

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/805010

# A survey of spiking activity reveals a functional hierarchy of mouse corticothalamic visual areas — Source link 🗹

Joshua H. Siegle, Xiaoxuan Jia, Séverine Durand, Samuel D. Gale ...+88 more authors

Institutions: Allen Institute for Brain Science, University of Washington, University of Missouri–Kansas City, University of Colorado Denver

Published on: 16 Oct 2019 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Visual perception

#### Related papers:

- Fully integrated silicon probes for high-density recording of neural activity
- Spontaneous behaviors drive multidimensional, brainwide activity.
- Distributed coding of choice, action and engagement across the mouse brain
- Topography and areal organization of mouse visual cortex.
- Distributed Hierarchical Processing in the Primate Cerebral Cortex



Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

# A survey of spiking activity reveals a functional hierarchy of mouse corticothalamic visual areas

- 3
- 4 Joshua H. Siegle<sup>1,6</sup>\*, Xiaoxuan Jia<sup>1,6</sup>\*, Séverine Durand<sup>1</sup>, Sam Gale<sup>1</sup>, Corbett Bennett<sup>1</sup>, Nile
- 5 Graddis<sup>1</sup>, Greggory Heller<sup>1</sup>, Tamina K. Ramirez<sup>1</sup>, Hannah Choi<sup>1,2</sup>, Jennifer A. Luviano<sup>1</sup>, Peter A.
- 6 Groblewski<sup>1</sup>, Ruweida Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Anton Arkhipov<sup>1</sup>, Amy Bernard<sup>1</sup>, Yazan N. Billeh<sup>1</sup>, Dillan
- 7 Brown<sup>1</sup>, Michael A. Buice<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Cain<sup>1</sup>, Shiella Caldejon<sup>1</sup>, Linzy Casal<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Cho<sup>1</sup>,
- 8 Maggie Chvilicek<sup>1</sup>, Timothy C. Cox<sup>3</sup>, Kael Dai<sup>1</sup>, Daniel J. Denman<sup>1,4</sup>, Saskia E. J. de Vries<sup>1</sup>,
- 9 Roald Dietzman<sup>1</sup>, Luke Esposito<sup>1</sup>, Colin Farrell<sup>1</sup>, David Feng<sup>1</sup>, John Galbraith<sup>1</sup>, Marina Garrett<sup>1</sup>,
- 10 Emily C. Gelfand<sup>1</sup>, Nicole Hancock<sup>1</sup>, Julie A. Harris<sup>1</sup>, Robert Howard<sup>1</sup>, Brian Hu<sup>1</sup>, Ross
- 11 Hytnen<sup>1</sup>, Ramakrishnan Iyer<sup>1</sup>, Erika Jessett<sup>1</sup>, Katelyn Johnson<sup>1</sup>, India Kato<sup>1</sup>, Justin Kiggins<sup>1</sup>,
- 12 Sophie Lambert<sup>1</sup>, Jerome Lecoq<sup>1</sup>, Peter Ledochowitsch<sup>1</sup>, Jung Hoon Lee<sup>1</sup>, Arielle Leon<sup>1</sup>, Yang
- 13 Li<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth Liang<sup>1</sup>, Fuhui Long<sup>1</sup>, Kyla Mace<sup>1</sup>, Jose Melchior<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Millman<sup>1</sup>, Tyler
- 14 Mollenkopf<sup>1</sup>, Chelsea Nayan<sup>1</sup>, Lydia Ng<sup>1</sup>, Kiet Ngo<sup>1</sup>, Thuyahn Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Philip R. Nicovich<sup>1</sup>,
- 15 Kat North<sup>1</sup>, Gabriel Koch Ocker<sup>1</sup>, Doug Ollerenshaw<sup>1</sup>, Michael Oliver<sup>1</sup>, Marius Pachitariu<sup>5</sup>, Jed
- 16 Perkins<sup>1</sup>, Melissa Reding<sup>1</sup>, David Reid<sup>1</sup>, Miranda Robertson<sup>1</sup>, Kara Ronellenfitch<sup>1</sup>, Sam Seid<sup>1</sup>,
- 17 Cliff Slaughterbeck<sup>1</sup>, Michelle Stoecklin<sup>1</sup>, David Sullivan<sup>1</sup>, Ben Sutton<sup>1</sup>, Jackie Swapp<sup>1</sup>, Carol
- 18 Thompson<sup>1</sup>, Kristen Turner<sup>1</sup>, Wayne Wakeman<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer D. Whitesell<sup>1</sup>, Derric Williams<sup>1</sup>, Ali
- 19 Williford<sup>1</sup>, Rob Young<sup>1</sup>, Hongkui Zeng<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Naylor<sup>1</sup>, John W. Phillips<sup>1</sup>, R. Clay Reid<sup>1</sup>, Stefan
- 20 Mihalas<sup>1</sup>, Shawn R. Olsen<sup>1,7</sup>\*, Christof Koch<sup>1,7</sup>
- 21
- 22 1. Allen Institute for Brain Science, Seattle, WA
- 23 2. University of Washington, Dept of Applied Mathematics, Seattle, WA
- 24 3. University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Dentistry, Kansas City, MO
- 25 4. The University of Colorado Denver, Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO
- 26 5. Janelia Research Campus, Ashburn, VA
- 27 6. Co-first authors
- 28 7. Co-senior authors
- 29 \* Corresponding authors: <a href="mailto:shawno@alleninstitute.org">shawno@alleninstitute.org</a>, <a href="mailto:joshs@alleninstitute.org">joshs@alleninstitute.org</a>, <a href="mailto:joshs@alleninstitute.org">joshs@alleninstitute.org</a>)
- 30 <u>xiaoxuanj@alleninstitute.org</u>
- 31

# 32

- 33 Abstract
- 34 The mammalian visual system, from retina to neocortex, has been extensively studied at both
- 35 anatomical and functional levels. Anatomy indicates the cortico-thalamic system is hierarchical,
- 36 but characterization of cellular-level functional interactions across multiple levels of this
- 37 hierarchy is lacking, partially due to the challenge of simultaneously recording activity across
- 38 numerous regions. Here, we describe a large, open dataset (part of the *Allen Brain Observatory*)
- 39 that surveys spiking from units in six cortical and two thalamic regions responding to a battery of
- 40 visual stimuli. Using spike cross-correlation analysis, we find that inter-area functional
- 41 connectivity mirrors the anatomical hierarchy from the *Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas*.
- 42 Classical functional measures of hierarchy, including visual response latency, receptive field
- 43 size, phase-locking to a drifting grating stimulus, and autocorrelation timescale are all correlated
- 44 with the anatomical hierarchy. Moreover, recordings during a visual task support the behavioral
- 45 relevance of hierarchical processing. Overall, this dataset and the hierarchy we describe provide
- 46 a foundation for understanding coding and dynamics in the mouse cortico-thalamic visual
- 47 system.

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

#### 48 Introduction

#### 49

50 Mammalian vision is the most widely studied sensory modality. Probing its cellular substrate has

- 51 yielded insights into how the stream of photons impinging onto the retina leads to conscious
- 52 perception and visuo-motor behaviors. Yet the vast majority of our knowledge of physiology at
- 53 the cellular level derives from small-scale studies subject to substantial uncontrolled variation,
- 54 uneven coverage of neurons, and selective usage of stimuli. The field's ability to validate models
- 55 of visual function has been hampered by the absence of large-scale, standardized, and open in
- 56 vivo physiology datasets (Olshausen & Field 2004; Carandini et al 2005). To address this
- 57 shortcoming, we previously developed a 2-photon optical physiological pipeline to
- 58 systematically survey visual responses (de Vries et al., 2019). Calcium imaging facilitates the
- 59 monitoring of activity in genetically defined cell populations over the course of many sessions.
- 60 However, it lacks high temporal resolution and single-spike sensitivity, and doesn't easily allow
- 61 distributed, simultaneous recordings from cortical and deep subcortical structures. We therefore
- 62 developed a complementary pipeline that leverages Neuropixels probes to measure spiking
- 63 activity in six cortical visual areas as well as two visual thalamic nuclei, LGN and LP.
- 64

65 The concept of hierarchy has informed ideas about the architecture of the mammalian visual

66 system for more than 50 years (Hubel and Wiesel, 1962), and has inspired powerful multi-

67 layered computational networks (Fukushima, 1980; Krizhevsky et al., 2012; Riesenhuber and

68 Poggio, 1999). This hierarchy has been investigated most extensively in the macaque, from the

69 LGN and primary visual cortex (V1) into frontal eye fields and beyond (Bullier, 2001;

70 Chaudhuri et al., 2015; Felleman and Van Essen, 1991; Murray et al., 2014; Rockland and

71 Pandya, 1979; Schmolesky et al., 1998; Yamins and DiCarlo, 2016).

72

73 The existence of such a hierarchy in the mouse, with its far smaller brain and dense cortical 74

graph (Gămănut et al., 2018), is less clear (D'Souza and Burkhalter, 2017; Glickfeld and Olsen,

75 2017; Wang et al., 2012; Wang and Burkhalter, 2007). Yet given the utility of the laboratory 76 mouse as a model organism, understanding the presence and extent of a hierarchy is of crucial

77 importance. Harris, Mihalas et al applied multi-graph connectivity analysis to the Allen Mouse

- 78 Brain Connectivity Atlas and inferred a shallow hierarchy in the full cortico-thalamic network,
- 79 based on more than 1000 viral tracer injections aligned to a high-resolution 3D coordinate

80 system (Harris et al., 2019). This analysis revealed a hierarchical ordering of visual areas in the

81 mouse, with LGN at the bottom, and cortical area AM at the top. We sought to investigate

82 whether this anatomical hierarchy is reflected in the spiking activity and functional properties of

83 these visual areas.

84

85 Here, we describe a large-scale and systematic electrophysiological survey of spiking activity 86 across visual cortico-thalamic structures in awake, head-fixed mice viewing diverse artificial and

87 natural stimuli. We used Neuropixels silicon probes (Jun et al., 2017) to simultaneously record

88 the electrical activity of hundreds of neurons with high spatial and temporal resolution (Allen et

89 al., 2019; Steinmetz et al., 2018; Stringer et al., 2019). This dataset complements our previously

- 90 released survey using optical recordings of calcium-evoked fluorescent activity in 60,000 cortical
- 91 neurons (de Vries et al., 2019). Both datasets are part of the Allen Brain Observatory, a pipeline
- 92 of animal husbandry, surgical procedures, equipment, and standard operating procedures (SOPs),
- 93 coupled to strict, activity- and operator-independent quality control (QC) measures. All
- 94 physiological data passing QC is made freely and publicly available via brain-map.org and the
- 95 AllenSDK. Our initial characterizations of these pipelines treat each dataset independently; a

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 96 detailed comparison of the results obtained via calcium imaging and electrophysiology is
- 97 forthcoming.
- 98

99 Here, we have studied to what extent the flow of spikes follows the anatomical hierarchy by

- 100 mining a *functional* dataset and relating it to a *structural* dataset. We first perform cross-
- 101 correlation analysis between pairs of neurons to determine the relative timing of spiking activity
- 102 across areas. We then demonstrate that a variety of functional metrics previously used to identify
- 103 hierarchical processing support the cortico-thalamic hierarchy found neuroanatomically. Finally,
- 104 recordings during active behavior suggest that one role of the hierarchy may be to amplify
- responses to behaviorally relevant stimulus changes (Brincat et al., 2018; Issa et al., 2018;
- 106 Vinken et al., 2017).
- 107

### 108 A survey of visually evoked spiking activity

109

110 Each mouse in the study proceeds through an identical series of steps, carried out by highly

- 111 trained staff according to a set of SOPs (Figs. 1A and S1; see <u>http://help.brain-</u>
- 112 <u>map.org/display/observatory/Documentation</u>). On the day of the experiment, we use cortical area
- 113 maps derived from intrinsic signal imaging (ISI) to simultaneously target up to six Neuropixels
- 114 probes to V1 and five higher-order visual cortical areas (LM, AL, RL, PM, AM) (Fig. 1B–D). As
- probes are inserted up to 3.5 mm into the brain, we regularly obtained concurrent recordings
- 116 from two thalamic regions: the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) and the lateral posterior nucleus
- 117 (LP, making up the visual pulvinar) (Fig. 1E), in addition to hippocampus and other areas
- 118 traversed by the silicon probes. This configuration allowed us to sample the mouse visual system
- 119 with unprecedented coverage, creating cellular-resolution activity maps across up to 8
- 120 corticothalamic visual areas at once, while also obtaining physiological measurements from
- 121 nearby regions, such as hippocampus (Fig. 1F). The bulk of these recordings were made in
- 122 C57BL/6J wildtype mice (N = 30), supplemented by recordings in three transgenic lines (N = 8
- 123 Pvalb-IRES-Cre x Ai32, N = 12 Sst-IRES-Cre x Ai32, and N = 8 Vip-IRES-Cre x Ai32), to
- facilitate the identification of genetically-defined inhibitory cell types via opto-tagging (Lima et al., 2009).
- 125
- 127 We implemented QC procedures to ensure consistent data across experiments (Fig. S2 and
- 128 Methods), reducing the number of completed and analyzed experiments from 87 to 58.
- 129 Extracellularly recorded units were identified and sorted via the automated Kilosort2 algorithm
- 130 (Pachitariu et al., 2016; Stringer et al., 2019) followed by QC to remove units with artifactual
- 131 waveforms, vielding a total of 99,180 units across experiments (Fig. S3). A variety of quality
- 132 metrics were calculated to assess unit contamination and completeness, which are used to select
- 133 units for further analysis (Fig. S4). Units were mapped to structures in the Common Coordinate
- 134 Framework Version 3 (CCFv3) by imaging fluorescently labeled probe tracks with optical
- 135 projection tomography (Fig. S5). After filtering units based on quality metrics, we
- 136 simultaneously recorded from a mean of  $682 \pm 144$  units per experiment,  $128 \pm 51$  units per
- 137 probe, and  $56 \pm 30$  units per cortico-thalamic visual area (Fig. 1G). We sampled from a mean of
- 138  $6.1 \pm 1.1$  cortico-thalamic visual areas in each experiment, with a subset of experiments
- 139 including units from 8 visual areas simultaneously (Fig. 1H).
- 140
- 141 During each recording session, mice passively viewed a battery of natural and artificial stimuli
- 142 (Fig. 2A and S6). In this study, we focused our analysis on a subset of these: drifting gratings
- 143 (Fig. 2B), full-field flashes (Fig. 2C), and local Gabor patches (which are used to map spatial

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- receptive fields) (Fig. 2D). Overall, units recorded in all 8 cortico-thalamic visual areas were
- highly visually responsive, with 60% displaying significant receptive fields (Fig. 2E and Fig. S7,
- 146 categorical  $\chi^2$  test, P < 0.01). As a control, we searched for significant receptive fields in
- simultaneously recorded hippocampal regions (CA1, CA3, and dentate gyrus), and only found
- them in 1.4% of units. Mapping recorded units with a significant receptive field to their location
- 149 within the CCFv3 and aggregating over experiments, we recapitulated the previously described
- retinotopic map organization in each area (Fig. 2F) (Bennett et al., 2019; Garrett et al., 2014;
- Román Rosón et al., 2019), supporting the accuracy of spatial registration in the CCFv3.
- 152

# 153 A functional hierarchy of visual areas

154

155 The work of (Harris, Mihalas, et al. 2019) assigned a hierarchy score to each of the visually

- 156 responsive areas from which we recorded. This score is derived using an optimization algorithm
- 157 that considers the set of distinct axonal termination patterns of connectivity between areas—
- deeming each as either feedforward versus feedback connections—and finds the most self-
- 159 consistent network architecture (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Methods). The LGN sits at the bottom
- 160 of the hierarchy, followed by its major target structure, V1. Four higher-order visual areas (LM,
- 161 RL, LP, and AL) reside at intermediate levels, with areas PM and AM occupying the top level of
- 162 the areas we studied here (Fig. 3A,D).
- 163

164 To compare this anatomical hierarchy to a possible functional hierarchy measured in the spike

- recordings, we computed a directional metric of functional connectivity, the spike cross-
- 166 correlogram (CCG), between units (Jia et al., 2013; Smith and Kohn, 2008; Zandvakili and
- 167 Kohn, 2015). This analysis focused on activity during periods of drifting grating presentation.
- 168 For each pair of recorded units, we identified significant functional interactions as determined by
- a short latency (<10 ms) sharp peak in the jitter-corrected CCG (Fig. 3B), which removed slow
- 170 timescale correlations larger than the jitter window (25 ms). This selection criterion yielded
- 56,874 pairs of units out of 12,908,146 total possible pairs (0.44%). These fast-timescale spiking
   interactions can provide a measure of the functional hierarchical relationship between areas,
- based on the measured relative spike timing between pairs of units (Fig. 3B example pair, peak
- offset = 3 ms). If units in one region tend to lead the spiking activity of higher regions, the
- 175 distribution of peak offsets would deviate from 0. For example, the peak offset distribution of
- 176 CCG pairs between V1 and LM showed a significant positive delay when compared to V1–V1
- 177 distribution (example mouse, Fig 3C; N = 30 pairs, P = 2.6e-8, Wilcoxon Rank-sum test),
- 178 indicating V1 neurons spike earlier than LM and thus are lower in the hierarchy. As we had only
- 179 limited experiments with measurable sharp CCG peaks between thalamic and cortical areas
- 180 (LGN–cortex: 1 mouse; LP–cortex: 2 mice), we restricted our CCG-based hierarchy analysis to
- 181 cortico-cortical interactions.
- 182
- 183 We quantified the distribution of sharp CCG peak time lags for all functionally connected pairs
- 184 of units across each pair of cortical areas in each mouse and combined the median of peak offset
- distributions across mice (Fig. 3E; N = 25 mice; see Fig. S8 for complete peak offset
- 186 distributions between all areas across all mice). V1 units consistently fired action potentials
- 187 earlier than units in other areas (Fig. 3E, left column). In contrast, area AM consistently fired
- 188 later than other regions, indicating this area resides at the uppermost levels of the hierarchy (Fig.
- 189 3E, right column). Quantifying the functional delay between all pair-wise sets of areas revealed
- an organization remarkably similar to the anatomical hierarchy (Fig. 3D). The correlation
- 191 between the anatomical hierarchy score and the median temporal delay between all regions was

