DOI: 10.1088/1361-6544/aaa5dd

A WEIGHTED ANISOTROPIC VARIANT OF THE CAFFARELLI-KOHN-NIRENBERG INEQUALITY AND APPLICATIONS

ANOUAR BAHROUNI, VICENŢIU D. RĂDULESCU, AND DUŠAN D. REPOVŠ

ABSTRACT. We present a weighted version of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality in the framework of variable exponents. The combination of this inequality with a variant of the fountain theorem, yields the existence of infinitely many solutions for a class of non-homogeneous problems with Dirichlet boundary condition.

1. Introduction

Nonlinear problems with variable exponents are motivated by numerous models in the applied sciences that are driven by some classes of non-homogeneous partial differential operators. In some circumstances, the standard analysis based on the theory of usual Lebesgue and Sobolev function spaces, L^p and $W^{1,p}$, is not appropriate in the framework of materials that involve non-homogeneities. For instance, both electro-rheological "smart" fluids and phenomena arising in image processing are properly described by nonlinear models in which the exponent p is not necessarily constant. The variable exponent describes the geometry of the material which is allowed to change its hardening exponent at different points. This leads to the analysis of variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev function spaces (denoted by $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$), where p is a real-valued (non-constant) function. We point out important contributions of Halsey [21] and Zhikov [31] in strong relationship with the behavior of strongly anisotropic materials. This is mainly achieved in the framework of the homogenization and nonlinear elasticity. We refer, e.g., to Acerbi and Mingione [3] and Ružička [29] (electrorheological "smart" fluids) and Antontsev and Shmarev [6] (nonlinear Darcy's law in porous media). A thorough variational analysis of the problems with variable exponents has been developed in the recent monograph by Rădulescu and Repovš [28] (see also the survey paper by Rădulescu [27] and the important contributions of Pucci et al. [12, 26]).

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \geq 2)$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. The following Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality [9] states that given $p \in (1, N)$ and real numbers a, b and q such that

$$-\infty < a < \frac{N-p}{p}, \quad a \leq b \leq a+1, \quad q = \frac{Np}{N-p(1+a-b)}\,,$$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.\ p(x)$ -Laplace operator, Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, multiple solutions, fountain theorem.

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Subject Classification: Primary 35J60, Secondary 35J91, 58E30.

there is a positive constant $C_{a,b}$ such that for all $u \in C_c^1(\Omega)$,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-bq} |u|^q dx\right)^{p/q} \leq C_{a,b} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

This result goes back to the celebrated Hardy inequality [22], which establishes that if $1 \le p < N$, then for all $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$

$$\left\|\frac{u(x)}{\|x\|}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \frac{p}{N-p} \, \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

where $||x|| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ and the constant $\frac{p}{N-p}$ is known to be sharp. Inequality (1.1) has been widely analyzed in many different settings (see, e.g., [1, 2, 5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 19]). Nowadays, there is vast literature on this subject, for example, the MathSciNet search shows about 5000 research works related to this topic.

The main aim of this paper is to present an analogoue of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality in the framework of variable exponents. To the best of our knowledge, there are very few results dealing with this topic. For instance, the following result was established in [24]: there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(1.2) \qquad \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \ dx \le C \int_{\Omega} |\overrightarrow{d}(x)|^{p(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} \ dx, \quad \text{for all } u \in C_c^1(\Omega),$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, while $\overrightarrow{d}: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and $p: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are functions of class C^1 , satisfying for some $a_0 > 0$

(1.3)
$$\operatorname{div}(\overrightarrow{a}(x)) \ge a_0 > 0, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega,$$

provided that

(1.4)
$$\overrightarrow{a}(x) \cdot \nabla p(x) = 0$$
, for all $x \in \Omega$.

In this paper, we establish a more involved version of inequality (1.2), which combines the contributions of several quantities. In order to introduce the main abstract result of the paper, we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $a, p : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ are given functions such that the following hypotheses are fulfilled:

- (A) a is a function of class C^1 and there exist $x_0 \in \Omega$, r > 0, $s \in (1, +\infty)$ such that
- $|a(x)| \neq 0$, for all $x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $|a(x)| \geq |x x_0|^s$, for all $x \in B(x_0, r)$; and
 - (P) p is a function of class C^1 and $p(x) \in (2, N)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

The main abstract result of this paper is the following weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that conditions (A) and (P) hold. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \geq 2)$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Then there exists a positive constant β such that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx &\leq \beta \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)-1} ||\nabla a(x)|| u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \\ &+ \beta \left(\int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |\nabla p(x)|| u(x)|^{p(x)+1} dx \right) \\ &+ \beta \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)-1} |\nabla p(x)|| u(x)|^{p(x)-1} dx. \end{split}$$

for all $u \in C_c^1(\Omega)$.

We point out that, by hypotheses (A) and (P), the potential ∇a can vanish in Ω and we do not assume that $\nabla p(x) \cdot a(x) = 0$, for all $x \in \Omega$ (see assumption (1.4) related to inequality (1.3)).

Next, we are concerned with the existence of infinitely many solutions for the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(B(x)|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u) + (A(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} + C(x)|u|^{p(x)-3})u = \\ (b(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} - D(x)|u|^{p(x)-1})u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where the variable exponent q fulfills a subcritical condition (namely, in the sense of Sobolev-type embeddings for spaces with variable exponent). We assume that $b: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and the weighted potentials A, B, C, D are defined by

(1.6)
$$\begin{cases} A(x) = |a(x)|^{p(x)-1} |\nabla a(x)| \\ B(x) = |a(x)|^{p(x)} \\ C(x) = |a(x)|^{p(x)-1} |\nabla p(x)| \\ D(x) = B(x) |\nabla p(x)|. \end{cases}$$

The potential b is assumed to satisfy the following hypothesis:

(B)
$$b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$
 and $b > 0$ in Ω .

