Research Article
A Study on Ricci Solitons in Kenmotsu Manifolds

C. S. Bagewadi, Gurupadavva Ingalahalli, and S. R. Ashoka

Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta-577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Gurupadavva Ingalahalli; gurupadavva@gmail.com

We study and obtain results on Ricci solitons in Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot B = 0$, $B(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, $S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$, $R(\xi, X) \cdot \overline{P} = 0$, and $\overline{P}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, where B and $\overline{P}$ are C-Bochner and pseudo-projective curvature tensor.

1. Introduction

A Ricci soliton is a natural generalization of an Einstein metric and is defined on a Riemannian manifold (M, g). A Ricci soliton is a triple (g, V, λ) with g a Riemannian metric, V a vector field, and λ a real scalar such that

$$\mathscr{L}_V g + 2S + 2\lambda g = 0, \tag{1}$$

where S is a Ricci tensor of M and $\mathscr{L}_V$ denotes the Lie derivative operator along the vector field V. The Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady, and expanding accordingly as λ is negative, zero, and positive, respectively [1]. In this paper, we prove conditions for Ricci solitons in Kenmotsu manifolds to be shrinking, steady, and expanding.

In 1972, Kenmotsu [2] studied a class of contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying some special conditions and this manifold is known as Kenmotsu manifolds. Kenmotsu proved that a locally Kenmotsu manifold is a warped product $I \times_f N$ of an interval I and a Kaehler manifold N with warping function $f(t) = se^t$, where s is a nonzero constant. Kenmotsu proved that if in a Kenmotsu manifold the condition $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ holds, then the manifold is of negative curvature -1, where R is the curvature tensor of type $(1, 3)$ and $R(X, Y)$ denotes the derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the tangent space.

The authors in [3–7] have studied Ricci solitons in contact and Lorentzian manifolds. The authors in [8] have obtained some results on Ricci solitons satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot \widetilde{C} = 0$, $P(\xi, X) \cdot \widetilde{C} = 0$, $H(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ and $\widetilde{C}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ and now we extend the work to $R(\xi, X) \cdot B = 0$, $B(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$, $S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$, $R(\xi, X) \cdot \overline{P} = 0$ and $\overline{P}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$.

2. Preliminaries

An n-dimensional differential manifold M is said to be an almost contact metric manifold [9] if it admits an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) consisting of a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field ξ, a 1-form η, and a Riemannian metric g compatible with (ϕ, ξ, η, g) satisfying

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \qquad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$\eta \circ \phi = 0, \qquad \phi\xi = 0,$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \qquad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2}$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M.

An almost contact metric manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is said to be Kenmotsu manifold [2] if

$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X. \tag{3}$$

From (3), we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi, \tag{4}$$

where ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection of g.

In an n-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold, we have

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = g(X, Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y, Z)\eta(X), \tag{5}$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X, \tag{6}$$

$$R(\xi, X) Y = \eta(Y) X - g(X, Y) \xi, \qquad (7)$$

$$R(\xi, X) \xi = X - \eta(X) \xi, \qquad (8)$$

where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor.

Let (g, V, λ) be a Ricci soliton in an n-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold M. From (4) we have

$$\left(\mathscr{L}_\xi g\right)(X, Y) = 2 \left[g(X, Y) - \eta(X) \eta(Y)\right]. \qquad (9)$$

From (1) and (9) we get

$$S(X, Y) = -(\lambda + 1) g(X, Y) + \eta(X) \eta(Y). \qquad (10)$$

The above equation yields that

$$QX = -(\lambda + 1) X + \eta(X) \xi, \qquad (11)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = -\lambda \eta(X), \qquad (12)$$

$$r = -\lambda n - (n - 1), \qquad (13)$$

where S is the Ricci tensor, Q is the Ricci operator, and r is the scalar curvature on M.

