

A variant in *FTO* shows association with melanoma risk not due to BMI

Mark M Iles¹, Matthew H Law², Simon N Stacey³, Jiali Han⁴⁻⁶, Shenying Fang⁷, Ruth Pfeiffer⁸, Mark Harland¹, Stuart MacGregor², John C Taylor¹, Katja K Aben^{9,10}, Lars A Akslen^{11,12}, Marie-Françoise Avril¹³, Esther Azizi^{14,15}, Bert Bakker¹⁶, Kristrun R Benediktsdottir^{17,18}, Wilma Bergman¹⁹, Giovanna Bianchi Scarrà^{20,21}, Kevin M Brown²², Donato Calista²³, Valérie Chaudru^{24–26}, Maria Concetta Fargnoli²⁷, Anne E Cust²⁸, Florence Demenais^{24,26,29}, Anne C de Waal^{10,30}, Tadeusz Dębniak³¹, David E Elder³², Eitan Friedman¹⁵, Pilar Galan³³, Paola Ghiorzo^{20,21}, Elizabeth M Gillanders³⁴, Alisa M Goldstein⁸, Nelleke A Gruis¹⁹, Johan Hansson³⁵, Per Helsing³⁶, Marko Hočevar³⁷, Veronica Höiom³⁵, John L Hopper³⁸, Christian Ingvar³⁹, Marjolein Janssen⁴⁰, Mark A Jenkins³⁸, Peter A Kanetsky^{41,42}, Lambertus A Kiemeney^{9,10,43}, Julie Lang⁴⁴, G Mark Lathrop^{26,45}, Sancy Leachman⁴⁶, Jeffrey E Lee⁴⁷, Jan Lubiński³¹, Rona M Mackie⁴⁸, Graham J Mann⁴⁹, Nicholas G Martin², Jose I Mayordomo⁵⁰, Anders Molven^{11,12}, Suzanne Mulder⁴⁰, Eduardo Nagore⁵¹, Srdjan Novaković⁵², Ichiro Okamoto⁵³, Jon H Olafsson⁵⁴, Håkan Olsson⁵⁵, Hubert Pehamberger⁵⁶, Ketty Peris²⁷, Maria Pilar Grasa⁵⁰, Dolores Planelles⁵⁶, Susana Puig^{57,58}, Joan Anton Puig-Butille^{57,58}, Q-MEGA and AMFS Investigators⁵⁹, Juliette Randerson-Moor¹, Celia Requena⁵¹, Licia Rivoltini⁶⁰, Monica Rodolfo⁶⁰, Mario Santinami⁶¹, Bardur Sigurgeirsson⁵⁴, Helen Snowden¹, Fengju Song^{4,62}, Patrick Sulem³, Kristin Thorisdottir⁵⁴, Rainer Tuominen³⁵, Patricia Van Belle⁶³, Nienke van der Stoep¹⁶, Michelle M van Rossum³⁰, Qingyi Wei⁶⁴, Judith Wendt⁵³, Diana Zelenika⁴⁵, Mingfeng Zhang⁴, Maria Teresa Landi⁸, Gudmar Thorleifsson³, D Timothy Bishop¹, Christopher I Amos^{7,65}, Nicholas K Hayward², Kari Stefansson^{3,17}, Julia A Newton Bishop¹ & Jennifer H Barrett¹, for the GenoMEL Consortium⁵⁹

We report the results of an association study of melanoma that is based on the genome-wide imputation of the genotypes of 1,353 cases and 3,566 controls of European origin conducted by the GenoMEL consortium. This revealed an association between several SNPs in intron 8 of the FTO gene, including rs16953002, which replicated using 12,313 cases and 55,667 controls of European ancestry from Europe, the USA and Australia (combined $P = 3.6 \times 10^{-12}$, per-allele odds ratio for allele A = 1.16). In addition to identifying a new melanomasusceptibility locus, this is to our knowledge the first study to identify and replicate an association with SNPs in FTO not related to body mass index (BMI). These SNPs are not in intron 1 (the BMI-related region) and exhibit no association with BMI. This suggests FTO's function may be broader than the existing paradigm that FTO variants influence multiple traits only through their associations with BMI and obesity.

Cutaneous melanoma is a disease predominantly of fair-skinned individuals. Established risk factors include a family history of melanoma¹, pigmentation phenotypes such as an inability to tan^{2–5} and many melanocytic nevi^{6,7}. Established genetic risk factors include

rare, highly penetrant variants, at least 11 common variants of lower effect identified by genome-wide association studies (GWAS)^{8,9} (many related to pigmentation or nevus count^{10,11}) and mutations of intermediate effect in the *MITF* gene identified through a candidate-gene approach in individuals affected with melanoma and renal-cell carcinoma¹² and sequencing genomes of multiply affected melanoma families¹³.

