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#### Abstract

We introduce a new class of parity-time ( $\mathcal{P J}$ )-symmetric complex crystals which are almost transparent and one-way reflectionless over a broad frequency range around the Bragg frequency, i.e., unidirectionally invisible, regardless of the thickness $L$ of the crystal. The $\mathcal{P J}$-symmetric complex crystal is synthesized by a supersymmetric (SUSY) transformation of a Hermitian square well potential, and exact analytical expressions of transmission and reflection coefficients are given. As $L$ is increased, the transmittance and reflectance from one side remain close to one and zero, respectively, whereas the reflectance from the other side secularly grows like $\sim L^{2}$ owing to unidirectional Bragg scattering. This is a distinctive feature as compared to the previously studied case of the complex sinusoidal $\mathcal{P J}$-symmetric potential $V(x)=V_{0} \exp \left(-2 \mathrm{i} k_{o} x\right)$ at the symmetry breaking point, where transparency breaks down as $L \rightarrow \infty$.


## 1. Introduction

Over the past two decades increasing interest has been devoted to study of the transport and scattering properties of matter or classical waves in non-Hermitian periodic potentials, i.e., in the so-called complex crystals (see, for instance, $[1-31]$ and references therein). Among them, parity-time ( $\mathcal{P J}$ )-symmetric complex crystals, which possess a real-valued energy spectrum below a symmetry breaking point [32-34], have attracted huge attention, especially since the proposal [12] and experimental realizations [35-38] of synthetic periodic optical media with
tailored optical gain and loss regions. Complex crystals show rather unique scattering and transport properties as compared to ordinary (Hermitian) crystals, such as violation of the Friedel's law of Bragg scattering [6, 7, 9, 10], double refraction and nonreciprocal diffraction [12], unidirectional Bloch oscillations [13], mobility transition and hyper ballistic transport [30, 38], and unidirectional invisibility [23, 24, 28, 31, 36, 37]. One of the most investigated $\mathcal{P T}$ -symmetric complex crystals is the one described by the sinusoidal complex potential $V$ $(x)=V_{0} \exp \left(-2 \mathrm{i} k_{0} x\right)$, which is amenable for an exact analytical study $[2,3,6,7,12,19-21$, $23-25,28,31]$. In the infinitely extended crystal, this periodic potential is gapless and shows a countable set of spectral singularities, which are the signature of the $\mathcal{P J}$ symmetry breaking
transition. For a finite crystal of length $L$ containing a finite number $N$ of unit cells, it was shown by simple coupled-mode theory that in the limit of a shallow potential, the crystal is
unidirectionally invisible; i.e., it is transparent and does not reflect waves when probed in one propagation direction [23, 39]. One-way invisible crystals with sophisticated shape and structure have also been synthesized by application of supersymmetric (SUSY) transformations of the sinusoidal complex crystal at its symmetry breaking point [31]. Unidirectional invisibility holds for thin and shallow enough crystals, a condition which is typically satisfied in optical experiments [36,37]. However, for thick crystals, the transparency and unidirectional reflectionless properties of the sinusoidal complex potential break down, and the scattering scenario comprises three distinct regimes, as shown in [24,28] by an exact analysis of the scattering problem involving modified Bessel functions beyond coupled-mode theory. An open question remains whether $\mathcal{P \mathcal { T }}$-symmetric complex crystals exist that remain unidirectionally invisible as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

In this work we introduce a new class of exactly solvable complex periodic potentials that are transparent and unidirectionally reflectionless (at any degree of accuracy) over a broad frequency interval around the Bragg frequency and that remain unidirectionally invi-sible as the crystal length $L$ becomes infinite. Such complex crystals are super-symmetrically associated to a Hermitian square potential well of length $L$ and height $\epsilon$. In the limit of small $\epsilon$, the partner complex crystal is almost unidirectionally invisible, even in the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit, and its shape differs from the complex sinusoidal potential at the symmetry breaking point, previously considered in [23, 24, 28], mainly for a bias of the real part of the potential, which avoids breakdown of transparency as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

