Auctions in the US”. IBIS World Industry Report 45411a:
- Hartzog, W. (2015). “Unfair and Deceptive Robots”. *Maryland Law Review*. 74(4): 785.
- Highly-complex and networked control systems. (2020). “EU FP7 Project on complex networked control”. web. URL: <http://www.hycon2.eu/>.
- Hodson, R. (2018). “How robots are grasping the art of gripping”. *Nature*. 557(7704).
- Industrial Internet Consortium. (2020). “White Papers”. web page. URL: <http://www.industrialinternetconsortium.org/white-papers.htm>.
- Insurance Information Institute. (2020). “Facts + Statistics: Wildfires”. web. URL: <https://www.iii.org/fact-statistic/facts-statistics-wildfires>.
- International Federation of Robotics. (2019). *World Robotics*. Vol. 2 - Service Robotics. VDMA.
- Joh, E. (2016). “Policing police robots”. *UCLA L. Rev. Discourse*. 64: 516.
- John Deere. (2020). “The Future of Farming”. web. URL: <https://www.deere.co.uk/en/agriculture/future-of-farming/>.
- Kotkin, J. (2018). “Where U.S. Manufacturing Is Thriving In 2018”. Forbes. URL: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/joelkotkin/2018/05/23/where-u-s-manufacturing-is-thriving-in-2018/?sh=7d174f2f53b3>.

- Levine, S., P. Pastor, A. Krizhevsky, and D. Quillen. (2016). “Learning hand-eye coordination for robotic grasping with large-scale data collection”. In: *International Symposium on Experimental Robotics*. Springer Verlag. 173–184.
- Mahler, J., J. Liang, S. Niyaz, M. Laskey, R. Doan, X. Liu, J. A. Ojea, and K. Goldberg. (2017). “Dex-net 2.0: Deep learning to plan robust grasps with synthetic point clouds and analytic grasp metrics”. arXiv:1703.09312.
- Mahler, J., M. Matl, V. Satish, M. Danielczuk, B. DeRose, S. McKinley, and K. Goldberg. (2019). “Learning Ambidextrous Robot Grasping Policies”. *Science Robotics*. 4(26).
- Mahler, J., R. Platt, A. Rodriguez, M. Ciocarlie, A. Dollar, R. Detry, M. A. Roa, H. Yanco, A. Norton, J. Falco, K. van Wyk, E. Messina, J. Leitner, D. Morrison, M. Mason, O. Brock, L. Odhner, A. Kurenkov, M. Matl, and K. Goldberg. (2018). “Robot Grasping Benchmarks, Protocols, and Metrics (Guest Editorial)”. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering (T-ASE)*. 15(4).
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). “A theory of human motivation”. *Psychological Review*. 50(4): 370–96.
- Maymobility Corp. (2020). “Maymobility”. web. URL: <https://maymobility.com/>.
- Miller, W. C., A. B. Deathe, M. Speechley, and J. Koval. (2001). “The influence of falling, fear of falling, and balance confidence on prosthetic mobility and social activity among individuals with a lower extremity amputation”. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 82(9): 1238–1244.
- Morrison, D., A. W. Tow, M. McTaggart, R. Smith, N. Kelly-Boxall, S. Wade-McCue, J. Erskine, R. Grinover, A. Gurman, and T. Hunn. (2018). “Cartman: The low-cost cartesian manipulator that won the amazon robotics challenge”. In: *2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE. 7757–7764.
- National Wildfire Coordination Group. (2017). “Report on Wildland Firefighter Fatalities in the United States: 2007-2016”. *Tech. rep.* No. PMS 841. NWCG. URL: <https://www.nwcg.gov/sites/default/files/publications/pms841.pdf>.