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

192	high (Fig. 3F;	; Pearson's $r = 0.8$	$1, P = 2e - 9; S_1$	pearman's $r = 0.7$	8, P = 2e-8),	, indicating that unit
-----	----------------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------------------	---------------	------------------------

- 193 spiking activity follows a functional hierarchy closely mirroring the anatomical structure of the 194 visual cortico-thalamic system.
- 195

196 We next assessed how this ordering of areas correlated with four classical measures of functional 197 hierarchy in primates (Bullier, 2001; Chaudhuri et al., 2015; Schmolesky et al., 1998). First, we 198 quantified the temporal latency of responses to full-field flashes. Whereas cells in each area have 199 broadly distributed onsets (Fig. 4A,B), consistent with primate results (Schmolesky et al., 1998), 200 the mean visual latency of each area was correlated with its anatomical hierarchy score (Fig. 4C; 201 Pearson's r = 0.95, P = 0.00025). Statistical testing revealed significantly different latencies for 202 all pairs of areas, except for LGN-V1, RL-LP, LP-AL, and AM-PM (Fig. S9A,B). 203 204 Second, the size of spatial receptive fields typically increases when ascending the visual 205 processing stream (Freeman et al., 2013; Hubel, 1988; Lennie, 1998; Wang and Burkhalter, 206 2007), likely due to the pooling of convergent inputs from neurons in lower regions. We 207 measured receptive fields using a localized Gabor stimulus (Figure 4D), and found a systematic 208 increase in receptive field size with anatomical hierarchy score (Fig. 4D-F; Pearson's r = 0.97, P 209 = 8.3e-5). Statistical testing revealed significantly different receptive field sizes for all pairs of

- 210 areas, except for LM–RL (Fig. S9C,D).
- 211

Third, Hubel and Wiesel (1962) described 'simple' and 'complex' cells in V1. Complex cells are thought to result from the integration of inputs from simple cells with different preferred spatial

214 phases, and can therefore be identified by the lack of phase-dependent responses to a drifting

215 grating stimulus (Hubel and Wiesel, 1965, 1962; Matteucci et al., 2019; Riesenhuber and Poggio,

216 1999). The fraction of cells with phase-dependent grating responses, which are common in the

- retina and decrease up the visual hierarchy, is a useful measure of hierarchical level. We
- 218 quantified this with a modulation index (MI) that robustly reflects phase-dependent responses to
- drifting gratings (Matteucci et al., 2019; Wypych et al., 2012). MI measures the difference in
- power of the visually evoked response at a unit's preferred stimulus frequency versus the average power spectrum. MI > 3 corresponds to strong modulation of spiking at the stimulus frequency
- 222 power spectrum. Mr > 5 corresponds to strong modulation of spiking at the stimulus frequency 222 (indicative of simple-cell-like responses), whereas smaller MI values indicate less modulation by
- stimulus temporal frequency (indicative of complex-cell-like responses) (Matteucci et al., 2019).
- 224 Compatible with more simple-like processing, MI was higher in LGN and V1, whereas the
- higher order areas showed considerably less phase-dependent modulation (Figure 4G-I,
- Pearson's r = -0.89, P = 0.003). Statistical testing revealed significantly different modulation
- indices for all pairs of areas, except for RL–AL and AM–PM (Fig. S9E,F).
- 228

Finally, previous work in the primate brain demonstrated that the 'timescale' of neural activity increases at higher levels of the cortical hierarchy (Chen et al., 2015; Murray et al., 2014). We quantified the temporal scale for each area in our study by fitting an exponential decay to its mean spike-count autocorrelation function following a full-field flash stimulus (Fig. 4J). We

found that higher-order areas had a longer timescale and thus integrate over longer temporal

windows than lower stages, an important signature of multi-layer processing (Fig. 4J-L;

Pearson's r = 0.77, P = 0.026). Statistical testing revealed significantly distinct timescales for

LGN–V1, LGN–RL, and for AM and PM vs. all other areas (Fig. S9G,H).

237

238 Together, these four response metrics and the functional connectivity analysis support the

existence of a functional hierarchy spanning the mouse corticothalamic visual system. These

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 240 metrics are not dependent on overall firing rate, which does not correlate with hierarchy score
- 241 (Fig. S9I-J).
- 242

243 The role of this hierarchical structure should ultimately be related to the behavioral and cognitive 244 operations implemented by the system. Higher levels of the hierarchy are positioned to 245 increasingly integrate sensory input with behavioral goals. To test whether the hierarchy we 246 found correlates with behaviorally relevant processing, we performed additional experiments 247 beyond our passive viewing survey to measure spiking activity across the visual hierarchy while 248 mice actively performed a visual change detection task (4527 units from 14 mice) (Garrett et al., 249 2019). In this go/no-go task, mice report when a visual stimulus (here, natural scenes; Fig. 5A) 250 changes identity by licking a reward spout.

251

252 During the Neuropixels recordings, mice performed the task with high hit rates and low false

- alarm rates (mean hit rate = 0.70, mean false alarm rate = 0.12, mean  $d' = 1.9 \pm 0.2$ ; Fig. 5B). Units recorded during the task had clear visually evoked spiking responses to the flashed visual
- Units recorded during the task had clear visually evoked spiking responses to the flashed visual stimuli and showed greater evoked spike rates when the visual stimulus changed identity (from A
- to B at t = 0 in Fig. 5C-D). As in the passive viewing mice, latency to first spike in response to
- the stimulus was correlated with hierarchy score (Fig. 5E). These latencies during active
- 258 behavior were highly correlated with latencies measured in the passive viewing condition
- 259 (Pearson's r = 0.95, P = 0.003). Since this task requires mice to detect stimulus changes, for each
- 260 unit we computed a 'change modulation index' to capture the differential response to repeated
- versus changed images (this metric varies from -1 to 1 with 0 representing no modulation). The
- 262 mean change modulation was positive for each area, indicating that a change in image identity 263 elicits stronger responses compared to the same image presentation. Importantly, change
- modulation systematically increased along the hierarchy from LGN to AM (Fig. 5F; Pearson's r= 0.82, P = 0.013). Other aspects of neural activity during the task, such as the pre-change spike
- 265 266 rate, the change response spike rate, and the baseline firing rate were not correlated with
  267 hierarchy score (Fig. S10E-G). Statistical testing revealed that all pairs of areas have
  268 significantly different change modulation indices, except for LM–AL, RL–PM, RL–AM, and
  269 PM–AM (Fig. S10D). This suggests that change-related signals are amplified at higher levels of
- 270 the visual hierarchy.
- 270
- 272

### 273 Discussion

274

275 One long-term goal of the Allen Institute is to systematically survey neuronal activity in the 276 visual corticothalamic complex, responding to a battery of commonly used visual stimuli in 277 awake mice in a way that is minimally biased, maximally reproducible, and freely accessible to 278 all (Koch and Reid, 2012). We previously presented one such survey, based on two-photon 279 calcium imaging, that captured cellular activity in six cortical regions in various transgenic 280 animals (de Vries et al., 2019). We here complement this Allen Brain Observatory database at 281 www.brain-map.org with a survey of spiking activity measured using high-density silicon 282 Neuropixels probes (Jun et al., 2017). We recorded from the same cortical regions as in the two-283 photon imaging survey, in addition to thalamic visual areas LGN and LP. (We also recorded 284 units from hippocampus and nearby areas due to the 3.5 mm span of our Neuropixels recordings; 285 these also are included in our open data release.) In agreement with the foundational studies of 286 mouse visual cortex (Andermann et al., 2011; Marshel et al., 2011; Niell and Stryker, 2008), we

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

287 find a plethora of units across the 8 regions with robust visual responses, with 60% of units

- 288 displaying significant visual receptive fields (Fig. 2E).
- 289

290 For this first report on our electrophysiological survey, we focused on one important aspect of 291 this rich dataset – exploiting the dynamic flow of spikes between brain areas to infer functional 292 hierarchical processing in the visual cortico-thalamic system and relating this to quantitative 293 measures of its anatomical hierarchy. Based on anterograde viral tracing with Cre-dependent 294 AAV in 1,256 experiments in 50 distinct mouse lines, Harris et al (2019) derived anatomical 295 rules describing cortico-cortical, cortico-thalamic, and thalamo-cortical projections into and out 296 of 37 cortical and 24 thalamic regions via their layer-specific axonal termination patterns. Using 297 an optimization approach that labels connections as either feedforward or feedback to find the 298 most self-consistent network, the algorithm assigns a hierarchy score to every region (Fig. 3A). 299 The study clearly demonstrates that the full corticothalamic system of the mouse is hierarchically 300 organized, but the difference between the lowest and highest rungs is only a few full levels, due 301 to parallel and short-cut projections among areas; thus, this system is organized as a 'shallow' 302 hierarchy.

303

304 From the complete corticothalamic anatomical hierarchy of Harris et al (see Fig. 6d in their

305 paper), we extracted the six cortical and two thalamic visual areas we targeted with Neuropixels

306 probes. Our analysis indicates these areas represent at least seven distinct levels starting with

307 LGN and V1, followed by LM/RL, LP, AL, and finally PM and AM at the highest rungs (Fig.

308 3A), consistent with previous anatomical hierarchy schemes in rodents (Coogan and Burkhalter, 1993; D'Souza et al., 2016). It follows that neurons at a lower level of this hierarchy should 309

310 spike earlier than neurons at higher levels (this will only be true on average as there are many

311 feedback pathways, neurons with a diversity of time constants, and other sources of

312 heterogeneity). Accordingly, for those pairs of units in any two regions with overlapping

313 receptive fields, we compute the CCG to identify short latency peaks and extract the relative

314 spike timing between pairs of neurons (Fig. 3E; Fig. S8); note that the range of temporal lags

315 involved,  $\pm 10$  ms, may include both mono- and multi-synaptic connections. This uncovers a

316 striking correspondence between the anatomical and functional network organization—the

317 bigger the difference in the anatomical hierarchy score of two areas, the larger the median time lag of spikes between these regions (Fig. 3F).

318

319

320 We quantified visual responses in the ascending areas of this visual hierarchy by computing four 321 previously used measures of hierarchical processing – response latency, receptive field size, 322 degree of phase modulation by a drifting grating stimulus, and autocorrelation timescale. All four 323 measures either increased or decreased systematically across these eight visual regions, and 324 pairwise statistical tests suggest that each of these measures can independently differentiate 325 between distinct hierarchical levels (Fig. 4; Fig. S9).

326

327 Even though these functional metrics are correlated with the anatomical hierarchy score, it is

328 important to note that describing the system with a single variable is a simplification, meant only

329 to reflect a first-order characterization of its organization. While we have simultaneously

330 recorded spiking activity from more mouse visual areas than any previous study, we only

331 sampled six of the 16 mouse cortical visual areas (Zhuang et al., 2017). Moreover, there is

332 substantial overlap in the distribution of response properties across areas—for example, many

333 units in AM spike earlier than the slowest units in LGN (Fig. 4B). This suggests much

334 processing occurs in parallel, in addition to the general hierarchical sequence in response to

#### Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

sensory drive. The primate visual system is organized into distinct streams (Maunsell, 1992; 335

336 Ungerleider and Mishkin, 1982), and there is anatomical and functional evidence for parallel

337 streams in mice (Smith et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2012). Future studies can uncover how

- 338 distributed activity dynamics emerge from connectivity within these hierarchical and parallel 339 circuits.
- 340

341 The functional hierarchy we establish provides a general framework for investigating how it is 342 used to solve behaviorally relevant tasks. As a first step in this direction, we carried out

- 343 experiments to assess whether this same hierarchy is visible in measures reflecting signal
- processing during behavior (Fig 5.). We found that the relative response to a rewarded changed 344
- 345 image increased systematically from LGN to AM (Fig. 5F). This increase in change-related
- 346 signals suggests that unexpected stimuli are amplified by successive levels of the hierarchy,
- 347 consistent with evidence from an oddball paradigm in rat higher-order cortex (Vinken et al.,
- 348 2017). These results are compatible with general theories of hierarchical predictive processing,
- 349 which posit that unexpected signals are preferentially passed to higher processing stages
- 350 (Dürschmid et al., 2016; Grimm et al., 2011; Issa et al., 2018; Keller and Mrsic-Flogel, 2018).
- 351

352 A major challenge in neuroscience is to understand how spiking activity flows through

353 distributed brain networks to mediate cognition and behavior. The cortex is widely and densely

354 connected (Gămănuț et al., 2018; Oh et al., 2014), with diverse cell-type-specific anatomical

355 pathways. The concept of hierarchy is one important first-order organizing principle for 356 understanding form and function in the brain (Sporns, 2010). Reinforcing the anatomical and

357 functional evidence for a mouse cortical hierarchy, cell type composition and gene expression

358 systematically change across the global hierarchy in the mouse cortex (Fulcher et al., 2019; Kim

359 et al., 2017; Tasic et al., 2018). Nonetheless, the cortex also displays additional levels of

360 organization including functional sub-modules and parallel processing streams. These aspects

361 must be incorporated to establish a more complete mapping between cortical structure and

- 362 function (Han et al., 2018; Lennie, 1998; Ungerleider and Mishkin, 1982; Wang et al., 2012).
- 363

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 364 Acknowledgements We thank the Allen Institute founder, Paul G. Allen, for his vision,
- 365 encouragement and support.
- 366

#### 367 Author contributions

- 368 Conceptualization: C.K., S.R.O, J.H.S., X.J., S.G., C.B., S.M., D.D., S.dV., M.B., C.R.
- 369 Supervision: C.K., S.R.O, J.H.S., P.A.G., C.R., C.F., S.M., H.Z., S.D.
- 370 Investigation, validation, methodology, and formal analyses:
- 371 J.H.S., S.D., G.H, T.R., X.J., S.G., C.B., S.R.O., J.L., N.G., A.A., A.B., Y.B., M.B., L.C., N.C.,
- 372 S.C., A.C., T.C., S.dV., D.D., R.D., D.F., E.G., R.H., B.H., R.I., I.K., J.K., S.L., J.L., P.L., J.H.L,
- 373 A.L., Y.L., F.L, K.M., L.N., T.N., R.N., G.O., M.O., J.P., M.R., D.R., M.R., S.S., C.L., M.S.,
- 374 D.S., J.S., D.W., A.W., R.A., D.B., M.C., E.L., K.R., K.N., B.S., E.J., K.J., J.M., K.N., M.G.,
- 375 D.O., J.H., J.D.W.,
- 376 Software: J.H.S., X.J., N.G., K.D., S.G., C.B., S.dV., M.P., D.O., J.K., N.C., H.C., D.R., D.W.,
- 377 J.G., M.B., P.L.
- 378 Data Curation: J.H.S., N.G., X.J., K.D., D.F., J.G., R.H., W.W., R.Y.
- 379 Project administration: C.T., S.N., L.C., L.E., N.H., J.W.P.
- 380 Visualization: J.H.S, X.J., S.G, C.B., H.C., S.D.
- 381 Original draft written by C.K., S.R.O., J.H.S., X.J. with input and editing from S.M., H.C., C.B.,
- 382 S.G.
- 383 All co-authors reviewed the manuscript.
- 384
- 385 **Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.



386 387 Figure 1. A standardized pipeline for extracellular electrophysiology in the mouse corticothalamic 388 visual system. (A) Icons representing six major steps in the data collection pipeline, with the average age 389 of mice at each step indicated below. (B) Rig for parallel recording from six Neuropixels probes. Scale 390 bar = 10 cm. (C) Example retinotopic map used for targeting probes to six cortical visual areas. Scale bar 391 = 1 mm. (D) Image of Neuropixels probes during an experiment, with area boundaries from (C) overlaid 392 in orange. Probe tips are marked with white dots. Scale bar = 1 mm.(E) Schematic of target probe 393 insertion trajectories through cortex into two thalamic visual areas, LGN and LP. (F) Example raster plot 394 of 405 simultaneously recorded units from 8 visual areas. The phase of the drifting grating visual stimulus 395 (15 Hz, 2 Hz, or 4 Hz), hippocampal local field potential, mouse running speed, and pupil width are also 396 shown. (G) Box plot of the number of units recorded per area per experiment, after filtering based on ISI 397 violations (<0.5), amplitude cutoff (<0.1), and presence ratio (>0.95) (see Methods and Figure S4 for 398 quality metric definitions and distributions). (H) Histogram of the number of simultaneously recorded 399 corticothalamic visual areas per experiment.

