## Ab-initio theory of Iron based superconductors

F. Essenberger,<sup>1</sup> A. Sanna,<sup>1</sup> P. Buczek,<sup>1</sup> A. Ernst,<sup>1,2</sup> L. Sandratskii,<sup>1</sup> and E.K.U. Gross<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics, Weinberg 2, D-06120 Halle, Germany.

<sup>2</sup>Wilhelm-Ostwald-Institut für Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie,

Universität Leipzig, Linnéstraße 2, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

(Dated: March 1, 2022)

We report the first-principles study of superconducting critical temperature and superconducting properties of Fe-based superconductors taking into account on the same footing phonon, charge and spin-fluctuation mediated Cooper pairing. We show that in FeSe this leads to a modulated  $s\pm$  gap symmetry, and that the antiferromagnetic paramagnons are the leading mechanism for superconductivity in FeSe, overcoming the strong repulsive effect of both phonons and charge pairing.

The discovery of the iron based superconductors (FeSC) in the last decade [1–4] has been a crucial event in the history of superconductivity (SC). Showing that high temperature (hi- $T_c$ ) SC is not a unique property of the cuprates [5], and that it could occur in remarkably different classes of systems [6–10]. This discovery renewed the hope to find a room temperature superconductor, probably the most desired system in solid state physics.

In order to facilitate the search for new hi- $T_c$  materials, it is highly desirable to achieve a theoretical understanding of the physical mechanism of hi- $T_c$  SC. On a microscopic level, a SC state is created by the pairing of electrons to form Cooper pairs [11, 12]. Hence, the essential question that theorists try to answer is what causes this attractive pairing interaction.

A coupling provided by phonons has been ruled out quickly after the discovery of Fe based superconductors because the electron-phonon (el-ph) coupling is much too weak to explain the observed high transition temperatures[13, 14] and no clear isotope effect has been measured [15–19]. A large number of different theories have been proposed: resonating valence bond [20, 21], fluctuation exchange[22, 23], functional renormalization group[24, 25], orbital fluctuations[26, 27], chargefluctuations[28–32], spin-fluctuations[33–35] (SF). The scientific community remains far from a general consensus on which is the dominant coupling mechanism.

Among the different theories the ones based on magnetism are, in our opinion, the most promising ones, since in both cuprates and FeSC the superconductivity appears close to an antiferromagnetic (AFM) phase[7, 8]. Approaching the AFM phase, if the transition is of second order, the magnetic susceptibility will become large, and eventually diverge. This implies that spinfluctuations could become strong enough to overcompensate for the direct electron-electron repulsion and trigger the SC condensation. This idea is supported by strong experimental[3] and theoretical[36] arguments.

SF mediated pairing has been extensively investigated in the realm of Hubbard like models[35, 37–40]. Since this approach necessarily involves a set of parameters, it does not allow genuine predictions of the critical temperature. Therefore, albeit very useful as a tool for a general physical understanding, it does not directly help in the search for new superconducting systems with desired properties.

The only way for theory to take the lead in the search for new and better hi- $T_c$  materials is to develop a quantitatively predictive *ab-initio* theory and solve the dilemma of the pairing mechanism.

In this work we take a step in this direction by constructing a many-body perturbation based effective interaction, solely from first-principles calculations, and use it within density functional theory for superconductors (SCDFT) [41–44]. While SCDFT proved to be highly reliable in the description of el-ph superconductors[31, 45– 50], its spin-fluctuation extension has been tested, until now, just on a simple electron gas model[51]. As neither the functional, nor the theoretical framework, contain any adjustable parameters, this scheme promises the prediction of  $T_c$ , symmetry of the order parameter and excitation spectrum, just from knowledge of the chemical structure of the material.

In the present work we apply the scheme to the FeSC. We choose this family because the metallic parent state can be better described than the Mott-insulator state in the cuprates. However we will observe that an unsatisfactory description of the parent compound affects the predictive power of our theoretical approach.