The *FTO* gene was first found to be associated with obesity in GWAS of type 2 diabetes¹⁴ and obesity^{15,16}. Most^{14,17–21} but not all^{22,23} studies found no association between *FTO* and type 2 diabetes risk after adjustment for BMI. The strongest associations were with variants in intron 1 of *FTO*, but linkage disequilibrium (LD) stretches across introns 1 and 2 and exon 2. No SNP outside intron 1 has been previously associated with any trait, and no SNP in intron 1 has been associated with any trait unrelated to BMI.

The GenoMEL consortium focuses on genetic susceptibility to melanoma and has conducted two melanoma GWAS (Phase 1 and Phase 2) using samples from populations of European or Israeli ancestry^{9,11}. Genotypes of the 1,373 cases and 3,571 controls from Phase 1 of the GenoMEL GWAS of melanoma⁹ were imputed, giving 2.6 million SNPs, each tested for association with melanoma risk using

A full list of affiliations appears at the end of the paper.

Received 23 October 2012; accepted 5 February 2013; published online 3 March 2013; doi:10.1038/ng.2571

LETTERS



geographic region as a covariate (Online Methods). The most significant SNP in a region not previously associated with melanoma was in *FTO*. Three SNPs in intron 8 of *FTO* were significant at $P < 10^{-5}$, the most significant being rs16953002 ($P = 5.59 \times 10^{-6}$, per-allele odds ratio (OR) = 1.33, risk allele A, risk allele frequency = 0.19) and rs12596638 ($P = 4.43 \times 10^{-6}$, per-allele OR = 1.34, risk allele A, risk allele frequency = 0.19; in strong LD, $r^2 = 0.96$). We confirmed imputation quality by subsequent genotyping (Online Methods).

Following this finding, we imputed a region 1 Mb either side of rs16953002 for 1,449 cases and 4,043 controls in GenoMEL melanoma GWAS Phase 2 (ref. 11) and regressed SNP dosage on melanoma case-control status with geographic region as a covariate. In this analysis, we genotyped rs16953002 (P = 0.015, OR = 1.16) and imputed rs12596638 (P = 0.023, OR = 1.15). Combining all GenoMEL GWAS data gave five SNPs within 18 kb with $P < 10^{-4}$ in intron 8 of *FTO* and over 250 kb from the closest SNP associated with BMI (**Fig. 1**).

We sought replication (mainly using existing GWAS data) using other samples of European ancestry from Europe, Australia and the United States, totaling 10,865 cases and 51,624 controls (**Supplementary Table 1**). All replication samples combined exhibited association between rs16953002 and melanoma with an allelic OR of 1.14, $P = 4.8 \times 10^{-9}$, with all sample sets showing OR estimates in the same direction as the original finding and with no evidence of heterogeneity. When we combined these data with the GenoMEL sample data, we observed strong evidence of association with melanoma: $P = 3.6 \times 10^{-12}$, per-allele OR = 1.16, 95% confidence interval (1.11, 1.20), and no evidence of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0$; Online Methods, **Fig. 2** and **Table 1**).

BMI has, at best, a weak effect on risk of melanoma^{24,25}. Given the clear association between variants in *FTO* and BMI, we investigated whether the melanoma-associated SNPs showed any association with BMI or, conversely, whether the known BMI-associated SNPs showed any association with melanoma.

BMI data were available for 37% of cases and 59% of controls (many of the GenoMEL samples and seven of the replication sets; **Supplementary Table 1**), with additional controls collected in Iceland to give 63,518 samples from Iceland with BMI data and 14,222 from elsewhere with BMI data. Adjusting log(BMI) for age and age-squared, and regressing this on SNP genotype, with case-control status and sex as covariates, there was no significant association between rs16953002 and BMI with a combined *P* value of 0.15 (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). A more powerful



data set for assessing BMI-SNP associations is that of the GIANT consortium²⁶ (http://www.broadinstitute.org/collaboration/giant/ index.php/GIANT_consortium_data_files). In the GIANT consortium data, allele A of rs16953002 was very weakly associated with decreased BMI (P = 0.0156 in 123,852 individuals, indicating at most a very small effect size).

In contrast, the genotyped SNP in the *FTO* region that was most strongly associated with BMI in the GenoMEL data was rs8050136 ($P = 8.7 \times 10^{-56}$ in all our data sets combined; **Supplementary Figs. 2** and **3**). In the GIANT data set this association with BMI reached $P = 1 \times 10^{-59}$.

We also found very little LD between the two SNPs ($r^2 = 0.000039$ in 35,583 Icelandic controls and $r^2 < 0.006$ in every other control set). In a recent study in which *FTO* was sequenced, only SNPs in intron 1 were associated with BMI²⁷. It could be that the rs16953002-BMI association in the GIANT data is due to a very well-powered data set picking up on slight LD. The great difference between the strength of association between BMI at rs8050136 and at rs16953002 can clearly be seen in a plot of the GIANT results (**Supplementary Fig. 4**).

rs8050136 was not associated with melanoma, having a combined meta-analysis P value with GenoMEL of 0.19 (per-allele OR = 1.02; **Supplementary Fig. 5**). Therefore, from our data, the known BMI-related SNPs were associated with BMI but not with melanoma risk, and the melanoma-associated SNPs exhibited no evidence of association with BMI (**Table 1**). We also found no association between melanoma risk and adjusted BMI in the GenoMEL data (P = 0.96).