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



400

401 Figure 2. High-throughput mapping of visual response properties. (A) During Neuropixels 402 recordings, mice are exposed to up to seven types of natural and artificial stimuli. (B) Raster plots of 403 spike times for 40 unique conditions of a drifting grating stimulus, for an example V1 unit. The single-404 trial responses are used to construct a "star plot," which efficiently summarizes the unit's tuning 405 properties. (C) Raster plot of spike times for two conditions of the full-field flash stimulus, for the same 406 unit in B. A peri-stimulus time histogram summarizes the response across trials. (D) Raster plot of spike 407 times for 81 conditions of the Gabor stimulus for the same unit as in B and C. Summing the spike counts 408 across trials at each location produces a spatial receptive field, shown on the right. (E) Mean fraction of 409 units with significant receptive fields (RFs) across 8 visual areas, with hippocampus included as a control. 410 Error bars represent standard deviation across experiments. (F) Each unit with a significant receptive field 411 is represented by a dot at its spatial location in the mouse Common Coordinate Framework. Color 412 represents the elevation of the receptive field center, revealing smoothly varying maps of visual space 413 when aggregating across experiments. Area boundaries are approximate.

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



414

415 Figure 3. Functional connectivity recapitulates the anatomical hierarchy. (A) Relative anatomical 416 hierarchy scores from Harris, Mihalas et al. (2019). Each area's hierarchy score is based on the ratio of 417 feed-forward vs. feedback projection patterns (colored lines) between itself and the other 7 areas. (B) 418 Method for measuring cross-area spiking interactions between pairs of units. "Sharp peaks" in the jitter-419 corrected CCG are those with a peak amplitude >7x the standard deviation of the flanks. The peak offset, 420 or functional delay, is defined as the difference between the CCG peak time and the CCG center (at 0 421 ms). (C) Distribution of functional delay between V1 and LM reflected by pairwise CCG peak offset in 422 one example mouse (N = 30 pairs; median = 3.9ms). (**D**) Re-plotting of anatomical hierarchy scores from 423 (A), showing the difference in score between all pairs of cortical areas. Statistical testing (Wilcoxon 424 Rank-sum test) revealed that all areas have significant different hierarchical score, except for RL and LM. 425 (E) Combined median of functional delay across mice (N = 25 mice in total) for each pair of cortical 426 areas. Statistical testing (Wilcoxon Rank-sum test) revealed that the peak offset distribution of 427 neighboring-areas were significantly different from within-area, except for AL-PM. (F) Correlation 428 between the median functional delay and the difference in hierarchy scores, indicating a link between 429 structure and function (Pearson's r = 0.81, P = 2e-9). 430

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System





Figure 4. Four measures of hierarchical processing applied to the mouse visual system. (A) Mean 433 peri-stimulus time histogram (PSTH) of the spiking response to a full-field flash stimulus across 2 434 thalamic and 6 cortical regions, with the baseline firing rate subtracted for each area. (B) Distribution of 435 first spike times in response to the flash stimulus across all units in each of 8 areas. (C) Correlation 436 between mean time to first spike and hierarchy score obtained from anatomical tracing studies. (D) 437 Outlines of the extent of the mean receptive field (RF) for each area, at 50% of the peak firing rate. 438 Exemplar mean receptive fields for LGN and AM are shown on the left. (E) Distribution of receptive

439 field sizes across all units in each of 8 areas. (F) Correlation between mean receptive field size and

- 440 anatomical hierarchy score. (G) Raster plots showing the response of exemplar units in LGN and AM to a
- 441 2 Hz drifting grating stimulus. Modulation index (MI) is higher in units that fire at the same temporal
- 442 frequency as the grating. (H) Distribution of MI across all units in each of 8 areas. (I) Correlation
- between mean MI and anatomical hierarchy score. (J) Mean autocorrelation values for 8 areas in the 250
- ms period following the onset of a full-field flash stimulus. An exponential fit is used to determine the
- 445 autocorrelation timescale. (**K**) Distribution of autocorrelation timescales for all units in each of 8 areas.
- 446 (L) Correlation between mean autocorrelation timescale and anatomical hierarchy score. (M) Figure
- 447 legend, indicating color of each area, total number of units per area, and total number of mice per area.
- All error bars represent 95% bootstrap confidence intervals. See Fig S4B for unit selection criteria.

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



449

450 Figure 5. Higher-order areas signal behaviorally relevant changes in image identity more strongly

451 than lower-order areas. (A) Mice were rewarded when they correctly detected change in the identity of 452 a natural scene shown for 250 ms, separated by 500 ms blank screen. (B) After training, 10 mice had high 453 hit rates and low false alarm rates, with an average d' of  $1.9 \pm 0.2$ . (C) Rasters showing spiking of 454 exemplar units from LGN, V1, and AM during 50 trials of the change detection task. (D) Population peri-455 stimulus time histograms averaged over all units in LGN, V1, and AM. For each area, the response to the 456 change image is shown as a darker line, while the response to the pre-change image is shown as a lighter 457 line. The change modulation index is defined as the normalized difference between the firing rate during 458 the pre-change image and the change image. (E) Correlation between mean time to first spike and 459 anatomical hierarchy score across all 8 areas during the change detection task. (F) Correlation between 460 mean change modulation index and anatomical hierarchy score across all 8 areas. Responses to the stimulus change are always greater than the pre-change flash (CMI > 0) and this difference increases from 461 462 LGN to AM. (G) Figure legend, indicating color of each area, total number of units per area, and total 463 number of mice per area. Error bars in E and F represent 95% bootstrap confidence intervals.

#### Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



464 465

465 **Supplementary Figure 1**. **Pipeline procedures.** Summary of procedures involved in each step of the 466 pipeline.

#### Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



467 468

468 **Supplementary Figure 2**. **Pipeline quality control.** Major QC metrics for each pipeline step, with 469 examples of passing and failing experiments. The number of mice failing QC at each stage is shown on

470 the right.

471

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



472 473 Supplementary Figure 3. Data processing pipeline. (A) Data from the Neuropixels probe is split at the 474 hardware level into two separate streams for each electrode: spike band and LFP band. (B) The spike 475 band passes through offset subtraction, median subtraction, and whitening steps prior to sorting. The 476 resulting data can be viewed as an image, with dimensions of time and channels, and colors 477 corresponding to voltage levels. (C) The LFP data is down-sampled to 1.25 kHz and 40 µm channel 478 spacing prior to packaging. (D) We use the Kilosort2 to match spike templates to the raw data. The output 479 of this algorithm can be used to reconstruct the original data using information about template shape, 480 times, and amplitudes. (E) The spike and LFP data are packaged into Neurodata Without Borders (NWB) 481 files. (F) The outputs of Kilosort2 are passed through a semi-automated QC procedure to remove units 482 with artifactual waveforms. Only units with obvious spike-like characteristics are used for further 483 analysis. 484

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



485

486 Supplementary Figure 4. Unit quality metrics. (A) Density functions for 12 unit QC metrics, plotted 487 for units in cortex, hippocampus, thalamus, and midbrain, aggregated across experiments. Default 488 AllenSDK thresholds are shown as dotted lines. (B) Unit selection flowchart for generating manuscript 489 figures. Note that we do not use the default AllenSDK filters in this work, but instead use an RF P-value 490 of 0.01 as the primary metric for selecting units for analysis. CCFv3 structure labels used for region 491 identification are as follows: cortex (VISp, VISl, VISrl, VISam, VISpm, VISal, VISmma, VISmmp, 492 VISli, VIS), thalamus (LGd, LD, LP, VPM, TH, MGm, MGv, MGd, PO, LGv, VL, VPL, POL, Eth, PoT, 493 PP, PIL, IntG, IGL, SGN, VPL, PF, RT), hippocampal formation (CA1, CA2, CA3, DG, SUB, POST, 494 PRE, ProS, HPF), midbrain (MB, SCig, SCiw, SCsg, SCzo, SCop, PPT, APN, NOT, MRN, OP, LT,

495 RPF), other / nonregistered (CP, ZI, grey).

#### Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

A Brain clearing (iDISCO) B Rotational image series



C Automated volume reconstruction (virtual slices)



D Manual key point labeling

E Manual probe track labeling

A/P



F Boundary refinement

G Registered units across experiments



496

497

498 Supplementary Figure 5. Aligning units with the Common Coordinate Framework (CCFv3). (A) 499 Following each experiment, the brain is removed and cleared using a variant of the iDISCO method. (B) 500 The cleared brain is imaged at 400 rotational angles using a custom-built optical projection tomography 501 microscope. (C) We generated an isotropic 3D volume from rotational images using a computational 502 tomography algorithm. (D) Key points from the CCFv3 template brain are manually identified in each 503 individual brain. (E) Points along each fluorescently labeled probe track are manually identified in the 504 volume. Using the key points from D, we define a warping function to translate points along the probe 505 axis into the Common Coordinate Framework. (F) We then align the regional boundaries to boundaries in 506 the physiological data, primarily the decrease in unit density at the border between cortex and 507 hippocampus, and between hippocampus and thalamus. (G) Finally, units in the database are mapped to a



509 510

510 Supplementary Figure 6. Details of the visual stimulus set. (A) Example frames from each type of

- 511 stimulus. (B) Timing diagram for visual stimulus set #1, known as "Brain Observatory 1.1." (C) Timing
- 512 diagram for visual stimulus set #2, known as "Functional Connectivity."

#### Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



513 514 Supplementary Figure 7. Receptive analysis and unit selection. (A) Our receptive field mapping 515 procedure consists of flashing 20° diameter drifting gratings for 250 ms in each of 81 randomized 516 locations on the screen. For each unit, we can construct a spike raster showing the timing of spikes on 517 each of 45 trials with the stimulus at a particular location. Collapsing over trials yields a peri-stimulus 518 time histogram (PSTH) for each location. Collapsing over time yields a spike count for each spatial bin. A 519 matrix of spike counts represents the receptive field for this unit. (B) We use a categorical  $\chi^2$  test to 520 determine which units have significant receptive fields. We compare the actual matrix of presentation-521 wise spike counts (left) to a series of randomized spike count matrices (center) to determine the 522 probability that the receptive field could have occurred by chance (right). (C) To calculate receptive field 523 properties, we first smooth the receptive field with a Gaussian filter, then select all pixels above a 524 threshold value. The center of mass of the above-threshold pixels indicates the receptive field location, 525 while the total number of above-threshold pixels indicates the area. These processing steps are shown for 526 25 receptive fields randomly chosen from one experiment. (D) Receptive field locations for all units in 527 our analysis (RF *P*-value < 0.01).

#### Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System





Supplementary Figure 8. Distributions of pairwise functional delays. Histograms of CCG peak 530 offsets for all pairs of units included in Figure 3.

531

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



**Supplementary Figure 9. Extended** data for Figure 4. (A) Cumulative probability function for time to first spike (same data as Figure 4B). (B) *P*-values for pairwise comparisons of time to first spike between areas (Wilcoxon rank-sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate correction). (C) Cumulative probability function for receptive field area (same data as Figure 4E). (D) *P*-values for pairwise comparisons of receptive field size between areas. (E) Cumulative probability function for modulation index (same data as Figure 4H). (F) P-values for pairwise comparisons of modulation index between area. (G) Cumulative probability function for autocorrelation timescale (same data as Figure 4K). (H) P-values for pairwise comparisons of autocorrelation timescale between areas. (I) Distribution of overall firing rates for all units in each area. (J) Correlation between mean firing rate and anatomical hierarchy score.

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System



563 564

Supplementary Figure 10. Extended data for Figure 5. (A) Cumulative probability function for time 565 to first spike (same data as Figure 5E). (B) P-values for pairwise comparisons of time to first spike 566 between areas (Wilcoxon rank-sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate correction). (C) Cumulative probability function for change modulation index (same data as Figure 5F). (D) P-values for 567 568 pairwise comparisons of change modulation index between areas (E) Correlation between mean baseline 569 firing rate and hierarchy score. (F) Correlation between mean pre-change response spike rate and 570 hierarchy score. (G) Correlation between mean change response spike rate and hierarchy score.

571

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

#### 572 **References**

#### 573

- Allen WE, Chen MZ, Pichamoorthy N, Tien RH, Pachitariu M, Luo L, Deisseroth K. 2019.
  Thirst regulates motivated behavior through modulation of brainwide neural population dynamics. *Science (80-)* 364:0–10. doi:10.1126/science.aav3932
- Andermann ML, Kerlin AM, Roumis DK, Glickfeld LL, Reid RC. 2011. Functional
   Specialization of Mouse Higher Visual Cortical Areas. *Neuron* 72:1025–1039.
- 579 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.013
- Bennett C, Gale SD, Garrett ME, Newton ML, Callaway EM, Murphy GJ, Olsen SR. 2019.
   Higher-Order Thalamic Circuits Channel Parallel Streams of Visual Information in Mice.
   *Neuron* 102:477-492.e5. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.02.010
- Brincat SL, Siegel M, von Nicolai C, Miller EK. 2018. Gradual progression from sensory to
  task-related processing in cerebral cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 115:E7202–E7211.
  doi:10.1073/pnas.1717075115
- 586 Bullier J. 2001. Integrated model of visual processing. *Brain Res Rev* 36:96–107.
   587 doi:10.1016/S0165-0173(01)00085-6
- Chaudhuri R, Knoblauch K, Gariel MA, Kennedy H, Wang XJ. 2015. A Large-Scale Circuit
   Mechanism for Hierarchical Dynamical Processing in the Primate Cortex. *Neuron* 88:419–
   431. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.008
- 591 Chen J, Hasson U, Honey CJ. 2015. Processing Timescales as an Organizing Principle for
   592 Primate Cortex. *Neuron* 88:244–246. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.10.010
- 593 Coogan TA, Burkhalter A. 1993. Hierarchical organization of areas in rat visual cortex. J
   594 *Neurosci* 13:3749–3772.
- 595 D'Souza RD, Burkhalter A. 2017. A laminar organization for selective cortico-cortical
   596 communication. *Front Neuroanat* 11:1–13. doi:10.3389/fnana.2017.00071
- 597 D'Souza RD, Meier AM, Bista P, Wang Q, Burkhalter A. 2016. Recruitment of inhibition and
   598 excitation across mouse visual cortex depends on the hierarchy of interconnecting areas.
   599 *Elife* 5:e19332. doi:10.7554/eLife.19332
- de Vries SEJ, Lecoq J, Buice MA, Groblewski PA, Ocker GK, Oliver M, Feng D, Cain N,
   Ledochowitsch P, Millman D, Roll K, Garrett M, Keenan T, Kuan L, Mihalas S, Olsen S,
- 602 Thompson C, Wakeman W, Waters J, Williams D, Barber C, Berbesque N, Blanchard B,
- Bowles N, Caldejon S, Casal L, Cho A, Cross S, Dang C, Dolbeare T, Edwards M,
- Galbraith J, Gaudreault N, Griffin F, Hargrave P, Howard R, Huang L, Jewell S, Keller N,
- 605 Knoblich U, Larkin J, Larsen R, Lau C, Lee E, Lee F, Leon A, Li L, Long F, Luviano J,
- 606 Mace K, Nguyen T, Perkins J, Robertson M, Seid S, Shea-Brown E, Shi J, Sjoquist N,
- 607 Slaughterbeck C, Sullivan D, Valenza R, White C, Williford A, Witten D, Zhuang J, Zeng
- H, Farrell C, Ng L, Bernard A, Phillips JW, Reid RC, Koch C. 2019. A large-scale,
   standardized physiological survey reveals higher order coding throughout the mouse visual
- 609 standardized physiological survey reveals higher order coding throughout the 610 cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 359513. doi:10.1101/359513
- Dürschmid S, Edwards E, Reichert C, Dewar C, Hinrichs H, Heinze HJ, Kirsch HE, Dalal SS,
  Deouell LY, Knight RT. 2016. Hierarchy of prediction errors for auditory events in human
  temporal and frontal cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113:6755–6760.
- 614 doi:10.1073/pnas.1525030113
- Felleman DJ, Van Essen DC. 1991. Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral
   cortex. *Cereb cortex* 1:1–47.
- Freeman J, Ziemba CM, Heeger DJ, Simoncelli EP, Movshon JA. 2013. A functional and
  perceptual signature of the second visual area in primates. *Nat Publ Gr* 16:974–981.
  doi:10.1038/nn.3402

