

A winding problem for a resonator driven by a white noise

By

H. P. MCKEAN, JR.¹

(Communicated by Professor K. Ito)

(Received March 14, 1963)

1. Introduction.

Given a standard 1-dimensional Brownian motion with sample paths $t \rightarrow e(t)$ ($e(0) \equiv 0$), let $P_{ab}(B)$ be the chance that the solution $x: t \rightarrow (u, v) \in R^2$ of

$$1a. \quad D[u] \equiv \ddot{u} + c_1(u)\dot{u} + c_2(u) = \dot{e}$$

$$1b. \quad v = \dot{u}$$

$$2a. \quad u(0) = a$$

$$2b. \quad v(0) = b$$

experiences the event B , interpreting 1a as $v + \int_0^t [c_1(u)v + c_2(u)] ds = b + e$. $[x, P.]$ is a (singular) diffusion in the plane winding clockwise about the origin, governed by

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial b^2} + b \frac{\partial p}{\partial a} - [c_1(a)b + c_2(a)] \frac{\partial p}{\partial b};$$

it should be viewed as *the response of the resonator D to the white noise \dot{e}* .

J. Potter [5] found that for a spring ($uc_2 \geq 0$) with no damping ($c_1 \equiv 0$), the *energy* $e = (1/2)v^2 + \int_0^u c_2$ is a martingale and used this fact to obtain the bounds

¹ The partial support of the National Science Foundation (NSF-G-19684) is gratefully acknowledged.

$$c_1 t / l g_2 t < \max_{s \leq t} e(s) < c_2 t l g_2 t \quad (t \uparrow \infty)$$

$$c_1 > 0, c_2 > 1, l g_2 t \equiv l g(l g t).$$

Potter also proved that the sample path hits each disc *i.o.* ($t \uparrow \infty$) if $\int_0^\infty \left(1 + \int_0^u c_2\right)^{-1/2} du < \infty$.

M. Kac [4] studied the damped spring $D[u] = \ddot{u} + c_1 \dot{u} + c_2 u$ ($0 < c_1, c_2 = \text{constant}$): in that case $[x, P.]$ is Gaussian having a stable distribution $p(da \times db)$ of total mass +1, and letting E denote the integral (expectation) based on $P = \int p(da \times db) P_{ab}$ and t_1 the time between roots of $u=0$, the total angle $\theta = \theta(t)$ swept out between times 1 and $t > 1$ is found to be about $2\pi t/E(t_1)$ ($t \uparrow \infty$). S. O. Rice [6] had evaluated $E(t_1)$ and now Kac finds a minimum principle for $E(t_1^2)$ similar to Thompson's principle for Newtonian electrostatic capacities; the actual distribution of t_1 is still unknown.

The purpose of the present note is to give a complete description of the winding of the phase path about the origin in the simplest case ($c_1 = c_2 \equiv 0$); the joint distribution of the $1/2$ winding time $t_1 = \min(t : t > 0, u(t) = 0)$ and the hitting place $\eta_1 = |v(t_1)|$ is evaluated for paths starting on the line $a=0$, and the following strong laws for the speed of winding are established:

$$P_{ab}[\lim_{t \uparrow \infty} (l g t)^{-1} \theta(t) = -\sqrt{3}/8] = 1$$

$$P_{00}[\lim_{t \downarrow 0} (l g 1/t)^{-1} \theta(t) = +\sqrt{3}/8] = 1.$$

2. Winding times and hitting places ($c_1 = c_2 \equiv 0$).

Before it is possible to talk about winding about $x=0$, it must be proved that the sample path does not hit $x=0$ at positive times.

$D[u] = \ddot{u}$ implies $v = b + \int_0^t e ds$, so x is Gaussian and it is a simple matter to evaluate the probabilities

$$1. P_{ab}[u(t) \in d\xi, v(t) \in d\eta] \equiv p(t, a, b, \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta$$

$$= (\sqrt{3}/\pi t^2) \exp \left[-\frac{(\xi - a - bt)^2}{t^3/6} + \frac{(\xi - a - bt)(\eta - b)}{t^2/6} - \frac{(\eta - b)^2}{t/2} \right] d\xi d\eta$$

of coming from $\mathfrak{x}(0) = (a, b)$ into $d\xi \times d\eta$ in time t and to check the that the Green function

$$2. \quad G(a, b) \equiv \int_0^\infty p(t, a, b, 0, 0) dt \\ = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi t^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(a+bt)^2}{t^3/6} + \frac{(a+bt)b}{t^2/6} - \frac{b^2}{t/2}\right) dt$$

has the following properties :

$$3a. \quad G < \infty \quad a^2 + b^2 > 0$$

$$3b. \quad \lim_{a^2+b^2 \downarrow 0} G = \infty .$$

$G(u, v, 0, 0)$ is now a continuous supermartingale, its sample paths are bounded on bounded time intervals if $\mathfrak{x}(0) \neq 0$, and the result follows from the fact that $P_{ab}(\mathfrak{x}(t) = 0) = 0$ at each positive time.