2.1. Example for 3-Dimensional Kenmotsu Manifolds. We consider 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in R^3; z \neq 0\}$, where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates in R^3. Let $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ be linearly independent given by

$$E_1 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \qquad E_2 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad E_3 = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \qquad (14)$$

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by $g(E_1, E_2) = g(E_2, E_3) = g(E_1, E_3) = 0$, $g(E_1, E_1) = g(E_2, E_2) = g(E_3, E_3) = 1$, where g is given by

$$g = \frac{1}{z^2} \left(dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy + dz \otimes dz\right). \qquad (15)$$

The (ϕ, ξ, η) structure is given by

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{z} dz, \qquad \xi = E_3 = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$
$$\phi E_1 = -E_2, \qquad \phi E_2 = E_1, \qquad \phi E_3 = 0. \qquad (16)$$

The linearity property of ϕ and g yields that $\eta(E_3) = 1$, $\phi^2 U = -U + \eta(U) E_3$, $g(\phi U, \phi W) = g(U, W) - \eta(U) \eta(W)$, for any vector fields U, W on M. By definition of Lie bracket, we have

$$[E_1, E_2] = 0, \qquad [E_1, E_3] = E_1, \qquad [E_2, E_3] = E_2. \qquad (17)$$

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection; with respect to above metric g is given by Koszula formula

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = X(g(Y, Z)) + Y(g(Z, X))$$
$$- Z(g(X, Y)) - g(X, [Y, Z]) \qquad (18)$$
$$- g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]),$$

and by virtue of it we have

$$\nabla_{E_1} E_3 = E_1, \qquad \nabla_{E_2} E_3 = E_2, \qquad \nabla_{E_3} E_3 = 0,$$
$$\nabla_{E_1} E_2 = 0, \qquad \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = -E_3, \qquad \nabla_{E_3} E_2 = 0, \qquad (19)$$
$$\nabla_{E_1} E_1 = -E_3, \qquad \nabla_{E_2} E_1 = 0, \qquad \nabla_{E_3} E_1 = 0.$$

Clearly (19) shows that (ϕ, ξ, η, g) satisfies (2), (3), and (4). Thus M is a Kenmotsu manifold.

It is known that

$$R(X, Y) Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z. \qquad (20)$$

With the help of (19) and (20), it can be easily verified that

$$R(E_1, E_2) E_2 = -E_1, \qquad R(E_1, E_3) E_3 = -E_1,$$
$$R(E_1, E_1) E_1 = 0, \qquad R(E_2, E_1) E_1 = -E_2,$$
$$R(E_2, E_3) E_3 = -E_2, \qquad R(E_2, E_2) E_2 = 0, \qquad (21)$$
$$R(E_3, E_1) E_1 = -E_3, \qquad R(E_3, E_2) E_2 = -E_3,$$
$$R(E_3, E_3) E_3 = 0.$$

From the above expression of the curvature tensor we obtain

$$S(E_1, E_1) = g(R(E_1, E_2) E_2, E_1)$$
$$+ g(R(E_1, E_3) E_3, E_1) = -2. \qquad (22)$$

Similarly we have

$$S(E_2, E_2) = S(E_3, E_3) = -2,$$
$$\left(\mathscr{L}_\xi g\right)(E_i, E_i) = 2 \left[g(E_i, E_i) - \eta(E_i) \eta(E_i)\right]. \qquad (23)$$

Now by $X = Y = E_i$, in (1), where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and by virtue of above equations we get the value of λ which is strictly greater than 0. Thus this is an example of expanding Ricci solitons in Kenmotsu manifolds.

3. Ricci Soliton in a Kenmotsu Manifold Satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot B = 0$

Bochner introduced a Kähler analogue of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor by purely formal considerations, which is now well known as the Bochner curvature tensor [10]. A geometric meaning of the Bochner curvature tensor is given by Blair in [11] by using the Boothby-Wang's fibration. In 1969, Matsumoto and Chūman [12] constructed the notion of C-Bochner curvature tensor in a Sasakian manifold and studied its several properties.

The C-Bochner curvature tensor [13] B in M is defined by

$$B(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + \frac{1}{n+3}$$
$$\times [g(X,Z)QY - S(Y,Z)X$$
$$- g(Y,Z)QX + S(X,Z)Y$$
$$+ g(\phi X,Z)Q\phi Y - S(\phi Y,Z)\phi X$$
$$- g(\phi Y,Z)Q\phi X + S(\phi X,Z)\phi Y$$
$$+ 2S(\phi X,Y)\phi Z + 2g(\phi X,Y)Q\phi Z$$
$$+ \eta(Y)\eta(Z)QX - \eta(Y)S(X,Z)\xi$$
$$+ \eta(X)S(Y,Z)\xi - \eta(X)\eta(Z)QY]$$
$$- \frac{D+n-1}{n+3}[g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X$$
$$+ 2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z]$$
$$+ \frac{D}{n+3}[\eta(Y)g(X,Z)\xi - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X$$
$$+ \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(X)g(Y,Z)\xi]$$
$$- \frac{D-4}{n+3}[g(X,Z)Y - g(Y,Z)X],$$

(24)

where $D = (r+n-1)/(n+1)$.