- 620 Fukushima K. 1980. Neocognition: a self. *Biol Cybern* **202**:193–202. doi:10.1007/BF00344251
- Fulcher BD, Murray JD, Zerbi V, Wang XJ. 2019. Multimodal gradients across mouse cortex.
   *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 116:4689–4695. doi:10.1073/pnas.1814144116
- 623 Gămănuţ R, Kennedy H, Toroczkai Z, Ercsey-Ravasz M, Van Essen DC, Knoblauch K,
  624 Burkhalter A. 2018. The Mouse Cortical Connectome, Characterized by an Ultra-Dense
  625 Cortical Graph, Maintains Specificity by Distinct Connectivity Profiles. *Neuron* 97:698-
- 626 715.e10. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.12.037
- 627 Garrett ME, Manavi S, Roll K, Ollerenshaw DR, Groblewski PA, Kiggins J, Jia X, Casal L,
  628 Mace K, Williford A, Leon A, Mihalas S, Olsen SR. 2019. Experience shapes activity
  629 dynamics and stimulus coding of VIP inhibitory and excitatory cells in visual cortex.
  630 *bioRxiv* 686063. doi:10.1101/686063
- Garrett ME, Nauhaus I, Marshel JH, Callaway EM. 2014. Topography and Areal Organization of
   Mouse Visual Cortex. *J Neurosci* 34:12587–12600. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1124 14.2014
- Glickfeld LL, Olsen SR. 2017. Higher-Order Areas of the Mouse Visual Cortex. *Annu Rev Vis Sci* 3:annurev-vision-102016-061331. doi:10.1146/annurev-vision-102016-061331
- Grimm S, Escera C, Slabu L, Costa-Faidella J. 2011. Electrophysiological evidence for the
   hierarchical organization of auditory change detection in the human brain.
   *Psychophysiology* 48:377–384. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.2010.01073.x
- Han Y, Kebschull JM, Campbell RAA, Cowan D, Imhof F, Zador AM, Mrsic-Flogel TD. 2018.
  The logic of single-cell projections from visual cortex. *Nature* 556:51–56.
  doi:10.1038/nature26159
- Harris JA, Mihalas S, Hirokawa KE, Whitesell JD, Knox J, Bernard A, Bohn P, Caldejon S,
  Casal L, Cho A, Feng D, Gaudreault N, Gerfen C, Graddis N, Groblewski PA, Henry A, Ho
  A, Howard R, Kuan L, Lecoq J, Luviano J, McConoghy S, Mortrud M, Naeemi M, Ng L,
- 645 A, Howard R, Kuan L, Lecoq J, Luviano J, McConoghy S, Mortrud M, Naeemi M, Ng L, 645 Oh SW, Ouellette B, Sorensen S, Wakeman W, Wang Q, Williford A, Phillips J, Jones A,
- 645 On Sw, Odenette B, Sofensen S, Wakeman W, Wang Q, Winnold A, Finnips J, Jones A,
   646 Koch C, Zeng H. 2019. The organization of cortico-cortical, thalamo-cortical, and cortico-
- 647 thalamic connections by layer and cell class. *Nature*. doi:10.1101/292961
- Hubel DH. 1988. Eye, Brain and Vision, volume 22 of Scientific American Library. *Sci Am Press New York*.
- Hubel DH, Wiesel TN. 1965. Receptive archi- tecture in two nonstriate visual areas (18 and 19)
  of the cati. *J Neurophysiol* 28:229–289.
- Hubel DH, Wiesel TN. 1962. Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture
  in the cat's visual cortex. *J Physiol* 160:106–154.
- Issa EB, Cadieu CF, Dicarlo JJ. 2018. Neural dynamics at successive stages of the ventral visual
   stream are consistent with hierarchical error signals. *Elife* 7:1–24. doi:10.7554/eLife.42870
- Jia X, Tanabe S, Kohn A. 2013. Gamma and the Coordination of Spiking Activity in Early
   Visual Cortex. *Neuron* 77:762–774. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.036
- Jun JJ, Steinmetz NA, Siegle JH, Denman DJ, Bauza M, Barbarits B, Lee AK, Anastassiou CA,
  Andrei A, Aydin Ç, Barbic M, Blanche TJ, Bonin V, Couto J, Dutta B, Gratiy SL, Gutnisky
  DA, Häusser M, Karsh B, Ledochowitsch P, Lopez CM, Mitelut C, Musa S, Okun M,
- 661 Pachitariu M, Putzeys J, Rich PD, Rossant C, Sun WL, Svoboda K, Carandini M, Harris
- 662 KD, Koch C, O'Keefe J, Harris TD. 2017. Fully integrated silicon probes for high-density 663 recording of neural activity. *Nature* **551**:232–236. doi:10.1038/nature24636
- Keller GB, Mrsic-Flogel TD. 2018. Predictive Processing: A Canonical Cortical Computation.
   *Neuron* 100:424–435. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.10.003
- 666 Kim Y, Yang GR, Pradhan K, Venkataraju KU, Bota M, García del Molino LC, Fitzgerald G,
- 667 Ram K, He M, Levine JM, Mitra P, Huang ZJ, Wang XJ, Osten P. 2017. Brain-wide Maps

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

668 Reveal Stereotyped Cell-Type-Based Cortical Architecture and Subcortical Sexual 669 Dimorphism. Cell 171:456-469.e22. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.020 670 Koch C, Reid RC. 2012. Neuroscience: Observatories of the mind. Nature 483:397. 671 Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton. GE. 2012. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional 672 neural networks. In Advances in neural information. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst 1097-673 1105. 674 Lennie P. 1998. Lennie1998 27:889–935. 675 Lima SQ, Hromádka T, Znamenskiy P, Zador AM. 2009. PINP: A new method of tagging 676 neuronal populations for identification during in vivo electrophysiological recording. PLoS 677 One 4. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006099 678 Marshel JH, Garrett ME, Nauhaus I, Callaway EM. 2011. Functional Specialization of Seven 679 Mouse Visual Cortical Areas. Neuron 72:1040-1054. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.12.004 680 Matteucci G, Bellacosa Marotti R, Riggi M, Rosselli FB, Zoccolan D. 2019. Nonlinear 681 processing of shape information in rat lateral extrastriate cortex. J Neurosci 39:1938-18. 682 doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1938-18.2018 683 Maunsell JHR. 1992. Functional visual streams. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2:506-510. 684 doi:10.1016/0959-4388(92)90188-Q 685 Murray JD, Bernacchia A, Freedman DJ, Romo R, Wallis JD, Cai X, Padoa-Schioppa C, 686 Pasternak T, Seo H, Lee D, Wang XJ. 2014. A hierarchy of intrinsic timescales across 687 primate cortex. Nat Neurosci 17:1661–1663. doi:10.1038/nn.3862 688 Niell CM, Stryker MP. 2008. Highly selective receptive fields in mouse visual cortex. J Neurosci 689 28:7520-36. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0623-08.2008 690 Oh SW, Harris JA, Ng L, Winslow B, Cain N, Mihalas S, Wang O, Lau C, Kuan L, Henry AM, 691 Mortrud MT, Ouellette B, Nguyen TN, Sorensen SA, Slaughterbeck CR, Wakeman W, Li 692 Y, Feng D, Ho A, Nicholas E, Hirokawa KE, Bohn P, Joines KM, Peng H, Hawrylycz MJ, 693 Phillips JW, Hohmann JG, Wohnoutka P, Gerfen CR, Koch C, Bernard A, Dang C, Jones 694 AR, Zeng H. 2014. A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. *Nature* **508**:207–214. 695 doi:10.1038/nature13186 696 Pachitariu M, Steinmetz N, Kadir S, Carandini M, Harris KD. 2016. Kilosort: realtime spike-697 sorting for extracellular electrophysiology with hundreds of channels. bioRxiv 061481. 698 doi:10.1101/061481 699 Riesenhuber M, Poggio T. 1999. Hierarchical models of object recognition in cortex. Nat 700 Neurosci 2:1019-1025. doi:10.1038/14819 701 Rockland KS, Pandya DN. 1979. Laminar origins and terminations of cortical connections of the 702 occipital lobe in the rhesus monkey. Brain Res 179:3-20. doi:10.1016/0006-703 8993(79)90485-2 704 Román Rosón M, Bauer Y, Kotkat AH, Berens P, Euler T, Busse L. 2019. Mouse dLGN 705 Receives Functional Input from a Diverse Population of Retinal Ganglion Cells with 706 Limited Convergence. Neuron 102:462-476.e8. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.040 707 Schmolesky MT, Wang Y, Hanes D, Thompson KG, Leutgeb S, Schall JD, Leventhal a G. 708 1998. Signal timing across the macaque visual system. Jnp 79:3272–3278. 709 doi:10.1016/j.actpsy.2013.06.009 710 Smith IT, Townsend LB, Huh R, Zhu H, Smith SL. 2017. Stream-dependent development of 711 higher visual cortical areas. Nat Neurosci 20:200–208. doi:10.1038/nn.4469 712 Smith MA, Kohn A. 2008. Spatial and Temporal Scales of Neuronal Correlation in Primary 713 Visual Cortex. J Neurosci 28:12591–12603. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2929-08.2008 714 Sporns O. 2010. Networks of the Brain. MIT press. 715 Steinmetz NA, Zatka-Haas P, Carandini M, Harris KD. 2018. Distributed correlates of visually-

- guided behavior across the mouse brain. *bioRxiv* 474437. doi:10.1101/474437
- Stringer C, Pachitariu M, Steinmetz N, Reddy CB, Carandini M, Harris KD. 2019. Spontaneous
  behaviors drive multidimensional, brainwide activity. *Science* (80-) 364.
- 719 doi:10.1126/science.aav7893
- Tasic B, Yao Z, Graybuck LT, Smith KA, Nguyen TN, Bertagnolli D, Goldy J, Garren E,
  Economo MN, Viswanathan S, Penn O, Bakken T, Menon V, Miller J, Fong O, Hirokawa
- 722 KE, Lathia K, Rimorin C, Tieu M, Larsen R, Casper T, Barkan E, Kroll M, Parry S,
- Shapovalova N V., Hirschstein D, Pendergraft J, Sullivan HA, Kim TK, Szafer A, Dee N,
- 724 Groblewski P, Wickersham I, Cetin A, Harris JA, Levi BP, Sunkin SM, Madisen L, Daigle
- TL, Looger L, Bernard A, Phillips J, Lein E, Hawrylycz M, Svoboda K, Jones AR, Koch C,
  Zeng H. 2018. Shared and distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. *Nature*563:72–78. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0654-5
- Ungerleider LG, Mishkin M. 1982. Two cortical visual systems In: Ingle D, Goodale M,
  Mansfield R, editors. In Analysis of Visual Behavior. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. pp. 549–
  586.
- Vinken K, Vogels R, Op de Beeck H. 2017. Recent Visual Experience Shapes Visual Processing
   in Rats through Stimulus-Specific Adaptation and Response Enhancement. *Curr Biol* 27:914–919. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.02.024
- Wang Q, Burkhalter A. 2007. Area Map of Mouse Visual Cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 502:339–357.
   doi:10.1002/cne
- Wang Q, Sporns O, Burkhalter A. 2012. Network Analysis of Corticocortical Connections
   Reveals Ventral and Dorsal Processing Streams in Mouse Visual Cortex. *J Neurosci* 32:4386–4399. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6063-11.2012
- Wypych M, Wang C, Nagy A, Benedek G, Dreher B, Waleszczyk WJ. 2012. Standardized F1 A consistent measure of strength of modulation of visual responses to sine-wave drifting
   *Table Science Process P*
- 741 gratings. Vision Res **72**:14–33. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2012.09.004
- Yamins DLK, DiCarlo JJ. 2016. Using goal-driven deep learning models to understand sensory
   cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 19:356–365. doi:10.1038/nn.4244
- Zandvakili A, Kohn A. 2015. Coordinated Neuronal Activity Enhances Corticocortical
   Communication. *Neuron* 87:827–839. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.07.026
- 746 Zhuang J, Ng L, Williams D, Valley M, Li Y, Garrett M, Waters J. 2017. An extended
- retinotopic map of mouse cortex. *Elife* **6**:1–29. doi:10.7554/eLife.18372

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

# 748 Materials and Methods

# 749 **1. Mice**

- 750 Mice were maintained in the Allen Institute for Brain Science animal facility and used in
- accordance with protocols approved by the Allen Institute's Institutional Animal Care and UseCommittee.
- 753 Wild-type C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories at age P25-50. For
- experiments involving opto-tagging of inhibitory cells, Pvalb-IRES-Cre, Vip-IRES-Cre, and Sst-
- 755 IRES-Cre mice were bred in-house and crossed with an Ai32 channelrhodopsin reporter line
- 756 (Madisen et al., 2012). Pvalb-IRES-Cre;Ai32 breeding sets (pairs and trios) consisted of
- 757 heterozygous Pvalb-IRES-Cre mice crossed with either heterozygous or homozygous
- Ai32(RCL-ChR2(H134R)\_EYFP) mice. Pvalb-IRES-Cre is expressed in the male germline. To
- avoid germline deletion of the stop codon in the LoxP-STOP-LoxP cassette, Pvalb-IRES-
- 760 Cre;Ai32 mice were not used as breeders. Sst-IRES-Cre;Ai32 breeding sets (pairs and trios)
- consisted of heterozygous Sst-IRES-Cre mice crossed with either heterozygous or homozygous
- Ai32(RCL-ChR2(H134R)\_EYFP) mice. Vip-IRES-Cre;Ai32 breeding sets (pairs and trios)
- consisted of heterozygous Vip-IRES-Cre mice crossed with either heterozygous or homozygous
- Ai32(RCL-ChR2(H134R)\_EYFP) mice. Cre+ cells from Ai32 lines are highly photosensitive,
- 765 due to expression of Channelrhodopsin-2 (Zhang et al., 2006).
- Following surgery, all mice were single-housed and maintained on a reverse 12-hour light cycle.
- All experiments were performed during the dark cycle. For passive viewing experiments,
- animals were given ad libitum access to food and water. For behavioral experiments, mice were
- given an amount of water required to maintain 85% of their initial body weight, with ad libitum
- access to food.

# 771 **2. Surgery**

772

# 773 **2.1. Headframe design**

To enable co-registration across surgery, intrinsic signal imaging, and electrophysiology rigs, each animal was implanted with a 304 stainless steel headframe that provides access to the brain via a cranial window and permits head fixation in a reproducible configuration (de Vries et al., 2019). The cranial window angle was at 23 degrees of roll and 6 degrees of pitch, referenced to a plane passing through lambda and bregma and the mediolateral axis. Use of this headframe

- allowed the 5 mm craniotomy to be repeatability centered at x = -2.8 mm and y = 1.3 mm (origin at lambda).
- 781
- The headframe was glued to a well made of black acrylic photopolymer that served four
- functions: (1) shielding the craniotomy and probes during the experiment, (2) providing a surface
- 784 for precisely aligning the insertion window, (3) routing the animal ground to an exposed gold
- pin, and (4) holding threads for a plastic cap that protects the craniotomy before and after theexperiment.
- 787

### 788 **2.2. Surgical procedures**

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

789 A pre-operative injection of dexamethasone (3.2 mg/kg, S.C.) was administered 3 h before 790 surgery. Mice were initially anesthetized with 5% isoflurane (1-3 min) and placed in a 791 stereotaxic frame (Model# 1900, Kopf), and isoflurane levels were maintained at 1.5-2.5% for 792 surgery. Body temperature was maintained at 37.5°C. Carprofen was administered for pain 793 management (5-10 mg/kg, S.C.). Atropine was administered to suppress bronchial secretions and 794 regulate hearth rhythm (0.02-0.05 mg/kg, S.C.). An incision was made to remove skin, and the 795 exposed skull was levelled with respect to pitch (bregma-lamda level), roll, and yaw. The 796 headframe was placed on the skull and fixed in place with White C&B Metabond (Parkell). Once 797 the Metabond was dry, the mouse was placed in a custom clamp to position the skull at a rotated 798 angle of 20°, to facilitate creation of the craniotomy over visual cortex. A circular piece of skull 5 799 mm in diameter was removed, and a durotomy was performed. The brain was covered by a 5 mm 800 diameter circular glass coverslip, with a 1 mm lip extending over the intact skull. The bottom of 801 the coverslip was coated with a layer of silicone to reduce adhesion to the brain surface. The 802 coverslip was secured to the skull with Vetbond (Patterson Veterinary) (Goldey et al., 2014). 803 Kwik-Cast (World Precision Instruments) was added around the coverslip to further seal the 804 implant, and Metabond bridges between the coverslip and the headframe well were created to

- hold the Kwik-Cast in place. At the end of the procedure, but prior to recovery from anesthesia,
- the mouse was transferred to a photodocumentation station to capture a spatially registered
- 807 image of the cranial window (Supplementary Figure 1A).

### 808 2.3. Surgery quality control

809 In cases of excessive bleeding or other complications, the surgical procedure was aborted, and

- 810 the mouse was euthanized. Mice that completed surgery entered a 7-10 day recovery period that
- 811 included regular checks for overall health, cranial window clarity, and brain health. If mice failed
- the first health check, they received another one the following week. Mice that exhibited signs of
- 813 deteriorating health or damaged brain surface vasculature were not passed on to the next step.
- 814 Out of 105 mice entering the surgery step, 4 were removed from the pipeline due to QC failures
- 815 at this stage (**Supplementary Figure 2A**).

# 816 **3. Intrinsic Signal Imaging**

817 Intrinsic signal imaging (ISI) measures the hemodynamic response of the cortex to visual

- stimulation across the entire field of view. This technique can be used to obtain retinotopic maps
- 819 representing the spatial relationship of the visual field (or, in this case, coordinate position on the
- 820 stimulus monitor) to locations within each cortical area. This mapping procedure was used to
- delineate functionally defined visual area boundaries to enable targeting of Neuropixels probes to
- retinotopically defined locations in primary and secondary visual areas (Juavinett et al., 2017).

