Algorithms for Contiguity-constrained Clustering **Problems** A Survey of and Related

F. MURTAGH

Department of Computer Science, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland*

sciences, pattern recognition, and image processing, are critically appraised. These algorithms all have the common constraint is necessary in many applications for the visualisation of clustering results. The primary objective of this survey is to sketch out the major algorithmic paradigms in current use, with a view towards facilitating the task of property of seeking to use a relational – usually contiguity – constraint, in addition to proximity information. The A large number of non-parametric clustering algorithms from a wide range of applications in the algorithm design in this area.

1. INTRODUCTION

One major theme in clustering research over the past two as to which pairs of such objects might ultimately find themselves in the same class. A second major trend in clustering work has been where there is such an inherent or an imposed representational constraint. This second patterns, and lately it has become of increasing interest in the analysis of data in the geo-sciences. Even though the objectives of contiguity-constrained clustering algorlessons may be learnt from other disciplines for the design of new algorithms. In this article we review general-purpose algorithms from these different areas. All of the ising or zoning) a set of objects, each described by a descriptor vector; or alternatively the algorithms use quantitatively described objects, without any constraint area of clustering arises naturally in the analysis of point ithms may differ in pattern recognition, image processing, urban and regional studies, psychometrics, and so on, principles are often shared, and valuable algorithms have the function of segmenting (or regionalcontiguity information, and so can be easily adapted for the foregoing problem. The algorithms are discussed under three major headings but it is not to be thought that they are only applicable in the application-areas chiefly again, social sciences in Section 4). On the contrary, the and contrast algorithms, any number of which might be suitable for described (social sciences and earth sciences in Section 2 pattern recognition and image processing in Section classification aim of this paper is to collect together the automatic peen a given application. has underlying

proximities between objects, defined in descriptor space, and also takes into account contiguous neighbourhoods. Depending on the application, the contiguous neighbourhood is defined in different ways (references to the various grey-level intensity values, the eight neighbouring pixels (east, north-east, north, etc.) are suitable candidates. definitions to be described can be found in the context of the algorithms discussed below). In image processing, where the image consists of pixels characterised by the terrain which is characterised by crop yields or chemical constituents may nses Contiguity-constrained clustering Similarly with agricultural data,

European Coordinating Facility, ESO, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, 8046 Garching, W. Germany.

With point patterns, a radius may be used to define the be subdivided into square parcels and the neighbourhood neighbourhood of point $i:N(i) = \{j | d_{ij} \le r\}$, and j is said to be contiguous to i. In order to remove the influence of scale, a neighbourhood may alternatively be defined as the k nearest neighbours of an object. In general, when the objects do not comprise the squares of a regular grid, it is convenient to express the contiguity relationship as a binary matrix, with a contiguity value $c_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ defined on all pairs of objects. Such a matrix can be externally defined by the user, for example, in the case of a parcel may be defined as its eight adjacent parcels. or other basic contiguities between bordering countries (characterized, perhaps, by socio-economic attributes) spatial units.

One final approach to defining contiguity is described continuous contiguity measure is used, rather than the discrete 0-1 alternative. In this case, the contiguity matrix may be defined as the representational dissimilarites between objects in the space (usually the Euclidean plane). where a ; this is Section 2:

In Section 2 general contiguity-constrained algorithms relation is given here by a binary matrix, unless otherwise mentioned. The principal algorithms discussed in this will be described. It will be assumed that the contiguity cal clustering methods and Ward's minimum variance section include the single and complete linkage hierarchi-

related to the single-linkage hierarchical clustering method. More precisely, they construct subsets of a minimal spanning tree, the definition of which is first In Section 3, even though analysis of point patterns of contiguity information (especially in its use of neighbourhoods) will be seen, many of the algorithms in this area are raises very different problems from the multidimensional generalized for asymmetric dissimilarities. The possibility of using algorithms motivated by those used for point pattern analysis in other areas is further discussed in the makes it valuable for suggesting possible algorithms. nse its implicit problem, Conclusion. clustering

Although the algorithms discussed for the analysis of 5 require an explicit constraint, the problems for which they contiguity problem. In particular they require geographic representation. One feature of this area is that centroid-based interaction data in Section 4 appear in practice not are designed share many aspects of the

have been widely used, and this section therefore provides an interesting case-study where the hierarchic centroid-based algorithms of Section 2, and the single linkage-based procedures of Section 3, have both been profitably applied to the same problem.