## 2. Synthesis of the $\mathcal{P J}$-symmetric complex crystal

Let us consider the stationary Schrödinger equation for a quantum particle in a locally periodic and complex potential $V(x)$, which in dimensionless form reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H} \psi \equiv-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \psi}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+V(x) \psi=E \psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the energy of the incident particle and $V(x)$ is the complex scattering potential with period $\Lambda$, which is nonvanishing in the interval $0<x<L$. In dimensionless units used here $\Lambda$ is taken to be of order $\sim 1$; for example $=\pi$. The crystal length $L$ is assumed to be an integer multiple of the lattice period $\Lambda$; i.e., $L=N \Lambda$, where $N$ is the number of unit cells in the crystal. As discussed in [24, 31], equation (1) can also describe Bragg scattering of optical waves from a complex grating of period $\Lambda$ and length $L$ at frequencies close to the Bragg frequency. Our aim is to synthesize a complex periodic potential $V(x)$ which is almost unidirectionally invisible over a broad frequency range around the Bragg frequency and that remains unidirectionally invisible in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. To this aim, we use SUSY
transformations (see, e.g., [40, 41]) to realize isospectral partner potentials, one of which is almost bidirectionally invisible and corresponds to a shallow square potential well. Let us indicate by $\hat{H}_{1}=-\partial_{x}^{2}+V_{1}(x)$ the Hamiltonian corresponding to the potential $V_{1}(x)$, and let $\phi(x)$ be a solution (not necessarily normalizable) to the equation $\hat{H}_{1} \phi=E_{1} \phi$. The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{1}$ can be then factorized as $\hat{H}_{1}=\hat{B} \hat{A}+E_{1}$, where $\hat{A}=-\partial_{x}+W(x)$, $\hat{B}=\partial_{x}+W(x)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)=\frac{(\mathrm{d} \phi / \mathrm{d} x)}{\phi(x)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the so-called superpotential. The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}=\hat{A} \hat{B}+E_{1}$, obtained by intertwining the operators $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$, is called the partner Hamiltonian of $\hat{H}_{1}$. The following properties then hold:
(i) The potential $V(x)$ of the partner Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=W^{2}(x)-\frac{\mathrm{d} W}{\mathrm{~d} x}+E_{1}=-V_{1}(x)+2 E_{1}+2 W^{2}(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $\hat{H}_{1} \psi=E \psi$ with $E \neq E_{1}$, then $\hat{H} \xi=E \xi$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(x)=\hat{A} \psi(x)=-\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi}{\mathrm{~d} x}+W(x) \psi(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) The two linearly independent solutions to the equation $\hat{H} \xi=E_{1} \xi$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{\phi(x)}, \quad \xi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{\phi(x)} \int_{0}^{x} \mathrm{~d} t \phi^{2}(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us apply the SUSY transformation by assuming for $V_{1}(x)$ a shallow square potential well of width $L=N \Lambda$ and depth $\epsilon$, i.e.,

$$
V_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x<0, \quad x>L  \tag{6}\\
-\epsilon & 0<x<L
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us indicate by $k_{0}$ the Bragg wave number $k_{0}=\pi / \Lambda$, and let us assume $E_{1}=k_{0}^{2}-\epsilon>0$. A solution $\phi(x)$ to the equation $\hat{H}_{1} \phi=E_{1} \phi$ is given by

$$
\phi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\exp \left(\mathrm{i} k_{1} x\right) & x<0  \tag{7}\\
\mu \cos \left(k_{0} x-\mathrm{i} \rho\right) & 0<x<L \\
\exp \left[\mathrm{i} k_{1}(x-L)-\mathrm{i} N \pi\right] & x>L
\end{array}\right.
$$