### 823 **3.1. Data acquisition**

824 Mice were lightly anesthetized with 1-1.4% isoflurane administered with a SomnoSuite (model

- 825 #715; Kent Scientific) and vital signs were monitored with a PhysioSuite (model # PS-MSTAT-
- 826 RT). Eye drops (Lacri99 Lube Lubricant Eye Ointment; Refresh) were applied to maintain
- 827 hydration and clarity of eyes during anesthesia. Imaging sessions began with a vasculature image
- acquired under green illumination (527 nm LEDs; Cree Inc., C503B-GCN-CY0C0791). Next the
- 829 imaging plane was defocused and the hemodynamic response to a visual stimulus was imaged

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- under red light (635 nm LEDs; Avago Technologies, HLMP-EG08-Y2000) with an Andor Zyla
- 831 5.5 10 tap sCMOS camera. The stimulus consisted of an alternating checkerboard pattern (20°
- 832 wide bar, 25° square size) moving across a mean luminance gray background. On each trial, the
- stimulus bar was swept across the four cardinal axes 10 times in each direction at a rate of 0.1 Hz
- 834 (Kalatsky and Stryker, 2003). Up to 10 trials were performed on each mouse.

# 835 **3.2. Data processing**

A minimum of three trials were averaged to produce altitude and azimuth phase maps, calculated

837 from the discrete Fourier transform of each pixel. A "sign map" was produced from the phase

maps by taking the sine of the angle between the altitude and azimuth map gradients. In the sign

maps, each cortical visual area appears as a contiguous red or blue region (Garrett et al., 2014).
These maps are used to confirm the cortical area identity of each probe insertion, using the

841 vasculature as fiducial markers (**Figure 1C-D**, **Supplementary Figure 1B**).

842 The altitude and azimuth maps were also used to create a map of eccentricity from the center of

visual space (the intersection of 0° altitude and 0° azimuth). Because the actual center of gaze

844 will vary from mouse to mouse, the eccentricity map was shifted to align with the screen

845 coordinates at the center of V1 (which maps to the center of the retina). This V1-aligned

846 eccentricity map was used for probe targeting, to ensure that recorded neurons represent a

847 consistent region on the retina, approximately at the center of the right visual hemifield.

# 848 **3.3. ISI quality control**

857

863

- 849 The quality control process for the ISI-derived maps included four distinct inspection steps:
- 3.3.1. The brain surface and vasculature images were inspected post-acquisition for clarity,
   focus, and position of the cranial window within the field of view.
- 853
  853
  854
  854
  855
  855
  856
  856
  3.3.2. Individual trials were inspected for visual coverage range and continuity of phase maps, localization of the signal from the amplitude maps and stereotypical organization of sign maps. Only trials respecting these criteria were included in the final average, and a minimum of 3 trials were required.
- 3.3.3. Visual area boundaries were delineated using automated segmentation, and maps
  were curated based on stringent criteria to ensure data quality. The automated
  segmentation and identification of a minimum of six visual areas including V1, LM,
  RL, AL, AM and PM was required. A maximum of three manual adjustments were
  permitted to compensate for algorithm inefficiency.
- 3.3.4. Each processed retinotopic map was inspected for coverage range (35-60° altitude and 60-100° azimuth), bias (absolute value of the difference between max and min of altitude or azimuth range; <10°), alignment of the center of retinotopic eccentricity with the centroid of V1 (<15° apart), and the area size of V1 (>2.8 cm2).

868 If QC was not passed after the first round of ISI mapping, the procedure was repeated up to two 869 more times to obtain a passing map. In addition to the QC procedures carried out on the ISI-

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 870 derived maps, the vasculature images were also examined for the presence of white artifacts on
- the brain surface. White artifacts, an indicator of potential brain damage, were grounds for
- failing the mouse out of the pipeline. Out of 101 mice entering ISI, 9 did not pass onto
- habituation due to QC failures during this step (Supplementary Figure 2B).

# **4. Habituation and Behavior Training**

875

# 876 **4.1. Habituation for passive viewing experiments**

877 Mice underwent two weeks of habituation in sound-attenuated training boxes containing a 878 headframe holder, running wheel, and stimulus monitor (Supplementary Figure 1C). Each 879 mouse was trained by the same operator throughout the 2-week period. During the first week, the 880 operator gently handles the mice, introduces them to the running wheel, and head-fixes them 881 with progressively longer durations each day. During the second week, mice run freely on the 882 wheel and are exposed to visual stimuli for 10 to 50 min per day. The following week, mice 883 undergo habituation sessions of 75 minutes and 100 minutes on the recording rig, in which they 884 view a truncated version of the same stimulus that will be shown during the experiment.

# 885 **4.2. Behavior training**

886 A subset of mice were trained to perform a change detection task in which one of 8 natural 887 images was continuously flashed (250 ms image presentation followed by 500 ms gray screen) 888 and mice were rewarded for licking when the image identity changed (Figure 5A). The change 889 detection task is described in detail by (Garrett et al., 2019). Briefly, for each trial the time of 890 image change was drawn from an exponential distribution with a minimum of 5 image flashes 891 (3.75 s) and a maximum of 11 flashes (8.25 s). Licking before the image change restarted the 892 trial. Trials in which the mouse licked within 750 ms of image change were "hits," while licks 893 within 750 ms of non-change catch trials (occurring at the same distribution of times since the 894 last change as change trials) were classified as false alarms (Figure 5B). Mice must perform the 895 task with a d' above 1 and have at least 100 contingent (non-aborted) trials for 3 consecutive

- 896 days prior to moving to the recording rig.
- 897

# 898 **4.3. Habituation quality control**

- 899 Upon completion of the second week of habituation, mice received an assessment of overall
- 900 stress levels that reflected observations made by the trainer, including coat appearance,
- 901 components of the mouse grimace scale, and overall body movements. Out of 92 mice entering
- habituation for passive viewing experiments, 2 did not pass on to the insertion window implant
- 903 step (Supplementary Figure 2C)

# 904 5. Insertion Window Implant

905

# 906 **5.1. Window generation**

Following the completion of a successful ISI map, a custom insertion window was generated for each mouse. First, six insertion targets were manually drawn on the V1-aligned eccentricity map using a web-based annotation tool. Targets were positioned at the center of retinotopy of V1,

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

910 LM, AL, AM, and PM; because the retinotopic center of RL often lies on the boundary between

911 RL and S1 barrel cortex, the target location was adjusted to be closer to the geometric center of

912 this area. The coordinates of each target were used to automatically generate the outlines of the

- 913 insertion window, which was subsequently laser-cut out of 0.5 mm clear PETG plastic (Ponoko).
  914 When seated in the headframe well, the window facilitates access to the brain via holes over each
- 914 when sealed in the headframe well, the window facilitates access to the brain via holes over each 915 of the six visual areas. A solidified agarose/ACSF mixture injected between the brain and the
- 915 of the six visual areas. A solidified agarose/ACSF mixture injected between the brain and 916 window stabilizes the brain during the recording
- 916 window stabilizes the brain during the recording.

# 917 **5.2. Surgical procedure**

918

919 On the day of recording, the cranial coverslip was removed and replaced with an insertion

920 window containing holes aligned to six cortical visual areas. First, the mouse was anesthetized 921 with isoflurane (3%-5%) induction and 1.5\% maintenance, 100% O<sub>2</sub>) and eves were protected

with ocular lubricant (I Drop, VetPLUS). Body temperature was maintained at 37.5°C (TC-1000

923 temperature controller, CWE, Incorporated). Metabond bridges were removed from the glass

- 924 cranial window, followed by the sealing layer of Kwik-Cast. Using a 2 mm silicone suction cup,
- 925 the cranial window was gently lifted to expose the brain. The insertion window was then placed
- 926 in the headframe well and sealed with Metabond. An agarose mixture was injected underneath

the window and allowed to solidify. The mixture consisted of 0.4 g high EEO Agarose (SigmaAldrich), 0.42 g Certified Low-Melt Agarose (Bio Rad), and 20.5 mL ACSF (135.0 mM NaCl,

5.4 mM KCl, 1.0 mM MgCl2, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 5.0 mM HEPES). This mixture was optimized to

930 be firm enough to stabilize the brain with minimal probe drift, but pliable enough to allow the

931 probes to pass through without bending. A layer of silicone oil (30,000 cSt, Aldrich) was added

932 over the holes in the insertion window to prevent the agarose from drying (Supplementary
933 Figure 1D). A 3D-printed plastic cap was screwed into the headframe well to keep out cage

debris. At the end of this procedure, mice were returned to their home cages for 1-2 hours.

935

# 936 **5.3. Insertion window implant quality control**

937 3 out of 90 mice did not pass through to the recording step due to procedure failures during

938 insertion window implantation. These failures were caused by the headframe coming loose from

the skull or excessive bleeding after cranial window removal, after which the mice were

940 euthanized (Supplementary Figure 2D).

# 941 6. Neuropixels Recordings

942

# 943 **6.1. Probes**

All neural recordings were carried out with Neuropixels probes (Jun et al., 2017). Each probe contains 960 recording sites, a subset of 374 ("Neuropixels 3a") or 383 ("Neuropixels 1.0") of which can be configured for recording at any given time. The electrodes closest to the tip were always used, providing a maximum of 3.84 mm of tissue coverage. The sites are oriented in a checkerboard pattern on a 70 µm wide x 10 mm long shank. Neural signals are routed to an integrated base containing amplification, digitization, and multiplexing circuitry. The signals

950 from each recording site are split in hardware into a spike band (30 kHz sampling rate, 500 Hz

- highpass filter) and an LFP band (2.5 kHz sampling rate, 1000 Hz lowpass filter). Due to their
- 952 dense site configuration (20  $\mu$ m vertical separation along the entire length of the shank), each

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- probe has the capacity to record hundreds of neurons at the same time. Our goal was to insert 6
- probes/mouse. Overall, we achieved a penetration success of 5.7 probes/mouse, with failures due
- to dura regrowth, collisions with the protective cone or opto-tagging fiber optic cable, or probe
- 956 breakage during manipulation.

957 The base of each probes contains 32 10-bit analog-to-digital converters (ADCs), each of which

- are connected to 12 spike-band channels and 12 LFP-band channels via multiplexers. A full
- 959 cycle of digitization requires 156 samples: 12 samples from each of 12 spike-band channels, and
- 960 1 sample from each of 12 LFP-band channels. Each ADC serves a contiguous bank of odd or
- even channels, so ADC 1 digitizes channels [1,3,5,...,23], ADC 2 digitizes channels [2,4,6,...,24],
- ADC 3 digitizes channels [25,27,29,...,47], etc. Because of the need for interleaved sampling, common-mode noise will be shared across all channels that are acquired simultaneously, e.g.
- 964 [1,2,25,26,49,50,...,361,362].

# 965 6.2. Experimental rig

966 The experimental rig (**Figure 1B**) was designed to allow six Neuropixels probes to penetrate the 967 brain approximately perpendicular to the surface of visual cortex. Each probe is mounted on a 3-

- axis micromanipulator (New Scale Technologies, Victor, NY), which are in turn mounted on a
- solid aluminum plate, known as the probe cartridge. The cartridge can be removed from the rig
- 970 using a pair of pneumatic tool-changers, to facilitate probe replacement and maintenance.

# 971 **6.3. Workflow Sequencing Engine**

- 972 The experimental procedure was guided by a Work Sequencing Engine (WSE), a custom GUI
- 973 written in Python. This software ensured that all experimental steps were carried out in the
- 974 correct order, reducing trial-to-trial variability and optimizing operator efficiency. The GUI
- 975 logged the operator ID, mouse ID, and session ID, and ensured that all hardware and software
- 976 were properly configured. The WSE was also used to start and stop the visual stimulus, the body
- 977 and eyetracking cameras, and Neuropixels data acquisition.

# 978 **6.4. Probe alignment**

979

980 The tip of each probe was aligned to its associated opening in the insertion window using a 981 coordinate transformation obtained via a prior calibration procedure. The XY locations of the six 982 visual area targets were supplied by the Workflow Sequencing Engine (WSE), and these values 983 were translated into XYZ coordinates for each 3-axis manipulator using a custom Python script. 984 The operator then moved each probe into place with a joystick, with the probes fully retracted 985 along the insertion axis.

986

# 987 6.5. Application of CM-DiI

- 988
- CM-DiI (1 mM in ethanol; ThermoFisher Product #V22888) was used to localize probes during
  the *ex vivo* imaging step because its fluorescence is maintained after brain clearing, and it has a
  limited diffusion radius. The probes were coated with CM-DiI before each recording by
- 992 immersing them one by one into a well filled with dye.
- 993

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

#### 994 **6.6. Head fixation**

995

996 The mouse was placed on the running wheel and fixed to the headframe clamp with three set 997 screws. Next, the plastic cap was removed from the headframe well and an aluminum cone with 998 3D-printed wings was lowered to prevent the mouse's tail from contacting the probes. An IR 999 dichroic mirror was placed in front the right eye to allow the eyetracking camera to operate 1000 without interference from the visual stimulus. A black curtain was then lowered over the front of

- 1001 the rig, placing the mice in complete darkness except for the visual stimulus monitor.
- 1002

# 1003 **6.7. Grounding**

1004

1005 A 32 AWG silver wire (A-M Systems) was cemented to the skull during the initial

1006 headframe/cranial window surgery. This wire becomes electrically conductive with the brain

1007 surface following the application of the ACSF/agarose mixture beneath the insertion window.

1008 The wire was pre-soldered to a gold pin embedded in the headframe well, which mates with a

second gold pin on the protective cone. The cone pin was soldered to 22 AWG hook-up wire

1010 (SparkFun Electronics), which was connected to both the behavior stage and the probe ground.

1011 Prior to the experiment, the brain-to-probe ground path was checked using a multimeter.

1012

1013 The reference connection on the Neuropixels probes was permanently soldered to ground using a

1014 silver wire, and all recordings were made using an external reference configuration. The

1015 headstage grounds (which are contiguous with the Neuropixels probe grounds) were connected 1016 together with 36 AWG copper wire (Phoenix Wire). For Neuropixels 3a, two probes had a direct

1016 together with 36 AWG copper wire (Phoenix Wire). For Neuropixels 3a, two probes had a direct 1017 path to animal ground, and the others were wired up serially. All probes were also connected to

1018 the main ground via the data cable (a dual coaxial cable). For Neuropixels 1.0, all probes were

1019 connected in parallel to animal ground, and were not connected to the main ground through the 1020 data cable (a single twisted pair cable).

1021

# 1022 **6.8.** Probe insertion

1023

1024 The probe cartridge was initially held approximately 30 cm above the mouse. Once the mouse 1025 was secured in the headframe, the cartridge was lowered so the probe tips were approximately 1026 2.5 mm above the brain surface. The probes were then manually lowered one by one to the brain 1027 surface until spikes were visible on the electrodes closest to the tip. After the probes penetrated 1028 the brain to a depth of ~100 microns, they were inserted automatically at a rate of 200  $\mu$ m/min 1029 (total of 3.5 mm or less in the brain) to avoid damage caused by rapid insertion (Fiáth et al.,

1030 2019). Once the probes reached their targets, they were allowed to settle for 5 to 10 min. Photo-

1031 documentation was taken with the probes fully retracted, after the probes reached the brain

surface (**Supplementary Figure 1E**), and again after the probes were fully inserted.

1033

# 1034 **6.9. Data acquisition and synchronization**

1035

1036 Neuropixels data was acquired at 30 kHz (spike band) and 2.5 kHz (LFP band) using the Open

1037 Ephys GUI (Siegle et al., 2017). Gain settings of 500x and 250x were used for the spike band

and LFP band, respectively. Each probe was either connected to a dedicated FPGA streaming
data over Ethernet (Neuropixels 3a) or a PXIe card inside a National Instruments chassis

1040 (Neuropixels 1.0). Raw neural data was streamed to a compressed format for archiving which

1041 was extracted prior to analysis.

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

#### 1042

1043 Videos of the eye and body were acquired at 30 Hz. The angular velocity of the running wheel 1044 was recorded at the time of each stimulus frame, at approximately 60 Hz. Synchronization 1045 signals for each frame were acquired by a dedicated computer with a National Instruments card 1046 acquiring digital inputs at 100 kHz, which was considered the master clock. A 32-bit digital 1047 "barcode" was sent with an Arduino Uno (SparkFun DEV-11021) every 30 s to synchronize all 1048 devices with the neural data. Each Neuropixels probe has an independent sample rate between 29,999.90 Hz and 30,000.31 Hz, making it necessary to align the samples offline to achieve 1049 1050 precise synchronization. The synchronization procedure used the first matching barcode between 1051 each probe and the master clock to determine the clock offset, and the last matching barcode to 1052 determine the clock scaling factor. If probe data acquisition was interrupted at any point during 1053 the experiment, each contiguous chunk of data was aligned separately. Because one LFP band 1054 sample was always acquired after every 12th spike band sample, these data streams could be 1055 synchronized automatically once the spike band clock rate has been determined.

1055

1057 To synchronize the visual stimulus to the master clock, a silicon photodiode (PDA36A,

1058 Thorlabs) was placed on the stimulus monitor above a "sync square" that flips from black to

1059 white every 60 frames. The analog photodiode signal was thresholded and recorded as a digital

event by the sync computer. Individual frame times were reconstructed by interpolating betweenthe photodiode on/off events.

1062

### 1063 **6.10. Stimulus monitor**

1064

1065 Visual stimuli were generated using custom scripts based on PsychoPy (Peirce, 2007) and were 1066 displayed using an ASUS PA248Q LCD monitor, with 1920 x 1200 pixels (21.93 in wide, 60 Hz 1067 refresh rate). Stimuli were presented monocularly, and the monitor was positioned 15 cm from the mouse's right eye and spanned 120° x 95° of visual space prior to stimulus warping. Each 1068 monitor was gamma corrected and had a mean luminance of 50 cd/ $m^2$ . To account for the close 1069 1070 viewing angle of the mouse, a spherical warping was applied to all stimuli to ensure that the 1071 apparent size, speed, and spatial frequency were constant across the monitor as seen from the 1072 mouse's perspective.

