

A solar concentrating
photovoltaic / thermal collector

Joseph Sydney Coventry

June 2004

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
at the Australian National University

Declaration

This PhD thesis contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university. To the best of the author's knowledge and belief, no material previously published or written by another person has been included in this thesis, except where due reference is made in the text.

Joe Coventry

June 2004

Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the generous academic support of my two supervisors, Andrew Blakers and Keith Lovegrove. They have given me solid guidance throughout the PhD, and their optimism and commitment towards the cause of renewable energy is certainly inspirational. Many thanks also to Chris Bales in Sweden for his help as an advisor, and for bringing me up to speed with TRNSYS during his year at the ANU.

I would like to acknowledge the generosity and patience shown by the administration at the Centre for Sustainable Energy Systems and the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology during the last four years. I have had the opportunity to conduct an international study tour, attend local and international conferences, and to take some time out while working on the Bruce Hall solar project. Both CSES and FEIT have been generous with financial support and encouraged extra-curricular developmental activities. Thanks also to the now defunct CRC for Renewable Energy for their funding support and good fun post-graduate conferences.

To my fellow CHAPS team, it has been a pleasure to work with you, up on the roof, in the labs and sitting around the table discussing ideas. A few people deserve special mention: James Cotsell for his enthusiasm and willingness to get things done, and especially for accelerating the construction of the long trough; Bruce Condon, for advice on all things measurement and electrical; John Smeltink, for regularly getting his hands dirty to ‘get the job done’; Greg Burgess, for his help on cold winter nights with the photogrammetry; Will Keogh, for advice with LabView and the flash tester; and the team of people who have helped out in the workshop, particularly Luke Clayton, Jeff Brown, Tony Ashmore, Ben Nash and Jaap den Hartog.

My office mates have been absolutely fantastic. Holger Kreetz was a real motivator, not just on the soccer field, but in discussions on thermodynamics and the thesis in general during the early days. Thanks to Mike Dennis for teaching me about wires and sharing the frustrations of TRNSYS, and Evan Franklin for a shot and a half of coffee, Monday footy analysis and help with the flux measurements (in no particular order). Thank you also Dave, Liz, Paul and Tom for helping make the office such a great work environment, and top notch place to hang out!

Thank you friends and family for your support. Most of all, thank you Leonie for moving up to Canberra, marrying me and making life outside the thesis good.

Abstract

This thesis discusses aspects of a novel solar concentrating photovoltaic / thermal (PV/T) collector that has been designed to produce both electricity and hot water. The motivation for the development of the Combined Heat and Power Solar (CHAPS) collector is twofold: in the short term, to produce photovoltaic power and solar hot water at a cost which is competitive with other renewable energy technologies, and in the longer term, at a cost which is lower than possible with current technologies. To the author's knowledge, the CHAPS collector is the first PV/T system using a reflective linear concentrator with a concentration ratio in the range 20-40x. The work contained in this thesis is a thorough study of all facets of the CHAPS collector, through a combination of theoretical and experimental investigation.

A theoretical discussion of the concept of 'energy value' is presented, with the aim of developing methodologies that could be used in optimisation studies to compare the value of electrical and thermal energy. Three approaches are discussed; thermodynamic methods, using second law concepts of energy usefulness; economic valuation of the hot water and electricity through levelised energy costs; and environmental valuation, based on the greenhouse gas emissions associated with the generation of hot water and electricity. It is proposed that the value of electrical energy and thermal energy is best compared using a simple ratio.

Experimental measurement of the thermal and electrical efficiency of a CHAPS receiver was carried out for a range of operating temperatures and fluid flow rates. The effectiveness of internal fins incorporated to augment heat transfer was examined. The glass surface temperature was measured using an infrared camera, to assist in the calculation of thermal losses, and to help determine the extent of radiation absorbed in the cover materials. FEA analysis, using the software package Strand7, examines the conductive heat transfer within the receiver body to obtain a temperature profile under operating conditions.

Electrical efficiency is not only affected by temperature, but by non-uniformities in the radiation flux profile. Highly non-uniform illumination across the cells was found to reduce the efficiency by about 10% relative. The radiation flux profile longitudinal to the receivers was measured by a custom-built flux scanning device. The results show significant fluctuations in the flux profile and, at worst, the minimum flux intensity is as much as 27% lower than the median. A single cell with low flux intensity limits the current and performance of all cells in series, causing a significant drop in overall output. Therefore, a detailed understanding of the causes of flux non-uniformities is essential for the design of a single-axis tracking PV trough concentrator. Simulation of the flux profile was carried out

using the ray tracing software Opticad, and good agreement was achieved between the simulated and measured results. The ray tracing allows the effect of the receiver supports, the gap between mirrors and the mirror shape imperfections to be examined individually.

