

A systems approach identifies co-signaling molecules of early growth response 1 transcription factor in immobilization stress

Papanikolaou *et al.*

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

A systems approach identifies co-signaling molecules of early growth response 1 transcription factor in immobilization stress

Nikolaos A Papanikolaou^{1*}, Andrej Tillinger², Xiaoping Liu^{2,3}, Athanasios G Papavassiliou⁴ and Esther L Sabban²

Abstract

Background: Adaptation to stress is critical for survival. The adrenal medulla, the major source of epinephrine, plays an important role in the development of the hyperadenergic state and increased risk for stress associated disorders, such as hypertension and myocardial infarction. The transcription factor Egr1 plays a central role in acute and repeated stress, however the complexity of the response suggests that other transcription factor pathways might be playing equally important roles during acute and repeated stress. Therefore, we sought to discover such factors by applying a systems approach.

Results: Using microarrays and network analysis we show here for the first time that the transcription factor signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat3) gene is activated in acute stress whereas the prolactin releasing hormone (Prlh11) and chromogranin B (Chgb) genes are induced in repeated immobilization stress and that along with Egr1 may be critical mediators of the stress response.

Conclusions: Our results suggest possible involvement of Stat3 and Prlh1/Chgb up-regulation in the transition from short to repeated stress activation.

Keywords: Adrenal medulla, Egr1, Stat3, Prlh1, Networks, Stress

Background

The adrenal medulla plays a key role in the response to acute and chronic stress. It is the major site of biosynthesis of epinephrine (Epi) in the periphery. Upon exposure to stress, the release of adrenomedullary Epi and norepinephrine (NE) are among the most rapid response to handle the emergency situation. This is crucial for activation of the “fight or flight” response to deal with a threat to homeostasis.

When stress is prolonged or repeated the adrenal medulla exhibits crucial adaptive and subsequently maladaptive responses. These include important changes in gene expression. The best characterized are the up-regulation of expression of catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes (reviewed in [1]). Exposure to single immobilization stress triggers a manifold elevation in transcription and expression of mRNAs of the catecholamine

biosynthetic enzymes, tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the first and major rate limiting enzyme in catecholamine biosynthesis as well as of phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase (PNMT), the enzyme which catalyses the conversion of NE to Epi [2-4]. This rise is transient and returns to normal within one day and is not sufficient for substantial increase in their activity. Following repeated IMO stress the increase in gene expression of catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes is now more sustained with prolonged elevation in catecholamine biosynthetic enzyme activity (reviewed in [5]).

To determine the repertoire of changes and the mechanism of alterations in gene expression mediating the response of adrenal medulla to single and repeated stress, microarray profiling was performed [6]. Following single exposure to 2 hr IMO stress there was altered expression, of greater than 2 fold, in nearly 4% of the total transcripts. Transcription factors and cell signaling genes displayed the most prevalent changes. Approximately 20% of the transcripts up-regulated by single IMO were transcription factors. Not only was Egr1 mRNA markedly induced in

* Correspondence: papanikn@med.auth.gr

¹ Laboratory of Biological Chemistry, Department of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Hellas (Greece)

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

the adrenal medulla by single as well as repeated exposure to IMO, but pathway analysis indicated that *Egr1* likely plays a central role [6].

Egr1 (Zif268, NGFI-A, TIS8 or Krox24) is a transcription factor with three zinc fingers of the Cys2His2 class (reviewed by [7,8]). *Egr1* binds to a GC-rich motif (5'-GCG (T/G) GGGCG-3') through its three zinc finger DNA binding domains [9] and modulates transcription of a number of genes that participate in various cellular functions (reviewed by [10,11]). *Egr1* plays critical roles in divergent cellular processes. For example, *Egr1* and *Stat3* have been implicated in neuronal differentiation, specifically during neurite outgrowth (reviewed in [12,13], in tumor development [14-16], oxidant stress [17], immune responses [18] and in insulin signaling and in nutrition [19]).


Egr1 target genes include catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes. Transcription of both *TH* and *PNMT* is up-regulated by *Egr1* [20-24]. We have previously shown that *Egr1* is markedly induced in the adrenal medulla by IMO stress [25]. While barely expressed under basal conditions, immunofluorescence demonstrated widespread expression in the nucleus of TH expressing chromaffin cells in the adrenal medulla after IMO stress [26]. However the molecules that form the core of the signaling cascade inducing these responses are not well understood.

Because complex biological behaviors arise from the coordinated behavior of sets of genes acting in concert (gene modules), we hypothesized that genes that are co-expressed with *Egr1* during single or repeated IMO stress might provide insights into to significant signaling pathways that participate in stress signaling. Here we employed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis to identify *Egr1* co-expressed genes from IMO microarrays, extracted their interactors and all their interrelationships and reconstructed *Egr1* networks. From their network properties, we have identified the transcription factor *Stat3* and the peptide *Prh1* in short and prolonged stress respectively as *Egr1* neighbors in the adrenal medulla implicating them for the first time in stress signaling.