The first conceptual step in the SCDFT scheme currently in use is the assumption of a second order phase transition between the SC phase and its metallic parent compound. This implies that  $T_c$  can be estimated by taking the electronic structure of the metallic nonsuperconducting phase as a starting point to act-on with a pairing field computed from first principles. So, the very starting point of the theory is an approximation for the quasi-particle states of the parent metal, that we do by taking the DFT Kohn-Sham (KS) band structure [52, 53].

The calculated Fermi surface (FS) for FeSe, LiFeAS and LaOFeAs (representatives of the 11, 111 and 1111 family of FeSC) are shown in Fig. 1. All systems feature the same characteristics: Hole FS around the  $\Gamma$ -point forming a barrel and electron FM around the *M*-point



Fig. 1. (color online) Fermi surface for three Iron superconductors in the tetragonal unit cell.

which may not extend along the whole  $k_z$  direction forming the pockets. The calculations have been done using a state of the art plane wave code [54] and have been crosschecked with an all-electron linearized augmented plane wave code [55]. Since the results are very sensitive to the atomic positions a full lattice relaxation is performed [56, 57]. The calculated FS are in reasonable agreement with the results of the ARPES measurements [8, 9].

However, the pronounced nesting between the two parts of the FS with a nesting vector of  $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{M}} = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}, \frac{\pi}{a}, 0\right)$ leads to an instability with respect to the formation of the stripe ordered AFM state. All compounds converge to an AFM ground state with a large moment of about 1  $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$  per iron atom. This is in striking contrast with the experimental observation [58], and a well known problem of DFT calculations for this class of systems [33, 57]. It has been suggested that the reason for this disagreement is that conventional DFT functionals like LSDA or GGA do not account for dynamic zero-point spin fluctuations that are strong here. This leads to the overestimation of the stability of the long range magnetic order [58].

In the constraction of the SCDFT SF functional [51] an important role is played by the spin susceptibility  $\chi_{zz}$ . The standard calculation of this quantity cannot be performed if the system has an artificial instability with respect to the formation of a long range magnetic order.

The solution of this problem could come from the use an improved DFT functional perhaps going beyond standard Kohn-Sham scheme. However, such a calculational scheme is not yet developed within ab-initio methods, and we have to opt for another solution. We will consider two different ways to deal with the problem that will help us to examine the dependence of the superconducting transition temperature on the details of the accepted approach. The first method we consider is to compute the magnetic susceptibility by scaling down the exchange-correlation (xc) field, as has been proposed in Ref. 14. By introducing a scaling parameter  $\alpha$  the magnetic response function can be written as[59, 60]:

$$\chi_{zz} \left( \mathbf{q} \omega \right) = \frac{\chi^{\text{KS}} \left( \mathbf{q} \omega \right)}{1 - \alpha f_{\text{xc}} \left( \mathbf{q} \omega \right) \chi^{\text{KS}} \left( \mathbf{q} \omega \right)}.$$
 (1)

By using a sufficiently small  $\alpha$  (< 1) one avoids the sin-



Fig. 2. (color online) Spin scuceptibilities  $\operatorname{Im}[\chi_{zz} (\mathbf{q} = M, \omega, \mathbf{G} = 0, \mathbf{G}' = 0)]$  for LiFeAs, LaOFeAs and FeSe, computed at  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_c} = 0.95$ .

gularity of the susceptibility corresponding to the phase transition to the magnetic ordered state. Instead the susceptibility features finite-hight peaks corresponding to paramagnons. The energy, lifetime and intensity of the paramagnons depend on the value of  $\alpha$  and all materials feature a critical value  $\alpha_c$  for which the susceptibility  $\chi_{zz} (\mathbf{q}_{\rm M})$  diverges.

In Fig. 2 we show the Im[ $\chi_{zz}$  ( $\mathbf{q}_{\rm M}$ )] of representatives of the 11,111 and 1111 families using  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_c} = 0.95$ . All three compounds show a peak in the low-energy region featuring the presence of paramagnon-type fluctuations. In the rest of the paper we will focus on one of them: FeSe.

