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A SUBFIELD OF A COMPLEX BANACH ALGEBRA<br>is not necessarily topologically isomorphic TO A SUBFIELD OF $\mathbb{C}$<br>BY<br>W. ŻELAZKO (WARSZAWA)

The classical Mazur-Gelfand theorem ([1]-[5]) implies that any subfield of a complex Banach algebra $A$ is topologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, provided it is a linear subspace of $A$. Here we present a somewhat surprising observation that if $F$ is a subfield of $A$ which is just a subring, and not a subalgebra, it need not be topologically isomorphic to a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $A$ be a complex Banach algebra and let $F$ be a subfield of $A$. Denote by $A_{0}$ the smallest closed subalgebra of $A$ containing $F$. This is a commutative algebra with unit element equal to the unity of $F$. Thus $A_{0}$ has a non-zero multiplicative-linear functional mapping isomorphically $F$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore any subfield of $A$ is isomorphic to a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ under a continuous isomorphism. We shall show that in certain cases such an isomorphism cannot be a homeomorphic map.

Denote by $Q$ the set of all rational complex numbers, i.e. numbers of the form $\varrho=r_{1}+i r_{2}$ with rational $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$. Denote by $W$ the field of all rational functions in a variable $t$, with coefficients in $Q$; it contains the subfield of all constant functions, i.e. quotients of elements in $Q$. This subfield is clearly a dense subset of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Fixing a transcendental number $c$ we obtain an isomorphic imbedding of $W$ into $\mathbb{C}$ given by $w \rightarrow w(c), w \in W$ (a function $w$ is uniquely determined by its value $w(c)$ and this value is a well defined complex number, since $c$ is transcendental). One can easily see that each isomorphism $h$ of $W$ into $\mathbb{C}$ is of the form $w \rightarrow \widetilde{w}(d)$, where $d$ is a transcendental number given by $d=h(t)$, and $\widetilde{w}$ is either $w$ or $\bar{w}$, depending on whether $h(i)=i$ or $h(i)=-i$. Here $\bar{w}$ is an element of $W$ obtained by replacing in $w$ all coefficients by their complex conjugates.

Take a complex Banach space $X, \operatorname{dim} X>1$, and take as $A$ the algebra $L(X)$ of all continuous endomorphisms of $X$. One can easily see that $A$ contains a non-zero operator $T$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{2}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define now a subfield of $A$ setting

$$
F_{0}=\left\{w(c) I+w^{\prime}(c) T \in A: w \in W\right\}
$$

where $c$ is a fixed transcendental number and $I$ is the unity of $A$ (the identity operator on $X$ ). By (1) we have

$$
\left(w_{1}(c) I+w_{1}^{\prime}(c) T\right)\left(w_{2}(c) I+w_{2}^{\prime}(c) T\right)=w_{1}(c) w_{2}(c) I+\left[w_{1}(c) w_{2}(c)\right]^{\prime} T
$$

thus $F_{0}$ is a subring of $A$; moreover,

$$
\left(w(c) I+w^{\prime}(c) T\right)^{-1}=w(c)^{-1} I-\frac{w^{\prime}(c)}{w(c)^{2}} T
$$

which we check easily using (1). Thus $F_{0}$ is a subfield of $A$. Since the value $w(c)$ uniquely determines $w$, and hence also $w^{\prime}(c)$, the map $w(c) I+w^{\prime}(c) T$ $\rightarrow w$ is an isomorphism of $F_{0}$ onto $W$, and so $F_{0}$ is isomorphic to a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, the map $w(c) I+w^{\prime}(c) T \rightarrow\left(w(c), w^{\prime}(c)\right)$ is a homeomorphism of $F_{0}$ onto a dense subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2}\left(F_{0}\right.$ is a dense subset of a two-dimensional subspace of $A$ ). As observed above, any isomorphism of $F_{0}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$
h_{0}: w(c) I+w^{\prime}(c) T \rightarrow \widetilde{w}(d)
$$

where $d$ is some transcendental number. Such a map is never a homeomorphism. The discontinuity of $h_{0}^{-1}$ follows from the discontinuity of the map $w(c) \rightarrow w^{\prime}(c)$, and the latter can be seen by observing that $w^{\prime}(c)=0$ on a dense subset of $\mathbb{C}$ consisting of numbers $w(c)$ for constant functions $w$, while $w^{\prime}(c)$ is not identically zero. An alternative proof can be obtained by observing that a dense subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ cannot be homeomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Thus we have

Proposition. There exists a complex Banach algebra $A$ and a subfield $F$ of $A$ which is not topologically isomorphic with a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$.

Remarks. The above construction can be performed in any complex Banach algebra $A$ possessing a nilpotent element $T, T^{n-1} \neq 0, T^{n}=0$ for some $n>1$. In this case as the subfield $F$ we take

$$
F=\left\{w(c) I+w^{\prime}(c) T+\ldots+\frac{w^{(n-1)}(c)}{(n-1)!} T^{n-1} \in A: w \in W\right\}
$$

This is a subfield of $A$ homeomorphic to a dense subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
A modified argument gives a similar construction in a real Banach algebra.
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