Results

Gene sets that enrich with *Egr1* expression in acute and repeated stress

Acute and repeated stress responses are accompanied by different patterns of gene expression, particularly of transcription factor genes, suggesting an interplay of transcription factors and the gene programs they control. In order to identify novel genes and their products that might be instrumental in networks leading from acute to repeated stress, we applied a strategy (Figure 1) that allowed us the re-construction of *Egr1*-centered



networks and the extraction of network neighbors from expression profiles identical to that of *Egr1*. We executed this strategy in two steps: First, we used Kolmogorov-Smirnov analysis of acute (1×) and repeated (6×) IMO microarray expression data in order to rank expression levels of all genes in the microarrays. Second we used gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) and computed gene module enrichment scores (ES) for each module of genes that are either co-expressed with *Egr1* (positive ES) or anti-coexpressed (negative ES) (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Specifically, using *Egr1* as an index gene in GSEA we extracted the top fifty genes (*Egr1_POS* module, top positive ES score, control vs. 1×) that are co-expression neighbors of *Egr1* (See Additional file 2, Computational and Bioinformatic Methods, for a full account of methods). Second, by categorical class analysis (control vs. 1×) we extracted the top fifty down-regulated genes and top fifty up-regulated genes in control and in 1× samples. We repeated the same analyses for 6× IMO data. Third, we extracted the top negatively correlated genes from the top gene sets (*Egr1_NEG* module, top negative ES scores). Finally, we mined a list of 300 rat genes from the COXPRESdb database reported to be co-expressed with *Egr1* (Data are available online as Additional files 3 and 4).

Extraction of all PPIs/interrelationships and Reconstruction of *Egr1*-centered networks

In the next step of our strategy we combined the three previously discussed gene/protein lists and extracted all genetic and physical interactions and then we text-mined all published interrelationships from public databases with statistical tools that are incorporated within the websites, using an expectation value (E value) greater than 0.7. We verified that data reflected real interrelationships and rejected data that were mere co-incidences of textual referral. Thus, interaction data with an E value less than 0.7 were rejected (see Additional file 5).

Re-construction of 1× and 6× IMO stress networks

In the final step of our strategy we re-constructed 1× and 6× networks as described (Additional file 2: Computational and Bioinformatic Methods). The 1× network contains 1717 genes/proteins (nodes) and 6554 interactions (edges) and is whereas the 6× contains 1313 nodes and 5203 edges. It can be seen that the network parameters of 1× and 6× networks are similar to the parameters of other biological networks such as the yeast proteome or the human HTFN however, they are quite dissimilar to random ER networks (Table 1). We then re-displayed networks 1× and 6× around *Egr1* and extracted the *Egr1* network neighborhoods (Figure 2, left upper and right panels respectively, and Figure 3, left panel) within the HUBBA website by calculating the intersection between *Egr1* and

Table 1 Topological properties of 1× and 6× IMO networks: Comparison with a random Erdos-Renyi network and with other biological networks

Category	1×	6×	HTFN ¹	Yeast proteome	ER ²
N	1717	1313	230	1870	230
L	6554	5203	851	4488	851
(k)	3.8	3.9	3.7	2.4	3.7
(C)	0.15	0.1	0.17	0.07	0.015
l	2.407	2.984	4.5	6.81	4.15

N: Total number of nodes.

L: Total number of links (edges).

k: Average degree.

C: Average clustering.


l: Average path length.

¹Human Transcription Factor Network.

²Erdos-Renyi.

top hub and bottleneck nodes (see Additional file 6, “top hubs” Excel sheet). Notably, the 1× network is organized around *Egr1*, a result consistent with the central role of this transcription factor in acute stress, and also around *Stat3*, which is a novel observation (Figure 2, lower panel). In contrast, while *Egr1* remains, as expected, a top hub in the 6× network, the other top hubs in this network, *Apoa1* and *Hspd1* are not neighbors of *Egr1*, suggesting that the *Egr1* neighborhood is organized differently (Figure 3, right panel). In order to further analyze *Egr1*'s network neighbors in 1× and 6× networks, and infer possible links between them and *Egr1* we extracted the top 10 motifs of interacting proteins with the MCODE algorithm within Cytoscape and identified top GO functional classifications and KEGG pathways with the GATHER algorithm for nodes in the neighborhood of *Egr1*. The *Egr1*-centered, 1× IMO network neighborhood motifs are enriched for cell cycle, MAPK kinase and cytokine pathways, consistent with their role in acute stress (Additional file 6 and Table 2), whereas the 6× *Egr1*-centered network motifs is enriched for purine metabolism, insulin signaling, MAPK and the neuroactive signaling pathways (Additional file 7 and Table 3).

