## A Table of the First Factor for Prime Cyclotomic Fields

## By Morris Newman

Abstract. The first factor of the prime cyclotomic fields for all primes < 200 is computed by means of a determinantal formula, correcting some errors in tables of Kummer.

Let p = 2m + 1 be an odd prime. Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive *p*th root of unity, and let R be the field of rationals. It is well known that if h is the class number of the cyclotomic field  $R(\zeta)$ , and  $h_0$  the class number of the totally real subfield  $R(\zeta + 1/\zeta)$ , then h is divisible by  $h_0$ . The quotient  $h/h_0$  is denoted by  $h^*$ , and is known as the *first factor* of h. A complete discussion of these matters is to be found in the beautiful book [1] by Borevič and Šafarevič, where a table (uncredited) of  $h^*$  is given for all odd primes p < 100. Presumably, this table is due to Kummer, who computed  $h^*$  for all odd primes  $\leq 163$  (see [2] and [3]). The numbers  $h^*$  are quite difficult to compute, and it is of some interest to verify and to extend the above-mentioned tables. The importance of  $h^*$  stems from the fact that the prime p is irregular if and only if p divides  $h^*$ .

It turns out that Kummer's tables are not error-free: the values of  $h^*$  corresponding to p = 103, 139, and 163 are incorrect. The fact that p = 103 is irregular, and was correctly identified to be so by Kummer, is explicable by his method of computation.

Let g be a primitive root modulo p. Define

$$g_n = g^n - p[g^n/p], \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Let  $\theta$  be a primitive (p-1)st root of unity. Then the first factor  $h^*$  is given by the formula

$$h^* = \frac{1}{(2p)^{m-1}} F(\theta) F(\theta^3) \cdots F(\theta^{p-2}) ,$$

where

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} g_n x^n \, .$$

Kummer expresses  $h^*$  as the product of norms

$$h^* = \frac{1}{(2p)^{m-1}} \prod_{d \mid m; d \text{ odd}} N\{F(\theta^d)\},$$

and computes each rational integral factor  $N\{F(\theta^d)\}$  separately. Thus an error in the computation of  $h^*$  can occur without violating the divisibility (or nondivisibility)

Received June 5, 1969, revised August 7, 1969.

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 1002; Secondary 1066.

Key Words and Phrases. Cyclotomic fields, class numbers, tables, factorizations.

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

of  $h^*$  by p, if the error occurs in the proper place. Notice that the formula above indicates that if m has many odd divisors, then  $h^*$  can be expected to have many factors.

The number  $h^*$  can also be expressed as a determinant. The companion matrix of the  $m \times m$  diagonal matrix diag  $(\theta, \theta^3, \dots, \theta^{p-2})$  is the generalized permutation matrix

	0	1	0	•••	0
	0	0	1	•••	0
Q =		•		•	
	0	0	0 0	•••	1
	$\lfloor -1 \rfloor$	0	0	•••	0_

which of course is nonderogatory and satisfies  $Q^m + I = 0$ . Therefore, the determinant of the matrix F(Q) is just  $(2p)^{m-1}h^*$ , and this leads (after some elementary rearrangements) to the formula

$$(2p)^{m-1}h^* = |\det (A)|,$$
  
 $A = (g_{m+i+j} - g_{i+j}), \quad 0 \le i, j \le m - 1,$ 

given as problem 5 on p. 367 of [1].

This formula is somewhat unsatisfactory however, because of the occurrence of the factor  $(2p)^{m-1}$ . This can be remedied very simply by taking into account the relationships

$$g_{i+j} \equiv g_i g_j \mod p$$
,  $g_{i+m} + g_i = p$ .

Subtract row 0 of A from every other row. A factor 2 now occurs throughout the last m - 1 rows. Remove this factor of  $2^{m-1}$ , and then subtract  $g_j$  times column 0 from column  $j, 1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ . A factor p now occurs throughout the last m - 1 columns. Remove this factor of  $p^{m-1}$ . The result is that

$$h^* = |\det(B)|, \quad B = (b_{ij}), \quad 0 \le i, \ j \le m - 1,$$

where

$$\begin{split} b_{00} &= p - 2, \\ b_{0j} &= 1 - g_j, \\ b_{i0} &= 1 - g_i, \\ b_{i0} &= 1 - g_i, \\ b_{ij} &= (g_{i}g_j - g_{i+j})/p, \\ 1 &\leq i, j \leq m - 1. \end{split}$$

