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# A SHARP LOWER BOUND OF THE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF SIMPLE GRAPHS 

Shengbiao Hu

Let $G$ be a simple connected graph with $n$ vertices and let $\rho(G)$ be its spectral radius. The 2 -degree of vertex $i$ is denoted by $t_{i}$, which is the sum of degrees of the vertices adjacent to $i$. Let $N_{i}=\sum_{j \sim i} t_{j}$ and $M_{i}=\sum_{j \sim i} N_{j}$. We find a sharp lower bound of $\rho(G)$, which only contains two parameter $N_{i}$ and $M_{i}$. Our result extends recent known results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G$ be a simple connected graph with vertex set $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $d(i, j)$ denote the distance between vertices $i$ and $j$. For $i \in V$, the degree of $i$ and the average of the degree of the vertices adjacent to $i$ are denoted by $d_{i}$ and $m_{i}$, respectively. The 2 -degree of vertex $i$ is denoted by $t_{i}$, which is the sum of degrees of the vertices adjacent to $i$, that is $t_{i}=m_{i} d_{i}$. Let $N_{i}$ be the sum of the 2-degree of vertices adjacent to $i$.

Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem [1, 2], the spectral radius $\rho(G)$ is simple and there is a unique positive unit eigenvector.

Since $A(G)$ is a real symmetric matrix, its eigenvalues must be real, and may ordered as $\lambda_{1}(G) \geq \lambda_{2}(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}(G)$. The sequence of $n$ eigenvalues is called the spectrum of $G$, the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(G)$ is often called the spectral radius of $G$, denoted by $\rho(G)=\lambda_{1}(G)$.

In this paper, we give a sharp lower bound on the spectral radius of simple graphs. For some recent surveys of the known results about this problem and related topics, we refer the reader to $[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{7}]$ and references therein.
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## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, V_{1}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ and $V_{2}=\{s+1, s+2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $Y_{1}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{s}\right)^{T}$ and $Y_{2}=\left(y_{s+1}, y_{s+2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}$. If $Y=\binom{Y_{1}}{Y_{2}}$ is an eigenvector of $A(G)$ corresponding to $\rho(G)$, then $\left\|Y_{1}\right\|=\left\|Y_{2}\right\|$.
Proof. Let $A(G)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & B \\ B^{T} & 0\end{array}\right)$, where $B$ is an $s \times(n-s)$ matrix. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
B^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{Y_{1}}{Y_{2}}=\rho(A(G))\binom{Y_{1}}{Y_{2}}, \\
B Y_{2}=\rho(A(G)) Y_{1} \Rightarrow Y_{1}^{T} B Y_{2}=\rho(A(G)) Y_{1}^{T} Y_{1},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
B^{T} Y_{1}=\rho(A(G)) Y_{2} \Rightarrow Y_{2}^{T} B^{T} Y_{1}=\rho(A(G)) Y_{2}^{T} Y_{2}
$$

Since $\left(Y_{1}^{T} B Y_{2}\right)^{T}=Y_{2}^{T} B^{T} Y_{1}$, we have that $Y_{1}^{T} Y_{1}=Y_{2}^{T} Y_{2}$, that is

$$
\left\|Y_{1}\right\|=\left\|Y_{2}\right\|
$$

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a simple connected graph of order $n$ and $\rho(G)$ be the spectral radius of $G$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(G) \geq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}\right) /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}^{2}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{i}=\sum_{j \sim i} t_{j}$ and $M_{i}=\sum_{j \sim i} N_{j}$. The equality in (1) holds if and only if

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}}
$$

or $G$ is a bipartite graph with $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, V_{1}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ and $V_{2}=\{s+1, s+$ $2, \ldots, n\}$, such that

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{s}}{N_{s}} \text { and } \frac{M_{s+1}}{N_{s+1}}=\frac{M_{s+2}}{N_{s+2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}} .
$$

Proof. By Rayleigh quotient, we have

$$
\rho(G)^{2}=\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right)=\max _{x \neq 0} \frac{x^{T} A(G)^{2} x}{x^{T} x}
$$

Let $A(G)^{2}=\left(a_{i j}^{(2)}\right)$, where $a_{i j}^{(2)}$ is the number of $(i, j)$-walks of length 2 in $G$.
Clearly, $a_{i i}^{(2)}=d_{i}$ and $a_{i j}^{(2)}=a_{j i}^{(2)}$.