Taking $Z = \xi$ in (24) and using (6), (10), (11), we get

$$B(X,Y)\xi = \left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{n+3} + \frac{4}{n+3}\right][\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X].$$

(25)

Similarly using (5), (10), (11), (12) in (24), we get

$$\eta(B(X,Y)Z) = \left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{n+3} + \frac{4}{n+3}\right]$$
$$\times [g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)].$$

(26)

We assume that the condition $R(\xi, X) \cdot B = 0$, then we have

$$R(\xi,X)B(Y,Z)W - B(R(\xi,X)Y,Z)W$$
$$- B(Y,R(\xi,X)Z)W - B(Y,Z)R(\xi,X)W = 0.$$

(27)

Using (7) in (27), we get

$$\eta(B(Y,Z)W)X - g(B(Y,Z)W,X)\xi + g(X,Y)B(\xi,Z)W$$
$$- \eta(Y)B(X,Z)W + g(X,Z)B(Y,\xi)W$$
$$- \eta(Z)B(Y,X)W + g(X,W)B(Y,Z)\xi$$
$$- \eta(W)B(Y,Z)X = 0.$$

(28)

By taking an inner product with ξ, we have

$$\eta(B(Y,Z)W)\eta(X) - g(B(Y,Z)W,X)$$
$$+ g(X,Y)\eta(B(\xi,Z)W) - \eta(Y)\eta(B(X,Z)W)$$
$$+ g(X,Z)\eta(B(Y,\xi)W) - \eta(Z)\eta(B(Y,X)W)$$
$$+ g(X,W)\eta(B(Y,Z)\xi) - \eta(W)\eta(B(Y,Z)X) = 0.$$

(29)

By using (25), (26) in (29), we have

$$\left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{n+3} + \frac{4}{n+3}\right][g(Y,W)g(Z,X) - g(Z,W)g(Y,X)]$$
$$- g(B(Y,Z)W,X) = 0.$$

(30)

In view of (24) in (30), then we have

$$\left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{n+3} + \frac{4}{n+3}\right][g(Y,W)g(Z,X) - g(Z,W)g(Y,X)]$$
$$- g(R(Y,Z)W,X)$$
$$- \frac{1}{n+3}[g(Y,W)S(Z,X) - S(Z,W)g(Y,X)$$
$$- g(Z,W)S(Y,X) + S(Y,W)g(Z,X)$$
$$+ g(\phi Y,W)S(\phi Z,X) - S(\phi Z,W)g(\phi Y,X)$$
$$- g(\phi Z,W)S(\phi Y,X) + S(\phi Y,W)g(\phi Z,X)$$
$$+ 2S(\phi Y,Z)g(X,\phi W) + 2g(\phi Y,Z)S(X,\phi W)$$
$$+ \eta(W)\eta(Z)S(Y,X) - \eta(X)\eta(Z)S(Y,W)$$
$$+ \eta(Y)\eta(X)S(Z,W) - \eta(W)\eta(Y)S(Z,X)]$$
$$- \frac{D}{n+3}[\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y,W) - \eta(W)\eta(Z)g(Y,X)$$
$$+ \eta(W)\eta(Y)g(Z,X) - \eta(Y)\eta(X)g(Z,W)]$$
$$+ \frac{D+n-1}{n+3}[g(\phi Y,W)g(\phi Z,X)$$
$$- g(\phi Z,W)g(\phi Y,X)$$
$$+ 2g(\phi Y,Z)g(X,\phi W)]$$
$$+ \frac{D-4}{n+3}[g(Y,W)g(Z,X) - g(Z,W)g(Y,X)] = 0.$$

(31)

Taking $X = Y = e_i$ in (31) and summing over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. By virtue of (10), (11), (12), and on simplification, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(Z,W) \\
= &\left[\frac{-(n+4)\lambda - 2n - 3}{n+3} + \frac{-n^2 - 6n + 8}{n+3} - \frac{r}{n+3} \right] g(W,Z) \\
&+ \left[\frac{(\lambda+1)(n+4) - 2 + n}{n+3} + \frac{r + 4(n-1)}{n+3} \right] \eta(W)\eta(Z).
\end{aligned}
\tag{32}
$$

Putting $Z = W = \xi$ in (32) and by virtue of (10) and (13), we have

$$
\lambda = (n-1).
\tag{33}
$$

Therefore, λ positive that is, the Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold is expanding.