GenoMEL P1	1.32	1.3×10^{-5}				
GenoMEL P2	1.16	0.015			_	
Leeds cohort	1.21	0.021			⊢	
Harvard	1.05	0.634				
Australia	1.15	0.005			-	
Italy	1.21	0.135		+	→	
Houston	1.16	0.043		-	_	
Iceland	1.19	0.006		-	⊢	
Netherlands	1.12	0.151		┼═╌	-	
Vienna	1.08	0.347				
Milan	1.09	0.476				
Sweden	1.08	0.435			-	
Valencia	1.01	0.916				
Zaragoza	1.18	0.173		+_₽		
All rep	1.14	$4.8 imes 10^{-9}$				
Overall	1.16	$\textbf{3.6}\times\textbf{10}^{-\textbf{12}}$		_ ♥		
			0.5	1	1.5	
			OR rs16953002			

Figure 2 Forest plot of estimated per-allele ORs and *P* values for effect of rs16953002 on melanoma risk. Horizontal bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. Results are shown for GenoMEL Phase 1 discovery data and subsequent replication data with meta-analysis for replication data only (All rep) and all data (Overall).

	Table 1	Association between	rs16953002 an	d melanoma,	and the BM	II-related SNF	Prs8050136 and	melanoma
--	---------	---------------------	---------------	-------------	------------	----------------	----------------	----------

		rs16953002 and melanoma			rs8050136 and melanoma			
	Minor allele frequency	Number of cases (controls)	OR (95% CI)	Р	Number of cases (controls)	OR (95% CI)	Ρ	
GenoMEL Phase 1	0.16	1,353 (3,566)	1.32 (1.17, 1.50)	1.3×10^{-5}	1,353 (3,566)	1.09 (0.99, 1.19)	0.08	
All replicates	0.17	12,314 (55,667)	1.14 (1.09, 1.19)	$4.8 imes 10^{-9}$	11,707 (57,160)	1.01 (0.98, 1.05)	0.45	
Overall	0.17	13,667 (59,233)	1.16 (1.11, 1.20)	3.6×10^{-12}	13,060 (60,726)	1.03 (0.97, 1.10)	0.37	

The association between rs16953002 and melanoma risk was consistent across geographic regions (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 6), and we found no significant difference in effect across subsets of the GenoMEL data defined by sex, tumor site, family history, early onset of disease and multiple primary tumors or association with any established melanoma-related trait (nevus count or sun sensitivity; data not shown). The association between rs16953002 and melanoma risk persisted in the subset of samples with BMI recorded even after adjusting for BMI (P = 0.01) despite a substantial reduction in sample size (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Note).

We split the GenoMEL data into quartiles defined by adjusted BMI of controls and regressed case/control status on rs16953002 with sex as a covariate in each quartile. The association was stronger for those samples in the first quartile (lowest BMI) than those in the other quartiles (OR = 1.66, $P = 3.00 \times 10^{-5}$ versus maximum OR = 1.03, minimum P = 0.82; Supplementary Fig. 7), a difference that was significant (P = 0.0005). This is consistent with rs16953002 only being associated with melanoma risk in those people with low BMI. When we attempted to replicate the results defining BMI quartiles in each population, samples collected in Australia exhibited a similar effect (P = 0.003), but samples collected in other countries outside of the UK gave more equivocal results (Supplementary Fig. 7; P = 0.6 for all replicate samples and P = 0.06 with GenoMEL samples included). However, in the nine replication studies for which BMI data were available, rs16953002 always had the greatest association with melanoma risk for those in quartile 1 or 2.

Although the functional effect(s) of *FTO* is far from understood, evidence points to a variety of possible effects on BMI-related traits. However, a loss-of-function mutation in *FTO* caused gross developmental defects in nine members of a Palestinian family, suggesting a broader function for FTO^{28} .

FTO has been associated with end-stage renal disease²⁹, acute coronary syndrome³⁰, myocardial infarction³¹, all-cause mortality³², Alzheimer's disease³³ and osteoarthritis³⁴. Even after adjustment for BMI, some BMI-related traits exhibit association with *FTO* variants, but it may be that BMI simply correlates with a weight-related factor that acts more directly on the trait of interest. Given that BMI is a risk factor for many cancers, the BMI-related SNPs in intron 1 of *FTO* have been studied in some of these cancers. A study of lung, kidney and upper aero-digestive cancers revealed no significant effect overall after correction for multiple testing³⁵. The largest study of *FTO* and endometrial cancer found an association with a known BMI-associated SNP (P = 0.01)³⁶ that disappears after adjustment for BMI.