where we have set $k_{1}=\sqrt{E_{1}}=\sqrt{k_{0}^{2}-\epsilon}$ and where $\rho, \mu$ are two real parameters that need to be determined by imposing the continuity of $\phi(x)$ and of its first derivative at $x=0$ and at $x=L=N \pi / k_{0}$. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\operatorname{atanh}\left(\sqrt{1-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{0}^{2}}}\right), \quad \mu=\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{k_{0}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Behavior of the complex periodic potential $V(x)$ (real and imaginary parts), defined by equation (10), in the unit cell $0 x \ll \Lambda$ for $=\Lambda \pi$ and for (a) $\epsilon=0.1$, and (b) $\epsilon=0.01$.

From equations (2) and (7) it follows that the superpotential $W(x)$ is given by

$$
W(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{i} k_{1} & x<0, \quad x>L  \tag{9}\\
-k_{0} \tan \left(k_{0} x-\mathrm{i} \rho\right) & 0<x<L
\end{array}\right.
$$

The potential $V(x)$ of the partner Hamiltonian is readily obtained from equations (3) and (9) and reads explicitly

$$
V(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x<0, \quad x>L  \tag{10}\\
\frac{4 k_{0}^{2}}{1+\cos \left(2 k_{0} x-2 \mathrm{i} \rho\right)}-\epsilon & 0<x<L
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that in the interval $(0, L)$, the potential $V(x)$ is locally periodic with period $\Lambda=\pi / k_{0}$; i.e., $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ is the Bragg wave number. The real and imaginary parts of the potential, $V(x)=V_{R}(x)+\mathrm{i} V_{I}(x)$, are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{R}(x)=\frac{4 k_{0}^{2}\left[1+\cos \left(2 k_{0} x\right) \cosh (2 \rho)\right]}{\left[\cos \left(2 k_{0} x\right)+\cosh (2 \rho)\right]^{2}}-\epsilon  \tag{11}\\
& V_{I}(x)=-\frac{4 k_{0}^{2} \sin \left(2 k_{0} x\right) \sinh (2 \rho)}{\left[\cos \left(2 k_{0} x\right)+\cosh (2 \rho)\right]^{2}} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, since $V_{R}(x)$ and $V_{I}(x)$ have opposite parity in the unit cell, the crystal is $\mathcal{P J}$ symmetric. A typical behavior of the real and imaginary parts of the potential is shown in figure 1. Interestingly, in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ ), the potential $V(x)$ in the interval $0<x<L$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x) \simeq 2 \epsilon \exp \left(-2 \mathrm{i} k_{0} x\right)-\epsilon ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $V(x)$ basically coincides with the complex sinusoidal potential at the symmetry breaking point [23, 24, 28], but with the additional bias $-\epsilon$. As discussed in the next section, such a bias prevents breakdown of the transparency of the crystal in the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

## 3. Scattering states, spectral reflection/transmission coefficients, and unidirectional invisibility

### 3.1. Wave scattering from the square well potential $V_{1}(x)$

Let us first consider the scattering properties of the square well potential $V_{1}(x)$, defined by equation (6). This is a very simple and exactly solvable problem. For a plane wave with momentum $p$ incident from the left side of the well, the solution to the Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}_{1} \psi_{p}=E \psi_{p}\left(E=p^{2}\right)$ in the $x<0$ and $x>L$ regions is given by

$$
\psi_{p}(x)= \begin{cases}\exp (\mathrm{i} p x)+r_{1}^{(l)}(p) \exp (-\mathrm{i} p x) & x \leqslant 0  \tag{14}\\ t_{1}(p) \exp (\mathrm{i} p x) & x \geqslant L\end{cases}
$$

where $t_{1}(p)$ and $r_{1}^{(l)}(p)$ are the transmission and reflection (for left incidence) coefficients, respectively. Similarly, for a plane wave with momentum $p$ incident from the right side of the well, the solution to the Schrödinger equation $\hat{H}_{1} \psi_{p}=E \psi_{p}\left(E=p^{2}\right)$ in the $x<0$ and $x>L$ regions is given by