2. CLUSTERING SUBJECT TO CARTOGRAPHIC REPRESENTABILITY

One approach to the problem of contiguity-constrained clustering is to take traditional algorithms which have to enforce briefly, non-hierarchic) algorithms which work on both objects' coordinates in descriptor space and their are applicable to a wide range of types of data and application areas will be chiefly focused on. Constraints geographic or planar representability by incorporating designed, but contiguity-constrained algorithms which suggested. A constraint on the maximum number of below, may however be replaced by the a posteriori choice of suitable clusters from a hierarchy. The problem of a linear constraint on objects to be clustered (e.g. events in this problem can be sought using the more generally applicable techniques described in this and the following contiguity. We will consider suggested hierarchic (and, space. other than contiguity (or a similar relation) have also been members in a cluster, for example, which is mentioned also been directly addressed by some authors, 1-3 and a solution to Specialised optimisation algorithms can, of course, and contiguity relation in (planar) representation geological samples) has descriptor space their worth in other areas and coordinates or depth of sections. proved time,

or Hierarchic, agglomerative algorithms construct a series of partitions of the object set. With each partition, some is associated. If the stepwise agglomerations are constrained to be between contiguous clusters, the problem of inversions (reversals or nonmonotonic increase/decrease in cluster criterion value) is likely. This is when $d(p \cup q, r) \not \equiv d(p, q)$, for three clusters p, q and r, where p and q agglomerate to form $p \cup q$, and prominent in contiguity-constrained agglomerative methods since a previously forbidden merger between two ot dissimilarity, d, between clusters. Inversions tend to be very similar classes may be permitted by changes in the dissimilarity between classes (see Fig. 1). Only two of the traditional hierarchic clustering methods (many of which may be specified in terms of the Lance-Williams dissimilarity update formula;4 see Jambu and Lebeaux5 methods are the single and complete linkage methods. compactness contiguity relation. The presence of inversions in hierarchy is disadvantageous: it makes difficult interpretation of partitions, and the definition where the measure of compactness is related to taneously guarantee that no inversions will arise. agglomerations between contiguous clusters, and for an extended formula) can be amended to ಡ ot value suboptimal) connectivity criterion specified (possibly

The contiguity-constrained single linkage method⁶ is as follows: at each agglomeration, fuse together the two clusters of least interconnecting dissimilarity, such that this dissimilarity is between a pair of contiguous objects. Initially all clusters are singletons. Each agglomeration in this method is necessarily between a pair of contiguous objects. Therefore, given the contiguity graph where each



Fig. 1. Alternative representations of hierarchy with an inversion (reversal) in cluster criterion values.

by the dissimilarity (in descriptor space) between the objects, it is seen that the minimal spanning tree of the edge connecting a pair of contiguous objects is weighted subsequently transformed into the single-linkage hier-A simple proof that the contiguity-constrained single-linkage hierarchy cannot present inversions is to dissimilarities between all pairs of noncontiguous objects by some arbitrarily large value. The construction of the single-linkage hierarchy on this amended set of dissimilarities is well defined (in the sense cluster criterion – connectivity – values). As in the case of the usual single-linkage method, it has been found that to successively agglomerate singletons to one, large cluster in each partition.7,8 Efficient algorithms for available in the $O(n^2)$ algorithm of Murtagh, where n is edges, m, is significantly less than n^2 , in the algorithms of the number of objects; or if the number of contiguous Cheriton and Tarjan¹⁰ or Yao¹¹ for minimal spanning this method has a pronounced tendency to 'chain', i.e. trees. The latter algorithms require $O(m \log \log n)$ worst case time, and may be followed by the conversion of the minimal spanning tree into the single-linkage hierarchy which implies that the graph will be planar, the time taken in O(n) time.¹² For the clustering of geographic units, to construct the minimal spanning tree can be further that at all stages the traditional algorithm can graph constructing a constrained single-linkage hierarchy obtained connected, infinite dissimilarities will never be contiguity <u>e</u> contiguity graph may improved to O(n) worst case time. ¹⁰ assuming the and. replace the weighted employed

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/comjnl/article/28/1/82/468121 by guest on 21 August 2022

An alternative approach for contiguity-based agglomerative clustering allows agglomeration of any pair of clusters such that there exists a contiguity link between at least one member of each of the clusters. Of the major hierarchical methods, only the complete link method excludes the possibility of inversions when constrained in this manner. This is proved by Ferligoj and Batagelj, and an example of application of the constrained complete linkage method to geographical regionalisation is to be found in Fischer.