Thus, there is little evidence of variants in *FTO* being associated with any trait unrelated to BMI. It may be that the melanoma-associated SNPs are in LD with functional SNPs outside of *FTO*, but given the low level of LD in the region (**Fig. 1**) this seems unlikely. It should be noted that our most significant SNP, rs16953002, is only 31 kb from exon 9 of *FTO*, over 146 kb from exon 8 of *FTO* and over 202 kb from the nearest other gene, *IRX3*. SNPs overlapping regulatory elements, such as transcription factor–binding sites, can be identified using the recent Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) data as well other data sources^{37,38}. In these data for the *FTO* gene, 2,148 SNPs have been identified, only eight of which reach the highest score possible without expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) data (score 2a: 'likely to affect binding'). Six of these SNPs are in intron 1, the location of most of the BMI-associated SNPs, five of these in a 5.4-kb region less than 1 kb from rs8050136. The other two SNPs are 13 kb apart from one another in intron 8 and, notably, one of these is rs16953002, the melanoma-associated SNP (**Supplementary Note**).

In conclusion, this is the first time to our knowledge that any variant in *FTO* has been shown to have a replicable association with a trait without being associated with BMI. It is also the first time that any variant in *FTO* outside intron 1 has been shown to have any association with any trait. As such, this will be of interest to researchers in the fields of both cancer genetics and obesity research.

URLs. GenoMEL, http://www.genomel.org/; Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium, http://www.wtccc.org.uk/; RegulomeDB, http:// regulomedb.org/; and Epidemiological Study on the Genetics and Environment of Asthma study, https://egeanet.vjf.inserm.fr/.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M.I. McCarthy and C.M. Lindgren for assistance with the results of the GIANT study. The GenoMEL study was funded by the European Commission under the 6th Framework Programme (contract number LSHC-CT-2006-018702), by Cancer Research UK Programme Awards (C588/A4994 and C588/A10589), by a Cancer Research UK Project Grant (C8216/A6129), by the Leeds Cancer Research UK Centre (C37059/A11941) and by a grant from the US National Institutes of Health (NIH; CA83115). This research was also supported by the intramural Research Program of the NIH, US National Cancer Institute (NCI), Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics. Genotyping of most of the samples collected in France that were included in GenoMEL was done at Centre National de Génotypage, Institut de Génomique-Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique and was supported by the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche and Institut National du Cancer (INCa). This study used data generated by the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium. A full list of the investigators who contributed to the generation of the data is available from their website (see URLs); funding for the project was provided by the Wellcome Trust under award 076113. We thank the EGEA cooperative group for giving access to data of the EGEA study (see URLs). We acknowledge that the biological specimens of the French Familial Melanoma Study Group were obtained from the Institut Gustave Roussy and Fondation Jean Dausset-CEPH Biobanks. Work in Stockholm was funded by the Swedish Cancer Society and Karolinska Institutet research funds. Work in Lund was funded by the Swedish Cancer Society, the Gunnar Nilsson Foundation and the European Research Council (ERC-2011-AdG 294576-risk factors cancer). Work in Genoa was funded by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research Progetti di Ricerca di Interesse Nazionale (2008W8JTPA_002), Intergruppo Melanoma Italiano and Mara Naum foundation. Work in Paris was funded by grants from INCa (INCa-PL016) and Ligue Nationale Contre Le Cancer (PRE05/ FD and PRE 09/FD) to F. Demenais, and Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique (AOM-07-195) to M.-F. Avril and F. Demenais. Work in Leiden was funded by a grant provided by European Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure Netherlands hub (CO18). Research at the Melanoma Unit in Barcelona is partially funded by grants from Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias P.I. 09/01393, Spain and by the Centro de Investigaciones Biomedicas en Red (CIBER) de Enfermedades Raras of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain; by the Agencia de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca 2009 SGR-1337 of the Catalan Government, Spain. Work in Norway was funded by grants from