We further narrowed our focus on the shortest path neighbors of *Egr1* within the top motifs in both acute and repeated stress. *Egr1* and *Stat3* also are top bottlenecks as well as network neighbors in the 1× network and they are in motif 4 (Additional file 6). Calculation of shortest paths in the neighborhood of *Egr1* within the HUBBA website revealed that *Stat3* is a network neighbor of *Egr1* (Figure 2, left upper panel) and Additional file 6, see “top hubs” sheet). Also, *Egr1* is a top hub in 6× IMO samples, and is a member of motif 4 along with *Prllh* and *Chgb* (Figure 3, right panel). Few of the other nodes in other motifs were of sufficient interest for further functional analysis. We therefore extracted the intersection between top hubs and/bottlenecks and motif 4 members (Additional file 7) within HUBBA by




calculating the shortest path between top hubs/bottlenecks and members of motif 4 which also contained *Egr1* as well (Additional file 7, see sheet "intersection"). Notably, among *Egr1*'s neighbors, expression of Prlh and Chgb were also up-regulated but not that of CREB (Additional file 7, "intersection" Excel sheet).

Gene ontology analysis of 1x network motifs and the *Egr1/Stat3* neighborhood showed that it is enriched for cell cycle, cell proliferation and cytokine-cytokine receptor (Sub-network motifs 4, 6, 7, 8) and Jak/Stat pathway genes (Additional file 6) whereas 6x network motifs are enriched for genes belonging to the insulin signaling, neuroactive signaling and the purine metabolism pathways. Prlh11 is a network neighbor of *Egr1* (Figure 3, right panel). Strikingly, the MAPK signaling pathway is

enriched for genes in all motifs except 5 and 7 (1x network) an observation that is consistent with previous results. This finding is consistent with a role of the ERK-STAT3-*Egr1* pathway in neurite outgrowth. Specifically, MEK-ERK1/2 is required for FGF1-induced neurite outgrowth, pSTAT3 (S727) and *Egr1* expression in PC12 cells [27].

In order to confirm our findings, we tested independent samples of 1x and 6x *Egr1* network neighbors for expression changes with qRT-PCR or immunoblots (Tables 4 and 5). Several of these changes were further verified in independent IMO stress samples at the mRNA level by qRT-PCR or at the protein level by western blot analysis or immunocytochemistry (Table 4). These findings confirm for the first time that *Stat 3* expression (Figure 4, panel A) is significantly up-regulated



with 1x IMO. Expression of *Prlh1* (and of *Chgb*) is up-regulated in both 1x and in 6x (Figure 4, panel B). Strikingly, we observed for the first time down regulation of gene *PIAS3* (*Inhibitor of Stat 3*, Table 4, bottom) implicating *Stat3* signaling in acute IMO stress activation. *PIAS3* is a small E3-type small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) ligase that plays a critical role in regulating the *Stat3* signaling pathway by inhibiting *Stat3*-DNA binding [28,29]. The *Egr1* neighbors *Prlh11* (and *Chgb*) are significantly represented in changes in the 6x IMO samples, suggesting that they might have important functions in long-term stress signaling (Figure 4, panel B).

Discussion

We have sought to identify novel members in pathways, particularly transcription factor pathways, and gene products that might be regulated in IMO stress. We approached this by performing microarray analyses of samples derived from the adrenal medullae of rats subjected to acute (1x) or repeated (6x) IMO stress in order to identify genes/gene products that are co-expressed or co-regulated with the transcription factor *Egr1*, which is critical for stress responses in the adrenal medulla of rats. Following identification of gene modules that are co-expressed with *Egr1* with KS ranking and GSEA analysis, we mined all physiologically

relevant interactions and interrelationships with text-mining, and we established that *Stat3* and *Prlh* are significantly up-regulated in 1x and in 6x IMO stress responses suggesting for the first time that they are likely participants. Also, we confirmed earlier observations that *Chgb* is activated in 6x IMO stress [35]. *Stat3* is co-expressed and a network neighbor of *Egr1* in the re-constructed 1x network, implicating *Stat3* involvement in IMO stress. Notably, the 1x network is organized around *Egr1* and *Stat3* since they are top hubs (highest number of interactions/interrelationships, Figure 2, lower panel). This contention is supported by the concomitant down-regulation of the *Stat3* inhibitor *PIAS3* which inhibits the DNA activity of *Stat3* therefore shutting down part of *Stat3*-mediated genomic expression changes. The observed enrichment for MAPK and cytokine genes in 1x IMO stress (see Figure 2) is consistent with the involvement of cytokines in regulating the stress response. *Stat3* belongs to the STAT (signal transducers and activators of transcription) family of transcription factors that feed into the *Jak/Stat* signaling cascade. Several cytokine receptors regulate this cascade and this is consistent with the observed GO enrichment for cytokine receptors. *Stats* are phosphorylated by *Jaks* and activated, allowing them to translocate to the nucleus. The *Jak/Stat* pathway is