$$
\psi_{p}(x)= \begin{cases}\exp (-\mathrm{i} p x)+r_{1}^{(r)}(p) \exp (\mathrm{i} p x) & x \geqslant L  \tag{15}\\ t_{1}(p) \exp (-\mathrm{i} p x) & x \leqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

where $r_{1}^{(r)}(p)$ is the reflection coefficient for right incidence. In the well region $0<x<L$, the solution $\psi_{p}(x)$ is given by a superposition of plane waves $\exp (\mathrm{i} q x)$ and $\exp (-\mathrm{i} q x)$, where we have set

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\sqrt{p^{2}+\epsilon} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The amplitudes of plane waves, as well as the expressions of the spectral transmission $\left[t_{1}(p)\right]$ and reflection $\left[r_{1}^{(l, r)}(p)\right]$ coefficients, are readily obtained by imposing the continuity of $\psi_{p}(x)$ and of its first derivative at $x=0$ and $x=L$. This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{1}(p)=\frac{2 p q \exp (-\mathrm{i} p L)}{2 p q \cos (q L)-\mathrm{i}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right) \sin (q L)}  \tag{17}\\
& r_{1}^{(l)}(p)=\frac{\mathrm{i} \epsilon \sin (q L)}{2 p q \cos (q L)-\mathrm{i}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right) \sin (q L)}  \tag{18}\\
& r_{1}^{(r)}(p)=r_{1}^{(l)}(p) \exp (-2 \mathrm{i} p L) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The reflectance is equal for both left and right incidence sides and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}(p)=\left|r_{1}^{(l, r)}(p)\right|^{2}=\frac{\epsilon^{2} \sin ^{2}(q L)}{-\epsilon^{2} \cos ^{2}(q L)+\left(2 p^{2}+\epsilon\right)^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the transmittance is given by $T_{1}(p)=1-R_{1}(p)$. Note that $R_{1}(p) \leqslant \epsilon^{2} /\left[4 p^{2}\left(p^{2}+\epsilon\right)\right]$, so that for a fixed value of $p_{0}>0$, one has $R_{1}(p) \rightarrow 0$, $T_{1}(p) \rightarrow 1$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in the interval $p \in\left(p_{0}, \infty\right)$, regardless of the value of $L^{1}$. This means that, for a sufficiently small value of $\epsilon$, the potential well is almost reflectionless from both sides of incidence in a wide interval of wave numbers $p$ around the Bragg wave number
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Figure 2. Behavior of the spectral reflectance $R_{1}(p)$ of the square potential well (equation (6)) for $N=10 a,=\pi$ (i.e., $k_{0}=1$ ), and for (a) $\epsilon=0.1$, (b) $\epsilon=0.01$.
$p=k_{0}$. As an example, figure 2 shows typical behaviors of the reflectance $R_{1}(p)$ as $p$ varies in the range $\left(0.6 k_{0}, 1.4 k_{0}\right)$ for two values of $\epsilon$.

### 3.2. Wave scattering from the $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric complex potential $V(x)$

The scattering properties of the complex crystal defined by equation (10) are readily obtained from those of the partner square well potential $V_{1}(x)$, using the property (ii) of SUSY stated in the previous section. For a plane wave with momentum $p$ incident from the left side of the crystal, the solution to the Schrödinger equation $\hat{H} \xi_{p}=E \xi_{p}\left(E=p^{2}\right)$ in the $x<0$ and $x>L$ regions is given by

$$
\xi_{p}(x)=\alpha \begin{cases}\exp (\mathrm{i} p x)+r^{(l)}(p) \exp (-\mathrm{i} p x) & x \leqslant 0  \tag{21}\\ t(p) \exp (\mathrm{i} p x) & x \geqslant L\end{cases}
$$