The computation of det (B) for all odd primes < 200 was carried out as a test of a program of the author's which finds the exact solution of a system of linear equations with whole number coefficients, and which also produces the determinant of the system. Storage requirements limit the program to systems of 100 equations or less, which is sufficient to accommodate the above mentioned primes. The program incorporates a check by direct multiplication which guarantees the accuracy of the results obtained. In addition, it is known that the irregular primes under 200 are p = 37, 59, 67, 101, 103, 131, 149, 157; and in each of these instances  $h^*$  is divisible by p, furnishing an additional check on the computation. For  $p = 157, h^*$  is divisible by  $p^2$ , as was already noticed by Kummer [3]. This suggests the conjecture: There are infinitely many primes p such that  $h^*$  is divisible by  $p^2$ , or indeed

Table	1	
$h^*$		

p

<i>P</i>																								
3																								1
5		·	•••	·	·	• •	·	•	•••	•	•		•	•	•••	·	•		•	•	•	• •	·	1
7	• •	•	• •	•	•		·	•			·		•			•	·		•		•			1
11	• •	•	• •	•	•	• •		•		Ċ	÷					Ċ	Ċ		Ċ	÷	·		•	1
13	• •	•	• •	•	•	• •	·	•	•••	•	•	•••	·	•		·	•	• •	•	·	•	• •	·	1
17	• •	•	• •	•	•	•••	•	•	•••	·	•	•••	•	•	•••	•	•	• •		•	•		•	1
$\hat{19}$		•	• •	•	•		•	•		·	•	• •	•			•				·	·			1
$\frac{10}{23}$		•	• •	•			·	•		•	•		•							•			·	3
$\frac{1}{29}$	• •	·	• •	•	•	• •	·	•	•••	•	•		·			•	•		•	•	•		÷	8
$\overline{31}$		•			•		•			·										÷	·			9
$3\overline{7}$					ż			ż		÷			÷			·				÷	ż			37
41		÷																						.121
$\overline{43}$		÷			÷		·	ż					÷			·					÷			.211
$\overline{47}$							÷	÷					÷										ż	.695
$\overline{53}$		·			·		÷	÷								÷								4889
59		÷			÷		÷									·							.4	41241
61				·													÷		·					76301
$\tilde{67}$		ż			÷		÷										÷		÷			.8		53513
$\tilde{71}$					÷												·		·			38		32809
$\overline{73}$					÷		·														.1			57417
79		ż																		ż	10			46415
83																					83			16959
89																			1		337			33737
97																		. 4	1	1	32	28	2	24001
101																		.35	4	7	40	43	7	78125
103																		. 90	6	ę	909	46		43165
107																		634	3	4	193	35	4	42623
109																	.1	617	8	4	180	01	2	22409
113																1	6	120	7	2	200	13	(	62952
127															260		5	291	8	6	526	39	Q	92195
131														. 28	349	6	3	797	2	ę	927	21	:	36525
137													6		<b>390</b>		5	701	7		520			68153
139												. 1	7	53	384	8	9	164	8	4	192	56	8	81747
149												687			785		6	871	7	4	172	01		23201
151												333			365		5	477	4	2	258	44	5	39257
157											6	234	3	2	770	0	4	018	3	2	276	70	(	69245
163									. 27			534			169			770		1	87	51		31636
167									281			189			)32		9	331	7	8	331	53		82891
173									325	_		266	-	54	115	<b>5</b>	8	475	1	6	678	00	:	34265
179						. 7'			815			212			)29			927			<b>397</b>			21745
181						.21			217			749			754			<b>97</b> 2			550			39625
191				1		5003			654			223			345			876			132			29859
193						661'			059			568			554			507			263			67041
197				5		280		2	187	1	3	600	7		<b>30</b> 9		-	937			329			31720
199			.18	8	4	405	5	28	366	0	2	530	8	02	2019	9	8	470	1	2	272	15	;	55487