Hence we state the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *A Ricci soliton in a Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot B = 0$ is expanding.*

4. Ricci Soliton in a Kenmotsu Manifolds Satisfying $B(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$

The condition $B(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ implies that
$$
S(B(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S(Y, B(\xi, X)Z) = 0.
\tag{34}
$$

By using (10) in (34), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta(Z)\eta(B(\xi, X)Y) - (\lambda+1)g(B(\xi, X)Y, Z) \\
- (\lambda+1)g(Y, B(\xi, X)Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(B(\xi, X)Z) = 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{35}
$$

the above equation implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[\eta(Z)\eta(B(\xi, X)Y) + \eta(Y)\eta(B(\xi, X)Z) \right] \\
= (\lambda+1)\left[g(B(\xi, X)Y, Z) + g(Y, B(\xi, X)Z) \right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{36}
$$

By using (24) and (26) in (36), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)&\left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{(n+3)} + \frac{4}{(n+3)} \right] \\
&- \left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{(n+3)} + \frac{4}{(n+3)} \right] \\
&\times \left[g(X,Z)\eta(Y) + g(X,Y)\eta(Z) \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{37}
$$

Put $X = Y = \xi$ in (37) then the equation is identically satisfied and we do not get the value for λ. So, we proceed as follows: Taking $X = Y = e_i$ in (37) and summing over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and by virtue of (13) and $\eta(Z) \neq 0$ conditions, we obtain

$$
\lambda = n + 7.
\tag{38}
$$

Therefore, λ is positive that is Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying $B(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ is expanding.

Hence we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *A Ricci soliton in a Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $B(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ is expanding.*

5. Ricci Soliton in a Kenmotsu Manifold Satisfying $S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$

Using the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S((X, \xi) \cdot R)(U,V)W \\
= ((X \wedge_S \xi) \cdot R)(U,V)W = (X \wedge_S \xi)R(U,V)W \\
+ R((X \wedge_S \xi)U, V)W + R(U, (X \wedge_S \xi)V)W \\
+ R(U,V)(X \wedge_S \xi)W,
\end{aligned}
\tag{39}
$$

where the endomorphism $X \wedge_S Y$ is defined by

$$
(X \wedge_S Y)Z = S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y,
\tag{40}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S((X, \xi) \cdot R)(U,V)W \\
= S(\xi, R(U,V)W)X - S(X, R(U,V)W)\xi \\
+ S(\xi, U)R(X,V)W - S(X,U)R(\xi,V)W \\
+ S(\xi, V)R(U,X)W - S(X,V)R(U,\xi)W \\
+ S(\xi, W)R(U,V)X - S(X,W)R(U,V)\xi.
\end{aligned}
\tag{41}
$$

By using the condition $S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$, and by virtue of (10), (12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\lambda\eta(R(U,V)W)X \\
- \left[-(\lambda+1)g(X, R(U,V)W) + \eta(X)\eta(R(U,V)W) \right]\xi \\
- \lambda\eta(U)R(X,V)W \\
- \left[-(\lambda+1)g(X,U) + \eta(X)\eta(U) \right]R(\xi,V)W \\
- \lambda\eta(V)R(U,X)W \\
- \left[-(\lambda+1)g(X,V) + \eta(X)\eta(V) \right]R(U,\xi)W \\
- \lambda\eta(W)R(U,V)X \\
- \left[-(\lambda+1)g(X,W) + \eta(X)\eta(W) \right]R(U,V)\xi = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{42}
$$

By taking an inner product with ξ and by virtue of (5), (6), (7), and (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(\lambda+1)\eta(X)&\left[g(U,W)\eta(V) - g(V,W)\eta(U) \right] \\
+ \lambda&\left[g(V,W)\eta(X)\eta(U) - g(U,W)\eta(X)\eta(V) \right. \\
&\left. - g(U,X)\eta(V)\eta(W) + g(V,X)\eta(U)\eta(W) \right] \\
+ (\lambda+1)&g(X, R(U,V)W) \\
+ (\lambda+1)&g(X,U)\left[\eta(W)\eta(V) - g(V,W) \right] \\
+ (\lambda+1)&g(X,V)\left[g(U,W) - \eta(W)\eta(U) \right] \\
+ g(V,W)&\eta(X)\eta(U) - g(U,W)\eta(X)\eta(V) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{43}
$$

Taking $X = U = e_i$ and summing over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we obtain

$$2 (\lambda + 1) [g (V, W) - \eta (W) \eta (V)]$$
$$+ (\lambda + 1) S (V, W) - (\lambda + 1) (n - 1) g (V, W) \quad (44)$$
$$+ (n - 1) \eta (V) \eta (W) = 0.$$

Taking $V = W = \xi$ in (44) and by virtue of (12), (13), we obtain

$$-\lambda (\lambda + n) = 0. \quad (45)$$

This implies either

$$\lambda = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = -n. \quad (46)$$

Therefore for any $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = -n$ the Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying $S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ is either steady or shrinking.

