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SUMMARY

By using Galerkin's variational method we build up an approximate solution for a system of two differential equations with linear partial derivatives of the second order. This system of differential equations corresponds to the physical model, known in the literature as the Timoshenko Beam. The results obtained can be finally applied to two particular cases representing respectively: the case of a beam with a rectangular section, with a constant height and a basis with a linear variation:
the case of a beam with a constant basis and a height with cubic variation.

## INTRODUCTION

We are taking into consideration a heterogenous elastic straight beam possessing variable geometrical and mechanical characteristics all along the beam.

We are considering the small, cross-cut non-damping forced oscillations. The mathematical model chosen to be subjected to analysis consists in a system of two linear equations with partial derivatives of second order, corresponding to the physical model known in the literature under the name of Timoshenko Beam. This model is more exact than the classical one usually employed in the engineering calculations, that is the Euler-Bernoulli mode1. The difference between them consists in the fact that while for the Euler-Bernoulli model only the deformations given by the bending moment or by the translation inertia are taken into
account, in the Timoshenko model the transverse shear and the rotational inertia are also taken into consideration. As a result the Timoshenko model reflects more exactly the physical reality. It is well-known that (ref. 1) the differences between the two theories become significant in the case of (relatively) short beams and this cannot be neglected any longer.

Although the literature referring to the dynamics of the Timoshenko Beam is abundant enough, the matter of the non-damping beam has been insufficiently treated.

In the present paper we try to determine the approximate solutions of the phenomenon by means of the Galerkin variational method. We are of the opinion that the above mentioned method is most suitable in solving the subject considered. The choosing of the system of coordinates required by the Galerkin method assures the convergence of the obtained solutions.

SYMBOLS
$\delta(x-\zeta) \quad$ Dirac function
$\beta(p, g)$
Euler's Beta function: $\quad \beta(p, g)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1}(1-x)^{g-1} d x$

K
coefficient of the form of the section

G cross-cut modulus of elasticity
$\rho \quad$ density of material

E longitudinal modulus of elasticity (Young)
$A(x) \quad$ area of cross-cut section

If) moment of inertia of cross-cut section
$\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}) \quad$ cross-cut displacement
$\psi(x, t) \quad$ rotation angle
$(f(x), g(x)) \quad$ scalar product: $(f, g)=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) g(x) d x$
$l$ length of beam
$V(x) \quad$ time-independent cross-cut displacement
$U(x) \quad$ time-independent rotation angle
$\alpha, \lambda \quad$ cross-sectional area parameters
$\beta$
moment of inertia parameter
$C_{0}^{\infty}[0,1] \quad$ class of functions defined on 0 to 1

THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF THE PHENOMENON
The differential equations for the phenomenon are as follows: (ref. 2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& K G A(x) \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}-K G A(x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=\rho A(x) \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial t^{2}}-f(x, t)  \tag{1}\\
& E L(x) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}+K G A(x)\left[\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}-\psi\right]=\rho I(x) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Solutions for the differential equations are determined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W /(x, t)=V(x) e^{i \omega t} ; \quad J(x, t)=J(x) e^{i \omega t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(0, t)=W(b, t)=0 ; \psi(0, t)=\psi(b, t)=\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f(x, t)$ is a perturbance force, a mobile, but concentrated force for a unit magnitude:

$$
f(x, t)=\delta(x-\tau) e^{i \omega t}
$$

By considering equation (2) the system of equation (1) becomes two differential equations of the fourth order for $V(x)$ and $U(x)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}(x) U^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) U^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{2}(x) U^{\prime \prime}+a_{5}(x) U^{\prime}+a_{4}(x) \cup=a_{5(\prime)}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{4}\\
& \left.b_{0}(x) V^{\prime \prime}+b_{1}(x) V^{\prime \prime}+b_{2}(x) V^{\prime \prime}+b_{3}(x) V^{\prime}+b_{4}(x)\right\rangle=b_{5}(x) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The differential equations (4) and (5) for the following two cases are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Case 1: } \quad A(x)=\alpha(1+\lambda x) ; I(x)=p(1+\lambda x) \\
& \left(a_{30} x^{3}+a_{31} x^{2}+a_{32} x+a_{333}\right) U^{\prime \prime}+\left(a_{10} x+a_{11}\right) U^{\prime \prime \prime}+ \\
& \left(b_{30} x^{3}+b_{31} x^{2}+b_{32} x+b_{33}\right) U^{\prime \prime}+\left(b_{10} x+b_{11}\right) U^{\prime}+ \\
& \left(c_{30} x^{3}+c_{31} x^{2}+c_{32} x+c_{33}\right) U^{2}=  \tag{6}\\
& \quad=\left(a_{20} x^{2}+a_{21} x+a_{22}\right) \delta^{\prime}(x-\zeta)-\left(c_{10} x+c_{11}\right) \delta(x-\zeta)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a_{50} x^{6}+a_{51} x^{4}+a_{52} x^{3}+a_{53} x^{2}+a_{54} x+a_{55}\right) V^{14}+ \\
& +\left(a_{30} x^{3}+a_{31} x^{2}+a_{32} x+a_{33}\right) V^{\prime 11}+ \\
& +\left(b_{50} x^{5}+b_{51} x^{4}+b_{52} x^{3}+b_{53} x^{2}+b_{54} x+b_{55}\right) V^{11}+ \\
& +\left(b_{3 x^{3}} x^{3}+b_{31} x^{2}+b_{32} x+b_{33}\right) V^{1}+  \tag{7}\\
& +\left(C_{50} x^{5}+c_{51} x^{4}+C_{52} x^{3}+c_{53} x^{2}+c_{54} x+c_{55}\right) V= \\
& =\left(a_{40} x^{4}+a_{41} x^{3}+a_{42} x^{2}+a_{43} x+a_{44}\right) \delta(x-\zeta)+ \\
& +\left(c_{30} x^{3}+c_{31} x^{2}+c_{32} x+c_{33}\right) \delta^{\prime}(x-\zeta)-\left(b_{40} x^{4}+b_{41} x^{3}+b_{42} x^{2}+b_{4 x} x+b_{44}\right) \delta^{\prime \prime}(x-\zeta) \\
& A(x)=\alpha(1+\lambda x) ; I(x)=\beta(1+\lambda x)^{3} \\
& \left(a_{50} x^{5}+a_{51} x^{4}+a_{52} x^{3}+a_{53} x^{2}+a_{54} x+a_{51}\right) U^{14}+\left(a_{46} x^{4}+a_{41} x^{3}+a_{42} x^{2}+a_{43} x+a_{44}\right) U^{11 \prime}+ \\
& \left(b_{50} x^{5}+b_{51} x^{4}+b_{52} x^{3}+b_{53} x^{2}+b_{54} x+b_{55}\right) U^{\prime \prime}+\left(b_{40} x^{4}+b_{41} x^{3}+b_{42} x^{2}+b_{43} x+b_{44}\right) U^{\prime}+ \\
& \left(C_{50} x^{5}+c_{51} x^{4}+c_{52} 1^{3}+c_{53} x^{2}+c_{54} x+c_{55}\right) U=  \tag{8}\\
& =\left(a_{20} x^{2}+a_{21} x+a_{22}\right) \delta^{\prime}(x-\tau)-\left(a_{10} x+a_{11}\right) \delta(x-\zeta) \\
& \left(a_{96} x^{9}+a_{91} x^{8}+a_{92} x^{7}+a_{993} x^{6}+a_{94} x^{5}+a_{95} x^{4}+a_{96} x^{3}+a_{97} x^{2}+a_{98} x^{2}+a_{99}\right) V^{18}+ \\
& +\left(a_{60} x^{6}+a_{61} x^{5}+a_{62} x^{4}+a_{63} x^{3}+a_{64 x^{2}}+a_{65} x+a_{66}\right) V^{\prime \prime \prime}+ \\
& +\left(b_{90} x^{9}+b_{91} x^{8}+b_{92} 7^{7}+b_{93} x^{c}+b_{94} x^{5}+b_{95} x^{4}+b_{96} x^{3}+b_{97} x^{2}+b_{98} x+b_{99}\right) V^{11}+ \\
& +\left(b_{60} x^{6}+b_{61} x^{5}+b_{62} x^{4}+b_{63} x^{3}+b_{64} x^{2}+b_{65} x+b_{66}\right) V^{\prime}+
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(C_{90} x^{9}+C_{91} x^{8}+c_{92} x^{7}+C_{93} x^{6}+c_{94} x^{5}+C_{95} x^{4}+c_{96} x^{3}+C_{97} x^{2}+C_{98} x+C_{99}\right) \\
& =\left(a_{89} x^{8}+a_{81} 7^{7}+a_{82} x^{6}+a_{83} 5^{5}+a_{85} x^{4}+a_{85} x^{3}+a_{86} x^{2}+a_{875} x+a_{88}\right) \delta(x-\zeta)^{(9)}+ \\
& +\left(a_{70} 7^{7}+a_{71} x^{6}+a_{72} x^{5}+a_{7 x} x^{4}+a_{74} x^{3}+a_{55} x^{2}+a_{76} x+a_{77}\right) \delta^{\prime}(x-5)- \\
& -\left(b_{80} x^{8}+b_{83} x^{7}+b_{82} x^{6}+b_{88} x^{5}+b_{34} 4^{4}+b_{37} x^{3}+b_{86} x^{2}+b_{87} x+b_{88}\right) \delta^{\prime \prime}(x-3)
\end{aligned}
$$

THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTION

We shall integrate the differential equations (6), (7), (8), and (9) by means of the Galerkin method.

In the case of boundary conditions of equation (3) we shall consider $l=u n i t$ which is always possible by

$$
\frac{x}{l}=X: 0 \leqslant x \leqslant l \Rightarrow 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1
$$

Using the Galerkin method, we shall determine an approximate solution for equation (6) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k}(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\phi_{k}(x)$ of the form (ref. 3)

$$
f_{k}(x)=x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k} ; m=n+1
$$

The system of coordinate functions $\phi_{k}(x)$ has to satisfy the boundary conditions of equation (3) which become equivalent with the following conditions:

$$
U(0)=U(1)=0
$$

The approximate solution (10) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{r 2} \alpha_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\alpha_{k}$ constants are determined out of the following algebraic system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\left(1 \varphi_{k}, \varphi_{j}\right)=\left(g_{1} \varphi_{j}\right) j j=1,2, \ldots, v \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the left part of equation (6), and $g$ is the right part of the same equation, that is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =\left(a_{30} x^{3}+a_{31} x^{2}+a_{32} x+a_{33}\right) \frac{d^{4}}{d x^{4}}+\left(a_{10} x+a_{11}\right) \frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}}+ \\
& +\left(b_{30} x^{3}+b_{31} x^{2}+b_{32} x+b_{33}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\left(b_{10} x+b_{11}\right) \frac{d}{d x}+ \\
& +\left(c_{30} x^{3}+c_{31} x^{2}+c_{32} x+c_{33}\right) ; \\
L \varphi_{k} & =\left(a_{36} x^{3}+a_{31} x^{2}+a_{32} x+a_{33}\right) \frac{d^{4} \varphi_{k}}{d x^{4}}+\left(a_{10} x+a_{11}\right) \frac{d^{3} \varphi_{k}}{d x^{3}}+ \\
& +\left(b_{3 x} x^{3}+b_{33} x^{2}+b_{32} x+b_{33}\right) \frac{d^{2} \varphi_{k}}{d x^{2}}+\left(b_{16} x+b_{11}\right) \frac{d \varphi_{k}}{d x}+ \\
+ & \left(c_{39} x^{3}+c_{31} x^{2}+c_{32} x+c_{33}\right) \varphi_{k} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g=\left(a_{20} x^{2}+a_{21} x+a_{22}\right) \delta^{\prime}(x-\zeta)-\left(c_{10} x+c_{11}\right) \delta(x-\tau) \\
& \left(L \varphi_{k}, \varphi_{j}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{j} L \varphi_{k} d x ;\left(g, \varphi_{j}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} g \varphi_{j} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The system of equation (11) is a non-damped algebraic system of $n$ aquations with $n$ indeterminate. This system is compatible because the determinat formed with the coefficients of the undeterminants is a Gam determinant of a linear independent system of functions. For the calculation of the scalar product $\left(L \phi_{k}, \phi_{j}\right)$ and $\left(g, \phi_{j}\right)$, we have kept in view the following points:

We have used the Euler's Beta function

$$
B(p, q)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1}(1-x)^{q-1} d x
$$

We have used the following formula (ref. 4) in calculating the scalar product:
if $p \in C_{0}^{\infty}[0,1] \quad$ then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \varphi(x) \delta^{(n)}(x-z) d x=\left(\delta^{(n)}(x-\tau), p(x)\right)=(-1)^{n} \rho^{(n)}(\square)
$$

For equation (7) with the boundary conditions of equation (3) which mean. $V(0)=V(1)=0$ we are going to give an approximate solution of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{r} p_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k} ; m=n+1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $\beta_{k}$ is drawn from the following algebraic system:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{r} \beta_{k}\left(L \varphi_{k}, \varphi_{j}\right)=\left(g, \varphi_{j}\right) ; j=1,2,,, v 2
$$

where $L$ is the left side of equation (7) and $g$ is the right side of the same equation.

Analogous to equation (8) we build up an approximate solution of the following form:

$$
J_{r}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \gamma_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k} ; w_{2}=R+1
$$

where the $\gamma_{k}$ constants are determined from the following algebraic system:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{r e} \gamma_{k}\left(L_{k}, \varphi_{j}\right)=\left(g, \varphi_{j}\right) ; j=1,2, \ldots, r e
$$

where $L$ is the left side of equation (8) and $g$ the right side of the same equation.

Finally, for equation (9) we build up a solution of the following form:

$$
V_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k} ; m=\sqrt{2}+1
$$

where the $\delta_{k}$ constants are determined from the following algebraic system:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta_{k}\left(L \varphi_{k}, \varphi_{j}\right)=\left(g_{i} \varphi_{j}\right) ; j=1,2, \cdots, r
$$

where $L$ and $g$ are the left side and right side of equation (9).

As a conclusion to case 1 the approximate solutions built up by the Galerkin method are the following:

$$
W_{n}(x, t)=e^{i \omega t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k} ; W_{n}(x, t)=e^{i \omega t n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k}
$$

and, for case 2 the approximate solutions are the following

$$
\psi_{n}(x, t)=e^{i \cot } \sum_{k=1}^{R} \gamma_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k} ; W_{n}(x, t)=e^{i \operatorname{sit} x} \sum_{k=1} \delta_{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{m-k}
$$

PECULIAR CASES

In the following lines we shall use the obtained solution for two particular cases, which will be also an indirect checking of the accuracy of the obtained results.

We build up the first two approximations $\psi_{1} ; \quad \psi_{2}$ and respectively $W_{1}$; $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ for the following situations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(x)=A_{0}(1+\lambda x), \quad I(x)=\int_{0}(1+\lambda x)  \tag{14}\\
& A(x)=A_{0}(1+\lambda x) ; \quad A_{0}(x)=\int_{0}(1+\lambda x)^{3} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

They represent respectively the case of a beam with a rectangular section, haveing a constant height and a base with a linear variation, and the case of a beam with a constant base and a height with a cubic variation and this because, from an applicative point of view the beam sections are in many cases considered
rectangular. Case (a) The equation (6), if we consider (14) is reduced to the following equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\lambda x)^{2}\left[E I_{p} U^{\prime 2}+\rho \omega^{2}\left(1+\frac{E}{k G}\right) I_{0} U^{\prime \prime}+\rho \omega^{2}\left(\frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{k G} I_{0}-A_{0}\right) U\right]= \\
=(1+\lambda x) \delta^{\prime}(x-\zeta)-\lambda \delta(x-\zeta)
\end{gathered}
$$

The first and second approximations are respectively:

$$
\psi_{1}=x_{x}(1-x) e^{i \omega t} ; \psi_{2}=\left[\alpha_{1} x(1-x)^{2}+\alpha_{2} x^{2}(1-x)\right] e^{i \omega t}
$$