The SCDFT theoretical framework taking into account spin-fluctuation effects has been discussed in Ref. 51. This approach considers SF, el-ph and Coulomb (charge) pairing on the same footing. First, to keep the formalism complexity at minimum, we consider a multiband isotropic approximation, meaning that states and pairings are averaged over Brillouin zone volumes on isoenergy surfaces. For example, the Kohn-Sham potential[42] of the SCDFT system  $\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}}$  is averaged as:

$$\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} \approx \Delta_n \left( E \right) := \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\delta \left( \epsilon_{n\mathbf{k}} - E \right)}{N_n \left( E \right)} \Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} \tag{2}$$

This way we can group together the holes (see Fig. 1) around the  $\Gamma$ -point (labeled with n = +) and the electrons at the *M*-point (labeled with n = -). Within this approximation only *s*-wave pairing symmetries are possible, including the  $s\pm$  symmetry suggested in Ref. 4. We will release this averaging approximation at the end of the paper.

Under this approximation we account for: 1) the phononic interaction by a band-resolved Eliashberg function  $\alpha^2 F_{ij}$  (detailed definitions can be found in Ref. 45 and references therein); 2) the Coulomb repulsion by the average of the RPA screened matrix elements (as it was already done in several previous applications of SCDFT, more details can be found in Ref. 48 and 49; 3) the SF term containing an effective interaction mediated by paramagnon excitations  $\Lambda^{\text{sF}}$  as introduced in Ref. 51, and averaged as  $\Lambda_{n\mathbf{k}n'\mathbf{k}'}(\omega) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{ij}(E, E', \omega)$  with  $i, j \in \{+, -\}$ . The structure of phononic, SF and Coulomb contributions is very different in nature. For the Coulomb interaction we use a static screening that proved to be highly reliable in phononic SCDFT[49, 50, 61–63]. The SF and phonon contributions, on the other hand, have a strong structure in  $\omega$  with a low energy characteristic frequency. Therefore it is essential to take frequency dependence into account. In particular these interactions are negligible at energy scales large with respect to this characteristic one. This allows to safely disregard the E dependence of the interaction focusing on its behavior near the Fermi level [64, 65]. These considerations then translate into the following approximation scheme:

$$\alpha^{2} F_{ij}(E, E', \omega) \approx \alpha^{2} F_{ij}(\epsilon_{\rm F}, \epsilon_{\rm F}, \omega) \quad \text{(attractive)}$$
$$w_{ij}(E, E', \omega) \approx w_{ij}(E, E', 0) \quad \text{(repulsive)}$$
$$\Lambda_{ij}^{\rm SF}(E, E', \omega) \approx \Lambda_{ij}^{\rm SF}(\epsilon_{\rm F}, \epsilon_{\rm F}, \omega) \quad \text{(repulsive)}$$

Calculations show [66] the el-ph coupling (see Fig. 3a) to be very small, in agreement with previous works[67, 68]. With the integrated coupling  $\lambda$  being less that 0.1,  $T_c$  would be exponentially small if this was the only pairing channel. The Coulomb pairing (reported Fig. 3b) is as expected, diagonally dominated (++ and – components). Within the static approximation, this cannot lead to any pairing, therefore no superconductivity can be sustained at any temperature by the combined effect of Coulomb forces and phonons.

The only possible superconductivity pairing must lay in the SF term. By the reasons discussed above this term depends critically on the parameter  $\alpha$ . This can be appreciated with the help of Fig. 3c). Here  $\Lambda_{ij}^{\text{sf}}$  is shown as a function of the  $\alpha/\alpha_c$  ratio. At  $\alpha/\alpha_c = 0.9$ the pairing is negligible. The maximum eigenvalue[69] of the  $\lambda_{ii}$  matrix being  $\lambda^{\text{max}} = 0.07$ , comparable with the phononic pairing. In the limit of  $\alpha \to \alpha_c$ ,  $\lambda^{\max}$  rises up to 0.48. In spite of the fact that the susceptibility will diverge at  $\alpha = \alpha_c$  and  $\mathbf{q} = M$  this value is essentially the highest limit that can be reached after integrating over the Brillouin zone. In combination with the very high SF characteristic frequencies, such a coupling leads to a sizable critical temperature and, since (in this limit) SF are dominated by off diagonal components, to the expected  $s \pm$  symmetry[33].