Given a sample path \mathfrak{x} starting at $\mathfrak{x}(0) = (a, b) \neq 0$, the $1/2$ winding time $t_1 \equiv (t : t > 0, u(t) = 0)$ satisfies $P_{ab}(0 < t_1 < \infty) = 1$.

$P_{ab}(0 < t_1) = 1$ is immediate.

$t_1 = \infty$ implies that \mathfrak{x} moves in a $1/2$ plane for all positive times, or, what is the same, that $\int_0^t e ds$ is bounded above or below for all positive times. But such Brownian (tail) events have probabilities 0 or 1 and so the obvious bound

$$P_{ab}(t_1 = \infty) \leq \lim_{d \uparrow \infty} \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} P\left(\int_0^t e ds < d\right) = 1/2$$

implies the desired $P_{ab}(t_1 < \infty) = 1$.

Consider now the $1/2$ winding time t_1 and the corresponding hitting place $\mathfrak{h}_1 \equiv |v(t_1)| > 0$ for sample paths \mathfrak{x} starting on the line $a = 0$ ($v(0) = b \neq 0$). Because the Brownian scaling $e \rightarrow ce(t/c^2)$ ($c > 0$) takes e into a new standard Brownian motion, the $1/2$ winding time $t_1 = \min(t : (t > 0, bt + \int_0^t e ds = 0))$ is identical in law to

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\left((t : t > 0, bt + \int_0^t ce(s/c^2) ds = 0)\right) \\ &= \min\left((t : t > 0, c^2bt/c^2 + c^3 \int_0^{t/c^2} e(s) ds = 0)\right) \\ &= c^2 \min\left((t : t > 0, c^2b + c^3 \int_0^t e ds = 0)\right) \\ &= b^2 \min\left((t : t > 0, \pm 1 + \int_0^t e ds = 0)\right) \quad c \equiv |b|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., t_1 is identical in law to $b^2 \times$ the $1/2$ winding time for paths starting at $(0, 1)$, and the same trick applied to $v=b+e$ verifies that the hitting place \mathfrak{h}_1 for paths starting at $(0, b)$ is identical in law to $b \times$ the hitting place for paths starting at $(0, 1)$, indeed, since the motion starts afresh at its passage time to the line $a=0$, it follows that the series of $1/2$ winding times and hitting places

4a. $t_n = \min(t : t > t_{n-1}, u(t) = 0) - t_0 \quad n \geq 1,$

$t_0 \equiv \min(t : t \geq 0, u(t) = 0)$

4b. $\mathfrak{h}_n = |v(t_n)| \quad n \geq 1$

for paths starting at $\mathfrak{r}(0) = (a, b) \neq 0$ is identical in law to the series

5a. $c^2 t_1, c^2(t_1 + h_1^2 t_2), c^2(t_1 + h_1^2 t_2 + (h_1 h_2)^2 t_3), \text{ etc.}$

5b. $ch_1, ch_1 h_2, ch_1 h_2 h_3, \text{ etc.,}$

in which $c \equiv |v(t_0)|$ and the pairs $(t_1, h_1), (t_2, h_2), \text{ etc.}$ are independent with common distribution $P_{01}(t_1 < t, \mathfrak{h}_1 < h)$.

3. Computing the joint distribution $P_{01}(t_1 < t, \mathfrak{h}_1 < h)$.

Because \mathfrak{r} winds clockwise about the origin and begins afresh at the $1/2$ winding time t_1 , the Gauss function p of 2.1² satisfies

1. $p(t, 0, 1, 0, b)$

$= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty P_{01}(t_1 \in ds, h_1 \in da) p(t-s, 0, -a, 0, b)$

$t > 0, b > 0,$

and, using the Laplace transform

2. $\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} p(t, 0, a, 0, b) dt$

$=$ a constant depending on α alone

$\times \frac{K_{-1}(\sqrt{8\alpha(a^2 + ab + b^2)})}{\sqrt{a^2 + ab + b^2}}$

$\alpha, a, b > 0,$ ³

1 becomes

² $n \cdot m$ means formula m of section n .