In the final part of this paper, by combining our generalized Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality with a variant of the fountain theorem, we shall prove that problem (1.5) has infinitely many solutions.

2. Terminology and the abstract setting

In this section we recall some basic definitions and properties concerning the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. We refer to [17, 28] and the references therein

Consider the set

$$C_{+}(\overline{\Omega}) = \{ p \in C(\overline{\Omega}); \ p(x) > 1 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega} \}.$$

For all $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ we define

$$p^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x)$$
 and $p^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x)$.

For any $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u; \ u \text{ is measurable real-valued function such that } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \ dx < \infty \right\}.$$

This vector space is a Banach space if it is endowed with the *Luxemburg norm*, which is defined by

$$|u|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} dx \le 1 \right\}.$$

The function space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive if and only if $1 < p^- \le p^+ < \infty$ and continuous functions with compact support are dense in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ if $p^+ < \infty$.

Let $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ denote the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, where 1/p(x)+1/q(x)=1. If $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ then the following Hölder-type inequality holds:

(2.7)
$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv \ dx \right| \le \left(\frac{1}{p^{-}} + \frac{1}{q^{-}} \right) |u|_{p(x)} |v|_{q(x)}.$$

Moreover, if $p_j \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ (j = 1, 2, 3) and

$$\frac{1}{p_1(x)} + \frac{1}{p_2(x)} + \frac{1}{p_3(x)} = 1$$

then for all $u \in L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$, $v \in L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)$, $w \in L^{p_3(x)}(\Omega)$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uvw \ dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p_{1}^{-}} + \frac{1}{p_{2}^{-}} + \frac{1}{p_{3}^{-}} \right) |u|_{p_{1}(x)} |v|_{p_{2}(x)} |w|_{p_{3}(x)} \,.$$

The inclusion between Lebesgue spaces also generalizes the classical framework, namely if $0 < |\Omega| < \infty$ and p_1, p_2 are variable exponents such that $p_1 \le p_2$ in Ω then there exists a continuous embedding $L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.1. If we denote

$$\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx, \quad \forall u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega),$$

then

- (i) $|u|_{n(x)} < 1 = 1; > 1 \Leftrightarrow \rho(u) < 1 = 1; > 1$;
- $|u|_{p(x)} > 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq |u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}};$
- (iii) $|u|_{p(x)} < 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)}^{p^+} \le \rho(u) \le |u|_{p(x)}^{p^-}$.

Proposition 2.2. If $u, u_n \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\lim_{n \to +\infty} |u_n u|_{p(x)} = 0;$ (2) $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \rho(u_n u) = 0;$
- (3) $u_n \to u$ in measure on Ω and $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \rho(u_n) = \rho(u)$.

If k is a positive integer and $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, then we define the variable exponent Sobolev space by

$$W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega); \ D^{\alpha}u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega), \text{ for all } |\alpha| \le k \}.$$

Here $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ is a multi-index, $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$, and

$$D^{\alpha}u = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}u}{\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_N}^{\alpha_N}}.$$

On $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we consider the following norm

$$||u||_{k,p(x)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} |D^{\alpha}u|_{p(x)}.$$

Then $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive and separable Banach space if $1 < p^- \le p^+ < +\infty$. Let $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ denote the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

The Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents coincide with the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces provided that p is constant. According to Rădulescu

and Repovš [28, pp. 8-9], these function spaces have some unusual properties, such as:

(i) Assuming that $1 < p^- \le p^+ < \infty$ and $p : \overline{\Omega} \to [1, \infty)$ is a smooth function, the following co-area formula

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx = p \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1} |\{x \in \Omega; \ |u(x)| > t\}| dt$$

has no analogue in the framework of variable exponents.

- (ii) Spaces $L^{p(x)}$ do not satisfy the mean continuity property. More exactly, if p is nonconstant and continuous in an open ball $B(x_0)$, then there is some $u \in L^{p(x)}(B(x_0))$ such that $u(x+h) \notin L^{p(x)}(B(x_0+h))$ for every $h \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with arbitrary small norm.
- (iii) Function spaces with variable exponent are never invariant with respect to translations. The convolution is also limited. For instance, the classical Young inequality

$$|f * g|_{p(x)} \le C |f|_{p(x)} ||g||_{L^1}$$

remains valid if and only if p is constant.

3. Weighted Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality for p(x)-Laplacian

We start with the following weighted logarithmic inequality.

Lemma 3.1. Let condition (P) be satisfied. Then there exists a positive constant μ such that

$$\int_{\mathrm{supp}\,(u)} |\nabla p(x)| |u(x)|^{p(x)} |\log(|u(x)|)| dx \leq \mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla p(x)| \left(|u(x)|^{p(x)-1} + |u(x)|^{p(x)+1} \right) dx,$$

for all $u \in C_c^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $u \in C_c^1(\Omega)$. We define

$$\alpha_1 = \sup_{0 < t \le 1} t |\log(t)| < \infty \text{ and } \alpha_2 = \sup_{1 < t} t^{-1} \log(t) < \infty.$$

We observe that $0 < \alpha_1 < +\infty$ and $0 < \alpha_2 < +\infty$. Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \text{supp}(u); |u(x)| \le 1\} \text{ and } \Omega_2 = \{x \in \text{supp}(u); |u(x)| > 1\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\text{supp }(u)} |\nabla p(x)| |u(x)|^{p(x)} |\log(|u(x)|)| dx & = \int_{\Omega_1} |\nabla p(x)| |u(x)|^{p(x)} |\log(|u(x)|)| dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega_2} |\nabla p(x)| |u(x)|^{p(x)} |\log(|u(x)|)| dx \\ & \leq \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega_1} |\nabla p(x)| |u(x)|^{p(x)-1} dx \\ & + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega_2} |\nabla p(x)| |u(x)|^{p(x)+1} dx \\ & \leq \mu (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla p(x)| |u(x)|^{p(x)-1} dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla p(x)| |u(x)|^{p(x)+1} dx), \end{split}$$

where $\mu = \max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove in what follows our weighted version of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality with variable exponent.

