





A survey on the usage of DSRC and VLC in communication-based vehicle safety applications

Alin-Mihai Cailean^{1,2}, Barthélemy Cagneau¹, Luc Chassagne¹, Valentin Popa² and Mihai Dimian² ¹LISV - University of Versailles Saint-Quentin, 10/12 Avenue de l'Europe, 78140 Velizy, France ²Department of Computers, Electronics and Automation, Stefan cel Mare University, Suceava, 720229, Romania

Abstract

This paper addresses the issues related with the employment of wireless communication in vehicle safety applications. It focuses on the usage of the 5.9 GHz dedicated short range communications (DSRC) under the 802.11p standard and highlights the vulnerabilities associated with the DSRC usage. The usage of visible light communication (VLC) is discussed as well. It was found out that the two are complementary technologies, each of them being suitable in the scenario in which the other one is vulnerable.

Application

Traffic Signal Violation Warning

Curve Speed Warning

Emergency Electronic Brake Light

Pre-Crash Sensing for Cooperative

Introduction

- Traffic accidents (yearly):
 - \geq 1.3 million deaths;
 - > 20 50 million injured people;
 - $> 9^{th}$ cause of death;
 - 1st case of death for people aged between 15 and 29;



Requirements in communication-based vehicle safety applications

- Requirements:
 - high packet delivery ratio;
 - reduced latencies: below 100 ms or even 20 ms;
 - medium communication ranges: up to 300 m.

Collision Mitigatio Cooperative Forward Collision 150 10 100 Warning Left Turn Assistant 300 10 100 Lane Change Warning Stop Sign Movement Assistant 150 10 100 300 10 100

Max. Range

[m]

250

200

300

50

5.9 GHz DSRC

- Numerous well-known advantages:
- Long communication range up to 1000 m;
- Omni-directional communication increased mobility;

Major issues affecting DSRC performances:

- Channel congestion the major impediment for a reliable communication;
- Each vehicle (node) creates interferences on an area wider than the communication range;
- Vulnerability of CSMA/CA;
- The hidden node problem affects the reliability;
- > The Doppler spread caused by range and velocity;
- Multipath favored by the dynamic nature of VANETs;
- > The line of sight (LoS) obstruction (LoS obstructed by buildings,
- vegetation, vehicles, etc) causes communication breakdown;
- Expensive deployment;

Conclusion: 5.9 GHz DSRC cannot ensure time critical message distribution and has reliability problems, especially in high-traffic.

Visible light communications

Major limitations:

Reduced communication range (currently up to 80 – 100 m);

Rate

10

10

essages/s]

- Stringent LoS communication affecting the mobility;
- Advantages:
- Huge bandwidth available free of charge;
- Relatively free from mutual interferences due to the stringent LoS;
- Relatively free from multipath;
- Ubiquitous technology, already half-integrated in transportation;

Conclusion: due to the limited communication range VLC is suitable mostly in high-traffic densities.

Table. 1: High priority communication-based safety applications and their requirements.

[ms]

100

1000

100

20

Max. Latency Message Length

[bits]

528

235

288

435

419

904

208

288

208

416

Type

I2V

I2V

V2V

V2V

V2V

I2V and V2I

V2V

V2V and

I2V



Fig. 2: Visible light communication usage in a highway scenario.

Conclusions

The reliability of 5.9 GHz DSRC under the IEEE 802.11p is rather questionable;
DSRC is suitable mostly in low traffic densities for long range communication;
VLC offers lower latencies and higher reliability but its communication range is limited;
DSRC and VLC are complementary technologies;

•The integration of the two (as in ISO 26262) can increase the overall reliability.

Acknowledgments

This work was sustained by the competitive cluster Moveo and is partially funded by the national FUI 10 program (project Co-Drive). Alin-Mihai Cailean was supported by the project "Sustainable performance in doctoral and post-doctoral research PERFORM - Contract no. POSDRU/159/1.5/S/138963", project co-funded from European Social Fund through Sectorial Operational Program Human Resources 2007-2013.



Contacts : Alin-Mihai Cailean, alinc@eed.usv.ro; Barthélemy Cagneau, barthelemy.cagneau@uvsq.fr; Luc Chassagne, luc.chassagne@uvsq.fr;