the For practical application, both the single and the former being over-lax in its criterion for creating classes and the latter being over-demanding. Ward's minimumvariance method has often been favoured as a generally contiguity-constrained clustering, a constrained version of this method has frequently been proposed in the past use Ward's method in the context of the same definition of contiguity between classes as was used in the inversion-free constrained complete-linkage method (i.e. dynamic or weak conticlass contiguity used in the constrained single-linkage method). The constrained minimum-variance method of the last reference was applied to soil parcels. Openshaw15 area disadvantages, guity, as opposed to the strong definition of the strategy. In suffer decade. Webster and Burrough¹⁴ complete linkage methods agglomerative applicable

related to Ward's minimum variance. A constrained Ward's method, with minor variations, is also used in Roche's application to urban segmentation using sociological achieved, causes the cluster to be entirely removed from algorithms precludes the possibility of inversions. Ferligoj and Batagelj¹³ explicitly remove this possibility by proposing two suitable sets of coefficients in the Lance-Williams dissimilarity update formula such that an update formula resembling that of Ward's method is data) employ also a cluster size restraint which, all further stages of the clustering. None of (and of algorithm of definitions Lebart's number precludes 16-18. ಡ algorithms arrived at.

especially evident when another approach to incorporating contiguity information is used: two dissimilarities are employed – the dissimilarity defined in the descriptor Perruchet^{19, 20} and Murtagh²¹ use products of the two, but with separate recalculation of dissimilarities for each newly formed class. In all of these approaches, different etc.), attempting to simultaneously optimize compactness and contiguity essentially requires user-specification of the relative importance of these two objectives. This is evidently, entirely alter the resulting classification. Despite these inherent difficulties – relating to interpretability, and to the need for user-intervention in controlling the clustering - the algorithms discussed here Further empirical work is called for in order to ascertain which of these algorithms are to be most recommended for cartographic representation, the problem of validating the results obtained remains difficult. While the com-pactness of clusters can be given a precise definition Webster and Burrough¹⁴ use various additive and multiplicative combinations of these two dissimilarities. (variance of a cluster, average within-cluster distances, All of the proposals for a constrained Ward's method (with the exception of the last-mentioned reference) suffer with inversions. Notwithstanding the greater ease of space, and the geographical (or other relational) distance. Webster and Burrough¹⁴ use various additive and from the difficulty inherent in interpreting a hierarchy nseq worked adequately for particular data sets. can be given a precise of the dissimilarities general-purpose applications. weightings and relative

Finally, mention will be made of non-hierarchic algorithms. Such methods are based on a preset number of classes; an arbitrarily defined initial partition (if no other choice is available); and iterative relocation (or exchange) of objects between clusters, while the partition criterion is improved. Note however that the group to which the object first belonged must not be disconnected; and that the group which the object is a candidate for joining must be contiguous to the object. Algorithms of this type are discussed in Refs. 8, 13 and 22.

3. MODE SEEKING

Hierarchical clustering algorithms and iterative, relocatory algorithms of the *k*-means type are generally based on inter-object dissimilarities. In point pattern analysis inter-point dissimilarities have also been used. Zahn's²³ approach to distinguishing subgroups of point patterns used the minimal spanning tree, and a number of post-processing techniques on the minimal spanning tree allowed analysis of a comprehensive range of types of pattern. Two extensions of this approach have motivated

a good deal of work: on the one mand, the minimal spanning tree approach has been simplified by the use of a short(est) spanning path approach which achieves many of the same results with greater computational ease; ^{24–26} and on the other hand, other graph-theoretic structures have been used – in low dimensional spaces only – to which the minimal spanning tree is often related as a special case. ^{27–30} One difficulty with distance-based procedures is that any regularity in the data may give rise to many identical distances and to subsequent degenerate or misleading cluster results.

or misleading cluster results.

In order to circumvent this difficulty, an alternative approach has been pursued, especially for the analysis of point patterns. This makes use of valuations on the points under examination, with in some cases the additional use of interpoint dissimilarities. Therefore the algorithms may be said to be based either on node valuations alone, or on both node valuations and edge valuations. We will begin with algorithms in the first category.

Node weights used in pattern recognition, usually related to a supposed probability density function of point occurrence, have generally involved an estimate of density at each point. Among such node weights are the following.

$$|N(i)| \text{ where } N(i) = \{j | d_{ij} \leqslant r\}.$$

N(i) is the neighbourhood of point i, defined here as the set of points within radius r of i. The weight of node i is the cardinality of its neighbourhood (Refs. 31-33).

)
$$1/k \quad \Sigma\{d_{ij}|j\in N(i)\}$$

where N(i) is the set of k nearest neighbours of i. The weight of node i, here, is the average distance to the k-nearest neighbours; it is a measure of 'potential', i.e. the inverse of density (Ref. 34; see also 35).

(3)
$$\Sigma \{ \exp(-a_{ij}^2/w^2) | j = 1, ..., n; j \neq i \}$$

where w is some constant. This weight of node i is defined with reference to all other points, distant points contributing very little (Ref. 36; a similar idea is used in Ref. 37).