A detailed analytical model simulating the CHAPS collector was developed in the TRNSYS simulation environment. The accuracy of the new component was tested against measured data, with acceptable results. A system model was created to demonstrate how sub-components of the collector, such as the insulation thickness and the conductivity of the tape bonding the cells to the receiver, can be examined as part of a long term simulation.

Foreword

The author would like to acknowledge colleagues at CSES for their contributions to the design and production of the CHAPS system. The receiver design was modified from the air cooled system used for the Rockingham PV trough project, which is a two-axis tracking PV concentrator system designed by the ANU (Smeltink et al., 2000). The author was responsible for many of the key changes to this design, in particular, the shift to a full aluminium extrusion (with the use of anti-corrosive additives in the cooling fluid) and the inclusion of internal fins to improve the heat transfer. The design team, led by James Cotsell, assisted with realising the design and fabricating the receivers. The author was also responsible for the system design change from two-axis tracking CHAPS systems to single-axis tracking long troughs. The detailed mechanical drawings for the single axis tracking system were coordinated by John Smeltink, and the manufacturing was outsourced. The mirrors were designed by Glen Johnston and Greg Burgess, and manufactured in the solar thermal workshop at the ANU. The monocrystalline silicon solar cells were manufactured in the photovoltaic laboratory at the ANU, by a dedicated and persistent team lead by Chris Holly. The solar tracking controller was developed and built by Mike Dennis. The author carried out the experimental work to examine the impact of non-uniform light across solar cells, but would like to acknowledge the work of Evan Franklin in developing a theoretical model to further explain the results. The author would like to acknowledge the contribution by Keith Lovegrove to chapter 3, which is largely taken from a co-authored journal paper (Coventry and Lovegrove, 2003). The author carried out all data gathering and analysis in this chapter, but Keith was very helpful in discussing the intricacies of the *concept* of energy value.

The following publications were produced during the course of the research project:

Journal papers

Coventry, J. S. and Lovegrove, K., 2003: Development of an approach to compare the 'value' of electrical and thermal output from a domestic PV/thermal system. *Solar Energy*, **75**, 63-72.

Coventry, J. S., 2004: Performance of a concentrating photovoltaic/thermal solar collector *Solar Energy, In Press, Corrected Proof, Available online 10 May 2004 at <http://www.sciencedirect.com>*

Conference papers

Coventry, J. and Lovegrove, K., 2001: Development of an approach to compare the 'value' of electrical and thermal output from a domestic PV/Thermal system. *ISES Solar World Congress*, Adelaide.

Coventry, J., 2002: Simulation of a concentrating PV/thermal collector using TRNSYS. *ANZSES Solar Energy Conference*, Newcastle.

Coventry, J., Franklin, E., and Blakers, A., 2002: Thermal and electrical performance of a concentrating PV/Thermal collector: results from the ANU CHAPS collector. *ANZSES Solar Energy Conference*, Newcastle.

Coventry, J., 2003: Performance of a Concentrating Photovoltaic/Thermal Solar Collector. *ISES Solar World Congress*, Gothenburg.

Coventry, J. S., 2003: Performance of the CHAPS collectors. *ANZSES Solar Energy Conference*, Melbourne.

Coventry, J. S., 2003: An investigation of non-uniformities in the longitudinal radiation flux profile of a single-axis tracking parabolic trough concentrator. *ANZSES Solar Energy Conference*, Melbourne.

Coventry, J. S., 2003: Optical performance of a parabolic trough concentrator. *International solar concentrator conference for the generation of electricity or hydrogen*, Alice Springs.

Blakers, A., Coventry, J. S., Franklin, E., Dennis, M., Cotsell, J., Holly, C., and Smeltink, J., 2003: Solar Concentrators at ANU. *International solar concentrator conference for the generation of electricity or hydrogen*, Alice Springs.

