**NASA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

NASA TM X-71683

NASA TM X-71683

(NASA-TM-X-71683) A THRUSTER SUB-SYSTEM MODULE (TSSM) FOR SOLAR ELECTRIC PROPULSION (NASA) 21 p HC $3.25 CSCL 21C

N75-19347

Unclas
G3/20 13391

# A THRUSTER SUB-SYSTEM MODULE (TSSM) FOR SOLAR ELECTRIC PROPULSION

by G. Richard Sharp
Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135



TECHNICAL PAPER to be presented at Eleventh Electric Propulsion Conference sponsored by American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics New Orleans, Lousianna, March 19-21, 1975

E-8276

# A THRUSTER SUB-SYSTEM MODULE (TSSM) FOR SOLAR ELECTRIC PROPULSION

by G. Richard Sharp

Lewis Research Center

## SUMMARY

Solar Electric Propulsion (SEP) is currently being studied for possible use in a number of near-earth and planetary missions. Thruster systems for these missions could be integrated directly into a spacecraft or modularized into a Thruster Sub-System Module (TSSM). A TSSM for electric propulsion missions would consist of a 30-cm ion thruster, thruster gimbal system, propellant storage and feed system, associated Power Processing Unit (PPU), thermal control system and complete supporting structure. The TSSM would be wholly self-contained and be essentially a plug-in or strap-on electric stage with simple mechanical, thermal, electrical and propellant interfaces. The TSSM described in this report is designed for a broad range of missions requiring from two to ten TSSM's mounted in a 2 by x configuration. The thermal control system is designed to accommodate waste heat from the power processor based on realistic efficiencies when the TSSM is operating from 0.7 to 3.5 AU's. The modules are 0.61 M (2 ft) wide by 2.29 M (7.5 ft) long and have a dry weight including propellant tank of 54.4 kg (120 lb). The propellant tank will hold 145.1 kg (320 lb) of mercury.

## INTRODUCTION

The 30-cm ion thruster described by Sovey and King in Ref. 7 has reached the state of development where it is ready for application as a primary propulsive unit. The power processors for these thrusters are developed to the point where preliminary packaging studies of the electronics has started. Various concepts for packaging the power processors were investigated by NASA and JPL staff in support of recent SEP mission studies. The dual shear plate approach of housing the components as proposed by Franzon, Fredrickson, and Ross (Ref. 6) was generally accepted as a baseline approach to packaging. The next level of packaging to be considered was the integration of the thruster subsystem into a spacecraft. Duxbury proposed a spacecraft design for the Encke slow fly by mission where the various components of the thrust subsystem were individually integrated into the spacecraft (Ref. 1). This paper presents a concept where the elements of the thruster subsystem are integrated together to form a Thruster Sub-System Module (TSSM). The TSSM would be a wholly self-contained strap-on electric stage with simple mechanical, thermal, power, and control interfaces with a spacecraft.

Present planning for Solar Electric Propulsion (SEP) missions must accommodate a wide range of design requirements and options. The number of 30-cm ion thrusters required to fly the mission ranges from 2 to 10 (Refs. 2, 3, and 4). The quantity of propellant (mercury) required for these missions ranges from several hundred kg for earth orbit raising missions to over 1000 kg for some of the high energy planetary missions. Satisfying this variety of requirements could lead to a family of relatively expensive custom designed SEP spacecraft. However, a modular approach to the propulsion system for SEP spacecraft could lead to a standardized thrust system that would reduce costs and ease planning. From 2 to 10 Thruster Sub-System Modules can be assembled into a thrust system and integrated with a spacecraft. Such thrust systems would be capable of performing all of the missions presently under consideration.

A TSSM for these missions would consist of a 30-cm ion thruster, a thruster gimbal system, a propellant storage and feed system, a Power Processing Unit (PPU), a modular thermal control system and a modular support structure. The TSSM could be designed to be virtually identical and thus completely interchangeable. The TSSM would have structural, power, telemetry and command interfaces with the spacecraft but only structural, propellant and thermal interfaces with other TSSM's.