(4) In a problem not related to point pattern recognition, nodes corresponding to geographical regions have associated weights defined by per capita income (an analogue of point density where income replaces number of points).38

(5) In image processing, nodes corresponding to pixels may be weighted by the grey-level intensity at that point; or by a measure of edge gradient at that pixel (the latter may be useful for contour extraction) 39

or by a measure of edge gradient at that pixel (the latter may be useful for contour extraction).³⁹ The use of dissimilarity d in the above is almost invariably Euclidean, the most natural choice for visual patterns of points. Let f_i be the weight associated with node i, using any of the above definitions.

The most straightforward approach to the clustering of node-valued graphs is to use a single threshold: nodes of density weight greater (or potential weight less) than the threshold are members of the same cluster, if they are in addition contiguous to at least one other member of the cluster. By decreasing the threshold in the case of densities, or by increasing it in the case of potential, a hierarchy of embedded classes is obtained which may be represented by a generalised skyline plot similar to that used for dendrograms (Katz and Rohlf³⁶ use such a diagram).

The clustering brought about by thresholding can also

A particular implementation, and alternative output of the clustering, is employed by Kittler: 42,43 starting with an arbitrary node, this node is connected to a contiguous neighbour such that -min $\{f_i, f_j\}$, $j \in N(i)$, is maximised; continuing from this neighbour node, all nodes in the given set are successively processed. The succession of values of the criterion function gives a useful idea of the presence of modes and valleys in the point density.

attributes of populations or states, etc.) is an intuitively clear starting point from which to carry out the automatic while being fraught with difficulty when many distances are identical, none the less allows a more fine-tuned analysis: for example, in the threshold-based clustering degrees of relationship, a dissimilarity may be re-created from the node weights. One possibility for this is to construct directed arcs defined by $\delta_{ij} = f_j f_i$ where i and j are contiguous. Therefore if $f_j > f_i$ then δ_{ij} is directed from i to j, while if $f_j < f_i$ then the arc is negatively weighted, and so is directed from j to i. Rather than the difference in densities, as this dissimilarity coefficient is, the density gradient (difference in density per unit distance) has usually been peferred. 32, 33, 39 This is given by $\delta_{ij} = (f_i - f_j)/d_{ij}$, where d is the Euclidean distance and ò is again an asymmetric dissimilarity. A generalization of the single-linkage method (or the minimal spanning labelling and other processing of the components, cycles directed edges are formed for $\delta_{ij} = 0$ following a test that density are equally revealing of structure in the data.33 Such an alternative viewpoint of the data may be carried of the The use of node weights (such as point densities, grouping process. But the use of inter-point distances, described above, no account is taken as to whether a new addition to a cluster is closely related to one or to many of the cluster members. In order to allow for varying tree) has been used for such dissimilarities. It is to connect i to j if δ_{ij} is positive and maximum among nodes j which are contiguous to i, i.e. to construct components such that the density gradient is always upwards. It is easily verified that each such component is a directed tree, so long as no δ_{ij} equals zero. In order to facilitate subsequent in the directed graph must be prevented, and arbitrarily a cycle will not result. Note that in this approach each component nominates a unique 'centre' or local peak in density. It has also been proposed that local valleys in out by simply defining δ_{ij} as the negative mode-oriented approach.

A similar approach – determining components which are directed trees – has been used in image processing. Narendra and Goldberg³⁹ define as a weight at each pixel (node) a measure of edge gradient (the edge value is the

and its neighbours). Having thus a value for f_t , the asymmetric dissimilarity δ_{ii} is constructed and the follows. 44-46 A histogram of intensities often permits recognition, also allows the modes of the histogram to be edge gradient is the maximum such value between a pixel Another very different application of this directed forest gradient climbing procedure, used in point pattern difference in intensities between contiguous pixels; and directed tree formed in the manner described above. different modes in the histogram correspond to distinct, but significantly numerous, sets of pixel intensities. The required - using for instance a 3-point moving average and Wharton46 suggests an 'adaptive smoothing' where non-mode parts of the histogram (below a user-specified threshold value) alone are smoothed in this way. For 4-band Landsat data, a 4-dimensional generalization of 4-dimensional histogram. This is simply a grid of regular visually different parts of the image to be distinguished this approach has been employed by constructing approach has also been successfully employed, determined. Smoothing of the histogram might containing frequency of occurrence of associated 4-valued space, each dissimilarity δ_{ij} cells in 4-dimensional intensity vectors.