³ [1(2) : 146(29)]. K_{-1} is the usual modified Bessel function.

$$\begin{aligned}
 3a. \quad & \frac{K_{-1}(\sqrt{8\alpha(1+b+b^2)})}{\sqrt{1+b+b^2}} \\
 & = \int_0^\infty E_{01}(e^{-at_1}, \mathfrak{h}_1 \in da) \frac{K_{-1}(\sqrt{8\alpha(a^2-ab+b^2)})}{\sqrt{a^2-ab+b^2}} \\
 & \alpha > 0, b > 0. \text{ }^4
 \end{aligned}$$

3a is now multiplied by $K_\gamma(\sqrt{8\alpha} \ b)$ ($|\gamma| < 1$) and integrated (db) over $[0, +\infty)$: the result is

$$3b. \quad \frac{K_\gamma(\sqrt{8\alpha})}{2 \cos(\pi\gamma/3)} = \int_0^\infty E_{01}(e^{-at_1}, \mathfrak{h}_1 \in da) K_\gamma(\sqrt{8\alpha} \ a)/a \quad |\gamma| > 1, \text{ }^5$$

and now using the Lebedev transform pair ⁶

$$\begin{aligned}
 4a. \quad & \hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_0^\infty f(a) K_{i\gamma}(a) \frac{da}{a} \\
 4b. \quad & f(a) = \int_0^\infty \hat{f}(\gamma) K_{i\gamma}(a) d\sigma \quad d\sigma \equiv 2\pi^{-2}\gamma \sinh \pi\gamma d\gamma,
 \end{aligned}$$

3b is solved to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 5. \quad & E_{01}(e^{-at_1}, \mathfrak{h}_1 \in da) \\
 & = \int_0^\infty \frac{K_{i\gamma}(\sqrt{8\alpha}) K_{i\gamma}(\sqrt{8\alpha} \ a)}{2 \cosh(\pi\gamma/3)} d\sigma da,
 \end{aligned}$$

which in turn can be inverted as a Laplace transform to obtain the joint distribution of t_1 and \mathfrak{h}_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 6. \quad & P_{01}(t_1 \in dt, \mathfrak{h}_1 \in da) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2t} e^{-2(1+a^2)/t} \int_0^\infty \frac{K_{i\gamma}(4a/t)}{2 \cosh(\pi\gamma/3)} d\sigma dt da \\
 & = \frac{3a}{\pi\sqrt{2} t^2} e^{-2/t(1-a+a^2)} \int_0^{4a/t} \frac{e^{-3\theta/2}}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} d\theta. \text{ }^7
 \end{aligned}$$

6 can be integrated to obtain

$$7. \quad P_{01}(\mathfrak{h}_1 \in dh) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \frac{h^{3/2}}{1+h^3} dh$$

⁴ E_{01} is the integral (expectation) based on P_{01} .

⁵ [1(2) : 377(34)].

⁶ [1(2) : 173].

⁷ [1(1) : 285(64)] justifies line 2, while line 3 follows from the classical formula

$K_{i\gamma}(a) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-a \cosh t) \cos \gamma t dt.$

and

$$8a. E_{01}(lg \mathfrak{h}_1) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty lg h \frac{h^{3/2}}{1+h^3} dh = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}}$$

$$8b. E_{01}[(lg t_1)^2] < \infty .$$

8 is needed below. I could not perform the integrals needed to find $P_{01}(t_1 \in dt)$.

4. Speed of winding.

Given $a^2 + b^2 > 0$ and using 2.5b, 3.8a, the strong law of large numbers, and the fact that ζ starts afresh each time it hits the line $a=0$, one finds

$$1. P_{ab}[\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} n^{-1} lg \mathfrak{h}_n = 4\pi/\sqrt{3}] = 1 .$$

Recall the series 2.5a and the bound 3.8b. Because t_1, t_2, \dots are independent with common distribution $P_{01}(t_1 < t)$, it follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma that $|lg t_n| < n\delta$ as $n \uparrow \infty$ ($\delta > 0$), and this bound applied to 2.5a implies that as $n \uparrow \infty$, $n^{-1} lg t_n$ behaves like $n^{-1} lg h_1^2 h_2^2 \dots h_{n-1}^2$, whence

$$2. P_{ab}[\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} n^{-1} lg t_n = 8\pi/\sqrt{3}] = 1 .$$

2 in turn implies that if $\theta = \theta(t)$ is the total algebraic angle swept out up to time t , then

$$3. P_{ab}[\lim_{t \uparrow \infty} (lg t)^{-1} \theta(t) = -\sqrt{3}/8] = 1$$

since $t_{n-1} \leq t < t_n$ is the same as $-(n-1)\pi \geq \theta - \theta(t_0) > -n\pi$ and $lg t_n \sim 8\pi n/\sqrt{3}$ as $n \uparrow \infty$.