The use of TSSM's in an on-going series of SEP spacecraft would accrue many benefits. A qualification test program for the TSSM could be developed that would envelop the mission set. Since essentially identical TSSM could be used for several on-going missions, only a flight acceptance test program would need to be performed on the modules to be used for each mission. It would thus be possible to use the flight spares of one mission as the flight units of the following mission thus effecting a large cost savings. Reliability of the on-going missions would be greatly enhanced since virtually identical hardware would be used. However, all of these advantages do not accrue without some liability. It would not be possible to design a modular system that would be as weight optimized as a completely customized design. For example, weight penalties always occur where redundant structure (necessary to the testing of the individual modules) occurs and also the thermal control radiators for a 2 by x modular configuration can radiate only from one side (radiator weight would be halved if thermal radiation could occur from two sides). Another disadvantage is that the mandatory use of modules in later SEP spacecraft would not allow the design flexibility that might be had by using just the individual qualified SEP components. However, the advantages of direct hardware cost savings associated with flight spare carryover, test program simplicity, reliability from previous flight experience and prospective production cost savings far outweigh the disadvantages.

This report presents the TSSM general design requirements and approach. Integration and interfacing of TSSM's into 2 to 10 module assemblies is also discussed. A performance summary of the TSSM baseline concept is presented including weight, dimensions, thermal range, gimbal angles, and total impulse.

## TSSM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

### Launch Environmental Requirements

The TSSM must be designed to meet the launch environmental requirements for all prospective missions.

The maximum thrust and lateral quasi-static accelerations (launch acceleration plus launch low frequency sine vibration) for the prospective launch vehicles are given in Table I. The unamplified spacecraft sine and random vibration environments for the various prospective launch vehicles are given in Figures 1 and 2. Figures 1 and 2 also depict a proposed vibration test specification for a TSSM.

## Thermal Requirements

The TSSM must also be designed to meet the thermal requirements for a number of prospective electric propulsion missions. These requirements can be broken down into specific cases for each of these missions. These missions and their thermal environmental requirements are summarized in Table II. The set of mission requirements on which the thermal requirements are based can be found in Refs. 1 to 4. Further details on the thermal control system requirements can be found in Ref. 9.

## Mechanical Requirements

Since the TSSM is to be used for a variety of missions it must be designed to meet the varied mechanical requirements of these missions. The chief mechanical requirements are those for the thrust vector orientation system or more specifically, the thruster gimbal angles. The required maximum gimbal angles vary depending on the number of modules needed for the thrust system and the type of gimbal system chosen. The TSSM is designed to be assembled in clusters of from 2 to 10 units in a 2 by x configuration. The type of gimbal system chosen for the TSSM utilizes linear actuators. The required gimbal angles for 10 modules are $\pm 31^{\circ}$ and $\pm 8^{\circ}$ in order to point the thrust vector of any one thruster directly through the spacecraft center of mass. The center of mass is assumed to be at the TSSM/spacecraft interface as shown in Figure 3. An additional gimbal angle capability of $\pm 5^{\circ}$ is required for spacecraft attitude control. In conjunction with the thruster gimbal angle requirements, the thruster electrical harness and propellant feed lines are required to flex to allow full thruster gimbaling.

### Electrical Requirements

The mission electrical requirements are chiefly those of the Power Processing Unit (PPU) for the 30-cm ion thruster. The requirements principally concern the PPU operating electrical characteristics, command interface and conducted and radiated electromagnetic interference (EMI). A detailed summary of the PPU electrical requirements can be found in Refs. 7 and 8.

The TSSM must, in addition to the PPU electrical interface, have a gimbal drive and gimbal telemetry electrical interface with the spacecraft.

### General Requirements

The TSSM must, in addition to the specific requirements listed heretofore, satisfy the general requirements of:

a. The overall weight of the propulsive section of a spacecraft using TSSM's must be within competitive range of that of a custom designed spacecraft.

b. The TSSM must be easily assembled and disassembled from a SEP spacecraft.

c. The TSSM must be easily serviced and repaired.

## TSSM GENERAL DESIGN APPROACH

### General Description

The Thruster Sub-System Module (TSSM) is designed as a strap-on electric stage. The TSSM consists of one 30-cm ion thruster, a two degree of freedom gimbal system, a propellant storage and feed system that has a common manifold with other TSSM, a Power Processing Unit (PPU), a thermal control system for the entire TSSM which can be integrated into a multiple TSSM system with minimum change, and a support structure coupling all the subsystems into the TSSM. The TSSM has simple plug-in electrical and propellant interfaces and is essentially a unitized modular assembly.