Nomenclature and Abbreviations

Nomenclature

A	Area	I	Local radiation flux intensity
A_m	Mirror aperture area	J	Current
A_s	Nominal cross-sectional area for the fluid conduit (excluding fins)	J_0	Dark current, or reverse saturation current
A_{xs}	Cross-sectional area of the fluid conduit	J_L	Light generated current
\dot{A}	Exergy (or Availability)	J_{mp}	Current at the maximum power point
c_p	Specific heat	J_{SC}	Short circuit current
C_{p-col}	Thermal capacitance of the solar collector	k	Thermal conductivity
C_0	Capital cost	k_b	Boltzmann's constant = $1.381 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J.K}^{-1}$
C_t	Net cash flow generated at time t	k_d	Discount rate
D	Diameter	K	Extinction coefficient
D_h	Hydraulic diameter	kT/q	Thermal voltage = $0.02586 \text{ V (300 K)}$
FF	Fill factor	L	Characteristic length
F_H	Carnavos correction factor	m	Mass
F_{dirt}	Scaling factor for dirt on a mirror	\dot{m}	Mass flow of fluid
F_{shade}	Scaling factor for shading of a mirror	n	Refractive index
F_{shape}	Scaling factor for mirror shape error	n_p	Lifetime of a project
$F_{uniformity}$	Scaling factor to account for the effect of non-uniform radiation on electrical output	Nu	Nusselt number
g	Acceleration due to gravity = 9.81 ms^{-2}	p	Pressure
\dot{G}	Radiation flux intensity	P	Perimeter of a fluid conduit
\dot{G}_T	Total (direct and diffuse) radiation intensity	P_n	Nominal wetted perimeter for the fluid conduit (excluding fins)
\dot{G}_d	Direct beam radiation flux intensity	Pr	Prandtl number
Gr	Grashof number	q	Elementary charge = $1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$
h	Specific enthalpy	Q	Energy
h_c	Heat transfer coefficient for convection	$Q_{eq.elec}$	Equivalent electrical energy
		\dot{Q}_{th}	Thermal output power
		\dot{Q}_{elec}	Electrical output power
		\dot{Q}	Rate of (heat) energy transfer
		\dot{Q}_{rad}	Thermal heat loss due to radiation

\dot{Q}_{sun}	Solar radiation incident upon the receiver	V	Velocity of fluid
$\dot{Q}_{abs-cells}$	Radiation absorbed by the solar cells	V_{cc}	Open circuit voltage
$\dot{Q}_{abs-glass}$	Radiation absorbed in the glass-silicone cover	V_{mp}	Voltage at the maximum power point
\dot{Q}'_{rad}	Thermal heat loss due to radiation from the glass surface	z	Height
\dot{Q}'_{conv}	Thermal heat loss due to convection loss from the glass surface	α	Absorption
\dot{Q}_{ins}	Thermal heat transfer through the insulation	α_H	Helix angle of the fins = 0 for the CHAPS receiver
\dot{Q}'_{conv}	Thermal heat loss due to convection loss from the insulation cover	β	Temperature coefficient for the relationship between solar cell efficiency and temperature
\dot{Q}'_{rad}	Thermal heat loss due to radiation from the insulation cover	δ	Thickness
R_{cond}	Thermal resistance for conduction	γ	Azimuth angle
R_{conv}	Thermal resistance for convection	Δt	Small time interval
Re	Reynolds number	ϵ_g	Emissivity of glass
R_s	Series resistance	η_{pes}	Primary-energy saving efficiency
R_{sh}	Shunt resistance	η_{power}	Conversion efficiency of a conventional thermal power station
s	Specific entropy	η_{th}	Thermal efficiency
T	Temperature	η_{elec}	Electrical efficiency
t	Time	θ	Angle of incidence of radiation
T_0	Environmental temperature	θ_{TIR}	Escape angle for Total Internal Reflection
T_∞	Fluid temperature	θ_z	Zenith angle
T_f	Film temperature (the average of the fluid and surface temperatures)	μ	Dynamic viscosity
T_s	Surface temperature	μ_w	Dynamic viscosity evaluated at the wall temperature
U	Overall heat transfer coefficient = k/t	ν	Kinematic viscosity = μ/ρ
u_m	Mean fluid velocity	ρ	Reflectivity
u_{wind}	Wind speed	σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant = $5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W.m}^{-2}.\text{K}^{-4}$
		$(\tau\alpha)$	Transmission-absorption product = $1 - \rho$
		τ	Transmissivity