We define the function $W: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ by W(y) = y for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough so that

$$(3.9) 0 < \epsilon < \frac{N}{p^+ ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}.$$

By a straightforward computation we can deduce that for all $u \in C_c^1(\Omega)$ we have

(3.10)

$$\operatorname{div}(|a(x)u(x)|^{p(x)}W(x)) = |a(x)|^{p(x)}|u(x)|^{p(x)}\operatorname{div}(W(x)) + p(x)|a(x)|^{p(x)}|u(x)|^{p(x)-2}u(x)\nabla u(x) \cdot W(x) + p(x)|u(x)|^{p(x)}|a(x)|^{p(x)-2}a(x)\nabla a(x) \cdot W(x) + |u(x)a(x)|^{p(x)}\log(|a(x)u(x)|)\nabla p(x).W(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Now the flux-divergence theorem implies that $\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(|a(x)u(x)|^{p(x)}W(x))dx = 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 and conditions (A) and (P), that

$$\int_{\Omega} |a(x)u(x)|^{p(x)} \operatorname{div}(W(x)) dx \leq p^{+} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} |a(x)|^{p(x)-1} |\nabla a(x)| |W(x)| dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega} |a(x)u(x)|^{p(x)} |\log(|u(x)a(x)|)| |\nabla p(x)| |W(x)| dx \\
+ p^{+} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)-1} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |\nabla u(x)| |W(x)| dx \\
\leq p^{+} ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)-1} ||\nabla a(x)|| |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \\
+ \mu ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)-1} |\nabla p(x)|| |u(x)|^{p(x)-1} dx \\
+ \mu ||a||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |\nabla p(x)|| |u(x)|^{p(x)+1} dx \\
+ \epsilon p^{+} ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \\
+ p^{+} \frac{||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\epsilon^{p^{-}-1}} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx.$$
(3.12)

Next, we combine $\mathrm{div}(W(x))=N$ in Ω with relation (3.12) and the following Young inequality:

$$a^{p-1}b \le \varepsilon a^p + \frac{b^p}{\varepsilon^{p-1}}$$
, for all $a, b, \varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$.

It follows that

$$(N - p^{+} ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \epsilon) \int_{\Omega} |a(x)u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \leq p^{+} \frac{||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\epsilon^{p^{-} - 1}} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$$

$$+ p^{+} ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x) - 1} ||\nabla a(x)|| u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$$

$$+ c \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |\nabla p(x)|| u(x)|^{p(x) + 1} dx$$

$$+ \mu ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x) - 1} |\nabla p(x)|| u(x)|^{p(x) - 1} dx,$$

$$(3.14)$$

with $c = \mu ||a||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ||W||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. Invoking (3.9), we set

$$\beta = \frac{\max(c, p^{+\frac{\|W\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\epsilon^{p^{-}-1}}}, p^{+}\|W\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \mu\|W\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})}{(N - p^{+}\|W\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\epsilon)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We denote by $W^{1,p(x)}_{0,a(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C^1_c(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$||u|| = ||B(x)|^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \nabla u(x)|_{p(x)} + |A(x)|^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} u(x)|_{p(x)} + ||D(x)|^{\frac{1}{p(x)+1}} u(x)|_{p(x)+1} + ||C(x)|^{\frac{1}{p(x)-1}} u(x)|_{p(x)-1},$$

where the potentials A, B, C, D are defined in (1.6).

(There is no modification since the norm on $L^{p(x)}$ is denoted by $| |_{p(x)}$. But in the above equality the first and the fourth bars are for the norm on $L^{p(x)}$, while the second and the third bars denote the absolute value of B, A, D, and C.)

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we prove the following compactness property.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that conditions (A) and (P) hold. Furthermore, assume that $p^- > 1 + s$. Then $W^{1,p(x)}_{0,a(x)}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^q(\Omega)$ for each $q \in (1, \frac{Np^-}{N+sp^+})$. Moreover, the same conclusion holds if we replace $L^q(\Omega)$ by $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, provided that $q^+ < \frac{Np^-}{N+sp^+}$.

Proof. Fix $q \in (1, \frac{Np^-}{N+sp^+})$. Let (u_n) be a bounded sequence in $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Since $x_0 \in \Omega$, it follows that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$0 < \epsilon_0 < \min(1, r) \text{ and } \overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon_0) \subset \Omega.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$. From condition (A), there exists $a_0 > 0$ such that $a(x) \geq a_0$, for all $x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon)$. Hence, by invoking Theorem 1.1 we deduce that the sequence (u_n) is bounded in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon))$. Consequently, (u_n) is bounded in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon))$. Since $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon)) \subset W^{1,p^-}(\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon))$ we deduce that (u_n) is bounded in $W^{1,p^-}(\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon))$. For all s > 0 we have $Np^-/(N-p^-) > Np^-/(N+sp^+)$. Thus, since $1 < q < Np^-/(N+sp^+)$, the classical compact embedding theorem shows that there exists a convergent subsequence of (u_n) , still denoted by (u_n) , in $L^q(\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(x_0, \epsilon))$. Thus, for any large enough n and m we have

(3.15)
$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(x_0,\epsilon)} |u_n - u_m|^q dx < \epsilon.$$