1073

# 1074 **6.11. Stimuli for passive viewing experiments**

1075
All experiments began with a receptive field mapping stimulus consisting of 2 Hz, 0.04 cycles
per degree drifting gratings with a 20° circular mask. These Gabor patches randomly appeared at
one of 81 locations on the screen (9 x 9 grid) for 250 ms at a time, with no blank interval. The
receptive field mapping stimulus was followed by a series of dark or light full-field flashes,
lasting 250 ms each and separated by a 2 second inter-trial interval.

1081

1082 Next, mice were shown one of two possible stimulus sets. The first, called "Brain Observatory

1083 1.1" is a concatenation of two sessions from the Two-Photon Imaging Brain Observatory (de

Vries et al., 2019) (**Supplementary Figure 6B**). Drifting gratings were shown with a spatial frequency of 0.04 cycles/deg, 80% contrast, 8 directions (0°, 45°, 90°, 135°, 180°, 225°, 270°,

1085 frequency of 0.04 cycles/deg, 80% contrast, 8 directions  $(0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 135^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}, 225^{\circ}, 270^{\circ}, 1086^{\circ}, 315^{\circ}, clockwise from 0^{\circ} = right-to-left) and 5 temporal frequencies (1, 2, 4, 8, and 15 Hz), with$ 

1080 515, clockwise from  $0^{\circ} = 1$  gnt-to-left) and 5 temporal frequencies (1, 2, 4, 8, and 15 Hz), with 1087 15 repeats per condition. Static gratings were shown at 6 different orientations (0°, 30°, 60°, 90°,

- 1088 120°, 150°, clockwise from  $0^\circ$  = vertical), 5 spatial frequencies (0.02, 0.04, 0.08, 0.16, 0.32
- 1089 cycles/degree), and 4 phases (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75); they are presented for 0.25 seconds, with no

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

1090 intervening gray period. The Natural Images stimulus consisted of 118 natural images taken from

- 1091 the Berkeley Segmentation Dataset (Martin et al., 2001), the van Hateren Natural Image Dataset
- 1092 (van Hateren and van der Schaaf, 1998), and the McGill Calibrated Colour Image Database
- 1093 (Olmos and Kingdom, 2004). The images were presented in grayscale and were contrast
- 1094 normalized and resized to 1174 x 918 pixels. The images were presented in a random order for
- 1095 0.25 seconds each, with no intervening gray period. Two natural movie clips were taken from the 1096 opening scene of the movie Touch of Evil (Welles, 1958). Natural Movie One was a 30 second
- 1097 clips repeated 20 times (2 blocks of 10), while Natural Movie Three was a 120 second clip
- repeated 10 times (2 blocks of 5). All clips were contrast normalized and were presented in
- 1099 grayscale at 30 fps.
- 1100
- 1101 The second stimulus set, called "Functional Connectivity," consisted of a subset of the stimuli
- 1102 from the Brain Observatory 1.1 set shown with a higher number of repeats (**Supplementary**
- **Figure 6C**). Drifting gratings were presented at 4 directions and one temporal frequency (2 Hz)
- 1104 with 75 repeats. A contrast-tuning stimulus consisting of drifting gratings at 4 directions (0°, 45°,
- 1105 90°, 135°, clockwise from  $0^{\circ}$  = left-to-right) and 9 contrasts (0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.08, 0.13, 0.2,
- 1106 0.35, 0.6, 1.0) was also shown. The Natural Movie One stimulus was presented a total of 60
- 1107 times, with an additional 20 repeats of a temporally shuffled version. Last, a dot motion stimulus
- 1108 consisting of approximately 200 1.5° radius white dots on a mean-luminance gray background
- 1109 moving at one of 7 speeds (0°/s, 16°/s, 32°/s, 64°/s, 128°/s, 256°/s, 512°/s) in four different 1110 directions (0°, 45°, 90°, 135°, clockwise from 0° = left-to-right) at 90% coherence was shown.
- 1110 1111

# 1112 **6.12. Stimuli for behavioral experiments**

- 1113 Mice carried out one hour of a change detection task as described in (Garrett et al., 2019).
- 1114 Following the behavior session, the lick spout was retracted and receptive field mapping stimuli
- and full-field flashes were presented for 25 minutes, with the same parameters as those used in
- the passive viewing experiments. All other aspects of the rig, including the running wheel,
- stimulus monitor, and electrophysiological recordings were the same as for the passive viewing
- 1118 experiments.

# 1119 **6.13. Probe removal and cleaning**

- 1120 Once the stimulus set was over, probes were retracted from the brain at a rate of 1 mm/s, after which the
- probe cartridge was raised to its full height. The protective cap was screwed into the headframe well, then
- 1122 mice were removed from head fixation and returned to their home cages overnight. Probes were
- immersed in a well of 1% Tergazyme for ~24 hours, which was sufficient to remove tissue and silicone
- 1124 oil prior to the next recording session.

# 1125 **6.14. Quality control for the Neuropixels recording session**

- 1126 Neuropixels recording sessions were subjected to the following QC criteria (Supplementary
  1127 Figure 2E):
- 1128 6.14.1. *Eye foam.* If white buildup around the eye obscures the pupil, the experiment is cancelled and the session is failed (8 mice).
- 1130

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

1131	6.14.2. <i>Bleeding</i> . If bleeding resulting from the window implant or the probe insertion
1132	obscures the vasculature, the session is failed (4 mice).
1133	
1134	6.14.3. <i>Probe insertion</i> . If fewer than four probes successfully enter the brain, the session is
1135	failed (1 mouse).
1136	
1137	6.14.4. Dropped frames. If the stimulus monitor photodiode measures >60 delayed frames,
1138	the session is failed (1 mouse).
1139	
1140	6.14.5. <i>Missing files</i> . If any critical files are overwritten, the session is failed (2 mice).
1141	
1142	6.14.6. Noise levels. If high RMS noise levels in the spike band persist after median
1143	subtraction, the session is failed (4 mice).
1144	
1145	6.14.7. <i>Probe drift</i> . If one or more probes exhibit >80 microns of drift over the course of the
1146	experiment, the session is failed (6 mice). Typical drift levels are around 40 microns,
1147	and drift levels are highly correlated across probes.
1148	In total, out of 87 mice entering the recording step, 61 passed session-level QC.

# 1149 **7.** *Ex Vivo* Imaging

1150

### 1151 **7.1. Tissue clearing**

1152 Mice were perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (after induction with 5% isoflurane and 1 L/min of

1153 O2). The brains were preserved in 4% PFA, rinsed with 1x phosphate buffered saline (PBS) the next

1154 morning, and stored at 4°C in PBS. Next, brains were run through a tissue clearing process based on the

iDISCO method (Renier et al., 2014). This procedure uses different solvents which dehydrate and

delipidate the tissue. The first day, the brains were immersed in different concentrations of methanol (20,

40, 60) for an hour each, then overnight in 80% methanol. On the second day, they were dipped into

1158 100% methanol (twice for one hour) and then into a mixture of 1/3 methanol and 2/3 dichloromethane

1159 overnight. On the third day, the brains were moved from pure dichloromethane  $(2 \times 20 \text{ min})$  to pure

dibenzyl ether, where they remained for 2 days until clearing was complete (Supplementary Figure 5A).

# 1162 **7.2. Optical projection tomography (OPT)**

1163 Whole-brain 3D imaging was accomplished with optical projection tomography (OPT) (Nguyen et al.,

1164 2017; Sharpe, 2002; Wong et al., 2013). The OPT instrument consisted of collimated light sources for

1165 transmitted illumination (on-axis white LED, Thorlabs MNWHL4 with Thorlabs SM2F32-A lens and

1166 Thorlabs DG20-600 diffuser) or fluorescence excitation (off-axis Thorlabs M530L3, with Thorlabs

1167 ACL2520U-DG6-A lens and Chroma ET535/70m-2P diffuser), a 0.5x telecentric lens (Edmund Optics

1168 62-932) with emission filter (575 nm LP, Edmund Optics 64-635), and a camera (IDS UI-3280CP). The

specimen was mounted on a rotating magnetic chuck attached to a stepper motor, which positioned the

1170 specimen on the optical axis and within a glass cuvette filled with dibenzyl ether. The stepper motor and

1171 illumination triggering were controlled with an Arduino Uno (SparkFun DEV-11021) and custom shield

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 1172 including a Big Easy Driver (SparkFun ROB-12859). Instrument communication and image capture was
- accomplished with MicroManager (Edelstein et al., 2014).
- 1174 A series of 400 images were captured with transmitted LED illumination with each image captured with
- 1175 the specimen rotated 0.9 degrees relative to the previous position. This series of 400 images was repeated
- 1176 with the fluorescence excitation LED. Each channel was stored as a separate OME-TIFF dataset before
- extracting individual planes and metadata required for reconstruction using a custom Python script
- 1178 (Supplementary Figure 5B).
- 1179 Isotropic 3D volumes were reconstructed from these projection images using NRecon (Bruker). The
- rotation axis offset and region-of-interest bounds were set for each image series pair using the transmitted channel dataset, then the same values applied to the fluorescence channel dataset. A smoothing level of 3
- using a Gaussian kernel was applied to all images. Reconstructions were exported as single-plane 16-bit
- 1183 TIFF images taken along the rotation axis with final voxel size of 7.9 µm per side (**Supplementary**
- 1184 **Figure 5C**).

# 1185 **7.3. Registering Probes to the Common Coordinate Framework**

- 1186 Reconstructed brains were downsampled to 10 µm per voxel and roughly aligned to the Allen Institute
- 1187 Common Coordinate Framework (CCFv3) template brain using an affine transform. The volume was
- then cropped to a size of 1023 x 1024 x 1024 and converted to Drishti format
- 1189 (http://sf.anu.edu.au/Vizlab/drishti). Next, 6-54 registration points were marked in up to 14 coronal slices
- 1190 of the individual brain by comparing to the CCFv3 template brain, obtained from (Shamash et al., 2018)
- 1191 (Supplementary Figure 5D). Fluorescent probe tracks were manually labeled in coronal slices of the
- 1192 individual brain, and the best-fit line was found using singular value decomposition (Supplementary
- **Figure 5E**). The registration points were used to define a 3D nonlinear transform (VTK
- 1194 thinPlateSplineTransform), which was used translate each point along the probe track into the CCFv3
- 1195 coordinate space. Each CCFv3 coordinate corresponds to a unique brain region, identified by its structure 1196 acronym (e.g., CA3, LP, VISp, etc.). A list of CCFv3 structure acronyms along each track was compared
- 1197 to the physiological features measured by each probe (e.g., unit density, LFP theta power;
- 1198 Supplementary Figure 5F). The location of major structural boundaries were manually adjusted to align
- the CCFv3 labels with the physiology data, and each recording channel (and its associated units) was
- 1200 assigned to a unique CCFv3 structure (**Supplementary Figure 5G**). White matter structures were not
- included; any units mapped to a white matter structure inherited the gray matter structure label that was
- 1202 immediately ventral along the probe axis.

# 1203 **7.4. Identification of cortical visual area targets**

1204

1205 To confirm the identity of the cortical visual areas, images of the probes taken during the

- 1206 experiment were compared to images of the brain surface vasculature taken during the ISI
- session. Vasculature patterns were used to overlay the visual area map on an image of the brain
- 1208 surface with the probes inserted. When done in custom software, key points were selected along
- 1209 the vasculature on both images and a perspective transform (OpenCV) was performed to warp
- 1210 the insertion image to the retinotopic map. When done manually, the overlap of both images was
- 1211 done in Photoshop or Illustrator (Adobe Suite). In both cases, the probe entry points were
- 1212 manually annotated. Finally, an area was assigned to each probe. Overall, successful targeting of 1213 the 6 target visual areas accurred at the following rates: 80% for AM, 72% for DM, 08% for VI
- the 6 target visual areas occurred at the following rates: 89% for AM, 72% for PM, 98% for V1,

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 1214 85% for LM, 79% for AL, and 90% for RL. A small subset of penetrations were mapped to LI,
- 1215 MMA, or MMP (Zhuang et al., 2017). Penetration points that could not be unambiguously
- associated with a particular visual area were classified as "VIS." If the cortical area label
- 1217 obtained via CCFv3 registration did not match the area identified in the insertion image overlay,
- 1218 the insertion image overlay took precedence.
- 1219
- 1220 **7.5.** *Ex vivo* imaging quality control
- 1221 **7.6.**

QC was performed on a probe-by-probe, rather than a mouse-by-mouse basis. Some probes were not visible in the OPT images due to faint CM-DiI signal or reconstruction artifacts caused by air bubbles in the tissue (**Supplementary Figure 2F**). In total, 284 out of 332 probes were mapped to the CCFv3. Probes that failed the *ex vivo* imaging step were not excluded from further analysis, but only included structure labels for channels in cortex (with the bottom of cortex identified based on the drop in unit density between cortex and hippocampus).

- 1228 8. Spike Sorting
- 1229

# 1230 **8.1. Data pre-processing** 1231

Data was written to disk in a format containing the original 10-bit samples from each ADC. These files were backed up to a tape drive, then extracted to a new set of files that represent each sample as a 16-bit integer, scaled to account for the gain settings on each channel. Separate data files were generated for the LFP band and spike band, along with additional files containing the times of synchronization events. The extracted files consume approximately 36% more disk space than the originals.

1238

1239 Prior to spike sorting, the spike-band data passed through 4 steps: offset removal, median 1240 subtraction, filtering, and whitening. First, the median value of each channel was subtracted to 1241 center the signals around zero. Next, the median across channels was subtracted to remove 1242 common-mode noise. While Neuropixels have been measured to have a spike-band RMS noise 1243 levels of 5.1  $\mu$ V in saline (Jun et al., 2017), this cannot be achieved in practice when recording in 1244 vivo. The signals become contaminated by background noise in neural tissue; movement artifacts 1245 associated with animal locomotion, whisking, and grooming; and electrical noise introduced by 1246 the additional wiring required to support multiple probes on one rig. To remove noise sources 1247 that are shared across channels, the median was calculated across channels that are sampled 1248 simultaneously, leaving out adjacent (even/odd) channels that are likely measuring the same 1249 spike waveforms, as well as reference channels that contain no signal. For each sample, the 1250 median value of channels N:24:384, where N = [1,2,3,...,24], was calculated, and this value was 1251 subtracted from the same set of channels. This method rejects high-frequency noise more 1252 effectively than subtracting the median of all channels, at the cost of leaving a residual of  $\sim 2 \mu V$ 1253 for large spikes, visible in the mean waveforms. Given that this value is well below the RMS 1254 noise level of the Neuropixels probes under ideal conditions, it should not affect spike sorting. 1255 The original data is over-written with the median-subtracted version, with the median value of 1256 each block of 16 channels saved separately, to allow reconstruction of the original signal if 1257 necessary. The median-subtracted data file is sent to the Kilosort2 Matlab package

1258 (https://github.com/mouseland/kilosort2, commit 2fba667359dbddbb0e52e67fa848f197e44cf5ef,

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

April 8, 2019), which applies a 150 Hz high-pass filter, followed by whitening in blocks of 32channels. The filtered, whitened data is saved to a separate file for the spike sorting step.

### 1262 8.2. Kilosort2

1263

1261

1264 Kilosort2 was used to identify spike times and assign spikes to individual units (Stringer et al., 1265 2019). Traditional spike sorting techniques extract snippets of the original signal and perform a 1266 clustering operation after projecting these snippets into a lower-dimensional feature space. In 1267 contrast, Kilosort2 attempts to model the complete dataset as a sum of spike "templates." The 1268 shape and locations of each template is iteratively refined until the data can be accurately 1269 reconstructed from a set of N templates at M spike times, with each individual template scaled by 1270 an amplitude, a. A critical feature of Kilosort2 is that it allows templates to change their shape 1271 over time, to account for the motion of neurons relative to the probe over the course of the 1272 experiment. Stabilizing the brain using an agarose-filled plastic window has virtually eliminated 1273 probe motion associated with animal running, but slow drift of the probe over ~3-hour 1274 experiments is still observed. Kilosort2 is able to accurately track units as they move along the 1275 probe axis, eliminating the need for the manual merging step that was required with the original 1276 version of Kilosort (Pachitariu et al., 2016). The spike-sorting step runs in approximately real 1277 time (~3 hours per session) using a dual-processor Intel 4-core, 2.6 GHz workstation with an 1278 NVIDIA GTX 1070 GPU.

1279

# 1280 8.3. Removing putative double-counted spikes

1281 1282 The Kilosort2 algorithm will occasionally fit a template to the residual left behind after another 1283 template has been subtracted from the original data, resulting in double-counted spikes. This can 1284 create the appearance of an artificially high number of ISI violations for one unit or artificially 1285 high zero-time-lag synchrony between nearby units. To eliminate the possibility that this 1286 artificial synchrony will contaminate data analysis, the outputs of Kilosort2 are post-processed to 1287 remove spikes with peak times within 5 samples (0.16 ms) and peak waveforms within 5 1288 channels (~50 microns). This process removes >10 within-unit overlapping spikes from  $2.5 \pm$ 1289 1.8% of units per session. It removes  $2.05 \pm 0.65\%$  of spikes in total, after accounting for 1290 between-unit overlapping spikes.