If we compare $\psi_{1}$ with $\psi_{2}$ for a rectangular beam made of steel we come to the conclusion that the two approximations are comparable: $\psi_{1}=\psi_{2}$ for certain $\lambda$ values and for certain $x$ values

| $\lambda$ | 0,1 | 0,2 | 0,3 | 0,4 | 0,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | 0,252 | 0,541 | 0,528 | 0,573 | 0,525 |

This conclusion results from the following calculation:

$$
\alpha=\frac{(g, \varphi)}{(L \varphi, \varphi)}=\frac{4 x \zeta^{2}-3 \lambda J+2 \zeta-1}{\left.\rho \omega^{2}\left(\frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{K G} T_{\theta}-A_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{105} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{30} \lambda+\frac{1}{30}\right)-\rho \omega^{2}\left(1+\frac{E}{k G}\right)\right]_{0}\left(\frac{1}{10} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \lambda+\frac{1}{3}\right)}
$$

The $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ constants are determined from the following algebraic system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}\left(L \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(L \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}\right)=\left(g, \varphi_{1}\right) \\
& \alpha_{1}\left(L \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(L \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)=\left(g, \varphi_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left.\left(g, \varphi_{1}\right)=-5 \lambda\right\}^{3}+(8 \lambda-3) \zeta^{2}+(4-3 \lambda) \zeta^{-1} ; \quad\left(g, \varphi_{2}\right)=5 \lambda\right\}^{3}+(3-4 \lambda) \zeta^{2}-2\right\} \\
& \left(L \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)=\rho \omega^{2}\left(\frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{k G} I_{0}-A_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{630} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{14_{0}} \lambda+\frac{1}{10 S}\right)-\rho \omega^{2}\left(1+\frac{E}{k G}\right) I_{0}\left(\frac{1}{105} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{15} \lambda+\frac{2}{15}\right) \\
& \left(L_{\varphi \varphi_{1}, i_{2}}\right)=\rho \omega^{2}\left(\frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{K G} I-A_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{S_{0} 4^{2}} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{4_{0}} \lambda+\frac{1}{14_{0}}\right)+\rho \omega^{2}\left(1+\frac{E}{K G}\right) I_{0}\left(\frac{1}{10 s^{2}}-\frac{1}{30}\right) \text {. } \\
& \left(L \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}\right)=\rho \omega^{2}\left(\frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{K G} I_{0}-A_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{s_{0} 4^{2}} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{K_{0}} \lambda+\frac{1}{K_{0}}\right)-\rho c_{0}^{2}\left(1+\frac{E}{K G}\right) I_{0}\left(\frac{1}{42} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{15} \lambda+\frac{1}{3_{0}}\right) \\
& \left.\left(L \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)=\rho \omega^{2}\left(\frac{\rho \omega^{2}}{K G} J_{0}-A_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{252} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{84} \lambda+\frac{1}{105}\right)-g \omega^{2}\left(1+\frac{E}{K G}\right)\right]_{0}\left(\frac{8}{105^{2}} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{5} \lambda+\frac{2}{15}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the steel in S.I. units

$$
\begin{gathered}
k=\frac{5}{6} ; G=8 \times 9,8 \times 10^{9} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} ; E=2,1 \times 9 ; 8 \times 10^{10} \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} ; l=1 \mathrm{~m} . \\
h=10^{-1} \mathrm{~m} . \quad ; \rho=8 \times 10^{3} \frac{\mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{3}} ; A_{0}=a_{0} h ; I_{0}=a_{0} h^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Equation (7) then becomes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1+\lambda x)^{4}\left(a V^{\prime V}+b V^{\prime \prime}+c V\right)=\left[d_{1}(1+\lambda x)^{3}-2 \lambda^{2} d(1+\lambda x)\right] \delta(x-\zeta)+ \\
+2 \lambda d(1+\lambda x) \delta^{\prime}(x-\zeta)-d(1+\lambda x)^{3} \delta^{\prime \prime}(x-\zeta)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\quad a=E G K A_{0}^{4} ; b=\rho \omega^{2}(E+K G) 1_{0} A_{0}^{3} ; \quad d=E I_{0} A_{0}^{2}$

$$
c=\rho \omega^{2}\left(\rho \omega^{2} I_{0}-k G A_{0}\right) A_{0}^{3} ; d_{1}=\left(k G A_{0}-j \omega^{2} I_{0}\right) A_{0}^{2}
$$