LETTERS

the Comprehensive Cancer Center, Oslo University Hospital (SE0728) and the Norwegian Cancer Society (71512-PR-2006-0356). Work in Vienna was supported by the Jubiläumsfonds of the Österreichische Nationalbank (project numbers 12161 and 13036) and the Hans und Blanca Moser Stiftung. The Italian study was partially supported by a NIH RO1 grant CA65558-02 (to M.T. Landi), Department of Health and Human Services and by the Intramural Research Program of NIH, NCI Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics. Work at the MD Anderson Cancer Center was supported by the NIH NCI (P30CA023108 and 2P50CA093459) and by the Marit Peterson Fund for Melanoma Research. A. Cust is supported by fellowships from the Cancer Institute New South Wales and the National Health and Medical Research Council. Work in Nijmegen, The Netherlands, was funded by the Dutch Cancer Society Koningin Wilhemina Fonds (KWF) Kankerbestrijding and by the Radboud University Medical Centre. The Q-MEGA study was supported by the Melanoma Research Alliance, the NIH NCI (CA88363, CA83115, CA122838, CA87969, CA055075, CA100264, CA133996 and CA49449), the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) (200071, 241944, 339462, 380385, 389927, 389875, 389891, 389892, 389938, 443036, 442915, 442981, 496610, 496675, 496739, 552485, 552498), the Cancer Councils New South Wales, Victoria and Queensland, the Cancer Institute New South Wales, the Cooperative Research Centre for Discovery of Genes for Common Human Diseases (CRC), Cerylid Biosciences (Melbourne), the Australian Cancer Research Foundation, The Wellcome Trust (WT084766/Z/08/Z) and donations from Neville and Shirley Hawkins. N.K.H. was supported by the NHMRC Fellowships scheme. SM was supported by a Career Development award from the NHMRC (496674, 613705). M.H.L. is supported by Cancer Australia grant 1011143.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.M.I. led, designed and carried out the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript. M. Harland was involved in the Leeds replication genotyping design. J.C.T. carried out statistical analysis. H.S., J.R.-M., M.J., S. Mulder and N.v.d.S. carried out genotyping and contributed to the interpretation of genotyping data. B.B. contributed to the design of the GWAS and supervised processing of GWAS samples. J.A.N.B. led the overall consortium and contributed to study design. N.A.G. was deputy lead of the consortium and contributed to study design. D.T.B. and J.H.B. designed and led the overall study. N.K.H., S. MacGregor and M.H.L. led and carried out statistical analysis of the Australian replication data. K.S., S.N.S., P.S. and G.T. led and carried out statistical analysis of the Icelandic, Dutch, Viennese, Milanese, Valencian and Zaragozan replication data. J. Han carried out statistical analysis of the Harvard replication data. C.I.A. and S.F. led and carried out statistical analysis of the Houston replication data. M.T.L. and R.P. led and carried out statistical analysis of the Italian replication data. D.Z. and G.M.L. interpreted and contributed genotype data. A.M.G., P.A.K., E.M.G. and F.D. advised on statistical analysis. F.D. and G.M.L. contributed to the design of the study of the French component of GenoMEL. K.M.B. and D.E.E. contributed to the design of the GWAS. K.K.A., L.A.A., M.-F.A., E.A., K.R.B., W.B., G.B.S., D.C., V.C., M.C.F., A.E.C., A.C.d.W., T.D., E.F., P. Galan, P. Ghiorzo, J. Hansson, P.H., Marko Hočevar, V.H., J.L.H., C.I., M.A.J., L.A.K., J. Lang, S.L., J.E.L., J. Lubiński, R.M.M., G.J.M., N.G.M., J.I.M., A.M., E.N., S.N., I.O., J.H.O., H.O., H.P., K.P., M.P.G., D.P., S.P., J.A.P.-B., C.R., L.R., M.R., M.S., B.S., F.S., K.T., R.T., P.V.B., M.M.v.R., Q.W., J.W. and M.Z. contributed to the design and sample collection of either the initial GWAS or one of the replication studies.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permissions information is available online at http://www.nature.com/ reprints/index.html.

- Cannon-Albright, L.A., Bishop, D.T., Goldgar, C. & Skolnick, M.H. Genetic predisposition to cancer. *Important Adv. Oncol.* 1991, 39–55 (1991).
- Naldi, L. *et al.* Cutaneous malignant melanoma in women. Phenotypic characteristics, sun exposure, and hormonal factors: a case-control study from Italy. *Ann. Epidemiol.* 15, 545–550 (2005).
- Titus-Ernstoff, L. *et al.* Pigmentary characteristics and moles in relation to melanoma risk. *Int. J. Cancer* **116**, 144–149 (2005).
- Holly, E.A., Aston, D.A., Cress, R.D., Ahn, D.K. & Kristiansen, J.J. Cutaneous melanoma in women. I. Exposure to sunlight, ability to tan, and other risk factors related to ultraviolet light. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **141**, 923–933 (1995).
- Holly, E.A., Aston, D.A., Cress, R.D., Ahn, D.K. & Kristiansen, J.J. Cutaneous melanoma in women. II. Phenotypic characteristics and other host-related factors. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 141, 934–942 (1995).
- Bataille, V. *et al.* Risk of cutaneous melanoma in relation to the numbers, types and sites of naevi: a case-control study. *Br. J. Cancer* 73, 1605–1611 (1996).