If only SF coupling is considered a  $T_c$  as high as 11 K is found. However this critical temperature is reduced both by the inclusion of Coulomb terms (11 K  $\rightarrow$  4 K) and phonons [70] (11 K  $\rightarrow$  10 K), leading to an estimated maximum  $T_c$  of 3 K.

This estimation for  $T_c$  is in reassonable agreement with the experimentally observed 8 K[71]. This is an important success of the theory, showing that the SF are indeed the origin of the superconductivity in FeSe and giving  $T_c$  of the same order of magnitude as the experimental one. However, the inability of the standard DFT to de-



Fig. 3. (color online) Two bands resolved superconducting pairing functions evaluated at the theoretically optimized  $z_{Se}$ . **a)** electron-phonon Eliashberg function (PH); **b)** Screened Coulomb matrix elements averaged on iso-energy surfaces (Coulomb); **c)** Spin fluctuation Eliashberg function (SF) and SCDFT critical temperatures ( $T_c$ ) as a function of the scaling parameter  $\alpha$ .

scribe the ground state of FeSe enforced us to introduce parameter  $\alpha$  that we cannot determine from the firstprinciples. The estimation of  $T_{\rm c}$  also depends sensitively on the underlying electronic system, both via the KS electronic structure (computed at the Se Whyckoff position  $z_{\rm Se}=0.25[72]$ ) and the calculated spin susceptibility.

To enforce our findings we perform the SCDFT calculations using an alternative way to overcome the problem of the description of the magnetic ground state of FeSe. As shown in Fig. d the magnetic properties of FeSe strongly depend on the Wyckoff positions of the Se atoms,  $z_{Se}$ .



Fig. 4. Effect of the variation of  $z_{Se}$  position. **a**) Spin fluctuation spectral function  $\Lambda^{SF}$  computed at  $z_{Se}=0.22$ , leading to  $\lambda^{\max} = 1.3$ . **b**) projection of the Fermi surface (FS) on the xy-plane. Blue (dark) computed at  $z_{Se}=0.22$ , and Yellow (gray) at  $z_{Se}=0.25$ . **c**) Nesting function (normalized) along the  $\Gamma - M$  line. **d**) magnetic moment at the Iron site as a function of the  $z_{Se}$  parameter. The values used in this work are marked by vertical dashed lines.

The calculations show that the system is magnetic for  $z_{Se}>0.22$ . We fix  $z_{Se}=0.22$  in the paramagnetic region close to the transition to the AFM state. The proximity to the phase boundary results in intense paramagnon fluctuations. This leads in our theory to large SF pairing functions (see Fig. a) and to an estimated  $T_c$  of 24 K (by including all three pairing channels, the SF-only calculation gives  $T_c$  of 32K). The detailed analysis shows that the large difference in the value of  $T_c$  obtained in our two approachs is not due to the form of the spin susceptibility but due to a different electronic structure near the Fermi energy. This second case provides a sharper nesting for the SF pairing (see Fig. c) and leads to an increased interband pairing.

This large sensitivity of the predicted  $T_c$  to the lattice properties is consistent with experimental observations [3, 73–76], in particular with the observed correlation of  $T_c$  with the anion position[77].

We conclude the paper with an investigation of the gap function in k-space, going beyond the two-band isotropic approximation used so far (Eq. 2). We divide the xyplane of the Brillouin zone into sectors as shown in Fig. 5, and compute the superconducting gap corresponding to each sub-band. The use of this more accurate approach does not significantly affect the value of the critical temperature ( $T_c$  increases by a few percent), it leads to a significantly modulated gap function within the  $s_{\pm}$  symmetry. The large dip in the  $\Delta_{-}$  is related to the CB fluctuation ( $M_1 - M_2$ ) in this band and is consequently not seen in  $\Delta_{+}$  ( $\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2$ ). The smaller oscillations are related to intraband scattering, where the interaction is smaller between two minima of the gap ( $\Gamma_2 - M_2$ ) and larger between two maxima ( $\Gamma_{1,3} = M_2$ ).