5. Winding for paths beginning at $\zeta=0$.

Given a sample path beginning at $\zeta(0)=0$, it follows from 3.6, the scaling established in 2, and the starting afresh of ζ at passage times that the *forward chain* :

- 1. $t_1^\dagger = \min(t : t > 1, u(t) = 0), \quad \mathfrak{h}_1^\dagger = |v(t_1^\dagger)|$
- $t_2^\dagger = \min(t : t > t_1^\dagger, u(t) = 0), \quad \mathfrak{h}_2^\dagger = |v(t_2^\dagger)|$
- etc.*

of 1/2 winding times and hitting places is Markovian with transition probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
 2. \quad & P_{00}(t_n^+ \in dt, \mathfrak{h}_n^+ \in dh | \mathbf{B}_{n-1}^+) \\
 & \equiv p^+(t_{n-1}^+, \mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^+, dt \times dh) \\
 & = \frac{3h}{\pi \sqrt{2} (t - t_{n-1}^+)^2} \exp(-2(h^2 - h\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^+ + \mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^{+2}) / (t - t_{n-1}^+)) \\
 & \times \int_0^{4h\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^+ / (t - t_{n-1}^+)} \frac{e^{-3\theta/2}}{\sqrt{\pi\theta}} d\theta dt dh \quad (t \geq t_{n-1}^+) \\
 & = 0 \quad (t < t_{n-1}^+),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{n-1}^+ =$ the field of $t_1^+, \mathfrak{h}_1^+, \dots, t_{n-1}^+, \mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^+$.

Consider now the backward chain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 3. \quad & t_1^- = \max(t : t < 1, u(t) = 0), \quad \mathfrak{h}_1^- = |v(t_1^-)| \\
 & t_2^- = \max(t : t < t_1^-, u(t) = 0), \quad \mathfrak{h}_2^- = |v(t_2^-)| \\
 & \text{etc.}
 \end{aligned}$$

of 1/2 winding times and hitting places as the path spirals back toward the origin as $t \downarrow 0$. Both t_n^- and \mathfrak{h}_n^- are positive and $\downarrow 0$ as $n \uparrow \infty$ as is evident from the fact that $\int_0^t eds$ experiences an infinite number of changes of sign as $t \downarrow 0$, and taking advantage of the scaling properties of winding times and hitting places, a little computation reveals that the backward chain is Markovian with transition probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 4. \quad & P_{00}(t_n^- \in dt, \mathfrak{h}_n^- \in dh | \mathbf{B}_{n-1}^-) \\
 & \equiv p^-(t_{n-1}^-, \mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^-, dt \times dh) \\
 & = \frac{p(dt \times dh) p^+(t, h, dt_{n-1}^- \times d\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^-)}{p(dt_{n-1}^- \times d\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^-)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{n-1}^- =$ the field of $t_1^-, \mathfrak{h}_1^-, \dots, t_{n-1}^-, \mathfrak{h}_{n-1}^-$, and $p(dt \times dh)$ stands for the (infinite) stable mass distribution

$$5. \quad p(dt \times dh) = \exp(-2h^2/t) t^{-2} dt h dh$$

for the forward chain. 4 states that the backward chain has the same transition probabilities as the dual $[t_{-n}, \mathfrak{h}_{-n} : n = \dots, -1, 0, \text{etc.}]$ of the two-sided forward chain $[t_{+n}, \mathfrak{h}_{+n} : n = \dots, -1, 0, \text{etc.}]$ with stable distribution $p(dt \times dh)$, i.e., with (infinite) shift-invariant distribution

$$\begin{aligned}
 6. \quad & Q[t_m \in dt_m, h_m \in dh_m, \dots, t_n \in dt_n, h_n \in dh_n] \\
 &= p(dt_m \times dh_m) p^+(t_m, h_m, dt_{m+1} \times dh_{m+1}) \\
 &\dots p^+(t_{n-1}, h_{n-1}, dt_n \times dh_n) \\
 &n, m = \dots, -1, 0, \text{ etc.}, n < m
 \end{aligned}$$

(see G. Hunt [2] or [3] for such dual chains).