1291

# 1292 **8.4. Removing units with artifactual waveforms**

1293

1294 Kilosort2 generates templates of a fixed length (2 ms) that matches the time course of an 1295 extracellularly detected spike waveform. However, there are no constraints on template shape, 1296 which means that the algorithm often fits templates to voltage fluctuations with characteristics 1297 that could not physically result from the current flow associated with an action potential. The units associated with these templates are considered "noise," and are automatically filtered out 1298 1299 based on 3 criteria: spread (single channel, or >25 channels), shape (no peak and trough, based 1300 on wavelet decomposition), or multiple spatial peaks (waveforms are non-localized along the 1301 probe axis). The automated algorithm removed 94% of noise units, or 26% of total units. A final 1302 manual inspection step was used to remove an additional 2140 noise units across all experiments 1303 (Supplementary Figure 3).

1304

### 1305 **8.5.** Spike sorting quality control

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

All units not classified as noise are packaged into Neurodata Without Borders (NWB) files for potential further analysis. Because different analyses may require different quality thresholds for defining inclusion criteria, we calculate a variety of metrics that can be used to filter units. These metrics are based on both the physical characteristics of the units' waveforms, or their isolation with respect to other units from the same recording (**Supplementary Figure 4A**).

1311 1312	8.5.1.	<i>Firing rate</i> : $N/T$ , where $N$ = number of spikes in the complete session and $T$ = total time of the recording session in seconds.
1313	0.5.0	
1314	8.5.2.	Presence ratio: The session was divided into 100 equal-sized blocks; the presence
1315		ratio is defined as the fraction of blocks that include 1 or more spikes from a
1316		particular unit. Units with a low presence ratio are likely to have drifted out of the
1317		recording, or could not be tracked by Kilosort2 for the duration of the experiment.
1318	052	
1319	8.5.3.	<i>Maximum arifi</i> : To compute the maximum drift for one unit, the peak channel was
1320		calculated from the top principal components of every spike. Next, the peak channel
1321		values are blinled in 51's intervals, and the median value is calculated across an
1322		defined as the difference between the maximum near channel and the minimum
1323		neak channel across all bins. The average maximum drift across all units is used to
1324		identify sessions with a high amount of probe motion relative to the brain
1325		identify sessions with a right amount of probe motion relative to the oram.
1320	854	Waveform amplitude: The difference (in microvolts) between the neak and trough of
1327	0.5.7.	the waveform on a single channel
1320		the waverorm on a single channel.
1320	855	Waveform spread: Spatial extent (in microns) of channels where the waveform
1331	0.0.01	amplitude exceeds 12% of the peak amplitude.
1332		
1333	8.5.6.	<i>Waveform duration:</i> Difference (in ms) of the time of the waveform peak and trough
1334		on the channel with maximum amplitude.
1335		I
1336	8.5.7.	Inter-spike-interval (ISI) violations: This metric searches for refractory period
1337		violations that indicate a unit contains spikes from multiple neurons. The ISI
1338		violations metric represents the relative firing rate of contaminating spikes. It is
1339		calculated by counting the number of violations <1.5 ms, dividing by the amount of
1340		time for potential violations surrounding each spike, and normalizing by the overall
1341		spike rate. It is always positive (or 0), but has no upper bound. See (Hill et al., 2011)
1342		for more details.
1343		
1344	8.5.8.	Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR): After selecting 1000 individual spike waveforms on the
1345		channel with maximum amplitude, the mean waveform on that channel was
1346		subtracted. SNR is defined the ratio between the waveform amplitude and 2x the
1347		standard deviation of the residual waveforms (Suner et al., 2005). Because this
1348		definition of SNR assumes that waveforms remain stable over time, changes in a
1349		unit's waveform as a result of probe motion will cause this metric to be inaccurate.
1350		In addition, because it is only calculated for the peak channel, this metric does not
1351		necessarily reflect the overall isolation quality of a unit when taking into account all

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

1352 1353		available information.
1355 1354 1355 1356	8.5.9.	<i>Isolation distance:</i> The square of the Mahalanobis distance required to find the same number of "other" spikes as the total number of spikes for the unit in principal component space (Schmitzer-Torbert et al., 2005). Similarly to SNR, isolation
1357 1358 1359		distance is not tolerant to electrode drift, and changes in waveform shape over time can reduce the isolation distance calculated over the entire session.
1360 1361 1362	8.5.10.	d': Linear discriminant analysis is used to find the line of maximum separation in PC space. $d'$ indicates the separability of the unit of interest from all other units. See (Hill et al., 2011) for more information. This metric is not tolerant to electrode drift.
1363 1364 1365		and changes in waveform shape over time can reduce the value of $d'$ calculated over the entire session.
1366 1367 1368 1369 1370 1371 1372 1373 1374	8.5.11.	Amplitude cutoff: This metric provides an approximation of a unit's false negative rate. First, a histogram of spike amplitudes is created, and the height of the histogram at the minimum amplitude is extracted. The percentage of spikes above the equivalent amplitude on the opposite side of the histogram peak is then calculated. If the minimum amplitude is equivalent to the histogram peak, the amplitude cutoff is set to 0.5 (indicating a high likelihood that >50% of spikes are missing). This metric assumes a symmetrical distribution of amplitudes and no drift, so it will not necessarily reflect the true false negative rate.
1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380	8.5.12.	<i>Nearest neighbors hit rate</i> : For each spike belonging to the unit of interest, the four nearest spikes in principal-component space are identified. The "hit rate" is defined as the fraction of these spikes that belong to the unit of interest. This metric is based on the "isolation" metric from (Chung et al., 2017). Again, electrode drift that alters waveform shape can negatively impact this metric without necessarily changing the isolation quality of a unit at any given timepoint.

- Filtering of units based on quality metrics and other criteria is illustrated in Supplementary
  Figure 4B.
- 1383 9. Data analysis
- 1384

# 1385 9.1. Receptive field analysis

1386 The receptive field for one unit is defined as the 2D histogram of spike counts at each of 81

1387 locations of the Gabor stimulus (9 x 9 pixels, 10° separation between pixel centers,

# 1388 Supplementary Figure 7A).

- 1389 A chi-square test for independence was used to assess the presence of a significant receptive
- 1390 field. A chi-square test statistic was computed  $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(E_i O_i)^2}{E_i}$ , where  $O_i = \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_{j=0}^{m_i} R_{i,j}$  is the
- 1391 observed average response (R) of the unit over m presentations of the Gabor stimulus at location  $\frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$
- 1392 i, and  $E_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} R_{i,j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i}$  is the expected (grand average) response per stimulus presentation. A *P*-

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 1393 value was then calculated for each unit by comparing the test statistic against a null distribution
- 1394 of 1,000 test statistics, each computed from the unit's responses after shuffling the locations
- across all presentations (Supplementary Figure 7B).

1396To compute the receptive field area and center location, each receptive field was first smoothed1397using a Gaussian filter (sigma = 1.0). The smoothed receptive field was thresholded at max(RF)1398- std(RF), a value that provided good agreement with the qualitative receptive field boundaries.1399The receptive field center location was calculated based on the center of mass of the largest1400contiguous area above threshold, and its area was equivalent to its pixel-wise area multiplied by1401100 degrees² (Supplementary Figure 7C).

#### 1402 **9.2.** Cross-correlation analysis

1403 To measure the functional interactions between pairs of units, cross-correlograms (CCGs) were 1404 used (Gerstein and Perkel, 1972; Jia et al., 2013; Smith and Kohn, 2008). The CCG is defined as:

1405 
$$CCG(\tau) = \frac{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=1}^{N} x_1^i(t) x_2^i(t+\tau)}{\Theta(\tau) \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}}$$

1406 where *M* is the number of trials, *N* is the number of bins in the trial,  $x_1^i$  and  $x_2^i$  are the spike trains 1407 of the two units on trial i,  $\tau$  is the time lag relative to reference spikes, and  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the

1408 mean firing rates of the two units. The CCG is essentially a sliding dot product between two

1409 spike trains.  $\theta(\tau)$  is the triangular function which corrects for the overlap time bins caused by the

1410 sliding window. To correct for firing rate dependency, we normalized the CCG by the geometric

1411 mean spike rate. An individually normalized CCG is computed separately for each drifting

1412 grating orientation and averaged across orientation to obtain the CCG for each pair of units.

1413 A jitter correction method (Harrison and Geman, 2009; Smith and Kohn, 2008) was used to

1414 remove stimulus-locked correlations and slow temporal correlations from the original CCG.

1415  $CCG_{jitter\_corrected} = CCG_{original} - CCG_{jittered}$ 

1416 The jitter corrected CCG is created by subtracting the CCG calculated from a jittered spike train

1417 where spike times within a small time window are randomly shuffled across trials within that

1418 window. For our measurement, a 25 ms jitter window was chosen based on previous studies (Jia

- 1419 et al., 2013; Zandvakili and Kohn, 2015).
- 1420 The jitter-corrected CCG was deemed to be significant sharp peak if the CCG peak occured 1421 within a 10 ms time lag and the magnitude of CCG peak was at least 7-fold larger than the
- 1422 standard deviation of the CCG flanks ( $\pm 50$  to 100 ms).
- 1423 A Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare the distribution of CCG peak offsets between
- 1424 neighboring areas (defined by the anatomical hierarchical score) and the distribution of CCG
- 1425 peak offset within an area. The significance test was performed within each mouse, and the *P*-
- 1426 values were combined across 25 mice using Fisher's method. V1–LM vs. V1–V1, P = 0; LM–
- 1427 RL vs. LM–RL, *P* = 1.9e-5; RL–AL vs. RL–RL, *P* = 2.4e-5; AL–PM vs. AL–AL, *P* = 0.081;

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

1428 PM–AM vs. PM–PM, P = 3.2e-4. All between-area distributions are significantly different from 1429 the within-area distributions at the 5% confidence level, except for AL–PM.

#### 1430 **9.3. Response latency**

- 1431 Response latency is calculated as the time to first spike (TTF). TTF is estimated in each trial by
- 1432 looking for the time of first spike 30 ms after stimulus onset. If no spike is detected within 250
- 1433 ms after stimulus onset, that trial is not included. The overall latency for each unit is defined as
- 1434 the median TTF across trials.

### 1435 **9.4. Modulation index**

- 1436 The stimulus modulation index reflects how spiking activity of each unit is modulated by the
- temporal frequency of the drifting grating stimulus (Matteucci et al., 2019; Wypych et al., 2012).It is defined as:
- 1439  $\frac{PS(f_{pref}) \langle PS \rangle_{f}}{\sqrt{\langle PS^{2} \rangle_{f-} \langle PS \rangle_{f}^{2}}}$
- 1440 where PS indicates the power spectral density of the peristimulus time histogram (PSTH), and
- denotes the averaged power over all frequencies; f<sub>pref</sub> is the preferred temporal frequency of the
- 1442 unit. This metric quantifies the difference between spiking response power at each unit's
- 1443 preferred frequency and the total power. The power spectrum was computed using Welch's
- 1444 method on the 10 ms-binned PSTH for each unit's preferred condition.

#### 1445 **9.5.** Autocorrelation timescale

1446 We calculated the autocorrelation for each unit during the 250 ms presentation period of the full-

- 1447 field flash stimulus. We estimated autocorrelation timescale in each mouse by calculating a mean
- 1448 of autocorrelation across units within each area, and then fitting an exponential decay to estimate
  - 1449 the timescale.

### 1450 **9.6.** Analysis of neural responses during the change detection task

For each unit, spike density functions (SDFs) were calculated by convolving spike times relative to each image change or the image flash preceding image change ("pre-change") with a causal exponential filter (decay time constant = 5 ms). The firing rate during a baseline window 250 ms immediately preceding each image change or pre-change flash was subtracted from each SDF. Mean SDFs were then calculated by averaging across all image change or pre-change flashes. Units were included in further analysis if their mean firing rate was greater than 0.1 spikes/s and the peak of the mean SDF (during a response window from 30 to 280 ms following image change) was greater than 5 times the standard deviation of the mean SDE during the baseline

change) was greater than 5 times the standard deviation of the mean SDF during the baselinewindow.

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 1460 Responses to image change and pre-change were calculated as the mean baseline-subtracted
- 1461 firing rate during the response window. We defined the change modulation index for each unit as
- 1462 the difference between the mean response to image change and pre-change divided by their sum
- 1463 (**Figure 5D**).

# 1464 **9.7. Eye and pupil tracking**

- 1465
- 1466 A single, universal eye tracking model was trained in DeepLabCut (Mathis et al., 2018), a
- 1467 ResNET-50 based network, to recognize up to twelve tracking points each around the perimeter
- 1468 of the eye, the pupil, and the corneal reflection. A published numerical routine (Halir and
- 1469 Flusser, 1998) was used to fit ellipses to each set of tracking points. For each ellipse, the
- 1470 following parameters were calculated: center coordinates, half-axes, and rotation angle. Fits were
- 1471 performed on each frame if there at least six tracked points and a confidence of 1 > 0.8 as
- 1472 reported by the output of DeepLabCut. For frame where there were less than 6 tracked points
- above the confidence threshold, the ellipse parameters were set to not-a-number (NaN).
- 1474 The training data set contained two sources of hand-annotated data: (1) 3 frames from each of 40
- randomly selected movies. On each frame, 8 points from were annotated around the eye and
- 1476 pupil. The center of the corneal reflection was annotated with a single point. (2) 4150 frames
- 1477 with the pupil and corneal reflections annotated with ellipses.

# 1478 9.8. Anatomical hierarchy analysis

1479

A detailed description of the unsupervised construction of a data-driven anatomical hierarchy is available in (Harris et al., 2019). Here we provide a summary of how the anatomical hierarchy of the six visual cortical areas (V1, LM, AL, RL, PM, AM) and two thalamic nuclei (LGN, LP) was constructed based on the anatomical connectivity. Specifically, the anatomical hierarchy was uncovered based on cortical lamination patterns of the structural connections among the cortical and thalamic regions of interest, obtained from Cre-dependent viral tracing experiments.

- 1486 To classify laminar patterns of cortico-cortical (CC) and thalamo-cortical (TC) connections and
- 1487 to assign a direction to each cluster of laminar patterns, we used a large-scale dataset on cell
- 1488 class-specific connectivity among all 37 cortical areas and 24 thalamic nuclei defined using 15
- 1489 Cre driver transgenic lines (849 cortical and 81 thalamic experiments; 7063 unique source-target-
- 1490 Cre line combinations), available in Harris et al (2019). For each transgenic line, the strength and 1491 layer termination pattern of the connections were quantified based on *relative layer density*, the
- fraction of the total projection signal in each layer scaled by the relative layer volumes in that
- 1492 Traction of the total projection signal in each layer scaled by the relative layer volumes in that 1493 target. For the connections above a threshold  $(10^{-1.5})$ , unsupervised clustering of the layer
- 1495 target. For the connections above a threshold (10 ), thistipervised clustering of the layer 1494 termination patterns was performed, yielding nine clusters of distinct cortical layer termination
- patterns of CC and TC connections. See Figure 5A,B of Harris et al (2019) for a schematic of the
- 1496 nine types of cortical target lamination patterns.
- 1497 Following the classification of the nine clusters of the laminar patterns, an unsupervised method
- 1498 was employed to simultaneously assign a direction to a cluster type and to construct a hierarchy
- by maximizing the self-consistency of the obtained hierarchy. The mapping function  $M_{CC}$  maps a
- 1500 type of CC connection cluster ( $C_{T_{i,j}} \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$ , where  $C_{T_{i,j}}$  denotes the layer termination pattern
- 1501 of the connection from area *j* to area *i* for Cre-line *T* ) to either feedforward ( $M_{cc} = 1$ ) or

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

feedback ( $M_{CC} = -1$ ) type, i.e.,  $M_{CC}$ : {1, ..., 9}  $\rightarrow$  {-1,1}. Similarly, the mapping function  $M_{TC}$  of 1502 1503 the thalamocortical layer termination types to either direction is defined as  $M_{TC}$ : {1, ..., 9}  $\rightarrow$ 1504  $\{-1,1\}$ . By constructing the hierarchy of all 37 cortical areas and 24 thalamic nuclei, Harris et al 1505 (2019) found the optimal mapping function that maximizes the self-consistency measured by the 1506 global hierarchy score (Refer to Eq 5 and Eq 10 of Harris et al (2019) to see how the global hierarchy score was defined for CC and TC connections, respectively.). Specifically, the optimal 1507 mapping for CC connections assigns connections of cluster 2,6, and 9 to one direction (feedback) 1508 and 1,3,4,5,7, and 8 to the opposite direction (feedforward). For TC connections, the most self-1509 1510 consistent hierarchy that maximizes the global hierarchy score is obtained when connections of 1511 cluster 2 and 6 correspond to feedback and the rest to feedforward patterns (Figure 6A of Harris et al (2019)). 1512

With these mapping functions  $M_{CC}$  and  $M_{TC}$  obtained from the construction of the all-area 1513

hierarchy (Figure 6A of Harris et al (2019)), the hierarchical organization of the six visual 1514

cortical areas (V1, LM, AL, RL, PM, AM) and the two thalamic nuclei (LGN, LP) was 1515

1516 constructed using only the connections among these 8 regions. We first uncovered the cortical

1517 hierarchy using the intra-cortical connections among the six cortical areas: V1, LM, AL, RL,

1518 PM, and AM (240 unique "source-target-Cre line" combinations). The initial hierarchical

1519 position of a cortical area is defined as:

$$H_i^0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle M_{CC} \left( C_{T_{i,j}} \right) \cdot \operatorname{conf}(T) \rangle_j - \langle M_{CC} \left( C_{T_{j,i}} \right) \cdot \operatorname{conf}(T) \rangle_j \right), \tag{1}$$

1521 where the first term describes the average direction of connections to area *i*, and thus represents

the hierarchical position of the area as a target. The second term on the other hand, represents the 1522 1523 average direction of connections from area *i*, depicting the hierarchical position of the area as a source. To account for the Cre-line-specific bias, the Cre-dependent confidence measure, 1524

 $\operatorname{conf}(T) = 1 - \left| \langle M_{CC}(C_{T_{i,j}}) \rangle_{i,j} \right|$  is included. The initial hierarchy score  $(H_i^0)$  of each area *i* then 1525 1526 is iterated using a two-step iterative scheme until the fixed point is reached:

1527 
$$H_{i}^{n-1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \langle H_{j}^{n-1} + M_{CC} \left( C_{T_{i,j}} \right) \rangle_{j} - \langle -H_{j}^{n-1} + M_{CC} \left( C_{T_{j,i}} \right) \rangle_{j} \right\}$$
(2-1)

1528 
$$H_i^n = H_i^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \langle H_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle_j$$
(2-2)

1529 where *n* refers to iterative steps.

