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#### Abstract

In this paper we show that if $K(x)=\Omega(x) /|x|^{n}$ is a CalderónZygmund kernel, where $\Omega \in L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ for some $1<q \leq \infty$, and $b$ is a radial bounded function, then $b(x) K(x)$ is the kernel of a convolution operator which is bounded on $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$ and $n \geq 2$.


This paper is related to boundedness properties of a variation of CalderónZygmund operators.

Let $H(x)=b(|x|) \Omega(x) /|x|^{n}$ be a kernel, where $\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x) d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0, \Omega(\lambda x)=$ $\Omega(x)$ for $x \in R^{n}, \lambda>0$, and $b$ is radial. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} H(y) f(x-y) d y=\text { P.V. } H * f(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b \equiv 1$ and $\Omega \in L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ for some $q>1$, then $T$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator which is bounded on $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$ and $n \geq 1$; see [4].

In [1] R. Fefferman showed that if $\Omega$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition and $b$ is bounded, then $T$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right), 1<p<\infty, n \geq 2$. This is not true when $n=1$; for instance, if $H(x)=\sin (|x|) / x$, then its Fourier transform $\hat{H}(\xi)$ is unbounded, indicating that $T$ cannot be bounded on $L^{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$. It turns out that the smoothness condition on $\Omega$ can be relaxed a great deal.

THEOREM. If $\Omega \in L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ for some $1<q \leq \infty$, and $b(|x|) \in L^{\infty}$, then $T$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$ and $n \geq 2$.

Proof. First we show that the Fourier transform of $H$ is bounded; thereby $T$ is bounded on $L^{2}\left(R^{n}\right)$. Using polar coordinates and letting $x=\rho x^{\prime}, \rho=|x|$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}(\xi) & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x|>\varepsilon} \frac{b(|x|)}{|x|^{n}} \Omega(x) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x  \tag{2}\\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon<\rho<1 / \varepsilon} \frac{b(\rho)}{\rho} d \rho\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{i \rho x^{\prime} \cdot \xi} d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By a change of variable $r=\rho|\xi|$, (2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}(\xi) & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon|\xi|}^{|\xi| / \varepsilon} \frac{b(r /|\xi|)}{r} d r\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{-i r x^{\prime} \cdot \xi^{\prime}} d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)  \tag{3}\\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon|\xi|}^{|\xi| / \varepsilon} \frac{b(r /|\xi|)}{r} g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right) d r
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]where
$$
g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{-i r x^{\prime} \cdot \xi^{\prime}} d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=1
$$

Now if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sup}_{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=1}\left\|g\left(\cdot, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(1, \infty)} \leq A \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $1<p<\infty$ and a constant $A$, then we claim that $\hat{H}(\xi)$ is bounded. To prove the claim, first we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{-i r x^{\prime} \cdot \xi^{\prime}} d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(e^{-i r x^{\prime} \cdot \xi^{\prime}}-1\right) d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2 r\|\Omega\|_{L^{1}\left(S^{n-1}\right)}=c r
\end{aligned}
$$

by the mean value theorem. Using this and Hölder's inequality, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{b(r /|\xi|)}{r} g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right| d r & \leq\|b\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right|}{r} d r \\
& \leq\|b\|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{c r}{r} d r+\left\|g\left(\cdot, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(1, \infty)} \cdot\left\|\frac{1}{r}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(1, \infty)}\right) \\
& \leq\|b\|_{\infty}\left(c+A\|1 / r\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(1, \infty)}\right) \leq c
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 / p^{\prime}=1-1 / p$. Hence, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, the limit in (3) exists and $|\hat{H}(\xi)| \leq c$.

Now let us see when condition (4) may hold. Let $\rho$ be a rotation such that $\rho\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=(1,0,0, \ldots, 0)$. In the integral of $g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ make the change of variable $x^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\rho^{-1} x^{\prime}$, and let $\Omega^{\rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\Omega\left(\rho^{-1} x^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{-i r x^{\prime} \cdot \xi^{\prime}} d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega^{\rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{-i r\left(\rho^{-1} x^{\prime}\right) \cdot \xi^{\prime}} d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega^{\rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{-i r x^{\prime} \cdot \rho \xi^{\prime}}=\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega^{\rho}\left(x^{\prime}\right) e^{-i r x_{1}^{\prime}} d \sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Taking $x_{1}^{\prime}=\cos \theta$, we can write the last integral as

$$
g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{-i r \cos \theta} d \theta\left(\int_{S_{\cos \theta}} \Omega^{\rho}\left(\cos \theta, x^{\prime \prime}\right) d \sigma_{\theta}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where $S_{\cos \theta}=\left\{\left|x^{\prime}\right|=1: x^{\prime}=\left(\cos \theta, x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$ and $d \sigma_{\theta}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is its surface measure. By a further change of variable $s=\cos \theta$, the last integral is reduced to

$$
g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} e^{-i r s}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\int_{S_{s}} \Omega^{\rho}\left(s, x^{\prime \prime}\right) d \sigma_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) d s
$$

Set $x^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{1-s^{2}} y^{\prime}$. Then $y^{\prime} \in S^{n-2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} e^{-i r s}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{(n-3) / 2}\left(\int_{S^{n-2}} \Omega^{\rho}\left(s, \sqrt{1-s^{2}} y^{\prime}\right) d \sigma_{n-2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \sigma_{n-2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ is the surface measure of $S^{n-2}$.