## MORRIS NEWMAN

## TABLE 2Factorization of h\*

p	Factorization of $h^*$
3	_
<b>5</b>	-
7	-
11	-
13	-
17	-
19	-
23	3
$\frac{29}{31}$	$\frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2}{3 \cdot 3}$
$\frac{31}{37}$	37
41	11.11
43	211
47	5.139
53	4889
59	3.59.233
61	$41 \cdot 1861$
67	$67 \cdot 12739$
71	$7 \cdot 7 \cdot 79241$
$\overline{73}$	$89 \cdot 134353$
79	$5 \cdot 53 \cdot 377911$
83	3.279405653
89 07	113.118401449
97 101	$577 \cdot 3457 \cdot 206209$ $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 101 \cdot 601 \cdot 18701$
101	$5 \cdot 103 \cdot 1021 \cdot 17247691$
103	3.743.9859.2886593
109	17.1009.9431866153
113	$2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 17 \cdot 11853470598257$
127	$5 \cdot 13 \cdot 43 \cdot 547 \cdot 883 \cdot 3079 \cdot 626599$
131	$3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 53 \cdot 131 \cdot 1301 \cdot 4673706701$
137	$17 \cdot 17 \cdot 47737 \cdot 46890540621121$
139	$3 \cdot 3 \cdot 47 \cdot 47 \cdot 277 \cdot 277 \cdot 967 \cdot 1188961909$
149	$3 \cdot 3 \cdot 149 \cdot 512966338320040805461$
151	$7\cdot 11\cdot 11\cdot 281\cdot 25951\cdot 1207501\cdot 312885301$
157	$5 \cdot 13 \cdot 13 \cdot 157 \cdot 157 \cdot 1093 \cdot 1873 \cdot 418861 \cdot 3148601$
163	$2 \cdot 2 \cdot 181 \cdot 23167 \cdot 365473 \cdot 441845817162679$
$\begin{array}{c} 167 \\ 173 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11\cdot 499\cdot 5123189985484229035947419\\ 5\cdot 20297\cdot 231169\cdot 72571729362851870621\end{array}$
175 179	$5 \cdot 1069 \cdot 14458667392334948286764635121$
181	$5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 37 \cdot 41 \cdot 61 \cdot 1321 \cdot 2521 \cdot 5488435782589277701$
191	$11 \cdot 13 \cdot 51263 \cdot 612771091 \cdot 36733950669733713761$
193	6529 · 15361 · 29761 · 91969 · 10369729 · 192026280449
197	$2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 1877 \cdot 7841 \cdot 9398302684870866656225611549$
199	$3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 19 \cdot 727 \cdot 25645093 \cdot 207293548177 \cdot 3168190412839$

by any given power of p. The conjecture is true for the first power, since it is known that there are infinitely many irregular primes. Vandiver has proved that  $h^*$  is divisible by  $p^2$  if and only if there are integers a, b such that  $1 \leq a < b \leq m - 1$ , and the numerators of the Bernoulli numbers  $B_{2a}$ ,  $B_{2b}$ , are each divisible by p.

m

Table 1 gives the values of p and  $h^*$ . Table 2 gives the factorization of  $h^*$ , and was computed by D. H. Lehmer. The author had determined all prime factors  $< 10^5$  of  $h^*$ , but was unable to produce all factors, because of lack of machine time. Professor Lehmer kindly remedied this situation.

There are some interesting points in the tables. Thus for p under 200,  $h^*$  is even only for p = 29, 113, 163, and 197; and in all of these cases  $h^*$  is divisible by 4. There is thus a distinct possibility that  $h^*$  is never singly even. Also  $h^*$  is composite for all primes p such that 59  $\leq p \leq 199$ , prompting the somewhat dubious conjecture that  $h^*$  is always composite except for finitely many p. Also  $h^*$  is square-free in 29 of the 45 cases given; and  $29/45 = .64444 \cdots$  does not compare too badly with  $6/\pi^2 = .60792 \cdots$ 

The computation of Table 1 was carried out on the computer of the National Bureau of Standards, and required approximately 30 minutes of machine time.

National Bureau of Standards Washington, D. C. 20234

1. Z. I. BOREVIČ & I. R. ŠAFAREVIČ, Number Theory, "Nauka", Moscow, 1964; English transl., Academic Press, New, York, 1966. MR 30 #1080; MR 33 #4001. 2. E. KUMMER, "Über die Klassenanzahl der aus nten Einheitswurzeln gebildeten complexen Zahlen," Monatsh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 1861, pp. 1051–1053. 3. E. KUMMER, "Über diejenigen Primzahlen  $\lambda$ , für welche die Klassenzahl der aus  $\lambda$  ten Einheitswurzeln gebildeten complexen Zahlen durch  $\lambda$  theilbar ist," Monatsh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 1874, pp. 239–248.