Now the Hölder inequality for variable exponent spaces implies

$$\int_{B(x_0,\epsilon)} |u_n - u_m|^q dx = \int_{B(x_0,\epsilon)} |a(x)|^q |a(x)|^{-q} |u_n - u_m|^q dx
\leq c ||a(x)|^{-q} \chi_{B(x_0,\epsilon)} ||\frac{p(x)}{a}|' ||a(x)|^q |u_n - u_m|^q ||\frac{p(x)}{a}|,$$
(3.16)

where c is a positive constant and $(\frac{p(x)}{q})' = \frac{p(x)}{p(x)-q}$. By Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.1, there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$|||a(x)|^{q}|u_{n} - u_{m}|^{q}||_{\frac{p(x)}{q}} \leq c_{1} \left(\int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |u_{n} - u_{m}|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p^{-}}} + c_{1} \left(\int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{p(x)} |u_{n} - u_{m}|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p^{+}}} \leq c_{2}.$$

$$(3.17)$$

Taking into account relations (3.16) and (3.17) we deduce that

(3.18)
$$\int_{B(x_0,\epsilon)} |u_n - u_m|^q dx \le c_2 ||a(x)|^{-q} \chi_{B(x_0,\epsilon)}||_{(\frac{p(x)}{q})'}.$$

By invoking Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$||a(x)|^{-q} \chi_{B(x_0,\epsilon)}||_{(\frac{p(x)}{q})'} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{\frac{-qp(x)}{(p(x)-q)}} \chi_{B(x_0,\epsilon)} dx\right)^{\left((\frac{p(x)}{q})'\right)^{+}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^{\frac{-qp(x)}{(p(x)-q)}} \chi_{B(x_0,\epsilon)} dx\right)^{\left((\frac{p(x)}{q})'\right)^{-}}.$$
(3.19)

Using condition (A) and $\epsilon < 1$, we infer that

$$\int_{B(x_0,\epsilon)} |a(x)|^{\frac{-qp(x)}{(p(x)-q)}} dx \le \int_{B(0,\epsilon)} |x|^{\frac{-sqp^+}{(p^--q)}} dx$$

$$= w_n \int_0^{\epsilon} r^{N-1} r^{\frac{-sqp^+}{(p^--q)}} dr$$

$$= w_n \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha}}{\alpha},$$
(3.21)

where $\alpha = N - \frac{sqp^+}{(p^- - q)} > 0$ and w_N is the area of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus, it follows from (3.15), (3.18) and (3.20) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n - u_m|^q dx \le c(\epsilon + \epsilon^{\alpha_1} + \epsilon^{\alpha_2}),$$

where c is a positive constant, $\alpha_1 = ((\frac{p(x)}{q})')^- \alpha$, and $\alpha_2 = ((\frac{p(x)}{q})')^+ \alpha$. We conclude that (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(\Omega)$.

The same proof still applies if we replace $L^q(\Omega)$ by $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. The conclusion of the lemma is now evident.

4. A multiplicity property for a problem with variable exponent

In this section, we work under conditions introduced in Lemma 3.2. We investigate the existence of infinitely many solutions of problem (1.5), where $b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and

(4.22)
$$q(x) \in \left(1, \min\left\{\frac{Np^-}{N + sp^+}, p^- - 1\right\}\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega.$$

We say that $u \in W^{1,p(x)}_{0,a(x)}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.5) if

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} B(x) |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} A(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} D(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-1} u(x) v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} C(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-3} u(x) v(x) dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u(x)|^{q(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx = 0, \end{split}$$

for all $v \in W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Standard argument can be used to show that $(W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \|.\|)$ is a reflexive Banach separable space. Then, by [20], there exist $(e_n) \subset W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $e_n^* \subset (W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))^*$ such that

$$e_n^*(e_m) = 1$$
 if $n = m$ and $e_n^*(e_m) = 0$ if $n \neq m$.

It follows that

$$W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{e_n,\ n\geq 1\right\}\ \text{ and }\ (W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))^*=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{e_n^*,\ n\geq 1\right\}.$$

For any integer $k \geq 1$, denote

$$E_k = \operatorname{span} \{e_k\}, \quad Y_k = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k E_j \text{ and } Z_k = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^\infty E_j}.$$

The main result of this section is the following multiplicity property.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $p^- > 1 + s$ and that conditions (A), (B) and (P) are fulfilled. Then problem (1.5) has infinitely many solutions.

Remark 4.2. The main problem in treating equation (1.5) is the presence of the indefinite potential a(x), which can vanish at x_0 . To overcome this difficulty, we have proved a new type of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, Theorem 1.1, which is very useful to prepare the variational framework of equation (1.5), for example Lemma (3.2). Moreover, we remark that the functions A, B, C, and D that appear in equation (1.5) are strongly related to our Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type theorem. To the best of our knowledge, there are no known results on the existence of solutions to problem (1.5). Hence, in order to prove Theorem 4.1, we use the previous section in relationship with some technical lemma related to the critical point theorem established by Zou.

In order to prove Theorem 4.1 we define the functional $I: W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{split} I(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{B(x)}{p(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x)}{p(x)} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{C(x)}{p(x) - 1} |u(x)|^{p(x) - 1} dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{D(x)}{p(x) + 1} |u(x)|^{p(x) + 1} dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x) \frac{|u(x)|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx. \end{split}$$

Standard arguments show that $I \in C^1(W^{1,p(x)}_{0,a(x)}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} B(x) |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} A(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-2} u(x) v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} D(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-1} u(x) v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} C(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)-3} u(x) v(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u(x)|^{q(x)-2} u(x) v(x),$$

for all $u, v \in W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Thus, in order to find weak solutions of problem (1.5) it suffices to find critical points of the associated energy I.

Consider the functional

$$I_{\lambda}(u) = J(u) - \lambda K(u),$$

where

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{B(x)}{p(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{C(x)}{p(x) - 1} |u(x)|^{p(x) - 1} dx$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x)}{p(x)} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{D(x)}{p(x) + 1} |u(x)|^{p(x) + 1} dx$$

and

$$K(u) = \int_{\Omega} b(x) \frac{|u(x)|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx.$$

Then any critical point of I_1 is a weak solution of problem (1.5).

An important ingredient of the proof of Theorem 4.1 is the following version of the fountain theorem, see Zou [32].