A baseline TSSM design (Fig. 4) was selected based in part on the results of the PPU Packaging Study (9) and on the additional need for some redundancy in the PPU thermal control system. The baseline design maximizes the separation between the thruster and the PPU and between the thruster and the propellant stowage tank in order to minimize the thruster gimbal angles required to point the thrust vector through the spacecraft center of mass (Fig. 3). However, there is also a need to keep the overall spacecraft height short to maintain the highest possible structural frequencies and to allow for maximum payload height. The TSSM height was minimized by positioning the thruster behind the Variable Conductance Heat Pipe System (VCHPS) radiator. Figure 5 shows an artist's isometric of the TSSM and how several TSSM's would be integrated into a typical SEP spacecraft.

## Thruster and Thruster Gimbal System

The thruster utilized in the TSSM is the 900 Series thruster described in Ref. 7. It is an electron-bombardment mercury ion thruster of 30-cm nominal anode (and beam) diameter. The thruster gimbal system uses linear actuators because of their light weight and inherent simplicity. The gimbal system is capable of tilting the thruster $\pm 40^{\circ}$ parallel to the length of the coupled TSSM's and $\pm 20^{\circ}$ perpendicular to the PPU radiators. The $40^{\circ}$ tilt is $9^{\circ}$ further than the angle necessary to point the thruster through the assumed worst case spacecraft center of mass when 10 TSSM are assembled as a spacecraft thrust system (see Fig. 3). The $20^{\circ}$ tilt capability perpendicular to the PPU radiators is $12^{\circ}$ greater than the angle necessary to point the thruster through the spacecraft center of mass. This excess gimbal angle capability exceeds that required for the attitude control function.

## Propellant Storage and Feed System

Each thruster of each TSSM has an individual propellant storage tank. These tanks are located as near to the main spacecraft as possible in order to move the overall spacecraft center of mass away from the thrusters and thus minimize the thruster gimbal angles. The storage tanks each have lines feeding the individual thrusters. The storage tanks are also cross coupled to other TSSM's by a common manifold. If the storage tanks were not fully loaded, the spacecraft center of mass could be kept on the spacecraft centerline or shifted radially in any direction from the centerline by varying the amount of propellant in each tank. These tank propellant levels could be controlled either by controlling the tank pressurization gas temperature or by electromagnetically pumping the liquid metal propellant through a propellant valve-manifold system to other propellant tanks.

## Power Processing Unit

The Power Processing Units (PPU) for the 30-cm ion thrusters are discussed in detail in Refs. 10 and 11. The PPU packaging concept used in the TSSM is discussed in detail in Ref. 9. This packaging concept compresses the PPU into a relatively small package.

This PPU packaging concept is ideally suited to the TSSM since the PPU and propellant tank center of mass can be moved as far as possible from the thrusters, thus minimizing the required thruster gimbal angles.

## Thermal Control System

The TSSM Baseline Thermal Control System uses direct thermal radiation of waste PPU heat through thermal control louvers combined with heat conduction of additional PPU waste heat by means of a Variable Conductance Heat Pipe System (VCHPS) to an adjacent auxiliary radiator (Ref. 9). This system was chosen because it meets all the requirements of the cases of Table II.

The thermal control system is sized by the thermal requirements of Case Ia of Table II, the 0.7 AU case. At 0.7 AU the PPU must radiate 387 watts toward a relatively hot solar array ($140^{\circ}$ C) and thus a large radiator area is required. The heat that cannot be radiated directly from the PPU through the now open louvers on the PPU radiating face is conducted to an adjacent thermally isolated radiator by the VCHPS. The VCHPS radiator thermal isolation is necessitated by the need to limit PPU heat loss when either far from the sun (Table II, Case Ib) or when the spacecraft is eclipsed (Table II, Case IIb).

When the TSSM is far from the sun, Case Ib of Table II, the solar array is cold ($-112^{\circ}$ C) and the PPU dissipation low (12 watts heater power only with an inoperative PPU). The inert gas of the VCHPS expands and prevents heat conduction through the VCHPS. The louvers located on the PPU close and thus the PPU heater power requirements are kept low.