where $t(p)$ and $r^{(l)}(p)$ are the transmission and reflection (for left incidence) coefficients, respectively, and $\alpha$ is an arbitrary non-vanishing constant. On the other hand, according to the property (ii) stated in the previous section for $E \neq E_{1}$, i.e., for $p \neq k_{1}=\sqrt{k_{0}^{2}-\epsilon}$, one has $\xi_{p}(x)=-\left(\mathrm{d} \psi_{p} / \mathrm{d} x\right)+W(x) \psi_{p}(x)$. From equations (14) and (21) taking into account that $W(x)=\mathrm{i} k_{1}$ for $x<0$ and $x>L$, it follows that $\alpha=\mathrm{i}\left(k_{1}-p\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(p)=t_{1}(p), \quad r^{(l)}(p)=r_{1}^{(l)}(p) \frac{k_{1}+p}{k_{1}-p} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from the problem of a plane wave with momentum $p$ incident from the right side of the crystal, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(p)=t_{1}(p), \quad r^{(r)}(p)=r_{1}^{(r)}(p) \frac{k_{1}-p}{k_{1}+p} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $E=E_{1}$, i.e., $p=k_{1}$, can be analyzed by considering the limit of equations (22) and (23) as $p \rightarrow k_{1}$. In particular, from equation (23) one has $r^{(r)}(p) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow k_{1}$, whereas from equations (18) and (22), a $0 / 0$ limit is obtained, which yields after some calculations

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{(l)}(p) \rightarrow-\mathrm{i} \epsilon L \frac{k_{1}}{k_{0}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Behavior of the spectral reflectances $R^{(l, r)}(p)$ (for left and right incidence sides, upper plots) and transmittance $T(p)$ (lower plots) of the complex crystal $V(x)$, defined by equation (10), for $=\pi, \epsilon=0.01$, and for increasing number $N$ of cells: (a) $N$ $=100$, (b) $N=1000$, and (c) $N=5000$. Note that the spectral reflectances are plotted on a logarithmic scale.
as $p \rightarrow k_{1}$. From the above results, the following scattering properties of the complex crystal, with potential $V(x)$ given by equation (10), can be stated:
(i) The transmission coefficient $t(p)$ of the complex crystal is the same as that $t_{1}(p)$ of a square well of width $L$ and height $\epsilon$ (see equation (17)).
(ii) The spectral reflectances $R^{(l)}(p)=\left|r^{(l)}(p)\right|^{2}$ and $R^{(r)}(p)=\left|r^{(r)}(p)\right|^{2}$ for left and right hand incidence sides are related to the reflectance $R_{1}(p)$ of the square well (equation (20)) by the simple relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{(l)}(p)=R_{1}(p)\left(\frac{k_{1}+p}{k_{1}-p}\right)^{2}, \quad R^{(r)}(p)=R_{1}(p)\left(\frac{k_{1}-p}{k_{1}+p}\right)^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the spectral transmittance $T(p)=|t(p)|^{2}$ and reflectances $R^{(l)}(p), R^{(r)}(p)$ satisfy the generalized unitarity relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T-1=\sqrt{R^{(l)} R^{(r)}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to general results on scattering in $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric potentials [31, 42-44].
(iii) For a fixed momentum $p_{0}>0$ and for a given small parameter $\eta>0$, one can find a non-vanishing value $\epsilon>0$, independent of $L$, such that $R^{(r)}(p)<\eta$ and $|T(p)-1|<\eta$ uniformly in the interval $\left(p_{0}, \infty\right)$, regardless of the value of $L$. Moreover, the reflectance for left side incidence shows a peak at $p=k_{1}=\sqrt{k_{0}^{2}-\epsilon}$, which secularly grows with the crystal thickness $L$ like $\sim L^{2}$; namely, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{(l)}\left(p=k_{1}\right)=\epsilon^{2} L^{2}\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{0}^{2}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4. Numerically computed behavior of the spectral transmittance $T(p)$ of the complex sinusoidal potential $V(x)=2 \epsilon \exp \left(-2 \mathrm{i} k_{0} x\right)$ (solid curve), and of the shifted complex sinusoidal potential $V(x)=2 \epsilon \exp \left(-2 \mathrm{i} k_{0} x\right)-\epsilon$ (dashed curve) for $\Lambda=\pi$, $\epsilon=0.01$, and $N=5000$.