From (5) we observe that $g\left(r, \xi^{\prime}\right)=(h(s) w(s))^{\wedge}(r)$, where
$h(s)=\int_{S^{n-2}} \Omega^{\rho}\left(s, \sqrt{1-s^{2}} y^{\prime}\right) d \sigma_{n-2}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \quad$ and $\quad w(s)=\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{(n-3) / 2} \chi_{(-1,1)}(s)$.
Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Omega \in L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ for some $1<q<$ $\infty$. Let $q^{\prime}$ be the conjugate of $q ; 1 / q^{\prime}+1 / q=1$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|h(s)| & =\left|\int_{S^{n-2}} \Omega^{\rho}\left(s, \sqrt{1-s^{2}} y^{\prime}\right) d \sigma_{n-2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \omega_{n-2}^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{S^{n-2}}\left|\Omega^{\rho}\left(s, \sqrt{1-s^{2}} y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{q} d \sigma_{n-2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / q} \\
& =c\left(\int\left|\Omega^{\rho}\left(s, \sqrt{1-s^{2}} y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{q} d \sigma_{n-2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\omega_{n-2}$ is the area of $S^{n-2}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1}|h(s)|^{q} w(s) d s & \leq c \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{(n-3) / 2} d s \int_{S^{n-2}}\left|\Omega^{\rho}\left(s, \sqrt{1-s^{2}} y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{q} d \sigma_{n-2}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =c\|\Omega\|_{L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right)}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $|h(s)|^{q} w(s) \in L^{1}(-1,1)$.
Let $1<p^{\prime}<q$ and $r=q / p^{\prime}$. Using Hölder's inequality again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1}|h(s) w(s)|^{p^{\prime}} d s & =\int_{-1}^{1}|h(s)|^{p^{\prime}} w(s)^{1 / r} w(s)^{p^{\prime}-1 / r} d s \\
& \leq\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|h(s)|^{q} w(s) d s\right)^{1 / r}\left(\int_{-1}^{1} w(s)^{r^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}-1 / r\right)} d s\right)^{1 / r^{\prime}} \\
& \leq c\|\Omega\|_{L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right)}^{q / r}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{[(n-3) / 2] r^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}-1 / r\right)} d s\right)^{1 / r^{\prime}} \\
& =c\|\Omega\|_{L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2}|\sin \theta|^{(n-3) r^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}-1 / r\right)+1} d \theta\right)^{1 / r^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This last integral is convergent if $(n-3) r^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}-1 / r\right)+1>-1$. This inequality, obviously, holds for all $p^{\prime}$ when $n \geq 3$. In the case $n=2$, it holds whenever $1<p^{\prime}<2 /(1+1 / q)$. Therefore, if $\Omega \in L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right), 1<q \leq \infty$, then there always exists some $p^{\prime}, 1<p^{\prime}<2$, such that $h(s) w(s) \in L^{p^{\prime}}(-1,1)$. By the HausdorffYoung inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g\left(\cdot, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(1, \infty)} & \leq\left\|g\left(\cdot, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(-\infty, \infty)}=\left\|(h(s) w(s))^{\wedge}\right\|_{L^{p}(-\infty, \infty)} \\
& \leq\|h(s) w(s)\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(-\infty, \infty)} \\
& =\|h(s) w(s)\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(-1,1)} \leq c\|\Omega\|_{L^{q}\left(S^{n-1}\right)}^{p^{\prime}}=A .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\hat{H}(\xi)$ is bounded, and thus $T$ is bounded on $L^{2}\left(R^{n}\right), n \geq 2$.
Using the technique of complex interpolation and an argument similar to the one in [1], the $L^{p}$ boundedness can be established. We mention it briefly.

Define

$$
m_{z}(\xi)=\text { P.V. } \int_{R^{n}} \frac{H(x)}{|x|^{z}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x \cdot|\xi|^{-z}
$$

and

$$
\left(T_{z} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=m_{z}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi),
$$

$|\operatorname{Re}(z)|<\eta$ for some $\eta>0 . T_{z}$ is an analytic family of operators in the sense of Stein. Also $T_{0}=T$. Each $T_{z}$ is a principal-valued convolution operator, $T_{z} f=$ P.V. $H_{z} * f$, where $H_{z}=\underset{m_{z}}{ }$. As proved in [1], if $0<\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq \eta$ and $\eta$ small enough, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|y|>0} \int_{|x|>2|y|}\left|H_{z}(x+y)-H_{z}(x)\right| d x \leq c_{z} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $c_{z}$ depends only on the real part of $z$. Also a similar argument as in the $L^{2}$ case will show that $\left|m_{z}(\xi)\right| \leq c$ for $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq \eta$, when $\eta$ is small enough. Therefore $\left\|T_{-\eta+i y}\right\|_{2} \leq c$ and $\left\|T_{\eta+i y}\right\|_{r} \leq c_{\eta}$ for $-\infty<y<\infty$ and $1<r<\infty$. By complex interpolation, if $1 / p=(1-1 / 2) / 2+(1 / 2) / r$ then $\|T\|_{p}=\left\|T_{0}\right\|_{p} \leq c$. Hence, $T$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right), 1<p<\infty, n \geq 2$.
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