Table 2 KEGG and GO pathways of top 10 Cytoscape modules in 1 × IMO network

	Sub-network motif 1	Sub-network motif 2	Sub-network motif 3	Sub-network motif 4	Sub-network motif 5
KEGG pathway	Cell cycle	Cell cycle	Cell cycle	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	Complement and coagulation cascades
	MAPK signaling pathway	Apoptosis	TGF-beta signaling pathway	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	Pentose and glucuronate interconversions
	Focal adhesion	MAPK signaling pathway	MAPK signaling pathway	Jak-STAT signaling pathway	Galactose metabolism
	Apoptosis	Focal adhesion	Wnt signaling pathway	MAPK signaling pathway	Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)
GO pathway	Cell cycle	Cell proliferation	Regulation of cell cycle	Response to biotic stimulus	Glycolysis
	Cell proliferation	Cell cycle	Cell cycle	Immune response	Exose catabolism
	Modification-dependent protein catabolism	Regulation of cell cycle	Cell proliferation	Defense response	Alcohol catabolism
	Ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolism	DNA-dependent DNA replication	Transcription, DNA-dependent	Organismal physiological process	Monosaccharide catabolism
	Sub-network motif 6	Sub-network motif 7	Sub-network motif 8	Sub-network motif 9	Sub-network motif 10
KEGG pathway	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	MAPK signaling pathway	MAPK signaling pathway
	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	ECM-receptor interaction	MAPK signaling pathway	MAPK signaling pathway	MAPK signaling pathway
	MAPK signaling pathway	Porphyryn and chlorophyll metabolism	Jak-STAT signaling pathway	MAPK signaling pathway	Toll-like receptor signaling pathway
	Jak-STAT signaling pathway		MAPK signaling pathway	Apoptosis	MAPK signaling pathway
GO pathway	Response to biotic stimulus	Transition metal ion transport	Response to biotic stimulus	Response to biotic stimulus	Protien amino acid phosphorylation
	Immune response	Di-, tri-valent inorganic cation transport	Immune response	Immune response	Morphogenesis
	Defense response	Metal ion transport	Defense response	Defense response	Phosphorylation
	Response to stress		Organismal physiological process	Response to stress	Protein kinase cascade

Table 3 KEGG and GO pathways of top 5 Cytoscape modules in 6× IMO network

	Sub-network motif 1	Sub-network motif 2	Sub-network motif 3	Sub-network motif 4	Sub-network motif 5
KEGG pathway	Insulin signaling pathway	Purine metabolism	Purine metabolism	Focal adhesion	Pyrimidine metabolism
	Focal adhesion	Purine metabolism	Purine metabolism	MAPK signaling pathway	Purine metabolism
	Regulation of actin cytoskeleton	Purine metabolism	Purine metabolism	MAPK signaling pathway	Pyrimidine metabolism
	TGF-beta signaling pathway	Pyrimidine metabolism	Pyrimidine metabolism	Jak-STAT signaling pathway	Purine metabolism
GO pathway	Protein biosynthesis	Cyclic nucleotide biosynthesis	cGMP metabolism	Morphogenesis	Nucleoside diphosphate metabolism
	Macromolecule biosynthesis	Cyclic nucleotide metabolism	cGMP biosynthesis	Development	Pyrimidine base metabolism
	Cellular biosynthesis	Nucleotide biosynthesis	Transcription initiation	Organogenesis	Deoxyribonucleoside diphosphate metabolism
	Biosynthesis	Nucleotide metabolism	Nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide	Organ development	Nucleotide metabolism

Table 4 Changes in Gene expression of key factors in 1× IMO samples

Name	Change via microarray	mRNA verified	Protein verified
Egr1	↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑ [25,30]
CREM	↑↑↑	-	-
DBH	Not changed	↑ [2]	Not changed
DUSP14	↑↑	-	-
Egfr	↑↑	↑↑	-
Fos	↑↑↑	↑↑↑	Yes [31,32]
Jun	↑↑	↑	-
MAPKAP2	↑↑	↑↑↑	-
Stat3	↑↑	↑↑	-
Inhibitor of activated Stat3 (PIAS3)	↑	-	-

Upward or downward-pointing arrows symbolize the following: ↑ changed up to 2.5 fold. ↑↑ 2.5 to 10.0 fold. ↑↑↑ greater than 10.0 fold. Changes in mRNA levels were confirmed by Northern Blot, qRT-PCR or Real Time PCR array.

regulated by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation by kinase/phosphatase enzymes, by *Stat* gene activation antagonists such as SOCS (suppressors of cytokine signaling) and by PIAS (Protein Inhibitors of Activated Stats) [36,37].

Prlh1 is a peptide widely distributed in the CNS and involved in mediating stress responses and activating the HPA axis [38]. Prlh1 was found to be co-expressed with TH and PNMT in Epi synthesizing cells of the adrenal medulla [39], however its function in the adrenal is unclear.