- Chang, Y.M. et al. A pooled analysis of melanocytic nevus phenotype and the risk of cutaneous melanoma at different latitudes. Int. J. Cancer 124, 420–428 (2009).
- Brown, K.M. et al. Common sequence variants on 20q11.22 confer melanoma susceptibility. Nat. Genet. 40, 838–840 (2008).
- Bishop, D.T. et al. Genome-wide association study identifies three loci associated with melanoma risk. Nat. Genet. 41, 920–925 (2009).
- Guðbjartsson, D.F. et al. ASIP and TYR pigmentation variants associate with cutaneous melanoma and basal cell carcinoma. Nat. Genet. 40, 886–891 (2008).
- Barrett, J.H. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies three new melanoma susceptibility loci. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 1108–1113 (2011).
- Bertolotto, C. *et al.* A SUMOylation-defective MITF germline mutation predisposes to melanoma and renal carcinoma. *Nature* **480**, 94–98 (2011).
- Yokoyama, S. *et al.* A novel recurrent mutation in MITF predisposes to familial and sporadic melanoma. *Nature* 480, 99–103 (2011).
- Frayling, T.M. *et al.* A common variant in the *FTO* gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. *Science* **316**, 889–894 (2007).
- Dina, C. et al. Variation in FTO contributes to childhood obesity and severe adult obesity. Nat. Genet. 39, 724–726 (2007).
- Scuteri, A. *et al.* Genome-wide association scan shows genetic variants in the *FTO* gene are associated with obesity-related traits. *PLoS Genet.* 3, e115 (2007).
- Zeggini, E. *et al.* Replication of genome-wide association signals in UK samples reveals risk loci for type 2 diabetes. *Science* **316**, 1336–1341 (2007).
- Scott, L.J. *et al.* A genome-wide association study of type 2 diabetes in Finns detects multiple susceptibility variants. *Science* **316**, 1341–1345 (2007).
- Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium. Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. *Nature* 447, 661–678 (2007.).
- Zeggini, E. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association data and large-scale replication identifies additional susceptibility loci for type 2 diabetes. *Nat. Genet.* 40, 638–645 (2008).
- Voight, B.F. et al. Twelve type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci identified through large-scale association analysis. Nat. Genet. 42, 579–589 (2010).
- Hertel, J.K. *et al.* FTO, type 2 diabetes, and weight gain throughout adult life: a meta-analysis of 41,504 subjects from the Scandinavian HUNT, MDC, and MPP studies. *Diabetes* 60, 1637–1644 (2011).
- Li, H. *et al.* Association of genetic variation in FTO with risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes with data from 96,551 East and South Asians. *Diabetologia* 55, 981–995 (2012).
- Renehan, A.G., Tyson, M., Egger, M., Heller, R.F. & Zwahlen, M. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Lancet* 371, 569–578 (2008).
- Pothiawala, S., Qureshi, A.A., Li, Y. & Han, J. Obesity and the incidence of skin cancer in US Caucasians. *Cancer Causes Control* 23, 717–726 (2012).
- Speliotes, E.K. *et al.* Association analyses of 249,796 individuals reveal 18 new loci associated with body mass index. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 937–948 (2010).
- Sällman Almén, M. *et al.* Determination of the obesity-associated gene variants within the entire FTO gene by ultra-deep targeted sequencing in obese and lean children. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond.)* advance online publication, doi:10.1038/ijo.2012.57 (24 April 2012).
- Boissel, S. *et al.* Loss-of-function mutation in the dioxygenase-encoding *FTO* gene causes severe growth retardation and multiple malformations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 85, 106–111 (2009).
- Hubacek, J.A. et al. The FTO gene polymorphism is associated with end-stage renal disease: two large independent case-control studies in a general population. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 27, 1030–1035 (2012).
- Hubacek, J.A. et al. A FTO variant and risk of acute coronary syndrome. Clin. Chim. Acta 411, 1069–1072 (2010).
- Doney, A.S. *et al.* The *FTO* gene is associated with an atherogenic lipid profile and myocardial infarction in patients with type 2 diabetes: a genetics of diabetes audit and research study in Tayside Scotland (Go-DARTS) study. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.* 2, 255–259 (2009).
- Zimmermann, E. *et al.* Fatness-associated *FTO* gene variant increases mortality independent of fatness—in cohorts of Danish men. *PLoS ONE* 4, e4428 (2009).
- Keller, L. *et al.* The obesity related gene, *FTO*, interacts with *APOE*, and is associated with Alzheimer's disease risk: a prospective cohort study. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 23, 461–469 (2011).
- arcOGEN Consortium and arcOGEN Collaborators. Identification of new susceptibility loci for osteoarthritis (arcOGEN): a genome-wide association study. *Lancet* 380, 815–823 (2012).
- Brennan, P. et al. Obesity and cancer: Mendelian randomization approach utilizing the FTO genotype. Int. J. Epidemiol. 38, 971–975 (2009).
- Lurie, G. et al. The obesity-associated polymorphisms FTO rs9939609 and MC4R rs17782313 and endometrial cancer risk in non-Hispanic white women. PLoS ONE 6, e16756 (2011).
- Boyle, A.P. *et al.* Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. *Genome Res.* 22, 1790–1797 (2012).
- Schaub, M.A. *et al.* Linking disease associations with regulatory information in the human genome. *Genome Res.* 22, 1748–1759 (2012).
- Pruim, R.J. et al. LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. Bioinformatics 26, 2336–2337 (2010).