The dissimilarity constructed in the foregoing examples has been anti-symmetric: $\delta_{ij} = -\delta_{ji}$. A different asymmetric dissimilarity will be employed in the analysis of flow data (Section 4). An asymmetric coefficient may also be constructed for point pattern recognition. Such a dissimilarity was used by Ozawa:⁴⁷ $\delta_{ij} = f_i \exp{(-bd_{ij})}$ where b is some scale constant. This dissimilarity will yield different values for δ_{ij} and for δ_{ji} depending on density defined at i and at j.

4. GEOGRAPHICAL INTERACTION DATA

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/comjnl/article/28/1/82/468121 by guest on 21 August 2022

numbers of cross-boundary journeys. We may wish to ascertain nodal or 'central' zones (i.e. those that receive regionalisation of the given zones into a smaller set of single-linkage method has been proposed for the former matrix is the primary objective, and one disadvantage of linkage clustering. For the second problem - creating homogeneous zones - variants of the average linkage asymmetric the geographic locations of the basic spatial units which the flows refer to. Therefore contiguous clusters of basic spatial units are often required. Two types of clustering to-work data, with a given set of zones and associated large numbers of workers), or alternatively to carry out a homogeneous areas. For these two different problems, two approaches have been suggested. A variant of the problem - the determining of nodal zones. Faithful representation of the asymmetric character of the interaction this approach is the 'chaining' side-effect of single-Examples of the flows or interactions involved in such tables are industrial inputs and outputs, journal citations, occupational mobility and trip application, we might wish to relate the clusters found to method have been used. A disadvantage here is the conflict between the clusters of zones and the often asymmetric characteristics of these zones (i.e. inflows greater problem may be considered. Consider the case of journey. matrices which arise in many of the social of these square, distributions.48 In the last three are Transaction flow matrices than outflows or vice versa). internal migrations,

In both cases a standardisation of the given flows is carried out, in order to adjust for disproportionate flow in large zones. In the case of the compact clustering, this has been achieved by dividing every element of the flow array by the corresponding row and column sums. In the standardisation is iterated - row and column sums are of the directed single-linkage procedure, recalculated until they are equal.

directed graph of m arcs and n nodes, this algorithm corresponding to the components formed at differing thresholds of proximity. This approach to the clustering of flow data has been used in Refs. 48, 50-52. An efficient algorithm for obtaining the strong components at any allows the hierarchy to be obtained in $O(m \log n)$ time. The directed linkage procedure involves one of three generalizations of the single-linkage method for dealing with asymmetric proximities (here: the standardized flows) discussed by Hubert. 49 The strong components of the directed graph are the sets of mutually reachable nodes (or zones): each node can be reached from another in the same component if there is a series of consistently directed arcs from one to the other. As in the case of the single-linkage method, a dendrogram may be constructed, by Tarjan:53 for given level has been discussed

the For constructing a hierarchy of compact clusters, an algorithm proposed by Domengès is as follows.⁵⁴ A symmetric matrix is constructed by summing the (*i*, *j*)th and (*j*, *i*)th elements, for all *i* and *j*. Next, the symmetric dividing the (i, j)th element by the product of the associated row and column sums. Finally the sequence of sub-clusters a and $b: s_{cc} = s_{ac} + s_{bc}$, for any other region, c'. If s_c and s_c are the totals of rows c and c' (or columns: the matrix has been made symmetric before all agglomerations takes place by successively seeking the greatest standardised symmetric flow between regions. matrix by $s_{ij} = f_{ij} + f_{ji}$. When an agglomeration takes place, the (unstandardised) flows to and from the new agglomerations), then the agglomerations take place on matrix is standardised in the manner described above by Let the symmetric matrix be defined from the given flow region, c, equal the sum of flows to and from standardised values, s*:

$$\begin{array}{l} s_{cc'}^* = s_{cc}/s_{cs'c'} \\ = (s_{ac'} + s_{bc'})/s_{cs'c'} \\ = (s_as_{ac'}^* + s_bs_{bc'}^*)/s_c \\ = (s_{imply}^* introducing cancelling terms) \end{array}$$

and since $s_c = s_a + s_b$, the above expression resembles the Lance-Williams update formula for the average linkage (group average or UPGMA) method. The 'intramax' method used by Masser and Scheurwater⁵⁵ is similar but where $c = a \cup b$ and c' is any other region. The asymmetric flows matrix (now of row and column dimensions one less than formerly) is standardised as Domengès may be said to consist of the sequence of operations: make symmetric, standardise, and successively carry out all agglomerations, the algorithm of = $s_a + s_b$, the above expression resembles the is obtained from this standardised matrix; following agglomeration of the two zones of maximum standardised symmetric flow, the initial asymmetric flow matrix is previously, made symmetric, and a further agglomeration not identical to the foregoing: standardisation is firstly carried out on the asymmetric data; a symmetric matrix whereas the algorithm updated according to: $f_{cc} = f_{ac} + f_{bc}$, and $f_{cc} = f_{ca} + j$ where $c = a \cup b$ and c' is any other region. summary, carried out. In and since