In this work we report the first application of the SCDFT theory taking into account the SF. In particu-



Fig. 5. Many body gap function in k-resolved calculation at T = 0 and  $\omega = 0$ . The black lines are the FS at  $k_z = -\frac{\pi}{2c}$ .

lar we consider the case of FeSe. We demonstrate that the SF are indeed the physical mechanism leading to the formation of the Cooper pairs and superconductivity of the system. We demonstrate that the pairing symmetry is of the .... type. To overcome the problem of the standard DFT theory with the description of the FeSe ground state we adopt to different approachs that allow us to reveal strong sensitivity to the details of the electronic structure. The estimated  $T_{\rm c}$  varies between 3 K and 24 K that is in reasonable correlation with experimental value of 8 K. We believe that as soon as the problem of the DFT description of the magnetic ground state of FeSe will be solved the suggested machinery will provide a complitely ab-initio estimation of the superconducting  $T_{\rm c}$  in this compound and will open an avenue for the first-principle design of the systems with high- $T_{\rm c}$ supeconductivity.

- H. Takahashi, K. Igawa, K. Arii, Y. Kamihara, H. Masahiro, and H. Hosono, Nature 453, 376 (2008).
- [2] M. Rotter, M. Tegel, and D. Johrendt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 107006 (2008).
- [3] T. Imai, K. Ahilan, F. L. Ning, T. M. McQueen, and R. J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 177005 (2009).
- [4] I. Mazin, Nature 464, 183 (2010).

- [5] J. Bednorz and K. Müller, Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter 64, 189 (1986).
- [6] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 17 (2006).
- [7] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, Rev. Mod. Phys. 60, 585 (1988).
- [8] G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1589 (2011).
- [9] J. Paglione and R. Greene, nature physics 6, 645 (2010).
- [10] Z. Tesanovic, Physics 2, 60 (2009).
- [11] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- [12] A. J. Leggett, Nat Phys 2, 134 (2006).
- [13] P. Morel and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 125, 1263 (1962).
- [14] L. Ortenzi, I. I. Mazin, P. Blaha, and L. Boeri, Phys. Rev. B 86, 064437 (2012).
- [15] H. J. Bornemann and D. E. Morris, Phys. Rev. B 44, 5322 (1991).
- [16] L. C. Bourne, M. F. Crommie, A. Zettl, H.-C. z. Loye, S. W. Keller, K. L. Leary, A. M. Stacy, K. J. Chang, M. L. Cohen, and D. E. Morris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2337 (1987).
- [17] P. M. Shirage, K. Kihou, K. Miyazawa, C.-H. Lee, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, T. Yanagisawa, Y. Tanaka, and A. Iyo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 257003 (2009).
- [18] P. M. Shirage, K. Miyazawa, K. Kihou, H. Kito, Y. Yoshida, Y. Tanaka, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 037004 (2010).
- [19] R. H. Liu, T. Wu, G. Wu, H. Chen, X. F. Wang, Y. L. Xie, J. J. Ying, Y. J. Yan, Q. J. Li, B. C. Shi, W. S. Chu, Z. Y. Wu, and X. H. Chen, Nature 459, 64 (2009).
- [20] P. W. Anderson, Science 235, 1196 (1987).
- [21] P. W. Anderson, G. Baskaran, Z. Zou, and T. Hsu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2790 (1987).
- [22] H. Ikeda, R. Arita, and J. Kuneš, Phys. Rev. B 82, 024508 (2010).
- [23] J. Zhang, R. Sknepnek, R. M. Fernandes, and J. Schmalian, Phys. Rev. B 79, 220502 (2009).
- [24] C. Platt, R. Thomale, and W. Hanke, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235121 (2011).
- [25] R. Shankar, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 129 (1994).
- [26] T. Saito, S. Onari, and H. Kontani, Phys. Rev. B 82, 144510 (2010).
- [27] H. Kontani and S. Onari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 157001 (2010).
- [28] J. Ruvalds, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 8869 (1987).
- [29] S.-M. Cui and C.-H. Tsai, Phys. Rev. B 44, 12500 (1991).
   [30] S. Zhou, G. Kotliar, and Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 84,
- 140505 (2011).
  [31] R. Akashi and R. Arita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 057006 (2013).
- [32] B. P. P. Mallett, T. Wolf, E. Gilioli, F. Licci, G. V. M. Williams, A. B. Kaiser, N. W. Ashcroft, N. Suresh, and J. L. Tallon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 237001 (2013).
- [33] I. I. Mazin and M. D. Johannes, Nat Phys 5, 141 (2009).
- [34] D. Manske, Theory of Unconventional Superconductors, Cooper-Pairing Mediated by Spin Excitations (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2004).
- [35] P. J. Hirschfeld, M. M. Korshunov, and I. I. Mazin, Reports on Progress in Physics 74, 124508 (2011).
- [36] D. J. Scalapino, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1383 (2012).
- [37] E. Dagotto, A. Moreo, A. Nicholson, Q. Luo, S. Liang, and X. Zhang, Frontiers of Physics 6, 379 (2011).
- [38] T. Li, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 20, 425203