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that the functional I_{λ} defined above satisfies the following conditions:

- (T_1) I_{λ} maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in [1,2]$. Furthermore, $I_{\lambda}(-u) = I_{\lambda}(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in [1,2] \times E$, where $E := W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$;
- (T_2) $B(u) \geq 0$, $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $||u|| \rightarrow \infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of E; and
- (T_3) there exist $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ such that

$$a_k(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| = \rho_k} I_{\lambda}(u) \ge 0 > b_k(\lambda) = \max_{u \in Y_k, \|u\| = r_k} I_{\lambda}(u) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in [1, 2],$$

$$d_k(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| \le \rho_k} I_{\lambda}(u) \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty \text{ uniformly for } \lambda \in [1, 2].$$

Then there exist a sequence of real numbers (λ_n) converging to 1 and $u(\lambda_n) \in Y_n$ such that $I'_{\lambda_n}|Y_n(u_{\lambda_n})=0$ and $(I_{\lambda_n})(u(\lambda_n))\to c_k\in [d_k(2),b_k(1)]$, as $n\to\infty$. In particular, for fixed $k\in\mathbb{N}$, if $(u(\lambda_n))$ has a convergent subsequence to u_k , then I_1 has infinitely many nontrivial critical points $(u_k)\subset E\setminus\{0\}$ satisfying $I_1(u_k)\to 0^-$ as $k\to\infty$.

We start with the following auxiliary property.

Lemma 4.4. Assume that condition (B) holds. Then we have

$$\beta_k = \sup_{u \in Z_k, ||u||=1} \int_{\Omega} b(x) \frac{|u(x)|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx \to 0 \quad as \quad k \to +\infty.$$

Proof. It is clear that (we keep "0 <" since b > 0 and ||u|| = 1) $0 < \beta_{k+1} \le \beta_k$, so that $\beta_k \to \beta \ge 0$ as $k \to +\infty$. For every $k \ge 0$, by definition of β_k , there exists $u_k \in Z_k$ such that $||u_k|| = 1$ and $\int_{\Omega} b(x) \frac{|u_k|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx > \frac{\beta_k}{2}$. Since $u_k \in Z_k$, it follows that $u_k \to 0$ in $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Lemma 3.2 implies that, up to a subsequence,

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x) \frac{|u_k|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to +\infty.$$

Thus, $\beta = 0$ and the proof is complete.

The next result establishes that B is coercive on finite-dimensional subspaces of $W^{1,p(x)}_{0,a(x)}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 4.5. Assume that hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled. Then $K(u) \to +\infty$ as $||u|| \to +\infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let F be a finite-dimensional subspace of $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Put

$$\widetilde{b}(x) = \frac{b(x)}{q(x)}$$
, for all $x \in \Omega$.

We start by showing that there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$(4.23) m\left\{x \in \Omega; \ \widetilde{b}(x) \left|u\right|^{q(x)} \ge \epsilon_1 \left\|u\right\|^{q(x)}\right\} \ge \epsilon_1, \text{ for all } u \in F \setminus \{0\}.$$

Otherwise, for any positive integer n, there exists $u_n \in F \setminus \{0\}$ such that

(4.24)
$$m\left\{ x \in \Omega; \ \widetilde{b}(x) \left| u_n \right|^{q(x)} \ge \frac{1}{n} \left\| u_n \right\|^{q(x)} \right\} < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Set $v_n(x) = \frac{u_n(x)}{\|u_n\|} \in F \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\|v_n\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$m\left\{x\in\Omega;\ \ \widetilde{b}(x)\left|v_{n}\right|^{q(x)}\geq\frac{1}{n}\right\}<\frac{1}{n}.$$

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $v_n \to v_0$ in $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ for some $v_0 \in F$. Then $||v_0|| = 1$ and, by Lemma 3.2,

(4.25)
$$\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{b}(x) |v_n - v_0|^{q(x)} dx \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

We claim that there exists $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.26) m\left\{x \in \Omega; \ \widetilde{b}(x) \left|v_0\right|^{q(x)} \ge \gamma_0\right\} \ge \gamma_0.$$

Indeed, arguing by contradiction, we have

$$m\left\{x \in \Omega; \ \widetilde{b}(x) \left|v_0\right|^{q(x)} \ge \frac{1}{n}\right\} = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that

$$0 \le \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{b}(x) |v_0|^{q(x)+1} dx < \frac{\|v_0\|_1}{n} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Hence $v_0 = 0$, which contradicts $||v_0|| = 1$.

Set

$$\Omega_0 = \left\{ x \in \Omega; \ \widetilde{b}(x) \left| v_0 \right|^{q(x)} \ge \gamma_0 \right\}, \ \Omega_n = \left\{ x \in \Omega; \ \widetilde{b}(x) \left| v_n \right|^{q(x)} < \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

and

$$\Omega_n^c = \left\{ x \in \Omega; \ \widetilde{b}(x) |v_n|^{q(x)} \ge \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

By (4.24) and (4.26), we obtain

$$m(\Omega_n \cap \Omega_0) = m(\Omega_0 \setminus (\Omega_n^c \cap \Omega_0))$$

$$\geq m(\Omega_0) - m(\Omega_n^c \cap \Omega_0)$$

$$\geq \gamma_0 - \frac{1}{n} > \frac{\gamma_0}{2}$$

for large enough n. Consequently, (the inequality is correct since q(x) > 1, $y^{q(x)}$ is convex and we write $|v_0| = |v_0 - v_n + v_n|$)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{b}(x) \left| v_n - v_0 \right|^{q(x)} dx &\geq \int_{\Omega_n \cap \Omega_0} \widetilde{b}(x) \left| v_n - v_0 \right|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^{q^+ - 1}} \int_{\Omega_n \cap \Omega_0} \widetilde{b}(x) \left| v_0 \right|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega_n \cap \Omega_0} \widetilde{b}(x) \left| v_n \right|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\gamma_0}{2^{q^+ - 1}} - \frac{1}{n} \right) m \left(\Omega_n \cap \Omega_0 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma_0^2}{2^{q^+ + 1}} > 0, \end{split}$$