make symmetric, agglomerate, adjust original matrix, restandardise, make symmetric, agglomerate, and so on. Other alternative formulations of this algorithm have also been described by Masser and Brown⁵⁶ and Hirst.⁵⁷ Masser and Scheurwater consists of: standardise,

a contiguity constraint into the directed single-linkage algorithm. In the case of the variant of the average contiguity constraint was included, but - the authors analysis of geographical interaction flow data, since most clusters (whether of the connected or compact type) are If required, it would be a trivial matter to incorporate - it was found to have no effect whatsoever on the resulting hierarchy. Barring possible computational constraint is not always necessary in linkage method proposed by Masser and Brown, the introduction advantages, it appears that inherently contiguous. contiguity

S. CONCLUSION

which attempts to construct compact clusters, but with attendant difficulties as interpretability due to inversions or losing the given asymmetric character of dissimilarities; or, alternatively, we may use a single-linkage related algorithm, with the chaining disadvantage. These approaches might frequently be complementary ithms described in this article fall into two broad classes: we may choose a centroid-based agglomerative algorithm, For general-purpose constrained clustering, the since they have somewhat different objectives.

Two illustrative problems and algorithmic solutions, using the material in this article, are as follows.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/comjnl/article/28/1/82/468121 by guest on 21 August 2022

now the presence of a contiguity constraint: the resulting instead group with them their respective hinterlands. The image which comes most readily to mind is one of modes Thus the possibility of using techniques designed for mode seeking (Section 3), through the conversion of the attribute vectors into real-valued conversion of the attribute vectors into real-valued weights, or through adaptation of the density gradient A clear example of the contiguity-constrained clustering problem is the grouping of people/areas on the basis of some given set of socio-economic attributes. It might be expected that the objects of analysis which come from major urban areas would be grouped together. Consider clustering ought to clearly demarcate the urban areas, and dissimilarity. peaks.

variance-based agglomerative approach (Section 2) with a contiguity matrix could be employed; so also could a mode-seeking approach (Section 3), where an asymmetric clustering, objects ಡ account in between contiguous or adjacent in time. For taking time into defined S dissimilarity

widely to date as an important development in clustering (a notable exception is Gordon). 58 In this article we have attempted to show that clustering of this kind has been Finally we have attempted to lay out recent work in a implicitly used for some time in, amongst other areas, point pattern recognition. We have also attempted to show that a fundamental choice in contiguity-constrained clustering algorithms is between compactness criteria versus connectivity criteria (in this, we follow Fischer).8 framework which, we hope, will facilitate the practical choice of appropriate algorithms, and lead to further Contiguity-constrained clustering has not been theoretical and empirical results in this area

- A. D. Gordon, Classification in the presence of constraints. Biometrics 29, 821–827 (1973). Y. Lechevallier, Classification
- 200, Le optimale Š. automatique Report Y. Lechevallier, Classification auto contrainte d'ordre total, INRIA Chesnay (1976).
 - Classification, Chapman and Hall (1981). A. D. Gordon,
 - د. 4.
- R. M. Cormack, A review of classification. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society A 134, 321-367 (1971).

 M. Jambu and M. O. Lebeaux, Classification automatique pour l'analyse des données, Dunod, Paris (1978); Cluster Analysis and Data Analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam
 - .⊑ Quaternary Palaeoecology. II. Comparisons of pollen diagrams. New Phytologist 73, 221-249 (1974). P. Monestiez, Méthode de classification automatique sous A. D. Gordon and H. J. B. Birks, Numerical methods Quaternary Palaeoecology. II. Comparisons of poll 6
 - contraintes spatiales. Statistique et Analyse des Données 7
- 75-84 (1977).

 M. M. Fischer, Regional taxonomy. Regional Science and Urban Economics 10, 503-537 (1980).

 F. Murtagh, Complexities of hierarchic clustering algor
 - ithms: state of the art. Computational Statistics Quarterly 1, 101-113 (1984).
- D. Cheriton and R. E. Tarjan, Finding minimum spanning trees. SIAM Journal of Computing 5, 724-742 (1976). trees. SIAM Journal of Computing 5, 724-742 (1976).

 A. C. Yao, An O(|E| log log |V|) algorithm for finding 10.
 - minimum spanning trees. Information Processing Letters 21–23 (1975).
- F. J. Rohlf, Algorithm 76: hierarchical clustering using the minimum spanning tree. The Computer Journal 16, 93-95 (1975). 12.
 - with relational A. Ferligoj and V. Batagelj, Clustering with constraint. Psychometrika 47, 413-426 (1982). 13.
- R. Webster and P. A. Burrough, Computer-based soil mapping of small areas from sample data. II. Classification 4.
 - smoothing. Journal of Soil Science 23, 222-234 (1972).