Abbreviations

<i>AMO</i>	Air Mass 0, referring to the spectral distribution of sunlight outside the atmosphere
<i>AMx</i>	Air Mass 1.5, referring to the spectral distribution of sunlight when the sun is at angle $\cos^{-1}(1/x)$ from vertical
<i>ANU</i>	Australian National University
<i>BOS</i>	Balance of system
<i>CHAPS</i>	The Combined Heat and Power Solar collector
<i>CPC</i>	Compound Parabolic Concentrator
<i>CSES</i>	Centre for Sustainable Energy Systems, at the Australian National University
<i>CSR</i>	Circumsolar Ratio
<i>DOE</i>	U.S. Department of Energy
<i>EQE</i>	External Quantum Efficiency
<i>FES</i>	Fractional Energy Saving
<i>GHG</i>	Greenhouse gas
<i>GOML</i>	Glass On Metal Laminate - the material used to fabricate CHAPS mirrors
<i>HWS</i>	Hot water system
<i>LEC</i>	Levelised energy cost
<i>LGBG</i>	Laser Grooved Buried Grid
<i>MPPT</i>	Maximum power point tracker
<i>NPV</i>	Net present value
<i>PT100</i>	Temperature sensor using a platinum resistive device
<i>PV</i>	Photovoltaic
<i>PV/T</i>	Combined Photovoltaic / Thermal
<i>SEF</i>	Solar Energy Fraction
<i>SHWS</i>	Solar hot water system
<i>SRCC</i>	Solar Rating and Certification Corporation
<i>TK</i>	Thermocouple Type K
<i>TRNSYS</i>	A TRaNsient SYStem simulation program, used for solar system simulations

Table of contents

Declaration.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	v
Abstract.....	vii
Foreword.....	ix
Nomenclature and Abbreviations.....	xi
Nomenclature.....	xi
Abbreviations.....	xiii
Table of contents.....	xv
Introduction	
Chapter 1.....	1
1.1 Energy today.....	1
1.2 Solar energy.....	2
1.3 Objectives of this work.....	2
1.4 Thesis structure.....	3
Background	
Chapter 2.....	7
2.1 The sun.....	7
2.2 Photovoltaics.....	8
2.2.1 Concentrator solar cells.....	11
2.3 Concentrator photovoltaic systems.....	13
2.4 Solar thermal.....	16
2.5 Combined photovoltaic – thermal.....	19
2.5.1 Water cooled PV/T.....	20
2.5.2 Air cooled PV/T collectors.....	21
2.5.3 Concentrating PV/T collectors.....	21
2.6 Introduction to TRNSYS.....	23
2.7 Heat transfer theory.....	24
2.7.1 Convective heat transfer for internal flow.....	24
2.7.2 Convective heat transfer for external flow.....	25
Energy Value Comparison	
Chapter 3.....	27
3.1 Introduction.....	27
3.2 Thermodynamic valuation.....	28
3.2.1 Energy.....	28
3.2.2 Primary-energy saving.....	28
3.2.3 Exergy.....	29
3.3 Economic valuation.....	30
3.3.1 Open Market Approach.....	30
3.3.2 Renewable Energy Market Approach.....	32

3.3.2.1	Grid-connected photovoltaics levelised energy cost.....	33
3.3.2.2	Solar hot water levelised energy cost	34
3.4	Environmental valuation.....	37
3.4.1	Avoided emissions	37
3.4.2	Life cycle emissions	38
3.5	Comparison of methods.....	39
3.6	Optimisation methodology	40
The CHAPS system	Chapter 4	41
4.1	Solar cells.....	42
4.2	Mirrors	42
4.3	Receivers.....	44
4.3.1	Evolution of the design	44
4.3.2	Summary of the current design.....	45
4.3.3	Receiver sub-components.....	46
4.4	Sun tracking	47
4.4.1	Single-axis versus two-axis tracking	48
4.4.2	Tracking tolerance.....	51
4.5	Spectral dependency.....	53
4.5.1	Transmission through the cover.....	53
4.5.2	Measurement of transmission, reflection and absorption	56
4.5.3	Results of spectrophotometer measurements	56
4.5.4	Spectral dependency of the mirror reflection.....	57
4.5.5	Spectral dependency of the glass transmission	58
4.5.6	Spectral dependency of the silicone transmission.....	60
4.5.7	Glass-silicone cover reflection	61
4.5.8	Spectral dependency of the solar cell absorption	62
Thermal Performance	Chapter 5	65
5.1	Experimental method	65
5.1.1	The mirror	65
5.1.2	The receiver	66
5.1.3	Data logging equipment	66
5.1.3.1	Data Logger	66
5.1.3.2	Direct beam radiation.....	66
5.1.3.3	Ambient temperature	66
5.1.3.4	Wind speed	67
5.1.3.5	Inlet water temperature	67
5.1.3.6	Temperature difference across the receiver.....	67
5.1.3.7	Volumetric flow.....	67
5.1.3.8	Current and voltage.....	68
5.1.3.9	Temperature of the receiver body.....	68
5.2	Measured efficiency	69
5.2.1	Range of conditions.....	71
5.2.2	Error.....	71
5.2.3	Discussion.....	71