- Nourbakhsh, I. R. (2013). *Robot futures*. Boston, MA: MIT Press.
- Office of National Drug Control Policy. (2016). “How Illicit Drug Use Affects Business and the Economy”. ONDCP Web. URL: <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/ondcp/ondcp-fact-sheets/how-illicit-drug-use-affects-business-and-the-economy>.
- Pell, J. P., P. T. Donnan, F. G. R. Fowkes, and C. V. Ruckley. (1993). “Quality of life following lower limb amputation for peripheral arterial disease”. *European journal of vascular surgery*. 7(4): 448–451.
- Pinto, L. and A. Gupta. (2016). “Supersizing self-supervision: Learning to grasp from 50k tries and 700 robot hours”. In: *Intl. Conf. of Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. 3406–3413.
- Reeves, B. and C. Nass. (1996). *The media equation: How people treat computers, television, and new media like real people*. Cambridge university press Cambridge, UK.
- Rimon, E. and J. Burdick. (2019). *J. Mechanics of Robot Grasping*. Cambridge University Press.
- Siemens. (2019). “Industry 4.0”. web. URL: <https://press.siemens.com/global/en/pressrelease/leading-industry-40-players-collaborate-help-manufacturers-accelerate-digital>.
- Simon, H. (1965). *The shape of automation for men and management*. Vol. 13. Harper & Row New York.
- Smith, J. (2020). “Warehouse Robotics Startups Drawing Bigger Investor Backing”. Wall Street Journal. URL: <https://www.wsj.com/articles/warehouse-robotics-startups-drawing-bigger-investor-backing-11578394802>.
- Stone, P., R. Brooks, E. Brynjolfsson, R. Calo, O. Etzioni, G. Hager, J. Hirschberg, S. Kalyanakrishnan, E. Kamar, S. Kraus, K. Leyton-Brown, D. Parkes, W. Press, A. Saxenian, J. Shah, M. Tambe, and A. Teller. (2016). “Artificial Intelligence and Life in 2030”. *Tech. rep.* One Hundred Year Study on Artificial Intelligence: Report of the 2015-2016 Study Panel, Stanford University, Stanford, CA. URL: <http://ai100.stanford.edu/2016-report>.
- Tech Crunch. (2020). “Amazon says it has deployed more than 200,000 robotic drives globally”. web. URL: <https://techcrunch.com/2019/06/05/amazon-says-it-has-deployed-more-than-200000-robotic-drives-globally/>.

- Turkle, S. (2012). “In Constant Digital Contact, We Feel’Alone Together””. *Alone Together*.
- US Census Bureau. (2018). “An Aging Nation: Projected Number of Children and Older Adults”. web. URL: <https://www.census.gov/library/visualizations/2018/comm/historic-first.html>.
- US Census Bureau. (2020). “The Greying of America: More Older Adults than Kids by 2035”. web. URL: <https://www.census.gov/library/stories/2018/03/graying-america.html>.
- USDA - Economic Research Service. (2020). “Food and Beverage Manufacturing”. web. URL: <https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-markets-prices/processing-marketing/manufacturing/>.
- Waters, R. L., J. Perry, D. Antonelli, and H. Hislop. (1976). “Energy cost of walking of amputees: the influence of level of amputation”. *Jour of Bone Joint Surgery*. 58(1): 42–46.
- Wikipedia. (2020). “Amazon Prime Air”. web. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amazon%5C_Prime%5C_Air.
- Worldometer. (2020). “CoronaVirus”. web. URL: <https://www.worldometers.info>.
- Zablotsky, B., L. I. Black, M. J. Maenner, L. A. Schieve, M. L. Danielson, R. H. Bitsko, S. J. Blumberg, M. D. Kogan, and C. A. Boyle. (2019). “Prevalence and Trends of Developmental Disabilities among Children in the United States: 2009–2017”. *Pediatrics*. 144(4).
- Ziegler-Graham, Kathryn, E. J. MacKenzie, P. L. Ephraim, T. G. Travison, and R. Brookmeyer. (2008). “Estimating the prevalence of limb loss in the United States: 2005 to 2050.” *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 89(3): 422–429.
- Zuckerman, L. (2017). “Cost of fighting U.S. wildfires topped \$2 billion in 2017”. Reuters. URL: <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-wildfires/cost-of-fighting-u-s-wildfires-topped-2-billion-in-2017-idUSKCN1BQ01F>.