¹Section of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Leeds Institute of Molecular Medicine, Leeds Cancer Research UK Centre, St. James's University Hospital, Leeds, UK. ²Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Brisbane, Australia. ³deCODE Genetics, Reykjavik, Iceland. ⁴Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ⁵Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ⁶Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ⁷Department of Genetics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA. ⁸Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. 9Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Netherlands, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. 10Department for Health Evidence, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ¹¹Centre for Cancer Biomarkers, The Gade Institute, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. ¹²Department of Pathology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway. ¹³Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Cochin, Service de Dermatologie, Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France. ¹⁴Department of Dermatology and the Oncogenetics Unit, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv, University, Tel Aviv, Israel. 15Oncogenetics Unit, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. 16Department of Clinical Genetics, Center of Human and Clinical Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. ¹⁷Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland. ¹⁸Department of Pathology, Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavik, Iceland. ¹⁹Department of Dermatology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands. ²⁰Department of Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy. ²¹Laboratory of Genetics of Rare Hereditary Cancers, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, San Martino-Istituto Scientifico Tumori, Genoa, Italy. ²²Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA. ²³Dermatology Unit, Maurizio Bufalini Hospital, Cesena, Italy. ²⁴Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Unite Mixte de Recherche (UMR) 946, Genetic Variation and Human Diseases Unit, Paris, France. ²⁵Université d'Evry Val d'Essonne, Evry, France. ²⁶Fondation Jean Dausset, Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain (CEPH), Paris, France. ²⁷Department of Dermatology, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy. 28Cancer Epidemiology and Services Research, Sydney School of Public Health, The University of Sydney, Australia. 29Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Institut Universitaire d'Hématologie, Paris, France. ³⁰Department of Dermatology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ³¹International Hereditary Cancer Center, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland. ³²Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. ³³Research Unit on Nutritional Epidemiology, Université Paris 13, Sorbonne, Paris Cité, INSERM (U557), Institut Scientifique de Recherche Agronomique (INRA U1125), Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers (CNAM), Bobigny, France. ³⁴Epidemiology and Genetics Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. ³⁵Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Stockholm, Sweden. ³⁶Department of Dermatology, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway. ³⁷Department of Surgical Oncology, Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia. ³⁸Centre for Molecular, Environmental, Genetic and Analytic (MEGA) Epidemiology, Melbourne School of Population Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia. ³⁹Department of Surgery, Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden. ⁴⁰ServiceXS B.V., Leiden, The Netherlands. ⁴¹Centre for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. ⁴²Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. ⁴³Department of Urology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁴⁴Department of Medical Genetics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK. 45 Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, Institut de Génomique, Centre National de Génotypage, Evry, France. 46 Department of Dermatology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Huntsman Cancer Institute, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA. ⁴⁷Department of Surgical Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA. ⁴⁸Department of Public Health, University of Glasgow, UK. ⁴⁹Westmead Institute of Cancer Research, University of Sydney at Westmead Millennium Institute and Melanoma Institute Australia, Sydney, Australia, ⁵⁰University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain, ⁵¹Department of Dermatology, Instituto Valenciano de Oncología, Valencia, Spain. ⁵²Department of Molecular Diagnostics, Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia. ⁵³Department of Dermatology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. 54 Department of Dermatology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland. 55 Departments of Oncology and Cancer Epidemiology, Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden. ⁵⁶Laboratory of Histocompatibility–Molecular Biology, Center for Blood Transfusion, Valencia, Spain. 57 Melanoma Unit, Dermatology Department, Hospital Clinic, Institut de Investigacó Biomèdica August Pi Suñe, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. 58Centro de Investigaciones Biomedicas en Red (CIBER) de Enfermedades Raras, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain. ⁵⁹A full list of members is provided in the Supplementary Note. ⁶⁰Immunotherapy of Human Tumors Unit, Fondazione Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico (IRCCS) Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy. ⁶¹Melanoma and Sarcoma Surgery Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy. ⁶²Department of Epidemiology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin, China. ⁶³Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. 64Department of Epidemiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA. 65Department of Community and Family Medicine, Geisel College of Medicine, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA. Correspondence should be addressed to M.M.I. (m.m.iles@leeds.ac.uk).

ONLINE METHODS

Samples. Approval for these studies was obtained at each recruiting center. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Phase 1 of the original GenoMEL GWAS consisted of cases and controls collected from eight centers in six European countries. These were supplemented with controls from the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC)¹⁹. Standard quality-control measures were applied to both samples and SNPs, giving a total of 1,353 cases and 3,571 controls. Phase 2 of the GenoMEL GWAS consisted of cases and controls from ten centers (four not in Phase 1) in eight European countries and in Israel, supplemented again by samples from the WTCCC. In both phases, cases were preferentially selected to have a family history of melanoma, multiple primary tumors or an early age of onset. After quality control, 1,450 cases and 4,047 controls remained (quality control and samples are described in ref. 11). We obtained 680 supplementary UK cases and 1,785 controls from a population-based study of incident melanoma cases diagnosed between September 2000 and December 2006 from a geographically defined area of Yorkshire and the northern region of the UK^{9,40,41}. Controls were ascertained by contacting general practitioners to identify eligible individuals. These controls were frequency-matched with cases for age and sex from general practitioners who also had cases as part of their patient register. An additional 220 controls were sex- and age-matched and from the same primary care practice as incident cases of colorectal cancer recruiting from hospitals in Leeds⁴².