Hence we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *A Ricci soliton in a Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $S(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ is either steady or shrinking.*

6. Ricci Soliton in a Kenmotsu Manifolds Satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot \overline{P} = 0$

The Pseudo-projective curvature tensor $\overline{P}$ is defined by

$$\overline{P} (X, Y) Z = a R (X, Y) Z + b [S (Y, Z) X - S (X, Z) Y]$$
$$- \frac{r}{n} \left(\frac{a}{n - 1} + b \right) [g (Y, Z) X - g (X, Z) Y], \quad (47)$$

where $a, b \neq 0$ are constants. Taking $Z = \xi$ in (47) and using (6), (10), (11), we get

$$\overline{P} (X, Y) \xi = \left[a + b\lambda + \frac{r}{n} \left(\frac{a}{n - 1} + b \right) \right] [\eta (X) Y - \eta (Y) X]. \quad (48)$$

Similarly using (5), (10), (11), (12) in (47), we get

$$\eta \left(\overline{P} (X, Y) Z \right) = \left[a + b (\lambda + 1) + \frac{r}{n} \left(\frac{a}{n - 1} + b \right) \right]$$
$$\times [g (X, Z) \eta (Y) - g (Y, Z) \eta (X)]. \quad (49)$$

We assume that the condition $R(\xi, X) \cdot \overline{P} = 0$, then we have

$$R (\xi, X) \overline{P} (U, V) W - \overline{P} (R (\xi, X) U, V) W$$
$$- \overline{P} (U, R (\xi, X) V) W - \overline{P} (U, V) R (\xi, X) W = 0. \quad (50)$$

Using (7) in (50), we find

$$\eta \left(\overline{P} (U, V) W \right) X - g \left(X, \overline{P} (U, V) W \right) \xi$$
$$- \eta (U) \overline{P} (X, V) W + g (X, U) \overline{P} (\xi, V) W$$
$$- \eta (V) \overline{P} (U, X) W + g (X, V) \overline{P} (U, \xi) W \quad (51)$$
$$- \eta (W) \overline{P} (U, V) X + g (X, W) \overline{P} (U, V) \xi = 0.$$

By taking an inner product with ξ then we get

$$\eta \left(\overline{P} (U, V) W \right) \eta (X) - g \left(X, \overline{P} (U, V) W \right)$$
$$- \eta (U) \eta \left(\overline{P} (X, V) W \right) + g (X, U) \eta \left(\overline{P} (\xi, V) W \right)$$
$$- \eta (V) \eta \left(\overline{P} (U, X) W \right) + g (X, V) \eta \left(\overline{P} (U, \xi) W \right)$$
$$- \eta (W) \eta \left(\overline{P} (U, V) X \right) + g (X, W) \eta \left(\overline{P} (U, V) \xi \right) = 0. \quad (52)$$

By using (48), (49) in (52), we have

$$- g \left(X, \overline{P} (U, V) W \right) + \left[a + b (\lambda + 1) + \frac{r}{n} \left[\frac{a}{n + 1} + b \right] \right]$$
$$\times [g (X, V) g (U, W) - g (X, U) g (V, W)] = 0. \quad (53)$$

In view of (47) in (53), we have

$$- a g (X, R (U, V) W)$$
$$- b [(\lambda + 1) \{ g (V, X) g (U, W) - g (V, W) g (U, X) \}$$
$$+ \eta (V) \eta (W) g (U, X)$$
$$- g (V, X) \eta (U) \eta (W)]$$
$$+ [a + b (\lambda + 1)]$$
$$\times [g (X, V) g (U, W) - g (X, U) g (V, W)] = 0. \quad (54)$$