(2008).

- [39] J. Lorenzana, G. Seibold, C. Ortix, and M. Grilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 186402 (2008).
- [40] M. Daghofer, A. Moreo, J. A. Riera, E. Arrigoni, D. J. Scalapino, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 237004 (2008).
- [41] L. N. Oliveira, E. K. U. Gross, and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2430 (1988).
- [42] M. Lüders, M. A. L. Marques, N. N. Lathiotakis, A. Floris, G. Profeta, L. Fast, A. Continenza, S. Massidda, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. B 72, 024545 (2005).
- [43] M. Lüders Ph.D. thesis, Density Functional Theory for Superconductors, A first Principles Approach to the SC Phase (Bayerische Julius-Maximilians Universität Würzburg, 1998).
- [44] M. Marques Ph.D. thesis, Density Functional Theory for Superconductors, Exchange and Correlation Potentials for Inhomogeneous Systems (Bayerische Julius-Maximilians Universität Würzburg, 1998).
- [45] A. Sanna, S. Pittalis, J. K. Dewhurst, M. Monni, S. Sharma, G. Ummarino, S. Massidda, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. B 85, 184514 (2012).
- [46] C. Bersier, A. Floris, A. Sanna, G. Profeta, A. Continenza, E. K. U. Gross, and S. Massidda, Phys. Rev. B 79, 104503 (2009).
- [47] A. Floris, A. Sanna, S. Massidda, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. B 75, 054508 (2007).
- [48] S. Massidda, F. Bernardini, C. Bersier, A. Continenza, P. Cudazzo, A. Floris, H. Glawe, M. Monni, S. Pittalis, G. Profeta, A. Sanna, S. Sharma, and E. K. U. Gross, Superconductor Science and Technology **22**, 034006 (2009).
- [49] A. Sanna, G. Profeta, A. Floris, A. Marini, E. K. U. Gross, and S. Massidda, Phys. Rev. B 75, 020511 (2007).
- [50] G. Profeta, C. Franchini, N. Lathiotakis, A. Floris, A. Sanna, M. A. L. Marques, M. Lüders, S. Massidda, E. K. U. Gross, and A. Continenza, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 047003 (2006).
- [51] F. Essenberger, A. Sanna, A. Linscheid, F. Tandetzki, and E. Gross, arXiv 1409.7968 (2014).
- [52] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
- [53] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B864 (1964).
- [54] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. Dal Corso, S. de Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. Martin-Samos, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari, and R. M. Wentzcovitch, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **21**, 395502 (19pp) (2009).
- [55] The Elk FP-LAPW Code, http://elk.sourceforge.net .
- [56] F. Essenberger, P. Buczek, A. Ernst, L. Sandratskii, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. B 86, 060412 (2012).
- [57] I. I. Mazin, M. D. Johannes, L. Boeri, K. Koepernik, and D. J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 78, 085104 (2008).
- [58] Z. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, Nature Materials 10, 932 (2011).
- [59] E. Runge and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 997 (1984).
- [60] E. K. U. Gross and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2850 (1985).