In repeated stress (6× IMO), the Egr1-centered network is organized differently with Stat3 being absent and with Prlh and Chgb being close network neighbors of Egr1 (Figure 3, right panel). Moreover, the enriched motifs are strikingly different compared to the 1× Egr1 neighborhoods. The predominant motifs include members of the insulin signaling pathway and members of pathways for purine metabolism, neuroactive signaling and MAPK pathway. The latter observation is in agreement with the central role of the MAPK pathway in both acute and repeated stress responses. Chgb is up-regulated confirming previous experiments (see ref [35]). Induction of chromogranin B (Chgb) gene expression selectively

with 6× IMO stress but not with 1×, is especially intriguing since Chgb functions in the biogenesis of secretory granules and in the sorting of proteins to the regulated secretory pathway [40]. Chgb deficient mice display reduced levels of catecholamines released per quanta [41]. The induction of Chgb with repeated IMO suggests formation of additional neurosecretory vesicles or production of larger quantal release which may help provide additional neurosecretory strength to adapt to further demands of chronically repeated stress [35]. The induction of Chgb with repeated IMO suggests that formation of additional neurosecretory vesicles or production of larger quantal release may help provide additional neurosecretory strength to adapt to further demands of chronically repeated stress.

Conclusions

In addition to the transcription factor Egr1 which is critical for IMO stress induction in the rat adrenal medulla, we here provide evidence for the first time that the gene encoding the transcription factor Stat3 and the gene encoding the peptide Prlh1 are activated in acute (1×) and in repeated stress (6×) respectively. The data suggest that the transcription factor Egr1 has different roles in acute and

Table 5 Changes in gene expression of key factors in 6× IMO samples

Name	Change via microarray	mRNA verified	Protein verified
Egr1	↑↑	↑↑	↑ [25,30]
CREB	Not changed	Not changed	Increased Phosphorylation ↑↑ [33]
Chromogranin B	Not changed	↑	-
DBH	Not changed	↑↑ [2]	↑↑
Egfr	Not changed	Not changed	-
PNMT	Not changed	↑↑ [3,4]	↑ [34]
MAPKAP2	↑↑	↑↑	-
Prlh	↑↑	↑↑↑	-

Upward-pointing arrows symbolize the following: ↑ changed up to 2.5 fold. ↑↑ 2.5 to 10.0 fold. ↑↑↑ greater than 10.0 fold. Changes in mRNA levels were confirmed by Northern Blot, qRT-PCR or Real Time PCR array.




Figure 4 mRNA levels of Stat3 (panel A) and Prlh1 (panel B) in control, 1× or 6× IMO stress samples, were detected with real time PCR. Fold induction is on the x axis and category on the y axis. Statistical significance was determined as described in Methods. ** $p \leq 0.01$ compared to control.

in repeated stress, indicated by different networks and network neighbors and furthermore that Stat3 signaling in 1× and Prlh (and Chgb) activation cascades in 6× might also be important in transitioning from acute to repeated stress responses.

Methods

Animal methods

The stress procedures, isolation of RNA and Affymetrix analysis were as previously described [6]. Briefly, male, murine pathogen-free, Sprague–Dawley rats (280–320 g), obtained from Taconic Farms (Germantown, NY, USA), were maintained under controlled conditions of a 12 h light–dark cycle (lights on from 6 am to 6 pm) at $23 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ with food and water *ad libitum*. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Immobilization stress (IMO) was performed as previously described [2,26,42]. For acute stress rats were subjected to immobilization stress for 2 hrs once (1 × IMO). For repeated stress, the animals were immobilized for 2 hrs daily for 6 consecutive days (6 × IMO). Following the last IMO, rats were euthanized by decapitation the adrenal medulla dissected. Control groups were not exposed to stress (absolute controls). All animal manipulations

were performed between 8 AM and 1 PM to control for circadian variations.

RNA isolation

For the microarray, RNA was isolated from two separate immobilization experiments. To minimize sample variability caused by individual differences among animals, each sample was pooled from left adrenal medulla from 4 individual rats. There were 3 pooled samples for each group. RNA was extracted using Absolutely RNA Miniprep Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The integrity of the RNA was assessed by the A260/A280 ratio which was close to 2.0 and by electrophoresis (Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100).

Microarray analyses

Gene expression analyses were performed by the NIH Neuroscience Microarray Consortium at UCLA Medical Center (Los Angeles, CA). Total RNA ($\geq 4 \mu\text{g}$) from each group was converted to cDNA by using superscript reverse transcriptase and the T7-Oligo (dT) promoter primer kit (Affymetrix, Inc). Following RNase H-mediated second-strand cDNA synthesis, the double-stranded cDNA were purified and served as a template in the subsequent *in vitro* transcription reaction (Affymetrix, Inc). The *in vitro* transcription reaction was carried by T7 RNA polymerase and a biotinylated nucleotide analog/ribonucleotide mix (Affymetrix, Inc). The biotinylated cRNA targets

were purified and fragmented. Each cRNA was hybridized to an individual Affymetrix GeneChip Rat Array Expression 230 2.0 (RAE 230 2.0 array) which was subsequently processed for washing and staining with the antibody stain solution with streptavidin phycoerythrin and the arrays were scanned on the GeneChip Scanner 3000. The raw pixel data have been deposited to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database Series # GSE8184.