 S. Openshaw, A regionalisation program for large data sets.

 Computer Applications 1, 136-160 (1974).

 A. Thauront, Application d'un problème de classification 15.
- 35-60 avec contrainte de contiguité. Consommation 1, (1976)16.
- L. Lebart, Programme d'agrégation avec contraintes. Les Cahiers de l'Analyse des Données III, 275-287 (1978). 17.
- e quartiers homogènes. Les Cahiers de l'Analyse des Données III, 289-305 (1978). C. Perruchet, Classification sous contrainte de contiguïté contraintes de contiguïté: le partage d'Aix-en-Provence C. Roche, Exemple de classification hiérarchique 18
 - contiguïté continue. Actes de la Société Francophone de Class IRISA Report No. 162, Rennes, 192-207 (1982). 5
- C. Perruchet, Constrained agglomerative hierarchical classification. Pattern Recognition 16, 213-217 (1983). 8
- F. Murtagh, Fondements théoriques de la classification hiérarchique sous contrainte de contiguïté continue. Actes de la Société Francophone de Classification, IRISA Report No. 162, Rennes, 177-191 (1982). 21.
- and aggregation problems in region-building, partitioning and spatial modelling. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, n.s. 2, 459-472 (1977). scale solution to geographical S. Openshaw,
- and C. T. Zahn, Graph-theoretical methods for detecting Gestalt clusters. IEEE Transactions on puters C-20, 68-86 (1971). J. R. Slagle, C. L. Chang describing 23.
 - with some cluster analysis algorithms. Pattern Recognition 6, 181-187 (1974). J. R. Slagle, C. L. Chang and S. R. Heller, A clustering and 4 25.

Systems, Man, and Cybernetics SMC-15, 125-128 (1975).

Transactions

IEEE

algorithm.

data-reorganizing

- vol. 8, pp. 169-292, Plenum Press, New York (1981). R. C. T. Lee, Clustering analysis and its applicati Advances in Information Systems Science, edited J. يح 26.
- **15**, 427 based limited neighbourhood sets. Fattern necognition 15, 173-187 (1982); erratum, Pattern Recognition 15, R. Urquhart, Graph theoretical clustering 27.
- analysis. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 7, 14-20 (1980). R. Sibson, The Dirichlet tesselation as
 - N. Ahuja, Dot pattern processing using Voronoi neighbourhoods. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* PAMI-4, 336–343 (1982).
 - Analysis J. Fairfield, Segmenting dot patterns by Voronoi concavity. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Anal Machine Intelligence PAMI-5, 104-110 (1983). 30.
- Pattern E. Shaffer, R. Dubes and A. K. Jain, Single-link characteristics of a mode-seeking clustering algorithm. *Pattern Recognition* 11, 65–70 (1979).

 W. L. G. Koontz, P. M. Narendra and K. Fukunaga, A graph-theoretic approach to nonparametric cluster analysis.
- EEE Transactions on Computers C-25, 936-944 (1976). 32.
 - B. Johnston, T. Bailey and R. Dubes, A variation on a nonparametric clustering method. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* PAMI-1, 400-408 (1979). 33.
- Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/comjnl/article/28/1/82/468121 by guest on 21 August 2022 R. Mizoguchi and M. Shimura, A nonparametric algorithm ransactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence for detecting clusters using hierarchical structure. 34.
 - Numerical generalization of nearest Taxonomy, edited A. J. Cole, Academic Press, London, pp. 272-281 (1969). neighbour which reduces chaining effects. In PAMI-2, 292-300 (1980). D. Wishart, Mode analysis: a
 - J. O. Katz and F. J. Rohlf, Function-point cluster analysis. Systematic Zoology 22, 295–301 (1973).
 M. P. van Oeffelen and P. G. Vos, An algorithm for pattern 36.
 - description on the level of relative proximity. Pattern Recognition 16, 341-348 (1983).