1530 After hierarchical positions of cortical areas are found based on CC connections, the hierarchical 1531 positions of LGN and LP relative to the cortical areas were computed by including TC

- connections from LGN and LP to the six visual cortical areas (25 unique "source-target-Cre line" 1532
- 1533 combinations). Since thalamic areas are always the source in TC connections, the initial
- 1534 hierarchy score of each thalamic area *i* is defined by the average direction of connections from the area:
- 1535

1520

1536 
$$H_i^0 = -\langle M_{TC} \left( C_{T_{j,i}} \right) \cdot \frac{\min(N_{ff} \cdot N_{fb})}{N_{ff} + N_{fb}} \rangle_j$$
(3)

1537 The parameters  $N_{ff}$  and  $N_{fb}$  refer to the numbers of feedforward and feedback thalamocortical connections, respectively. Once the initial positions of the thalamic areas in the hierarchy are 1538 1539 obtained using Eq 3, hierarchy scores of thalamic and cortical areas are iterated until the fixed points are reached, using a full mapping function  $M_{CC+TC}$  that combines  $M_{CC}$  and  $M_{TC}$ , as done 1540 1541 with the cortical hierarchy based on CC connections only (Eq 2).

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

- 1542 To test the significance of the hierarchy levels of these areas, we generated 100 sampled
- 1543 connectivity data of the same size via bootstrapping, and computed the hierarchy scores of the
- eight regions using the bootstrapped connectivity data. We performed Wilcoxon paired signed
- rank sum tests on these scores, showing that hierarchy levels of LM and RL cannot be
- 1546 meaningfully distinguished (P = 0.08) but the rest of the areas are at significantly distinct
- hierarchical positions, with the 5% confidence level.

# 1548 **9.9. Other statistical methods**

- 1549 To quantify the correlation between the mean value of each metric and the anatomical hierarchy 1550 score, both the Pearson correlation coefficient (scipy.stats.pearsonr) and Spearman's rank 1551 correlation coefficient (scipy.stats.spearmanr) were used.
- 1551 1552
- 1553 To test for significant differences between pairs of areas, a Wilcoxon rank–sum statistic was
- 1554 used (scipy.stats.ranksum). For time to first spike, receptive field size, modulation index, and
- 1555 firing rate, each unit was considered an independent sample. For autocorrelation timescale,
- 1556 which is computed across all units in one area, each area for one mouse was considered an
- 1557 independent sample. Correction for multiple comparisons was performed using the Benjamini-
- 1558 Hochberg False Discovery Rate (statsmodels.stats.multitest.multipletests).

# 1559 **10. Data processing pipeline**

- 1560 Data for each session was uploaded to the Allen Institute Laboratory Information Management
- 1561 System (LIMS). Each dataset was run through the same series of processing steps using a set of
- 1562 project-specific workflows. Out of 61 sessions entering the processing pipeline, 58 resulted in
- successful NWB file generation. The 3 processing failures were due to mismatches in session
- 1564 identifiers or expected file structures that prevented the workflow from completing.

# 1565 **11. Data and code availability**

- 1566 The data from all 58 passive viewing experiments used to generate main text Figures 1 through 4
- 1567 is available for download in Neurodata Without Borders format via the AllenSDK. Example
- 1568 Jupyter Notebooks for accessing the data can be found at
- 1569 https://allensdk.readthedocs.io/en/latest/visual\_coding\_neuropixels.html.
- 1570 The metrics table used to generate Figure 5E–F and Supplementary Figure 10 is available in the
- 1570 GitHub repository for this manuscript (see below). The remaining data for the active behavior
- 1572 experiments will be made available upon request.
- 1573 Code is available in the following repositories:

Purpose	GitHub Repository
Generating manuscript figures	AllenInstitute/neuropixels_platform_paper
Data pre-processing and unit metrics	AllenInstitute/ecephys_spike_sorting
Spike sorting	mouseland/Kilosort2
OPT post-processing and CCF registration	AllenInstitute/AIBSOPT
Calculating stimulus metrics	AllenInstitute/AllenSDK

Neuropixels Survey of the Mouse Visual System

Data acquisition

open-ephys/plugin-GUI open-ephys-plugins/neuropixels-3a open-ephys-plugins/neuropixels-PXI

1574

# 1575 **12. Open-source software libraries**

- 1576 NumPy (van der Walt et al., 2011)
- 1577 SciPy (Jones et al., 2001)
- 1578 IPython (Pérez and Granger, 2007)
- 1579 Matplotlib (Hunter, 2007)
- 1580 Pandas (McKinney, 2010)
- 1581 xarray (Hoyer and Hamman, 2017)
- 1582 scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2012)
- 1583 VTK (Schroeder et al., 2006)
- 1584 DeepLabCut (Mathis et al., 2018; Nath et al., 2019)
- 1585 statsmodels (Seabold and Perktold, 2010)
- allenCCF (Shamash et al., 2018)
- 1587 tifffile https://pypi.org/project/tifffile/
- 1588 Jupyter https://jupyter.org/
- 1589 pynwb https://pynwb.readthedocs.io/en/stable/
- 1590

# 1591 **References (Materials and Methods)**

- 1592 Chung, J.E., Magland, J.F., Barnett, A.H., Tolosa, V.M., Tooker, A.C., Lee, K.Y., Shah, K.G.,
- Felix, S.H., Frank, L.M., and Greengard, L.F. (2017). A fully automated approach to spike sorting. Neuron *95*, 1381-1394.e6.

Edelstein, A.D., Tsuchida, M.A., Amodaj, N., Pinkard, H., Vale, R.D., and Stuurman, N. (2014).
Advanced methods of microscope control using μManager software. J Biol Methods 1, 10.

- Fiáth, R., Márton, A.L., Mátyás, F., Pinke, D., Márton, G., Tóth, K., and Ulbert, I. (2019). Slow
  insertion of silicon probes improves the quality of acute neuronal recordings. Sci Rep 9, 111.
- Garrett, M.E., Nauhaus, I., Marshel, J.H., and Callaway, E.M. (2014). Topography and areal organization of mouse visual cortex. Journal of Neuroscience *34*, 12587–12600.
- 1601 Garrett, M.E., Manavi, S., Roll, K., Ollerenshaw, D.R., Groblewski, P.A., Kiggins, J., Jia, X.,
- 1602 Casal, L., Mace, K., Williford, A., et al. (2019). Experience shapes activity dynamics and
- 1603 stimulus coding of VIP inhibitory and excitatory cells in visual cortex (Neuroscience).

Gerstein, G.L., and Perkel, D.H. (1972). Mutual temporal relationships among neural spike
 trains. Biophysical Journal *12*, 453–473.

- 1606 Goldey, G.J., Roumis, D.K., Glickfeld, L.L., Kerlin, A.M., Reid, R.C., Bonin, V., Schafer, D.P.,
- and Andermann, M.L. (2014). Removable cranial windows for long-term imaging in awake
- 1608 mice. Nat Protoc 9, 2515–2538.
- 1609 Halir, R., and Flusser, J. (1998). Numerically stable direct least squares fitting of ellipses. p.
- 1610 Harris, J.A., Mihalas, S., Hirokawa, K.E., Whitesell, J.D., Choi, H., Knox, J., Bernard, A., Bohn,
- 1611 P., Caldejon, S., Casal, L., et al. (2019). The organization of cortico-cortical, thalamo-cortical,
- 1612 and cortico-thalamic connections by layer and cell class. Nature.
- 1613 Harrison, M.T., and Geman, S. (2009). A rate and history-preserving resampling algorithm for 1614 neural spike trains. Neural Computation *21*, 1244–1258.
- 1615 van Hateren, J.H., and van der Schaaf, A. (1998). Independent component filters of natural
- 1616 images compared with simple cells in primary visual cortex. Proceedings of the Royal Society of
- 1617 London. Series B: Biological Sciences 7, 359–366.
- Hill, D.N., Mehta, S.B., and Kleinfeld, D. (2011). Quality metrics to accompany spike sorting of
  extracellular signals. Journal of Neuroscience *31*, 8699–8705.
- Hoyer, S., and Hamman, J. (2017). xarray: N-D labeled arrays and datasets in Python. Journal ofOpen Research Software 5, 10.
- Hunter, J.D. (2007). Matplotlib: a 2D graphics environment. Computing in Science &Engineering 9, 90–95.
- Jia, X., Xing, D., and Kohn, A. (2013). No consistent relationship between gamma power and
   peak frequency in macaque primary visual cortex. Journal of Neuroscience *33*, 17–25.
- Jones, E., Oliphant, E., Peterson, P, and et al. (2001). SciPy: open source scientific tools forPython.
- Juavinett, A.L., Nauhaus, I., Garrett, M.E., Zhuang, J., and Callaway, E.M. (2017). Automated
   identification of mouse visual areas with intrinsic signal imaging. Nat Protoc 12, 32–43.
- 1630 Jun, J.J., Steinmetz, N.A., Siegle, J.H., Denman, D.J., Bauza, M., Barbarits, B., Lee, A.K.,
- Anastassiou, C.A., Andrei, A., Aydın, Ç., et al. (2017). Fully integrated silicon probes for highdensity recording of neural activity. Nature *551*, 232–236.
- 1633 Kalatsky, V.A., and Stryker, M.P. (2003). New paradigm for optical imaging: temporally 1634 encoded maps of intrinsic signal. Neuron *38*, 529–545.
- 1635 Madisen, L., Mao, T., Koch, H., Zhuo, J., Berenyi, A., Fujisawa, S., Hsu, Y.-W.A., Garcia, A.J.,
- 1636 Gu, X., Zanella, S., et al. (2012). A toolbox of Cre-dependent optogenetic transgenic mice for
- 1637 light-induced activation and silencing. Nature Neuroscience *15*, 793–802.
- Martin, D., Fowlkes, C., Tal, D., and Malik, J. (2001). A database of human segmented natural images and its application to evaluating segmentation algorithms and measuring ecological

- statistics. In Proceedings of the Eighth IEEE International Conference on Computational Vision,pp. 416–423.
- 1642 Mathis, A., Mamidanna, P., Cury, K.M., Abe, T., Murthy, V.N., Mathis, M.W., and Bethge, M.
- 1643 (2018). DeepLabCut: markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep learning.
  1644 Nature Neuroscience 21, 1281–1289.
- 1645 Matteucci, G., Bellacosa Marotti, R., Riggi, M., Rosselli, F.B., and Zoccolan, D. (2019).
- 1646 Nonlinear processing of shape information in rat lateral extrastriate cortex. J. Neurosci. 1938–18.
- McKinney, W. (2010). Data structures for statistical computing in Python. In Proceedings of the9th Python in Science Conference, pp. 51–56.
- 1649 Nath, T., Mathis, A., Chen, A.C., Patel, A., Bethge, M., and Mathis, M.W. (2019). Using
- 1650 DeepLabCut for 3D markerless pose estimation across species and behaviors. Nat Protoc *14*, 2152–2176.
- 1001 2102 2170.
- 1652 Nguyen, D., Marchand, P.J., Planchette, A.L., Nilsson, J., Sison, M., Extermann, J., Lopez, A.,
- 1653 Sylwestrzak, M., Sordet-Dessimoz, J., Schmidt-Christensen, A., et al. (2017). Optical projection 1654 tomography for rapid whole mouse brain imaging. Biomed. Opt. Express 8, 5637.
- tonography for rapid whole mouse oran magnig. Dioned. Opt. Express 0, 5057.
- Olmos, A., and Kingdom, F.A.A. (2004). A biologically inspired algorithm for the recovery ofshading and reflectance images. Perception *33*, 1463–1473.
- 1657 Pachitariu, M., Steinmetz, N.A., Kadir, S.N., Carandini, M., and Harris, K.D. (2016). Fast and
- accurate spike sorting of high-channel count probes with KiloSort. In Advances in Neural
   Information Processing Systems, p.
  - Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M.,
    Müller, A., Nothman, J., Louppe, G., et al. (2012). Scikit-learn: machine learning in python.
    ArXiv.
  - Peirce, J.W. (2007). PsychoPy—Psychophysics software in Python. Journal of NeuroscienceMethods 6.
  - Pérez, F., and Granger, B.E. (2007). IPython: a system for interactive scientific computing.
    Computing in Science & Engineering *9*, 21–29.
  - Renier, N., Wu, Z., Simon, D.J., Yang, J., Ariel, P., and Tessier-Lavigne, M. (2014). iDISCO: A
    Simple, Rapid Method to Immunolabel Large Tissue Samples for Volume Imaging. Cell *159*,
    896–910.
  - 1670 Schmitzer-Torbert, N., Jackson, J., Henze, D., Harris, K., and Redish, A.D. (2005). Quantitative 1671 measures of cluster quality for use in extracellular recordings. Neuroscience *131*, 1–11.
  - 1672 Schroeder, W., Martin, K., and Lorensen, B. (2006). The Visualization Toolkit.
  - 1673 Seabold, S., and Perktold, J. (2010). Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modeling with
  - 1674 python. In Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference, p.

- 1675 Shamash, P., Carandini, M., Harris, K.D., and Steinmetz, N.A. (2018). A tool for analyzing
- 1676 electrode tracks from slice histology (Neuroscience).
- Sharpe, J. (2002). Optical Projection Tomography as a Tool for 3D Microscopy and GeneExpression Studies. Science 296, 541–545.
- 1679 Siegle, J.H., López, A.C., Patel, Y.A., Abramov, K., Ohayon, S., and Voigts, J. (2017). Open
- 1680 Ephys: an open-source, plugin-based platform for multichannel electrophysiology. Journal of
- 1681 Neural Engineering *14*, 045003.
- Smith, M.A., and Kohn, A. (2008). Spatial and temporal scales of neuronal correlation in
   primary visual cortex. Journal of Neuroscience 28, 12591–12603.
- Stringer, C., Pachitariu, M., Steinmetz, N., Reddy, C.B., Carandini, M., and Harris, K.D. (2019).
  Spontaneous behaviors drive multidimensional, brainwide activity. Science *364*, eaav7893.
- 1686 Suner, S., Fellows, M.R., Vargas-Irwin, C., Nakata, G.K., and Donoghue, J.P. (2005). Reliability
- 1687 of signals from a chronically implanted, silicon-based electrode array in non-human primate
- 1688 primary motor cortex. IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng. 13, 524–541.
- 1689 de Vries, S.E.J., Lecoq, J., Buice, M.A., Groblewski, P.A., Ocker, G.K., Oliver, M., Feng, D.,
- 1690 Cain, N., Ledochowitsch, P., Millman, D., et al. (2019). A large-scale, standardized
- physiological survey reveals higher order coding throughout the mouse visual cortex. NatureNeuroscience.
- van der Walt, S., Colbert, S.C., and Varoquaux, G. (2011). The NumPy array: a structure for efficient numerical computation. Computing in Science & Engineering *13*, 22–30.
- 1695 Welles, O. (1958). Touch of Evil (Universal International).
- Wong, M.D., Dazai, J., Walls, J.R., Gale, N.W., and Henkelman, R.M. (2013). Design and
   Implementation of a Custom Built Optical Projection Tomography System. PLoS ONE 8,
- 1698 e73491.
- 1699 Wypych, M., Wang, C., Nagy, A., Benedek, G., Dreher, B., and Waleszczyk, W.J. (2012).
- 1700 Standardized F1 A consistent measure of strength of modulation of visual responses to sine-1701 wave drifting gratings. Vision Research 72, 14–33.
- Zandvakili, A., and Kohn, A. (2015). Coordinated neuronal activity enhances corticocorticalcommunication. Neuron *87*, 827–839.
- Zhang, F., Wang, L.-P., Boyden, E.S., and Deisseroth, K. (2006). Channelrhodopsin-2 and
  optical control of excitable cells. Nat Methods *3*, 785–792.
- Zhuang, J., Ng, L., Williams, D., Valley, M., Li, Y., Garrett, M., and Waters, J. (2017). An
  extended retinotopic map of mouse cortex. ELife 6.