Computational and bioinformatic methods

Top genes in gene sets that might be co-regulated with *Egr1* were identified with Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistics and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) [43,44] (For full description, see Additional file 2) on the genome-wide gene expression microarray data sets obtained from samples of the adrenal medulla of rats subjected to 1× or 6× IMO stress, each data set containing three samples. The strategy employed extraction of genes that are co-expressed with *Egr1* in single (1×) or repeated (6×) exposure to stress (Figure 1).

Statistical methods

Animal experiments with $n = 4$ per group were from at least two separate experiments. Data were analyzed with ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-hoc analysis using GraphPad Prism 4 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA). A value of $p \leq 0.05$ was considered significant.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Schematic representation of the KS ranking and GSEA procedures. The normalized enrichment score (ES) can be positive (for gene sets that are enriched and therefore correlate with the expression profile) or negative for anti-correlating profiles.

Additional file 2: Computational and bioinformatic methods, specifically for Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics and for gene set expression analysis.

Additional file 3: GSEA 1× IMO data. This file contains the GSEA parameters used in analyzing 1× microarray samples, the ranked gene list and their KS scores, the top *Egr1* gene index and categorical gene lists and their corresponding top gene sets with their ES scores.

Additional file 4: GSEA 6× IMO data. Same as for Additional file 3, except the data are for 6× samples.

Additional file 5: Gene Interaction-Interrelationship Modules. This file contains the two gene module lists generated with GSEA for 1× and 6× samples respectively, and the list of *Egr1* co-expressed genes extracted from the CxpressDb.

Additional file 6: 1× IMO Network Motif GO Categories and Network Data. Additional file 6 contains the top motifs for 1× IMO samples, the Gene Ontology enrichment data for the motifs, motif figures, top hubs and bottlenecks.

Additional file 7: 6× IMO Network Motif GO Categories and Network Data. Same as Additional file 5.

Abbreviations

ANOVA: Analysis of variance; DBH: Dopamine β -hydroxylase; Egf: Epidermal growth factor; EgfR: Epidermal growth factor receptor; *Egr1*: Early growth factor 1; *Egr1*_POS: *Egr1* positive gene index phenotype; Epi: Epinephrine; ER: Erdos-Renyi; ES: Enrichment score; Fgf2: Fibroblast growth factor 2;

GATHER: Gene annotation tool to help explain relationships; GSEA: Gene set enrichment analysis; Gzma: Granzyme A; *HTFN*: Human transcription factor network; HUBBA: Hub objects analyzer; *IL5*: Interleukin 5; *IL6*: Interleukin 6; IMO: Immobilization stress; Jak: Janus Kinase; 1 × IMO: Single immobilization stress/acute IMO stress; 6 × IMO: Immobilization stress repeated daily for 6 consecutive days/prolonged IMO stress; KS: Kolmogorov-Smirnov; MAP: Mitogen-activated protein; Mgp: Matrix Gia Protein; NE: Norepinephrine; SOCS: Suppressors of cytokine signaling; PNMT: Phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase; Prlh1: Prolactin releasing peptide; PIAS3: Protein inhibitor of activated Stat3; RT-PCR: Real time polymerase Chain reaction; Stat3: Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TH: Tyrosine hydroxylase.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

NAP conceived the idea, executed all computational and bioinformatic methods (KS statistics, module analysis with GSEA, GO analysis and network re-construction) and oversaw all aspects of the work and the manuscript. AT and XL performed all experiments except for microarray experiments which were performed at the NIH Neuroscience Microarray Consortium, UCLA Medical Center, CA. AGP has assisted with interpretation of the results and with the manuscript and ELS has managed all aspects of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Lidia Serova, New York Medical College for her assistance with the stress experiments and very useful suggestions. The microarray study was supported by NIH grant NS28869 (to ES).

Author details

¹Laboratory of Biological Chemistry, Department of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Hellas (Greece). ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY 10595, USA. ³Current Address: Clyde and Helen Wu Center of Molecular Cardiology, Department of Physiology and Cellular Biophysics, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY 10032, USA. ⁴Department of Biological Chemistry, Medical School, University of Athens, 75 M. Asias Street, 11527 Athens, Hellas (Greece).