The first and second approximations are

$$
W_{1}=\beta_{x}(1-x) e^{i \omega t} ; \text { and } \quad W_{2}=\left[\beta_{i} x(1-x)^{2}+\beta_{2} x^{2}(1-x)\right] e^{i \omega t}
$$

here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\frac{A_{1} d_{1}+B_{1} d}{A c+B b} \\
& A=\frac{1}{252} \lambda^{4}+\frac{1}{42} \lambda^{3}+\frac{2}{35} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{15} \lambda+\frac{1}{30} \\
& B=-\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{4}+\frac{4}{15} \lambda^{3}+\frac{3}{5} \lambda^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \lambda+\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& A_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{3} \\
& B_{1}=-\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+2 \\
& \beta_{1}=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left[\left(A_{2} d_{1}+B_{2} d\right)\left(P_{6} c+P_{7} b\right)-\left(A_{3} d_{1}+B_{3} d\right)\left(P_{3} c+P_{5} b\right)\right] \\
& P_{2}=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left[\left(A_{3} d_{1}+B_{3} d\right)\left(P_{1} c+P_{2} b\right)-\left(A_{2} d_{1}+B_{2} d\right)\left(P_{3} c+P_{4} b\right)\right] \\
& \Delta=\left(P_{1} C+P_{2} b\right)\left(P_{6} c+P_{7} b\right)-\left(P_{3} c+P_{4} b\right)\left(P_{3} c+P_{5} b\right) \\
& A_{2}=\frac{1}{8}\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{3} \\
& B_{2}=1+\frac{7}{2} \lambda+\frac{5}{4} \lambda^{2} \\
& A_{3}=\frac{1}{8}\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{3} \\
& B_{3}=1-\frac{1}{2} \lambda-\frac{9}{4} \lambda^{2}-\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\frac{1}{2310} \lambda^{4}+\frac{1}{315} \lambda^{3}+\frac{1}{105} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{70} \lambda+\frac{1}{105} \\
& P_{2}=-\left(\frac{1}{105} \lambda^{3}+\frac{2}{35} \lambda^{2}+\frac{2}{15} \lambda+\frac{2}{15}\right) \\
& P_{3}=\frac{1}{1320} \lambda^{4}+\frac{1}{210} \lambda^{3}+\frac{1}{84} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{70} \lambda+\frac{1}{140} \\
& P_{4}=\frac{1}{84} \lambda^{4}+\frac{1}{24} \lambda^{3}+\frac{2}{35} \lambda^{2}-\frac{1}{30} \\
& P_{5}=-\left(\frac{1}{84} \lambda^{4}+\frac{1}{15} \lambda^{3}+\frac{1}{7} \lambda^{2}+\frac{2}{15} \lambda+\frac{1}{30}\right) \\
& P_{6}=\frac{1}{495} \lambda^{4}+\frac{1}{90} \lambda^{3}+\frac{1}{42} \lambda^{2}+\frac{1}{42} \lambda+\frac{1}{105} \\
& P_{7}=-\left(\frac{1}{41} \lambda^{4}+\frac{5}{24} \lambda^{3}+\frac{16}{35} \lambda^{2}+\frac{2}{5} \lambda+\frac{2}{15}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because

$$
W_{2}=W_{1}\left[1+\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)+\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}\right) x}{\beta}\right]
$$

the conclusion is made that the two approximations are comparable for certain $\lambda$ values and for certain $x$ values such as

| $\lambda$ | 0,1 | 0,2 | 0,3 | 0,4 | 0,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | 0,675 | 0,515 | 0,585 | 0,525 | 0,567 |

## CONCLUSIONS TO THESE PECULIAR CASES

For equations (8) and (9) we come to the same result, that is: the first two approximate solutions are equal for the given values of $\lambda$ for the same value of $x: 0,5: 0 \leq x \leq 1$ that is, the approximate solutions are comparable among themselves in the vicinity of where the concentrated perturbance force is applied: when $x=\zeta=\frac{1}{2}$.
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