This means that, for a sufficiently small value of $\epsilon$, the potential (10) is almost transparent and unidirectionally reflectionless in a broad range of wave number $p$ around the Bragg wave number $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ and for an arbitrary crystal thickness $L$. This is shown in figure 3 , where typical behaviors of spectral transmittance $T(p)$ and reflectances $R^{(l, r)}(p)$ for increasing values of $L=N \Lambda$ are depicted. Note that, as $N$ increases the spectral reflectance, $R^{(r)}(p)$ remains smaller than $\sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$, and the transmittance $T(p)$ remains close to 1 , whereas the spectral reflectance $R^{(l)}(p)$ shows a peak at $p=k_{1} \simeq k_{0}$, which increases as $N$ is increased (according to equation (27)). The scattering properties of the potential (10) are thus distinct from the ones of the complex sinusoidal potential at the symmetry breaking point $V() x=2 \epsilon \exp \left(-2 \mathrm{i} k_{0} x\right)$, for which transparency is lost as $N$ is increased [24]. This is shown in figure 4, where the solid line shows the behavior of spectral transmittance of the complex sinusoidal potential for $\epsilon=0.01, \Lambda=\pi$, and $N=5000$, i.e., for the same parameter values as in figure 3(c). Note that near the Bragg wave number $p \simeq k_{0}$, the transmittance $T$ greatly deviates from 1. As discussed in [24], such a deviation is the signature of the spectral singularity of the complex sinusoidal potential that arises in the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit. For a small value of $\epsilon$, the potential (10) is well approximated by the shifted complex sinusoidal potential $V() x=2 \epsilon \exp \left(2 \mathrm{i} k_{0} x\right)-\epsilon$ (see equation (13)). Hence transparency of the complex sinusoidal potential is expected to be restored, provided that the bias $-\epsilon$ is added to the potential. This is shown in figure 4 , where the dashed curve depicts the numerically computed behavior of the spectral transmittance for the shifted sinusoidal complex potential $V() x=2 \epsilon \exp \left(2 \mathrm{i} k_{0} x\right)-\epsilon$. As one can appreciate from the figure, the addition of the bias $-\epsilon$ to the complex sinusoidal potential restores the transparency.

## 4. Conclusion

In this work Bragg scattering in a new class of supersymmetrically synthesized $\mathcal{P T}$-sym-metric complex crystals has been analytically investigated. The crystal turns out to be almost transparent and unidirectionally reflectionless, i.e., one-way invisible. Unidirectional invisi-bility has been predicted and recently observed in the sinusoidal complex potential at the symmetry breaking point; see [2, 3, 23, 24, 28, 31, 37]. However, while transparency is lost for the complex sinusoidal potential for thick crystals [24], in the super-symmetrically synthesized complex crystal considered in this work, unidirectional reflectionless and transpar-ency properties hold, regardless of the crystal thickness; i.e., they persist in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$. The reason is that the spectral transmittance of the super-symmetrically synthesized complex crystal is the same as that of a shallow square well potential, which remains close to 1
regardless of the thickness $L$ of the well. In the limit of a very shallow potential well, we have shown that the super-symmetrically associated complex crystal reduces to the complex sinusoidal potential at the symmetry breaking point but with an additional bias of the potential, which prevents breakdown of transparency in the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