Received: 22 May 2013 Accepted: 13 August 2014

Published online: 11 September 2014

References

1. Kvetnansky R, Sabban EL, Palkovits M: Catecholaminergic systems in stress: structural and molecular genetic approaches. *Physiol Rev* 2009, **89**:535–606.
2. McMahon A, Kvetnansky R, Fukuhara K, Weise VK, Kopin IJ, Sabban EL: Regulation of tyrosine hydroxylase and dopamine beta-hydroxylase mRNA levels in rat adrenals by a single and repeated immobilization stress. *J Neurochem* 1992, **58**(6):2124–2130.
3. Viskupic E, Kvetnansky R, Sabban EL, Fukuhara K, Weise VK, Kopin IJ, Schwartz JP: Increase in rat adrenal phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase mRNA level caused by immobilization stress depends on intact pituitary-adrenocortical axis. *J Neurochem* 1994, **63**(3):808–814.
4. Wong DL, Her S, Tai TC, Bell RA, Rusnák M, Farkas R, Kvetnansky R, Shih JC: Stress-Induced Expression of Phenylethanolamine N-Methyltransferase: Normal and Knock out Animals. In *Stress: Neural, Endocrine and Molecular Studies*. Edited by McCarty R, Aguilera G, Sabban EL, Kvetnansky R. London: Taylor and Francis; 2002:129–135.
5. Sabban EL, Kvetnansky R: Stress-triggered activation of gene expression in catecholaminergic systems: dynamics of transcriptional events. *Trends Neurosci* 2001, **24**(2):91–98.
6. Liu X, Serova L, Kvetnansky R, Sabban EL: Identifying the stress transcriptome in the adrenal medulla following acute and repeated immobilization. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2008, **1148**:1–28.
7. O'Donovan KJ, Tourtellotte WG, Millbrandt J, Baraban JM: The EGR family of transcription-regulatory factors: progress at the interface of molecular and systems neuroscience. *Trends Neurosci* 1999, **22**(4):167–173.