An alternative thermal control system for the TSSM would eliminate the louvers and use only the VCHPS with its radiator (see Fig. 6). The main advantage of this system would be that it would further limit the heat losses associated with Case Ib of Table II when the TSSM is far from the sun. Less heat is radiated because the PPU heat loss through the closed louvers is eliminated. The only heat losses that occur are through conduction of heat through only the metal of the heat pipes to the heat pipe radiator. If low conductivity metal (stainless steel) is used for the heat pipes, this loss can be minimized (see Ref. 9 for a weight trade-off study of PPU thermal control by an all-louver system, louvers with heat pipes and an all-heat pipe system).

## Structure

The baseline TSSM structure consists of the PPU structure coupled with a Graphite Reinforced Plastic (GRP) support truss. Figure 4 shows that the heaviest part of the TSSM, the propellant storage tank, is located very close to the TSSM-spacecraft structural interface. Thus the tank needs only to be supported by stabilization struts since the tank lateral shear forces and thrust acceleration forces can be transferred directly into the spacecraft structure.

The PPU is also located close to the TSSM-spacecraft structural interface. However, the PPU structure also acts as a part of the overall TSSM structure. TSSM truss compressive and tensile forces are transferred through the PPU to the spacecraft by the PPU side members and the PPU back shear plate. Lateral truss forces and lateral PPU acceleration forces (parallel to the PPU radiating face) are transferred to the spacecraft by shear through the PPU back shear plate (see Ref. 9 for a more complete PPU structural description).

The ion thruster, thruster gimbal system, thruster electrical harness and propellant feedlines and the VCHPS radiator are all supported by the GRP support truss. The truss is designed to transfer these loads to the spacecraft independent of the number of TSSM coupled together. GRP was chosen because of its low coefficient of expansion and light weight.

The VCHPS Radiator was not incorporated as a part of the overall spacecraft structure because of its large temperature excursions ($250^{\circ}$ C) and resulting large expansions and contractions (0.864 cm (0.34 in.) total for the 1.473 M (58 in.) long radiator shown in Figs. 4, 5, and 6). Also, the VCHPS radiator must be thermally isolated from the spacecraft in order to avoid large heat losses during spacecraft eclipse (Table II, Case IIb) or PPU shutdown (Table II, Case Ib). Thermal isolation is virtually impossible to achieve through a good structural interface.

## TSSM BASELINE WEIGHT AND PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

### TSSM Weight Summary

Table III summarizes the TSSM component weights. The PPU system weights are identical to those listed in Ref. 9 for the baseline PPU with thermal control by louvers in combination with heat pipes. The weights listed in Table III and in Ref. 9 for the louvers, louver support structure, evaporator heat pipes and saddles and heat pipe gas reservoirs are also identical. However, the weights listed in Table III for the Condenser Heat Pipes and Saddles and the Heat Pipe Radiator are heavier than Ref. 9 since the Heat Pipe Radiator was lengthened to meet the worst case thermal conditions.

The light weight of the support truss reflects the use of Graphite Reinforced Plastic (GRP) for the truss members in combination with magnesium end fittings. The PPU to Thruster Electrical Harness weight is derived from the known 30-cm thruster electrical power requirements.

The thruster weight is for the 900 series 30-cm ion thruster (Ref. 7). The propellant storage tank and propellant feed system weights are for a 145.1 kg (320 lb) capacity ellipsoidal mercury propellant tank. The propellant storage tank design is similar to that flown on the SERT II mission.

The total dry TSSM weight is then 54.49 kg (120 lb) for a system capable of carrying 145.12 kg (320 lb) of propellant.

### Performance Summary

The baseline TSSM performance summary is given in Table IV. The TSSM 199.5 kg (440 lb) net weight is for a propellant load of 145.12 kg (320 lb). If more propellant is needed, larger tanks can be used. The propellant tanks can then be off-loaded for the less energetic missions.

The 2.29 M (90 in.) overall length includes the thruster protrusion beyond the end of the VCHPS radiator.

The 3.5 AU thermal capability of the TSSM can be extended simply by the addition of more PPU heater power. However, the 0.7 AU limitation is governed by the permissible thruster temperature (thrusters have been tested in up to a 0.63 AU thermal environment, Refs. 12 and 13), the permissible solar array temperature when tilted (currently $140^{\circ}$ C) and the overall spacecraft thermal design. Thus, the 0.7 AU limit is not really a TSSM limit.