For a fixed $(i, j)$-walk in $G$, denote by $w(i, j)$ the length of this walk. Then $a_{i j}^{(2)} \neq 0$ if $w(i, j)=2$, and $a_{i j}^{(2)}=0$ otherwise. If $X=\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)^{T}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{T} A(G)^{2} X & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{(2)} N_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} \sum_{w(j, i)=2} a_{i j}^{(2)} N_{j}=\sum_{w(i, j)=2} a_{i j}^{(2)} N_{i} N_{j} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} N_{i}{ }^{2}+\sum_{w(i, j)=2, i \neq j} a_{i j}^{(2)} N_{i} N_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j \sim i} N_{j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $X^{T} X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}{ }^{2}$. So

$$
\rho(G)=\sqrt{\max _{x \neq 0} \frac{x^{T} A(G)^{2} x}{x^{T} x}} \geq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}\right) /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}^{2}\right)}
$$

If the equality holds, then $X$ is a positive eigenvector of $A(G)^{2}$ corresponding to $\rho(G)^{2}$. Moreover, if the eigenvalue $\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right)$ of $A(G)^{2}$ has the multiplicity one, then by the Perron-Frobenius theorem, $X$ is an eigenvector of $A(G)$ corresponding to $\rho(A(G))$, therefore $A(G) X=\rho(G) X$. For all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we have $(A(G) X)_{i}=(\rho(G) X)_{i}$, that is $\sum_{j \sim i} N_{j}=\rho(G) N_{i}$. Since $\sum_{j \sim i} N_{j}=M_{i}$, we get

$$
\frac{M_{i}}{N_{i}}=\rho(G) \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}}=\rho(G)
$$

If the eigenvalue $\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right)$ of $A(G)^{2}$ has the multiplicity two, it is well known that $-\rho(A(G))$ is an eigenvalue of $A(G)$. Hence $G$ is a bipartite graph. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
B^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

hence

$$
A^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B B^{T} & 0 \\
0 & B^{T} B
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $X_{1}=\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{s}\right)^{T}$ and $X_{2}=\left(N_{s+1}, N_{s+2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)^{T}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B B^{T} & 0 \\
0 & B^{T} B
\end{array}\right)\binom{X_{1}}{X_{2}}=\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right)\binom{X_{1}}{X_{2}} \\
B B^{T} X_{1}=\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right) X_{1} \text { and } B^{T} B X_{2}=\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right) X_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}$ be a positive eigenvector of $A(G)$ corresponding to $\rho(G)$. Let $Y_{1}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{s}\right)^{T}$ and $Y_{2}=\left(y_{s+1}, y_{s+2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}$. Thus

$$
B B^{T} Y_{1}=\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right) Y_{1} \text { and } B^{T} B Y_{2}=\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right) Y_{2}
$$

Since $B B^{T}$ and $B^{T} B$ have the same nonzero eigenvalues, $B B^{T}$ and $B^{T} B$ have eigenvalues $\rho\left(A(G)^{2}\right)$ with multiplicity one, respectively. Hence by the PerronFrobenius theorem, we have $Y_{1}=a X_{1}(a \neq 0)$ and $Y_{2}=b X_{2}(b \neq 0)$. Now, it follows from $A(G) Y=\rho(G) Y$ that

$$
\sum_{j \sim i} b N_{j}=\rho(G) a N_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, s
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j \sim i} a N_{j}=\rho(G) b N_{i}, \quad i=s+1, s+2, \ldots, n .
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{j \sim i} N_{j}=M_{i}
$$

thus we have

$$
\frac{M_{i}}{N_{i}}=\frac{a}{b} \rho(G) \quad i=1,2, \ldots, s
$$

and

$$
\frac{M_{i}}{N_{i}}=\frac{b}{a} \rho(G) \quad i=s+1, s+2, \ldots, n
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{s}}{N_{s}}=\frac{a}{b} \rho(G) \quad i=1,2, \ldots s
$$

and

$$
\frac{M_{s+1}}{N_{s+1}}=\frac{M_{s+2}}{N_{s+2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}}=\frac{b}{a} \rho(G) \quad i=s+1, s+2, \ldots, n
$$

In addition, by Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}\left(N_{1}^{2}+\cdots+N_{s}^{2}\right)=b^{2}\left(N_{s+1}^{2}+\cdots+N_{n}^{2}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, we have:
(i) If $\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}}$, then

$$
\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}\right) /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}^{2}\right)}=\rho(G)
$$