8. Gashler A, Sukhatme VP: **Early growth response protein 1 (Egr-1): prototype of a zinc-finger family of transcription factors.** *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* 1995, **50**:191–224.
9. Christy B, Nathans D: **DNA binding site of the growth factor-inducible protein Zif268.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1989, **86**(22):8737–8741.
10. Thiel G, Cibelli G: **Regulation of life and death by the zinc finger transcription factor Egr-1.** *J Cell Physiol* 2002, **193**(3):287–292.
11. Silverman ES, Collins T: **Pathways of Egr-1-mediated gene transcription in vascular biology.** *Am J Pathol* 1999, **154**(3):665–670.
12. Knapaska E, Kaczmarek L: **A gene for neuronal plasticity in the mammalian brain: Zif268/Egr-1/NGFI-A/Krox-24/TIS8/ZENK?** *Prog Neurobiol* 2004, **74**(4):183–211.
13. Bozon B, Davis S, Laroche S: **Regulated transcription of the immediate-early gene Zif268: mechanisms and gene dosage-dependent function in synaptic plasticity and memory formation.** *Hippocampus* 2002, **12**(5):570–577.
14. Tarcic G, Avraham R, Pines G, Amit I, Shay T, Lu Y, Zwang Y, Katz M, Ben-Chetrit N, Jacob-Hirsch J, Virgilio L, Rechavi G, Mavrothalassitis G, Mills GB, Domany E, Yarden Y: **EGR1 and the ERK-ERF axis drive mammary cell migration in response to EGF.** *FASEB J* 2012, **26**(4):1582–1592.
15. Zwang Y, Oren M, Yarden Y: **Consistency test of the cell cycle: roles for p53 and EGR1.** *Cancer Res* 2012, **72**(5):1051–1054.
16. Baron V, Adamson ED, Calogero A, Ragona G, Mercola D: **The transcription factor Egr1 is a direct regulator of multiple tumor suppressors including TGFbeta1, PTEN, p53, and fibronectin.** *Cancer Gene Ther* 2006, **13**(2):115–124.
17. Cubero FJ, Nieto N: **Arachidonic acid stimulates TNFalpha production in Kupffer cells via a reactive oxygen species-pERK1/2-Egr1-dependent mechanism.** *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2012, **303**(2):G228–G239.
18. Waki N, Yamane M, Yamamoto S, Okazaki M, Sugimoto S, Matsukawa A, Ota T, Miyoshi S: **Egr1: a novel target for ameliorating acute allograft rejection in an experimental lung transplant model.** *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2012, **41**(3):669–675.
19. Yu X, Shen N, Zhang ML, Pan FY, Wang C, Jia WP, Liu C, Gao Q, Gao X, Xue B, Li CJ: **Egr-1 decreases adipocyte insulin sensitivity by tilting PI3K/Akt and MAPK signal balance in mice.** *EMBO J* 2011, **30**(18):3754–3765.
20. Papanikolaou NA, Sabban EL: **Ability of Egr1 to activate tyrosine hydroxylase transcription in PC12 cells. Cross-talk with AP-1 factors.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**(35):26683–26689.
21. Nakashima A, Ota A, Sabban EL: **Interactions between Egr1 and AP1 factors in regulation of tyrosine hydroxylase transcription.** *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* 2003, **112**(1–2):61–69.
22. Ebert SN, Balt SL, Hunter JP, Gashler A, Sukhatme V, Wong DL: **Egr-1 activation of rat adrenal phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase gene.** *J Biol Chem* 1994, **269**(33):20885–20898.
23. Morita K, Ebert SN, Wong DL: **Role of transcription factor Egr-1 in phorbol ester-induced phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase gene expression.** *J Biol Chem* 1995, **270**(19):11161–11167.
24. Tai TC, Morita K, Wong DL: **Role of Egr-1 in cAMP-dependent protein kinase regulation of the phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase gene.** *J Neurochem* 2001, **76**(6):1851–1859.
25. Papanikolaou NA, Sabban EL: **Sp1/Egr1 motif: a new candidate in the regulation of rat tyrosine hydroxylase gene transcription by immobilization stress.** *J Neurochem* 1999, **73**(1):433–436.
26. Liu X, Kvetnansky R, Serova L, Sollas A, Sabban EL: **Increased susceptibility to transcriptional changes with novel stressor in adrenal medulla of rats exposed to prolonged cold stress.** *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* 2005, **141**(1):19–29.
27. Lin WF, Chen CJ, Chang YJ, Chen SL, Chiu IM, Chen L: **SH2B1beta enhances fibroblast growth factor 1 (FGF1)-induced neurite outgrowth through MEK-ERK1/2-STAT3-Egr1 pathway.** *Cell Signal* 2009, **21**(7):1060–1072.
28. Chung CD, Liao J, Liu B, Rao X, Jay P, Berta P, Shuai K: **Specific inhibition of Stat3 signal transduction by PIAS3.** *Science* 1997, **278**(5344):1803–1805.
29. Husby J, Todd AK, Haider SM, Zinzalla G, Thurston DE, Neidle S: **Molecular dynamics studies of the STAT3 homodimer: DNA complex: relationships between STAT3 mutations and protein-DNA recognition.** *J Chem Inf Model* 2012, **52**(5):1179–1192.
30. Sabban EL, Nankova BB, Serova LI, Kvetnansky R, Liu X: **Molecular regulation of gene expression of catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes by stress: sympathetic ganglia versus adrenal medulla.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2004, **1018**:370–377.
31. Nankova B, Devlin D, Kvetnansky R, Kopin IJ, Sabban EL: **Repeated immobilization stress increases the binding of c-Fos-like proteins to a rat dopamine beta-hydroxylase promoter enhancer sequence.** *J Neurochem* 1993, **61**(2):776–779.
32. Nankova BB, Rivkin M, Kelz M, Nestler EJ, Sabban EL: **Fos-related antigen 2: potential mediator of the transcriptional activation in rat adrenal medulla evoked by repeated immobilization stress.** *J Neurosci* 2000, **20**(15):5647–5653.
33. Sabban EL, Liu X, Serova L, Gueorguiev V, Kvetnansky R: **Stress triggered changes in gene expression in adrenal medulla: transcriptional responses to acute and chronic stress.** *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 2006, **26**(4–6):845–854.
34. Kvetnansky R, Kubovcakova L, Tillinger A, Micitkova L, Krizanova O, Sabban EL: **Gene expression of phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase in corticotropin-releasing hormone knockout mice during stress exposure.** *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 2006, **26**(4–6):733–752.
35. Sabban EL, Tillinger A, Nostramo R, Serova L: **Stress triggered changes in expression of genes for neurosecretory granules in adrenal medulla.** *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 2012, **32**(5):795–800.
36. Ye L, Wang X, Metzger DS, Riedel E, Montaner LJ, Ho W: **Upregulation of SOCS-3 and PIAS-3 impairs IL-12-mediated interferon-gamma response in CD56 T cells in HCV-infected heroin users.** *PLoS ONE* 2010, **5**(3):e9602.
37. Greenhalgh CJ, Hilton DJ: **Negative regulation of cytokine signaling.** *J Leukoc Biol* 2001, **70**(3):348–356.
38. Sun B, Fujiwara K, Adachi S, Inoue K: **Physiological roles of prolactin-releasing peptide.** *Regul Pept* 2005, **126**(1–2):27–33.
39. Fujiwara K, Matsumoto H, Yada T, Inoue K: **Identification of the prolactin-releasing peptide-producing cell in the rat adrenal gland.** *Regul Pept* 2005, **126**(1–2):97–102.
40. Natori S, Huttner WB: **Chromogranin B (secretogranin I) promotes sorting to the regulated secretory pathway of processing intermediates derived from a peptide hormone precursor.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1996, **93**(9):4431–4436.
41. Borges R, Diaz-Vera J, Dominguez N, Arnau MR, Machado JD: **Chromogranins as regulators of exocytosis.** *J Neurochem* 2010, **114**(2):335–343.
42. Kvetnansky R, Mikulaj L: **Adrenal and urinary catecholamines in rats during adaptation to repeated immobilization stress.** *Endocrinology* 1970, **87**(4):738–743.
43. Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, Subramanian A, Sihag S, Lehar J, Puigserver P, Carlsson E, Ridderstråle M, Laurila E, Houstis N, Daly MJ, Patterson N, Mesirov JP, Golub TR, Tamayo P, Spiegelman B, Lander ES, Hirschhorn JN, Altshuler D, Groop LC: **PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes.** *Nat Genet* 2003, **34**(3):267–273.
44. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP: **Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005, **102**(43):15545–15550.

doi:10.1186/s12918-014-0100-8

Cite this article as: Papanikolaou et al.: A systems approach identifies co-signaling molecules of early growth response 1 transcription factor in immobilization stress. *BMC Systems Biology* 2014 **8**:100.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**
- **Research which is freely available for redistribution**

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