## References

[1] Hatano N and Nelson D R 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77570
[2] Cannata F, Junker G and Trost J 1998 Phys. Lett. A 246219
[3] Bender C M, Dunne G V and Meisinger P N 1999 Phys. Lett. A 252272
[4] Cervero J M 2003 Phys. Lett. A A317 26
[5] Shin K C 2004 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 378287
[6] Berry M V 1998 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 313493
[7] Berry M V and O'Dell D H J 1998 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 312093
[8] Berry M V 2008 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41244007
[9] Oberthaler M K, Abfalterer R, Bernet S, Schmiedmayer J and Zeilinger A 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 774980
[10] Keller C, Oberthaler M K, Abfalterer R, Bernet S, Schmiedmayer J and Zeilinger A 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 793327
[11] Stützle R et al 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95110405
[12] Makris K G, El-Ganainy R, Christodoulides D N and Musslimani Z H 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 103904
[13] Longhi S 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 103123601
[14] Longhi S 2009 Phys. Rev. B 80235102
[15] Mostafazadeh A 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 102220402
[16] Jin L and Song Z 2009 Phys. Rev. A 80052107
[17] Jin L and Song Z 2010 Phys. Rev. A 81032109
[18] Ramezani H, Kottos T, El-Ganainy R and Christodoulides D N 2010 Phys. Rev. A 82043803
[19] Makris K G, El-Ganainy R, Christodoulides D N and Musslimani Z H 2010 Phys. Rev. A 81 063807
[20] Midya B, Roy B and Roychoudhury R 2010 Phys. Lett. A 3742605
[21] Longhi S 2010 Phys. Rev. A 81022102
[22] Longhi S 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105013903
[23] Lin Z, Ramezani H, Eichelkraut T, Kottos T, Cao H and Christodoulides D N 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 106213901
[24] Longhi S 2011 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44485302
[25] Graefe E M and Jones H F 2011 Phys. Rev. A 84013818
[26] Longhi S, Cannata F and Ventura A 2011 Phys. Rev. B 84235131
[27] Longhi S, Della Valle G and Staliunas K 2011 Phys. Rev. A 84042119
[28] Jones H F 2012 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45135306
[29] Miri M A, Regensburger A, Peschel U and Christodoulides D N 2012 Phys. Rev. A 86023807
[30] Della Valle G and Longhi S 2013 Phys. Rev. A 87022119
[31] Midya B 2014 Phys. Rev. A 89032116
[32] Bender C M and Boettcher S 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 805243
[33] Bender C M 2007 Rep. Prog. Phys. 70947
[34] Mostafazadeh A 2010 Phys. Scr. 82038110
[35] Feng L, Ayache M, Huang J, Xu Y L, Lu M H, Chen Y F, Fainman Y and Scherer A 2011 Science 333729
[36] Regensburger A, Bersch C, Miri M-A, Onishchukov G, Christodoulides D N and Peschel U 2012 Nat. 488167
[37] Feng L, Xu Y-L, Fegadolli W S, Lu M-H, Oliveira J E B, Almeida V R, Chen Y-F and Scherer A 2013 Nat. Mater. 12108
[38] Eichelkraut T, Heilmann R, Weimann S, Stützer S, Dreisow F, Christodoulides D N, Nolte S and Szameit A 2013 Nat. Commun 42533
[39] Kulishov M, Laniel J M, Belanger N, Azana J and Plant D V 2005 Opt. Express 133068
[40] Cooper F, Khare A and Sukhatme U 1995 Phys. Rep. 251267
[41] Dunne G and Feinberg J 1998 Phys. Rev. D 571271
[42] Cannata F, Dedonder J P and Ventura A 2007 Ann. Phys. 322397
[43] Ge L, Chong Y D and Stone A D 2012 Phys. Rev. A 85023802
[44] Ahmed Z 2013 Phys. Lett. A 377957


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ More precisely, for a fixed value of $p_{0}>0$ and for a given (arbitrarily small) value $\eta>0$, one can find a nonvanishing value of $\epsilon$ (independent of $L$ ) such that $R_{1}(p)<\eta$ for any $L$ and for any $p$ in the range $p \in\left(p_{0}, \infty\right)$.

