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#### Abstract

A short proof is given for the classical theorem of van der Waerden which asserts that for any partition of the integers into a finite number of classes, some class contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.


Let $[a, b]$ denote the set of integers $x$ with $a \leqq x \leqq b$. We call $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right)$, $\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in[0, l]^{m} l$-equivalent if they agree up through their last occurrences of $l$. For any $l, m \geqq 1$, consider the statement

For any $r$, there exists $N(l, m, r)$ so that for any function $C:[1, N(l, m, r)] \rightarrow[1, r]$, there exist positive $a, d_{1}, \cdots, d_{m}$ such that $C\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} d_{i}\right)$ is constant on each $l$-equivalence class of $[0, l]^{m}$.

FACT 1. $\quad S(l, m)$ for some $m \geqq 1 \Rightarrow S(l, m+1)$.
Proof. For a fixed $r$, let $M=N(l, m, r), M^{\prime}=N\left(l, 1, r^{M}\right)$ and suppose $C:\left[1, M M^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow[1, r]$ is given. Define $C^{\prime}:\left[1, M^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow\left[1, r^{M}\right]$ so that $C^{\prime}(k)=$ $C^{\prime}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ iff $C(k M-j)=C\left(k^{\prime} M-j\right)$ for all $0 \leqq j<M$. By the inductive hypothesis, there exist $a^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ such that $C^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}+x d^{\prime}\right)$ is constant for $x \in$ $[0, l-1]$. Since $S(l, m)$ can apply to the interval $\left[a^{\prime} M+1,\left(a^{\prime}+1\right) M\right]$, then by the choice of $M$, there exist $a, d_{1}, \cdots, d_{m}$ with all sums $a+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} d_{i}, x_{i} \in[0, l]$, in $\left[a^{\prime} M+1,\left(a^{\prime}+1\right) M\right]$ and with $C\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} d_{i}\right)$ constant on $l$-equivalence classes. Set $d_{i}^{\prime}=d_{i}$ for $i \in[1, m]$ and $d_{m+1}^{\prime}=d^{\prime} M$; then $S(l, m+1)$ holds.

FACT 2. $\quad S(l, m)$ for all $m \geqq 1 \Rightarrow S(l+1,1)$.
Proof. For a fixed $r$, let $C:[1,2 N(l, r, r)] \rightarrow[1, r]$ be given. Then there exist $a, d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}$ such that for $x_{i} \in[0, l], a+\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} d_{i} \leqq N(l, r, r)$ and $C\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} d_{i}\right)$ is constant on $l$-equivalence classes. By the box principle there exist $u<v$ in $[0, r]$ such that

$$
C\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{u} l d_{i}\right)=C\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{v} l d_{i}\right) .
$$
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Therefore $C\left(\left(a+\sum_{i=1}^{u} l d_{i}\right)+x\left(\sum_{i=u+1}^{v} d_{i}\right)\right)$ is constant for $x \in[0, l]$. This proves $S(l+1,1)$.

Since $S(1,1)$ holds trivially, then by induction $S(l, m)$ is valid for all $l, m \geqq 1$. Van der Waerden's theorem is $S(l, 1)$.

The authors point out that while previous proofs follow essentially the argument above, the one given is hopefully clearer.

## References

1. R. L. Graham and B. L. Rothschild, Ramsey's theorem for n-parameter sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 159 (1971), 257-292. MR 44 \#1580.
2. A. W. Hales and R. I. Jewett, Regularity and positional games, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1963), 222-229. MR 26 \#1265.
3. A. Ja. Hinčin, Three pearls of number theory, Graylock Press, Rochester, N.Y., 1952. MR 13, 724.
4. R. Rado, Studien zur Kombinatorik, Math. Z. 36 (1933), 424-480.
5. B. L. van der Waerden, Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. 15 (1927), 212-216.

Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974
Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024