## Conclusions

A Thruster Sub-System Module (TSSM) for Solar Electric Propulsion (SEP) missions can be designed and built based on existing technology. The TSSM would have simple electrical mechanical, thermal and propellant interfaces and be essentially a strap-on electric stage. The module would be capable of meeting all of the environmental and operational requirements of the SEP mission set. The TSSM could be environmentally qualified for the entire mission set so that the spares of one mission would become the flight units of the next mission thus effecting a large cost savings.

## REFERENCES

1. Duxbury, J. H., "A Solar-Electric Spacecraft for the Encke Slow Flyby Mission," AIAA Paper 73-1126, Lake Tahoe, Nev., 1973.
2. Gilbert, J., and Guttman, C. H., "The Evolution of the SEP Stage/SEPS/Concept," AIAA Paper 73-1122, Lake Tahoe, Nev., 1973.

3. Concept Definition and Systems Analysis Study for a Solar Electric Propulsion Stage," SD-74-SA-0176, Vols. 1 thru 4, 1975, Rockwell International, Downey, Calif.

4. "Concept Definition and System Analysis Study for a Solar Electric Propulsion Stage," D180-18553-1, Vols. 1 thru 5, 1975, Boeing Aerospace Co., Seattle, Wash.

5. "General Environmental Test Specification for Spacecraft and Components," S-320-G-1, 1969, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Md.

6. "Solar Electric Propulsion (SEP) Dual Shear Plate Packaging Design," Doc. No. 701-204, 1974, Jet Propulsion Lab., Pasadena, Calif.

7. Sovey, J. S. and King, H. J., "Status of 30 cm Ion Thruster Development," AIAA Paper 74-1117, San Diego, Calif., 1974.

8. Bechtel, R. T., "Control Logic for a 30-cm Diameter Ion Thruster," AIAA Paper No. 75-378, New Orleans, La., 1975.

9. Maloy, J. E., and Sharp, G. R., "A Structural and Thermal Packaging Approach for Power Processing Units for 30-cm Ion Thrusters," AIAA Paper No. 75-403, New Orleans, La., 1975.

10. Sung, S. and Herron, B. G., "Development of a 30-cm Ion Thruster Thermal-Vacuum Power Processor," AIAA Paper 75- , New Orleans, La., 1975.

11. Biess, J. L., Inouye, L. V. and Shank, J. H., "High Voltage Series Resonant Inverter Ion Engine Screen Supply," 1974, TRW Systems, Inc., Reuondo Beach, Calif.

12. Oglebay, J. C., "Thermal Analytic Model of a 30-cm Engineering Model Mercury Ion Thruster," AIAA Paper 75-344, New Orleans, La., 1975.

13. Mirtich, M. J., "The Effects of Exposure to $LN_2$ Temperatures and 2.5 Suns Solar Radiation of 30-cm Ion Thruster Performance," AIAA Paper, 75-343, New Orleans, La., 1975.

14. Cake, J. E. and Regetz, J. D., "Development of a Unified Guidance System for Geocentric Transfer," AIAA Paper 75-349, New Orleans, La., 1975.

15. Oglevie, R. E., Andrews, P. D. and Jasper, T. P., "Attitude Control of a Solar Electric Propulsion Stage for Earth Orbital Applications," AIAA Paper 75- , New Orleans, La., 1975.

16. Rawlin, V. K. and Mantenieks, M. A., "A Multiple Thruster Array for 30-cm Thruster," AIAA Paper 75-402, New Orleans, La., 1975.

TABLE I. - MAXIMUM THRUST AND LATERAL QUASISTATIC

SOUND VEHICLE ACCELERATIONS

| Sound vehicle | Thrust acceleration, g | Lateral acceleration, g |
|---|---|---|
| Delta (2 stage) | +2.9/-1.0 | 2.0 applied simultaneously with thrust |
| | 12.0 | 0.65 applied simultaneously with thrust |
| Atlas Centaur | 7.2 | 1.0 applied simultaneously with thrust |
| Titan Centaur | 5.6 | 1.3 applied simultaneously with thrust |
| Shuttle | +1.0 | 2.8 applied simultaneously with thrust |
| | -.8 | 2.2 applied simultaneously with thrust |
| | -3.3 | .75 applied simultaneously with thrust |
| TSSM flight requirements | 12.0 | 2.8 applied simultaneously with thrust |
| TSSM qualification requirements | 18.0 | 4.2 applied simultaneously with thrust |