But now

$$\begin{aligned}
 7a. \quad & Q[h_m \in dh_m, \dots, h_n \in dh_n] \\
 &= \int_0^\infty (1/2h_m) dh_m P_{0h_m}(h_1 \in dh_{m+1}) \dots P_{0h_{n-1}}(h_n \in dh_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$7b. \quad P_{0a}(h_1 \in db) \equiv p^+(a, db) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \frac{(ab)^{3/2} db}{a^3 + b^3 a},$$

so that the (Markovian) dual chain of hitting places $[h_{-n}: n = \dots, -1, 0, \text{ etc.}, Q]$ has as its transition probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
 8. \quad p^-(b, da) &= \frac{a^{-1} da p^+(a, db)}{b^{-1} db} \\
 &= \frac{3}{2\pi} \frac{(ba)^{3/2} b da}{a^3 + b^3 a^2} \\
 &= \frac{3}{2\pi} \frac{(ab)^{-3/2} da^{-1}}{a^{-3} + b^{-3} b^{-1}} \\
 &= p^+(b^{-1}, da^{-1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the dual hitting chain has the same transition probabilities as the reciprocal $[h_n^{-1}: n = \dots, -1, 0, \text{ etc.}, Q]$ of the original (Markovian) forward chain of hits, and it follows that

$$9. \quad P_{00}[\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} n^{-1} \lg h_n^- = -4\pi/\sqrt{3}] = 1.$$

As to the $1/2$ winding times $[t_n: n = \dots, -1, 0, \text{ etc.}, Q]$, it is immediate that the pairs $t_n \equiv (t_n - t_{n-1})/h_{n-1}^2$ and $h_n \equiv h_n/h_{n-1}$ ($n = \dots, -1, 0, \text{ etc.}$) are independent with common distribution 3.6, so with the aid of the expression $t_n = \sum_{m \leq n} h_{m-1}^2 t_m$ ($n \leq 0$), the bound $|\lg t_n| < n\delta$ ($n \uparrow \infty$) leads at once to the strong law

$$10. \quad P_{00}[\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} n^{-1} \lg t_n^- = -8\pi/\sqrt{3}] = 1$$

for the backward chain of $1/2$ winding times and to the strong law

$$11. P_{00}[\lim_{t \downarrow 0} (lg 1/t)^{-1} \theta(t) = +\sqrt{3}/8] = 1$$

for the total angle θ swept out between times 1 and $t < 1$.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
August, 1962

REFERENCES

[1] Erdélyi, A. (Bateman manuscript project) : Tables of integral transforms, (1) and (2). New York, 1954.
 [2] Hunt, G. : Markoff processes and potentials. Ill. J. Math. 2, 151-213 (1958).
 [3] ——— : Markoff chains and Martin boundaries. Ill. J. Math. 4, 313-340 (1960).
 [4] Kaç, M. : Probability theory : its role and its impact. SIAM Rev. 4, 1-11 (1962).
 [5] Potter, J. : Some statistical properties of the motion of an oscillator driven by a white noise. M.I.T. doctoral dissertation (to appear).
 [6] Rice, S. O. : Mathematical analysis of random noise. Bell System Tech. J 23, 282-332 (1944) ; 24, 46-156 (1945).

Note added in proof: K. Itô (private communication) showed me the following rapid proof of the strong laws 4.3 and 5.11. Because $c^{-1/2}e(ct)$ ($t \geq 0$) is a standard Brownian motion if $c > 0$, the law of the pair

$$x^* = [u^*, v^*] : u^*(t) = t^{-3/2} \int_0^t e(s) ds, v^*(t) = t^{-1/2} e(t)$$

is unchanged by the substitution $t \rightarrow ct$, so the angle $\theta^* = \theta^*(t)$ swept out by x^* between times 1 and t is identical in law to $\theta^*(ct) - \theta^*(c)$. But this means that the law of the functional $d\theta^*(e^t)/dt(\varphi) = -\int \theta^*(e^t) d\varphi$ is unchanged by an additive shift of the time scale, and it follows by the strong law of large numbers that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \infty} t^{-1} \theta^*(e^t) = \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} (lg t)^{-1} \theta^*(t) = \text{constant},$$

using the fact that Brownian tail events are trivial. Also, $|\theta^* - \theta| \leq \pi/2$ so that $(lg t)^{-1} \theta(t)$ tends to the same constant as $t \uparrow \infty$. A similar proof leads to 5.11.