- [61] M. A. L. Marques, M. Lüders, N. N. Lathiotakis, G. Profeta, A. Floris, L. Fast, A. Continenza, E. K. U. Gross, and S. Massidda, Phys. Rev. B 72, 024546 (2005).
- [62] A. Floris, A. Sanna, M. LÂŒders, G. Profeta, N. Lathiotakis, M. Marques, C. Franchini, E. Gross, A. Continenza, and S. Massidda, Physica C: Superconductivity 456, 45 (2007), recent Advances in MgB2 Research.
- [63] A. Floris, G. Profeta, N. Lathiotakis, M. Lüders, M. Marques, C. Franchini, E. Gross, A. Continenza, and S. Massidda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 037004 (2005).
- [64] P. B. Allen and B. Mitrović, *Theory of Superconducting Tc*, edited by F. S. Henry Ehrenreich and D. Turnbull, Solid State Physics, Vol. 37 (Academic Press, 1983) pp. 1-92.
- [65] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. 117, 648 (1960).
- [66] Phonons and el-ph matrix elements have been calculated within density functional perturbation theory[78] implemented in quantum espresso [54]. A  $10 \times 10 \times 6$  and  $6 \times 6 \times 4$  grid is used respectively for electronic and phononic sampling of the Brillouin zone. Core states are threated in the ultrasoft pseudopotential approximation. The planewave(charge) expansion is cut at 40(400) Ry. The electronic response is also computed by means of liner response DFT, as implemented in the Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker approximation[79]. The Brillouin zone is sampled with a grid of  $16 \times 16 \times 4$  points in order to properly sample the peak at  $q_{\rm M}$ . Since the excitations are at low frequency a logarithmic grid in  $\omega$ -space with a cut of 1eV is used.
- [67] L. Boeri, O. V. Dolgov, and A. A. Golubov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 026403 (2008).
- [68] R. Jishi and D. Scalapino, arXiv 1306.2925 (2013).
- [69] H. Suhl, B. T. Matthias, and L. R. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 552 (1959).

- [70] The fact that phonons reduce the  $T_c$  in this material is a direct consequence of the stronger interband than the intraband coupling  $\lambda_{ij}$  (~ 0.03 intraband and ~ 0.05 interband), effectively acting against the  $s\pm$  ordering.
- [71] F.-C. Hsu, J.-Y. Luo, K.-W. Yeh, T.-K. Chen, T.-W. Huang, P. M. Wu, Y.-C. Lee, Y.-L. Huang, Y.-Y. Chu, D.-C. Yan, and M.-K. Wu, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105, 14262 (2008), http://www.pnas.org/content/105/38/14262.full.pdf+html.
- [72] This value is obtained by structural relaxation on the magnetic unit.
- [73] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosonom, Journal of the American Chemical Society 130, 3296 (2008).
- [74] K. Igawa, H. Okada, K. Arii, H. Takahashi, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, H. H., S. Nakano, and T. Kikegawa, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 78, 03701 (2009).
- [75] S. Medvedev, T. McQueen, I. Troyan, T. Palasyuk, M. Eremets, R. Cava, S. Naghavi, F. Casper, V. Ksenofontov, G. Wortmann, and C. Felser, Nature Materials 8, 630 (2009).
- [76] S. J. Zhang, X. C. Wang, R. Sammynaiken, J. S. Tse, L. X. Yang, Z. Li, Q. Q. Liu, S. Desgreniers, Y. Yao, H. Z. Liu, and C. Q. Jin, Phys. Rev. B 80, 014506 (2009).
- [77] Y. Mizuguchi, Y. Hara, K. Deguchi, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi, K. Takeda, H. Kotegawa, H. Tou, and Y. Takano, Superconductor Science and Technology 23, 054013 (2010).
- [78] S. Baroni, S. de Gironcoli, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 515 (2001).
- [79] P. Buczek, A. Ernst, P. Bruno, and L. M. Sandratskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 247206 (2009).