TABLE II. - TSSM MISSIONS AND MISSION THERMAL ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

| Mission | Case | PPU thermal dissi- pation | PPU heater power | Distance from Sun | Solar array temper- ature (e) | PPU radiating face tem- perature | VCHPS radiator temperature |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Comet[a] rondezvous | Ia | 387 w (c) | 0 w | 0.7 AU | 140° C | [d]60° C | +50° C |
| | Ib | 0 w | 12 w | 3.5 AU | -112° C | [d]-40° C | -135° C |
| Earth[b] orbital 14 824 km (8000 nm) altitude or greater | IIa | 387 w (c) | 0 w | 1.0 AU | 50° C | [d]60° C | 50° C Note: 15° periodic solar incidence angle to radiation faces |
| | IIb | 0 w | 0 w | 1.0 AU | -220° C | [d]-40° C | |
| | IIb | | | | | | -200° C (72 min eclipse) |

[a]Ref. 1.

[b]Refs. 2, 3, and 4.

[c]Based on an 87 percent efficient PPU.

[d]Based on 85° C PPU solid state device junction temperatures.

[e]The solar array is assumed to be 69 in. away from the PPU radiating surfaces. The assumed view factor from the PPU to the solar array is 0.17 (Ref. 9).

TABLE III. - THRUSTER SUB-SYSTEM MODULE WEIGHT

| | Sub-totals, kg (lb) | Totals, kg (lb) |
|---|---|---|
| 1. PPU Electrical components | 13.88 (30.61) | |
| 2. PPU harness | .68 (1.50) | |
| 3. PPU connectors | 1.13 (2.50) | |
| 4. PPU hardware | 1.34 (2.96) | |
| 5. PPU cross beams | 2.37 (5.23) | |
| 6. PPU back shear plate | .42 (0.94) | |
| 7. Total PPU | | 19.84 (43.74) |
| 8. Louvers and louver support structure | 2.49 (5.50) | |
| 9. Evap. heat pipes and saddles | .84 (1.85) | |
| 10. Heat pipe gas reservoirs | .80 (1.76) | |
| 11. Condenser heat pipes and saddles (58 in. long) | 2.52 (5.56) | |
| 12. Heat pipe radiator | 2.06 (4.54) | |
| 13. PPU thermal control total | | 8.71 (19.21) |
| 14. Thruster support truss struts | 1.24 (2.74) | |
| 15. Thruster support truss fittings | .51 (1.12) | |
| 16. Thruster support truss hardware | .10 (0.22) | |
| 17. Thruster support truss total | | 1.85 (4.08) |
| 18. PPU to thruster electrical harness | | .61 (1.35) |
| 19. Thruster | | 9.07 (20.00) |
| 20. Thruster gimbal system | | 4.58 (10.10) |
| 21. Tank and Bladder | 9.07 (20.00) | |
| 22. Feed system weight | .76 (1.68) | |
| 23. Feed system total | | 9.83 (21.68) |
| 24. TSSM total weight | | 54.49 (120.16) |

TABLE IV. - TSSM BASELINE PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

1. TSSM weight: Dry weight = 54.49 kg (120 lb)
   Wet weight = 199.5 kg (440 lb)
2. Overall size: 0.61 M (24 in.) wide × 0.61 M (24 in.) deep × 2.29 M (90 in.) long
3. Thermal range: 0.7 AU to 3.5 AU
4. Thruster gimbal angles: $\pm 40^{\circ}$ parallel to PPU radiator and $\pm 20^{\circ}$ perpendicular to PPU radiator
5. Thruster specific impulse: 3000 sec
6. Total impulse: 4 270 290 Newton-second (960 000 lb-sec)

E-8276




Figure 1. - TSSM sine vibration qualification environment.




Figure 2. - TSSM random vibration qualification environment.




Figure 3. - Ten module TSSM configuration.




Figure 4. - Thruster subsystem module (TSSM) baseline design.




Figure 5. - Thruster subsystem module with typical SEP spacecraft.



Figure 6. - Thruster subsystem module concept II.