The only GenoMEL center that collected BMI data was Leeds. In Leeds, two studies were used: a family-based study that did not collect BMI and a case-control study that did collect BMI (see **Supplementary Table 1**).

For details of replication samples, see Supplementary Note.

Genotyping. Most GenoMEL Phase 1 samples were genotyped on the Illumina HumanHap300 BeadChip version 2 duo array (with 317,000 tagging SNPs), with the exception of the French cases, which were genotyped on the Illumina HumanCNV370k array. The GenoMEL Phase 2 samples were genotyped on the Illumina 610k array.

In the genotyping of the UK case-control samples, rs16953002 and rs12596638 were genotyped using the Taqman assays C_34511379_10 and C_11776446_10, respectively (Applied Biosystems). We performed 2- μ l PCR in 384-well plates using 10 ng of DNA (dried), using 0.05 μ l assay mix and 1 μ l Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. End-point reading of the genotypes was performed using an ABI 7900HT Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems).

Imputation. Imputation was conducted genome-wide on the GenoMEL Phase 1 samples, excluding SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.03, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) $P < 10^{-4}$ (in controls) and missingness > 0.03. IMPUTEv2 (refs. 43,44) was used and the reference panel consisted of 120 European samples from HapMap release #24 (NCBI build36, November 2008). After the initial genome-wide imputation had identified the *FTO* region as a candidate region, additional imputation of this region (1 Mb either side of rs16953002, chromosome 16: 53114824–55114824) was conducted based on the 1000 Genomes Phase 1 integrated variant set (March 2012 release, excluding SNPs with MAF <0.001 in the CEU European samples).

The number of well-imputed SNPs (Impute quality metric (info) score > 0.8) in the region increased from 1,245 to 4,874, although the most significant three SNPs remained the same. The first *P* values quoted for rs16953002 and rs12596638 ($P = 5.59 \times 10^{-6}$ and $P = 4.43 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively) were from the genome-wide imputation, but all subsequent analyses are based on the *FTO* regional imputation.

Imputed genotypes were analyzed as expected genotype counts based on the posterior probabilities (gene dosage) using SNPTEST2 (ref. 45) assuming an additive model with geographic region as a covariate. Only those with an 'info' score > 0.8 are considered to be of sufficient quality. The *FTO* region was imputed and analyzed in the GenoMEL Phase 2 data in the same way.

Imputation quality was confirmed by genotyping 3,694 of the previously imputed samples from GenoMEL Phase 1 at rs16953002. The imputed genotype with the highest posterior probability was correct in 97% of cases (increasing to 98% if we only consider those genotypes where the maximum posterior probability is > 0.8). Given this strong confirmation of the quality of the imputation, unless otherwise stated we present the result using the imputed Phase 1 results, rather than interleaving imputed and genotyped data indiscriminately. In the **Supplementary Note** and **Supplementary Table 2** results are presented using only genotyped data for comparison with the imputed results.

In the replication samples, rs16953002 and rs8050136 were genotyped, with the exception of rs8050136 being imputed in the samples collected at Harvard.

Meta-analysis. Meta-analyses assume fixed effects unless otherwise stated. In all cases, heterogeneity between studies is measured with the I^2 metric; it has been suggested that values below 31% are of "little concern" and those above 56% should induce "considerable caution"⁴⁶. Where I^2 is >31%, a random-effects meta-analysis is applied. Here the method published in ref. 47 was used to estimate the between-studies variance, $\hat{\tau}^2$. An overall random effects estimate was then calculated using the weights $\hat{\tau}1/(v_i + \hat{\tau}^2)$, where v_i is the variance of the estimated effect. $\hat{\tau}^2 = 0$ for the fixed-effects analyses.

- Newton-Bishop, J.A. *et al.* Melanocytic nevi, nevus genes, and melanoma risk in a large case-control study in the United Kingdom. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 19, 2043–2054 (2010).
- 41. Newton-Bishop, J.A. *et al.* Relationship between sun exposure and melanoma risk for tumours in different body sites in a large case-control study in a temperate climate. *Eur. J. Cancer* **47**, 732–741 (2011).
- Barrett, J.H. *et al.* Investigation of interaction between N-acetyltransferase 2 and heterocyclic amines as potential risk factors for colorectal cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 24, 275–282 (2003).
- Marchini, J., Howie, B., Myers, S., McVean, G. & Donnelly, P. A new multipoint method for genome-wide association studies via imputation of genotypes. *Nat. Genet.* 39, 906–913 (2007).
- Howie, B.N., Donnelly, P. & Marchini, J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet.* 5, e1000529 (2009).
- Marchini, J., Howie, B., Myers, S., McVean, G. & Donnelly, P. A new multipoint method for genome-wide association studies via imputation of genotypes. *Nat. Genet.* 39, 906–913 (2007).
- Higgins, J.P. & Thompson, S.G. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat. Med. 21, 1539–1558 (2002).
- Dersimonian, R. & Laird, N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control. Clin. Trials* 7, 177–188 (1986).