for all large n, which is a contradiction to (4.25). Therefore (4.23) holds. For the ϵ_1 given in (4.23), let

$$\Omega_u = \left\{ x \in \Omega; \ \widetilde{b}(x) |u|^{q(x)} \ge \epsilon_1 ||u||^{q(x)} \right\}, \text{ for all } u \in F \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then

$$(4.27) m(\Omega_u) \ge \epsilon_1 for all u \in F \setminus \{0\}.$$

From (B) and (4.27), for any $u \in F \setminus \{0\}$ with $||u|| \ge 1$, we get

$$K(u) = \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{b}(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx \ge \int_{\Omega_u} \widetilde{b}(x) |u|^{q(x)} dx$$
$$\ge \epsilon_1 ||u||^{q^-} m(\Omega_u) \ge \epsilon_1^2 ||u||^{q^-}.$$

This implies that $K(u) \to \infty$ as $||u|| \to \infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of E and this completes the proof.

Lemma 4.6. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Then there exists a sequence $\rho_k \to 0^+$ as $k \to +\infty$ such that

$$a_k(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in Z_k, ||u|| = \rho_k} I_{\lambda}(u) \ge 0, \text{ for all } k \ge k_1$$

and

$$d_k(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\| \leq \rho_k} I_\lambda(u) \to 0 \quad as \quad k \to +\infty \quad uniformly \ for \ all \quad \lambda \in [1,2].$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.2, we deduce that for any $u \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ with ||u|| < 1, we have

$$I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \left(\int_{\Omega} B(x) |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} A(x) |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{p^{+} + 1} \int_{\Omega} D(x) |u(x)|^{p(x) + 1} dx$$

$$+ \frac{1}{p^{+} - 1} \int_{\Omega} C(x) |u(x)|^{p(x) - 1} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{q(x)} |u(x)|^{q(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4^{p^{+} + 2} (p^{+} + 1)} ||u||^{p^{+} + 1} - \lambda ||u||^{q^{-}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{q(x)} \left(\frac{|u(x)|}{||u||} \right)^{q(x)} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4^{p^{+} + 2} (p^{+} + 1)} ||u||^{p^{+} + 1} - \frac{2\beta_{k}}{q^{-}} ||u||^{q^{-}}.$$

We denote $\rho_k = (\frac{4^{p^++3}(p^++1)\beta_k}{q^-})^{\frac{1}{p^++1-q^-}}$. By invoking Lemma 4.4 we can deduce that $\rho_k \to 0$ as $k \to +\infty$. Then there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho_k \leq \frac{1}{4^{p^++3}(p^++1)}$ for all $k \geq k_1$. Relation (4.28) implies that

$$a_k(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in Z_k, ||u|| = \rho_k} I_{\lambda}(u) \ge \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^{p^+ + 3} (p^+ + 1)} \rho_k^{p^+ + 1}, \text{ for all } k \ge k_1.$$

Furthermore, by (4.28), we have

$$\inf_{u\in Z_k, \|u\|\leq \rho_k} I_\lambda(u) \geq -\frac{2\beta_k}{q^-} \|u\|^{q^-}, \ \text{ for all } k\geq k_1.$$

Having in mind $I_{\lambda}(0) = 0$, then

$$\inf_{u \in Z_k, ||u|| \le \rho_k} I_{\lambda}(u) \le 0, \quad \forall k \ge k_1.$$

Using the fact that $\beta_k, \rho_k \to 0$ as $k \to +\infty$ and the above inequalities, we deduce that

$$d_k(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in Z_k, ||u|| = \rho_k} I_{\lambda}(u) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to +\infty \text{ uniformly for all } \lambda \in [1.2].$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.7. Assume that hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled. Then, for the sequence obtained in Lemma 4.6, there exists $0 < r_k < \rho_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$b_k(\lambda) = \max_{u \in Y_k, ||u|| = r_k} I_{\lambda}(u) < 0 \quad for \ all \quad \lambda \in [1, 2].$$

Proof. Let $u \in Y_k$ with ||u|| < 1 and $\lambda \in [1, 2]$. By (A), (P) and (4.23), there exists $\epsilon_k > 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{B(x)}{p(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x)}{p(x)} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{C(x)}{p(x)-1} |u|^{p(x)-1} dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{D(x)}{p(x)+1} |u(x)|^{p(x)+1} dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x) \frac{|u(x)|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx \\ &\leq (\frac{2}{p^{-}} + \frac{1}{p^{-}+1} + \frac{1}{p^{-}-1}) \|u\|^{p^{-}-1} - \epsilon_{k} \|u\|^{q^{-}} m(\Omega_{u}) \\ &\leq (\frac{2}{p^{-}} + \frac{1}{p^{-}+1} + \frac{1}{p^{-}-1}) \|u\|^{p^{-}-1} - \epsilon_{k}^{2} \|u\|^{q^{-}}. \end{split}$$

Since $0 < q^- < q^+ < p^- < p^+$, we deduce that for small $||u|| = r_k$ we have

$$b_k(\lambda) < 0$$
, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.7.

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 4.1 completed.** Evidently, condition (T_1) in Theorem 4.3 holds. By Lemmas 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7, conditions (T_2) and (T_3) in Theorem 4.3 are satisfied. Then, by Theorem 4.3 there exist $\lambda_n \to 1$ and $u(\lambda_n) \in Y_n$ such that

$$I'_{\lambda_n}|Y_n(u(\lambda_n))=0, \quad I_{\lambda_n}(u(\lambda_n))\to c_k\in [d_k(2),b_k(1)]$$

as $n \to +\infty$.