(ii) If $G$ is a bipartite graph with $\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{s}}{N_{s}}=\frac{a}{b} \rho(G) \quad i=$ $1,2, \ldots, s$ and $\frac{M_{s+1}}{N_{s+1}}=\frac{M_{s+2}}{N_{s+2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}}=\frac{b}{a} \rho(G) \quad i=s+1, s+2, \ldots, n$. Then by (2) we have

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}^{2}}}=\sqrt{\frac{\rho(G)^{2}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}}\left(N_{1}^{2}+\ldots+N_{s}^{2}\right)+\frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}\left(N_{s+1}^{2}+\cdots+N_{n}^{2}\right)\right)}{N_{1}^{2}+N_{2}^{2}+\cdots+N_{n}^{2}}}=\rho(G),
$$

and the proof follows.

We now show that our bound improves the bound of Hong and Zhang [6].
Corollary 3 (Hong and Zhang [6]). Let $G$ be a simple connected graph of order $n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(G) \geq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}^{2}\right) /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{2}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if

$$
\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}}=\frac{N_{2}}{t_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{N_{n}}{t_{n}}
$$

or $G$ a bipartite graph with $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, V_{1}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ and $V_{2}=\{s+1, s+$ $2, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}}=\frac{N_{2}}{t_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{N_{s}}{t_{s}} \text { and } \frac{N_{s+1}}{t_{s+1}}=\frac{N_{s+2}}{t_{s+2}}=\cdots=\frac{N_{n}}{t_{n}} .
$$

Proof. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{2}\right) \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} M_{i}\right)^{2} & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \sum_{j \sim i} N_{j}\right)^{2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \sum_{j \sim i}\left(\sum_{k \sim j} t_{k}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \sum_{w(k, i)=2} t_{k}\right)^{2}=\left(\sum_{w(i, j)=2} t_{i} t_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} t_{i}^{2}+\sum_{w(i, j)=2, i \neq j} t_{i} t_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j \sim i} t_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}^{2}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}^{2}} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{2}}
$$

Therefore it follows from Theorem 1 that the result holds.

A graph is called pseudo-semiregular if its vertices have the same average degree. A bipartite graph is called pseudo-semiregular if all vertices in the same part of a bipartition have the same average degree.
Corollary 4 (Yu et al. [8]). Let $G$ be a simple connected graph of order $n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(G) \geq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{2}\right) /\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}\right)}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $G$ is either a pseudo-regular graph or a pseudo-semiregular graph.
Proof. This corollary follows from Corollary 3 (See [3]).
Corollary 5 (Hofmeister [5]). Let $G$ be a simple connected graph with degree sequence $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This corollary follows from Corollary 4 (See [6].
The lower bound (1) is complicated though it is better than (3). But if $G$ is a tree, then the $N_{i}$ and the $M_{i}$ have a simple expression

$$
N_{i}=\sum_{j \sim i} t_{j}=\sum_{j \sim i}\left(\sum_{k \sim j} d_{k}\right)=d_{i}^{2}+\sum_{d(j, i)=2} d_{j}
$$

and

$$
M_{i}=\sum_{j \sim i} N_{j}=\sum_{j \sim i}\left(d_{j}^{2}+\sum_{d(k, j)=2} d_{k}\right)=\sum_{j \sim i} d_{j}^{2}+\left(d_{i}-1\right) \sum_{j \sim i} d_{j}+\sum_{d(j, i)=3} d_{j} .
$$

Hence we have
Corollary 6. Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$ and $\rho(T)$ be the spectral radius of T. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(T) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j \sim i} d_{j}^{2}+\left(d_{i}-1\right) \sum_{j \sim i} d_{j}+\sum_{d(j, i)=3} d_{j}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(d_{i}^{2}+\sum_{d(j, i)=2} d_{j}\right)^{2}}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}}
$$

or for the bipartite graph $T$ with $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}, V_{1}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ and $V_{2}=\{s+$ $1, s+2, \ldots, n\}$ such that

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{M_{2}}{N_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{s}}{N_{s}} \text { and } \frac{M_{s+1}}{N_{s+1}}=\frac{M_{s+2}}{N_{s+2}}=\cdots=\frac{M_{n}}{N_{n}}
$$

where

$$
M_{i}=\sum_{j \sim i} d_{j}^{2}+\left(d_{i}-1\right) \sum_{j \sim i} d_{j}+\sum_{d(j, i)=3} d_{j}
$$

and

$$
N_{i}=d_{i}^{2}+\sum_{d(j, i)=2} d_{j} .
$$

Proof. This corollary follows from Theorem 2.
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