 J. A. Hartigan, Clustering Algorithms, Wiley, New York 37.
 - (1975). 38.
 - P. M. Narendra and M. Goldberg, Image segmentation with directed trees. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence PAMI-2, 185-191 (1980). R. M. Haralick and I. Dinstein, A spatial cli 39.
- spatial clustering procedure for multi-image data. IEEE Tran Circuits and Systems CAS-22, 440-450 (1975). ₿.
 - M. Goldberg and S. Shlien, A clustering scheme for multispectral images. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man,* and Cybernetics SMC-8, 86-92 (1978)
 - J. Kittler, A locally sensitive method for cluster analysis. Pattern Recognition 8, 23-33 (1976).
- of mode-seeking clustering algorithm'. Pattern Recognition J. Kittler, Comments on 'Single-link characteristics 11, 71-73. 1979). P. M. Narendra 4.
 - r. M. Narendra and M. Goldberg, A non-parametric clustering scheme for LANDSAT. Pattern Recognition 9, 207-215 (1977).
- for recognizing land use patterns in high resolution remotely A contextual classification method sensed data. Pattern Recognition 15, 317-324 (1982). S. W. Wharton,
 - S. W. Wharton, A generalized histogram clustering scheme for multi-dimensional image data. Pattern Recognition 16, 193-199 (1983). 46.
 - K. Ozawa, CLASSIC: a hierarchical clustering algorithm based on asymmetric similarities. Pattern Recognition 16, 201-211 (1983) 47.
- structuring Quality and Quality (P. B. Slater, Combinatorial procedures for internal migration and other transaction flows. Quantity 15, 179-202 (1981). 48

F. MURTAGH

- using 63-72 clustering asymmetric similarity measures. Psychometrika 38, and max hierarchical Min L. Hubert, (1973). 49
 - A. Findlay and P. B. Slater, Functional regionalization of comment. Environment and lanning A 13, 645-646 (1981). data: spatial interaction 90.
- interaction data: an illustration using a college student international flow table. Socio-Economic Planning Sciences P. B. Slater, Comparisons of aggregation procedures for 15, 1-8 (1981). 51.
- regions of France. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics SMC-10, 101-105 (1980). migration clusters of internal Asymmetric J. P. Boyd, 52.
- R. E. Tarjan, An improved algorithm for hierarchical clustering using strong components. *Information Processing Letters* 17, 37-41 (1983). 53.

- après un critère adapté aux tableaux de flux. Les Cahiers e l'Analyse des Données vn, 169-172 (1982). hiérarchique D. Domengès, de 1 54.
- of spatial interaction data: an evaluation of some suggested strategies. Environment and Planning A 12, 1357-1382 I. Masser and J. Scheurwater, Functional regionalization Planning strategies. Environment and 55.
- procedures for interaction data. Environment and Planning and P. J. B. Brown, Hierarchical 7, 509-523 (1975). I. Masser 56.
 - interaction data: a comment. Environment and Planning A procedures aggregation Hierarchical 99-103 (1977) M. A. Hirst, ó 57.
- A. D. Gordon, Methods of constrained classification. In Analyse des Données et Informatique, edited R. Tomassone, INRIA, Le Chesnay, pp. 161-171 (1980). 58.

Memorial University of Newfoundland

Department of Computer Science

St John's, Newfoundland, Canada

Faculty Appointments

faculty positions at all academic ranks as well as visiting positions. Applications from holders of NSERC Research Fellowships would be especially welcome for visiting positions. Candidates from all areas of Computer Science will be considered. Applications are invited for several tenure-track

Applicants for junior positions should have a Ph.D. in area Applicants for senior positions should have a Ph.D. in Computer Science or closely related Computer Science or be nearing completion of a Ph.D a well established record of research achievement.

The department is anxious to build a strong research component into a rapidly expanding department. Applicants will be expected to assist in strengthening an existing M.Sc. programme. In addition to conducting research and supervision of graduate students, responsibilities will include teaching at the graduate and undergraduate level. Decreased teaching loads are possible for those applicants who have a strong research potential.

plus a PDP 11/34-based vector refresh graphics workstation, both of which run under UNIX. Two bitmapped graphics terminals, a colour graphics terminal, a plotter and a line printer/plotter provide additional support. Our department is a member of the UNIX net, via Datapac. Our microprocessor lab is centered around the Explorer 8088 microprocessor development system. In addition University Computing Services offers access to an AMDAHL 470 V6-II and an AMDAHL 5860 running MVS, VAX 11/780s running VMS and UNIX, and a PDP 11/70 and 11/40 running RSTS. UNIX Operating System. Graphics facilities include an M68000-based colour graphics workstation Departmental computing is primarily supported by a VAX 11/780 and a VAX 11/750 running under plus a PDP BSD

Salary will be commensurate with qualifications. Appointments will begin at the earliest possible date.

To be considered for one of these positions please send your curriculum vitae and the names and addresses of three referees to:

Professor J. M. Foltz, Head

University of Newfoundland Science Department of Computer Memorial University of

John's, Newfoundland Canada A1C 5S7 S

accordance with Canadian Immigration regulations, first consideration will be given to those applicants who at the time of application are legally eligible to work in Canada for the period covered by this position. П