For the sake of notational simplicity, we always set in what follows $u_n = u(\lambda_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

CLAIM: the sequence (u_n) is bounded in $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Arguing by contradiction, we suppose that (u_n) is unbounded in $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $||u_n|| > 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Observe first that there exists c > 0 such that for large enough n,

$$\langle I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle \le ||u_n|| \text{ and } |I_{\lambda_n}(u_n)| \le c.$$

Using relation (4.29), we have

$$(4.30) c \geq I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{p^+} \left(\int_{\Omega} B(x) |\nabla u_n(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} A(x) |u_n(x)|^{p(x)} dx \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{p^+ - 1} \int_{\Omega} C(x) |u_n|^{p(x) - 1} dx$$

$$+ \frac{1}{p^+ + 1} \int_{\Omega} D(x) |u_n(x)|^{p(x) + 1} dx - \frac{2}{q^-} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n(x)|^{q(x)} dx.$$

Combining Proposition 2.1, relation (4.30) and since $q^+ < p^- - 1 < p^- < p^+ < p^+ + 1$, it follows that (u_n) is bounded in $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. This shows that our claim is true. So, by Lemma 3.2 and up to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$$
 in $W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$

and

$$u_n \to u_0$$
 in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.

In what follows, we show that

$$u_n \to u_0 \text{ in } W_{0,a(x)}^{1,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

Having in mind that (u_n) is a bounded sequence, we get

(4.31)
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \langle I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n) - I'_{\lambda_n}(u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, (4.31) and Lemma 3.2 give as $n \to +\infty$

$$o(1) = \langle I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n) - I'_{\lambda_n}(u_0), u_n - u_0 \rangle$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} B(x)(|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u_0(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_0(x))(\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u_0(x))dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} A(x)(|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-2} u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-2} u_0(x))(u_n(x) - u_0(x))dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} D(x)(|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-1} u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-1} u_0(x))(u_n(x) - u_0(x))dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} C(x)(|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-3} u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-3} u_0(x))(u_n(x) - u_0(x))dx.$$

We have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} B(x) (|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u_0(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_0(x)) (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u_0(x)) dx &\geq 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} A(x) (|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-2} u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-2} u_0(x)) (u_n(x) - u_0(x)) dx &\geq 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} C(x) (|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-3} u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-3} u_0(x)) (u_n(x) - u_0(x)) dx &\geq 0, \end{split}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} D(x)(|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-1}u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-1}u_0(x))(u_n(x) - u_0(x))dx \ge 0.$$

Therefore

(4.32)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} B(x) (|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n(x) - |\nabla u_0(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_0(x)) (\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u_0(x)) dx = 0,$$

(4.33)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} A(x)(|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-2}u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-2}u(x))(u_n(x) - u_0(x))dx = 0,$$

(4.34)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} C(x)(|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-3}u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-3}u(x))(u_n(x) - u_0(x))dx = 0,$$

and

(4.35)

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} D(x)(|u_n(x)|^{p(x)-1}u_n(x) - |u_0(x)|^{p(x)-1}u_0(x))(u_n(x) - u_0(x))dx = 0.$$

Let us now recall the Simon inequalities [30, formula 2.2] (see also [18, p. 713]) (4 36)

$$\begin{cases} |x-y|^p \le c_p \left(|x|^{p-2} |x-y|^{p-2} |y| \right) \cdot (x-y) & \text{for } p \ge 2 \\ |x-y|^p \le C_p \left[\left(|x|^{p-2} |x-y|^{p-2} |y| \right) \cdot (x-y) \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(|x|^p + |y|^p \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} & \text{for } 1$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where c_p and C_p are positive constants depending only on p. Combining (4.32), (4.33), (4.35), (4.35) and (4.36), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \|u_n - u_0\| = 0.$$

Now, invoking Theorem 4.3, we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.8. We point out that the multiplicity property described in Theorem 4.1 is somehow related with Theorem 1.1 established in Bahrouni [4]. However, there are several differences between problem (1.5) studied in this paper and problem (1.1) considered in [4]. For instance, the main result in [4] is concerned with the existence of infinitely many solutions (as in our case) but for a class of semilinear elliptic equations driven by the Laplace equation and with a reaction term defined by the sum of two power-type concave terms. Problem (1.5) in the present work has a much more complicated structure. For instance, the non-homogeneous differential operator is perturbed by two power-type terms with variable exponent. Moreover, in the present work we are concerned with competition effects between several variable exponents and indefinite potentials. A crucial role in the analysis developed in the present paper is played by the main abstract result established in the first part of this paper, namely the weighted version of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality for variable exponents. Such an abstract result (even for constant exponents) is not used in [4]. The analysis carried out in this paper includes the degenerate case, which corresponds to a potential that can vanish in one or more points. Finally, it is worth pointing out that this potential is assumed to be indefinite and not positive, as in [4].

4.2. Perspectives and open problems. The methods developed in this paper can be extended to more general variational integrals. We mainly refer to energy functionals associated to non-homogeneous operators of the type $-\text{div}\left(\phi(x,|\nabla u|)\nabla u\right)$ which extend the standard p(x)-Laplace operator. These operators have been introduced by Kim and Kim [23]; see also Baraket, Chebbi, Chorfi, and Rădulescu [7] for recent advances in this new abstract setting.