Taking $X = U = e_i$ in (54) and summing over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and on simplification, we get

$$a S (V, W) = -a (n - 1) g (V, W) - b (n - 1) \eta (V) \eta (W). \quad (55)$$

Putting $V = W = \xi$ in (55) and by virtue of (12), (13), we get the following equation:

$$\lambda = \frac{(n - 1) (a + b)}{a}. \quad (56)$$

Since $(a + b)/a \neq 0$ implies that $\lambda > 0$, that is, the Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot \overline{P} = 0$ is expanding, hence we state the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *A Ricci soliton in a Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $R(\xi, X) \cdot \overline{P} = 0$ is expanding.*

7. Ricci Soliton in a Kenmotsu Manifolds Satisfying $\overline{P}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$

The condition $\overline{P}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ implies that

$$S \left(\overline{P} (\xi, X) Y, Z \right) + S \left(Y, \overline{P} (\xi, X) Z \right) = 0. \quad (57)$$

By using (10) in (57), we have

$$\eta(Z)\eta\left(\overline{P}(\xi,X)Y\right) - (\lambda+1)g\left(\overline{P}(\xi,X)Y,Z\right)$$
$$- (\lambda+1)g\left(Y,\overline{P}(\xi,X)Z\right) + \eta(Y)\eta\left(\overline{P}(\xi,X)Z\right) = 0, \tag{58}$$

that is,

$$\left[\eta(Z)\eta\left(\overline{P}(\xi,X)Y\right) + \eta(Y)\eta\left(\overline{P}(\xi,X)Z\right)\right]$$
$$= (\lambda+1)\left[g\left(\overline{P}(\xi,X)Y,Z\right) + g\left(Y,\overline{P}(\xi,X)Z\right)\right]. \tag{59}$$

By using (47) and (48) in (59), we have

$$\left[a + \frac{r}{n}\left[\frac{a}{n-1} + b\right]\right]$$
$$\times \left[2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\right. \tag{60}$$
$$\left. - g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(X,Y)\eta(Z)\right] = 0.$$

Put $X = Y = \xi$ in (60); then the equation is identically satisfied and we do not get the value for λ. So, we proceed as follows: taking $X = Y = e_i$, summing over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and by virtue of (13) and $\eta(Z) \neq 0$ conditions we obtain

$$\lambda = \frac{(n-1)^2(a-b)}{n[a+b(n-1)]}. \tag{61}$$

Therefore, if $a = b$ in (61) then $\lambda = 0$; that is, Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying $\overline{P}(\xi,X) \cdot S = 0$ is steady. If $a \neq b$ then either $\lambda > 0$ for $a > b$ or $\lambda < 0$ for $a < b$, that is, the Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $\overline{P}(\xi,X) \cdot S = 0$ is expanding or shrinking.

Hence we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *A Ricci soliton in a Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying $\overline{P}(\xi,X) \cdot S = 0$ is steady for $a = b$, expanding for $a > b$ and shrinking for $a < b$.*

References

[1] B. Chow, P. Lu, and L. Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci Flow*, vol. 77 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2006.

[2] K. Kenmotsu, "A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds," *The Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, vol. 24, pp. 93–103, 1972.

[3] R. Sharma, "Certain results on K-contact and (k,μ)-contact manifolds," *Journal of Geometry*, vol. 89, no. 1-2, pp. 138–147, 2008.

[4] M. M. Tripathi, "Ricci solitons in contact metric manifolds," http://arxiv.org/abs/0801.4222.

[5] C. Călin and M. Crasmareanu, "From the Eisenhart problem to Ricci solitons in f-Kenmotsu manifolds," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 361–368, 2010.

[6] C. S. Bagewadi and G. Ingalahalli, "Ricci solitons in Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds," *Acta Mathematica. Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 59–68, 2012.

[7] G. Ingalahalli and C. S. Bagewadi, "Ricci solitons in α-Sasakain manifolds," *ISRN Geometry*, vol. 2012, Article ID 421384, 13 pages, 2012.

[8] H. G. Nagaraja and C. R. Premalatha, "Ricci solitons in Kenmotsu manifolds," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 18–24, 2012.

[9] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, vol. 509 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1976.

[10] S. Bochner, "Curvature and Betti numbers. II," *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 77–93, 1949.

[11] D. E. Blair, "On the geometric meaning of the Bochner tensor," *Geometriae Dedicata*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 33–38, 1975.

[12] M. Matsumoto and G. Chūman, "On the C-Bochner curvature tensor," *TRU Mathematics*, vol. 5, pp. 21–30, 1969.

[13] J. S. Kim, M. M. Tripathi, and J. Choi, "On C-Bochner curvature tensor of a contact metric manifold," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 713–724, 2005.