5.3	Heat transfer between the receiver and the fluid	73
5.3.1	Determination of the rate of heat transfer in a receiver	74
5.3.2	Results and correlations for internal fins.....	75
5.4	Heat transfer from the surface of the receiver	77
5.4.1	Radiative heat transfer.....	77
5.4.1.1	Measurement of glass temperature	78
5.4.1.2	Calculation of radiation losses.....	78
5.4.2	Convective heat transfer	80
5.4.2.1	Free convection.....	80
5.4.2.2	Forced convection	80
5.4.2.3	Mixed convection.....	81
5.4.2.4	Convection calculations for a CHAPS receiver	81
5.5	Heat transfer within the receiver materials.....	84
5.5.1	Measurement of thermal resistance tests for various heat sinking tapes	84
5.5.2	Other materials	87
5.5.3	Measured losses through the insulation.....	87
5.6	Simulation of the conduction using Strand7.....	88
5.6.1	Energy input	89
5.6.2	Energy loss	90
5.6.3	Base case	91
5.6.4	Validation.....	92
5.6.5	Sensitivity analysis	94
5.6.6	Results of the Strand7 modelling	98
5.6.6.1	Wind speed and direction	98
5.6.6.2	Fluid temperature and flow rate.....	99
5.6.6.3	Conductivity of the thermal tape	99
Electrical Performance Chapter 6.....		101
6.1	Temperature dependency	101
6.1.1	Measurement of I-V curves using the flash tester	101
6.1.2	Temperature dependency results from flash tester measurements	102
6.1.3	Temperature dependency results from a full receiver.....	103
6.2	Illumination profile.....	104
6.2.1	The sun shape	105
6.3	Non-uniform illumination in the transverse direction.....	106
6.3.1	Modelling the effect of a non-uniform illumination profile.....	106
6.3.2	Experimental comparison with the model	109
6.4	Non-uniform illumination in the longitudinal direction.....	111
6.4.1	The ‘Skywalker’ module - measurement of the longitudinal flux profile	112
6.4.2	Results from the skywalker module	113
6.4.2.1	Comparison of mirrors	113
6.4.2.2	Results from a single mirror for a range of incidence angles	115
6.4.2.3	Attenuation of peaks and troughs	117
6.4.3	Shape error of the mirror	118
6.4.4	The effect of slope error on the reflected flux profile.....	121
6.4.5	Ray tracing – simulation of the longitudinal flux profile.....	124

6.4.5.1	Preliminaries.....	124
6.4.5.2	Validation of the ray tracing	128
6.4.5.3	Analysis.....	129
6.4.6	Improvement of the flux profile	133
6.5	Mitigation of the effect of an uneven flux profile	134
6.6	Bypass diodes.....	134
6.6.1	Bypass diodes for two axis tracking systems.....	136
6.6.1	Bypass diodes for single axis tracking systems.....	137
Simulation in TRNSYS	Chapter 7	139
7.1	The new PV/T collector component	139
7.1.1	Theoretical formulation.....	139
7.1.2	Discrete element model.....	140
7.1.3	Energy balance.....	141
7.1.4	Inputs.....	142
7.1.5	Outputs.....	144
7.1.6	Thermal losses	146
7.1.7	Other relationships	148
7.1.8	Incidence angle modifiers.....	149
7.2	Validation of the PV/T collector component.....	151
7.2.1	Parameters.....	151
7.2.2	Dynamic effects	152
7.2.3	Optical efficiency	157
7.2.4	Calibration at different operating temperatures.....	158
7.3	Annual simulations.....	161
7.3.1	Performance measures.....	162
7.3.2	System inputs and parameters	163
7.3.3	Sample output from the system model.....	165
7.3.4	Sensitivity of performance to key system parameters	167
7.3.5	Analysis of the CHAPS collector through annual simulations	169
7.3.5.1	Insulation thickness	169
7.3.5.2	Conductivity of the tape bonding the cells to the receiver	172
7.3.5.3	Optimal use of the cooling fins	173
7.3.5.4	Comparison to a flat plate collector.....	175
7.3.6	Further case studies.....	176
Conclusions and recommendations for further work	Chapter 8	179
8.1	Conclusions.....	179
8.2	Recommendations for further work	182
Bibliography		185
Appendix A		197
A1	Fortran code for the PV/T TRNSYS component.....	197
A2	Fortran code for the End Loss TRNSYS component	219
A3	Fortran code for the Fin-tube TRNSYS component.....	221
A4	Fortran code for the controller component.....	235

A5 TRNSYS deck file for the system base case	240
Appendix B	257
Appendix C	261