We believe that a valuable research direction is to generalize the abstract approach developed in this paper to the framework of *double-phase* variational integrals studied by Mingione *et al.* [8, 13]. We expect that a related Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality can be established for energies of the type

$$(4.37) u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \left[|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|\nabla u|^{q(x)} \right] dx$$

or

$$(4.38) \hspace{1cm} u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \left[|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x) |\nabla u|^{q(x)} \log(e + |x|) \right] dx,$$

where $p(x) \leq q(x)$, $p \neq q$, and $a(x) \geq 0$. In the case of two different materials that involve power hardening exponents p(x) and q(x), the coefficient a(x) describes the geometry of a composite of these two materials. When $a(\cdot) > 0$ then the $q(\cdot)$ -material is present. In the opposite case, the $p(\cdot)$ -material is the only one describing the composite. We also point out that since the integral energy functional defined in (4.38) has a degenerate behavior on the zero set of the gradient, it is natural to study what happens if the integrand is modified in such a way that, also if $|\nabla u|$ is small, there exists an imbalance between the two terms of the integrand.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank both anonymous referees for their careful reading of our paper and for their remarks and comments, which have considerably improved the initial version of this work. This research was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency grants P1-0292, J1-8131, J1-7025, and N1-0064, and the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0130, within PNCDI III.

References

- B. Abdellaoui, E. Colorado, and I. Peral, Some improved Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 23 (2005), 327-345.
- [2] B. Abdellaoui and I. Peral, Some results for quasilinear elliptic equations related to some Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 2 (2003), 539-566.
- [3] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Regularity results for stationary electrorheological fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **164** (2002), 213-259.
- [4] A. Bahrouni, Infinite solutions for a class of Brezis-Nirenberg equations with an indefinite linear and nonlinear terms in sign, Appl. Math. Comput. 219 (2013), no. 24, 11198-11205.
- [5] Adimurthi, N. Chaudhuri, and M. Ramaswamy, An improved Hardy-Sobolev inequality and its application, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 89-505.
- [6] S.N. Antontsev and S.I. Shmarev, Elliptic equations and systems with nonstandard growth conditions: existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006), 722-755.
- [7] S. Baraket, S. Chebbi, N. Chorfi, and V. Rădulescu, Non-autonomous eigenvalue problems with variable (p₁, p₂)-growth, Advanced Nonlinear Studies 17 (2017), 781-792.
- [8] P. Baroni, M. Colombo, and G. Mingione, Non-autonomous functionals, borderline cases and related function classes, St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal 27 (2016), 347-379.
- [9] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg, First order interpolation inequalities with weights, Compos. Math. 53 (1984), 259-275.
- [10] F. Catrina and Z-Q. Wang, On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and non existence) and symmetry of extremal function, *Commun. Pure Appl.* Math. 54 (2001), 229-258.
- [11] J. Chern and C. Lin, Minimizers of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities with the singularity on the boundary, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 197 (2010), 401-432.
- [12] F. Colasuonno and P. Pucci, Multiplicity of solutions for p(x)-polyharmonic elliptic Kirchhoff equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), no. 17, 5962-5974.
- [13] M. Colombo and G. Mingione, Bounded minimisers of double phase variational integrals, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 218 (2015), 219-273.
- [14] L. D'Ambrosio, Hardy inequalities related to Grushin type operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 725-734.
- [15] L. D'Ambrosio and S. Lucente, Nonlinear Liouville theorems for Grushin and Tricomi operators, J. Differential Equations 193 (2003), 511-541.
- [16] J.B. Dou and P.C. Nu, Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities for generalized Baouendi-Grushin vector fields, Georgian Math. J. 12 (2005), 727-742.
- [17] X. Fan, Q. Zhang, and D. Zhao, Eigenvalues of p(x)-Laplacian Dirichlet problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **302** (2005), 306-317.
- [18] R. Filippucci, P. Pucci, and V. Rădulescu, Existence and non-existence results for quasilinear elliptic exterior problems with nonlinear boundary conditions, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 33 (2008), no. 4-6, 706-717.
- [19] F. Gazzola, H.-Ch. Grunau, and E. Mitidieri, Hardy inequalities with optimal constants and remainder terms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (2004), 2149-2168.
- [20] P. Hajek, V.M. Santalucia, J. Vanderwerff, and V. Zizler, Biorthogonal Systems in Banach Spaces, CMC Books in Mathematics, Springer, 2008.
- [21] T.C. Halsey, Electrorheological fluids, Science 258 (1992), 761-766.
- [22] G.H. Hardy, Notes on some points in the integral calculus, Messenger Math. 48 (1919), 107-112.
- [23] I.H. Kim and Y.H. Kim, Mountain pass type solutions and positivity of the infimum eigenvalue for quasilinear elliptic equations with variable exponents, *Manuscripta Math.* 147 (2015), 169-191.
- [24] M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu, and D. Stancu, A Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequality with variable exponent and applications to PDE's, Complex Variables Elliptic Eqns. 56 (2011), 659-669.
- [25] J. Musielak, Orlicz spaces and Modular Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1034, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [26] P. Pucci and Q. Zhang, Existence of entire solutions for a class of variable exponent elliptic equations, J. Differential Equations 157 (2014), no. 5, 1529-1566.

- [27] V.D. Rădulescu, Nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponent: old and new, Nonlinear Anal. 121 (2015), 336-369.
- [28] V.D. Rădulescu and D.D. Repovš, Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents: Variational Methods and Qualitative Analysis, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton FL, 2015.
- [29] M. Ružička, Electrorheological Fluids Modeling and Mathematical Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [30] J. Simon, Régularité de la solution d'une équation non linéaire dans R^N. (French) Journées d'Analyse Non Linéaire (Proc. Conf., Besançon, 1977), pp. 205-227, Lecture Notes in Math., 665, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [31] V.V. Zhikov, Lavrentiev phenomenon and homogenization for some variational problems, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 316 (1993), no. 5, 435-439.
- [32] W. Zou, Variant fountain theorems and their applications, $Manuscripta\ Math.\ 104\ (2001),\ 343-358.$
- (A. Bahrouni) Mathematics Department, University of Monastir, Faculty of Sciences, 5019 Monastir, Tunisia

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: bahrounianouar@yahoo.fr}$

(V.D. Rădulescu) Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia & Faculty of Applied Mathematics, AGH University of Science and Technology, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

E-mail address: vicentiu.radulescu@imfm.si

(D.D. Repovš) Faculty of Education and Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, & Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si$