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## Numdam

# abELIAN VARIETIES OVER FINITE FIELDS 

By William C. Waterhouse.

## INTRODUCTION.

The classical treatment of complex abelian varieties represents the varieties as quotients of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ by lattices, and the study of these lattices (sometimes in the abstract disguise of homology groups) is crucial for the theory. When we leave $\mathbf{G}$ for the wilds of positive characteristic, however, these lattices abandon us. Indeed, as Serre has pointed out, in characteristic $p$ one cannot functorially attach any free abelian group of rank $2 g$ to a g-dimensional abelian variety $A$. To see this it suffices to take A as a supersingular elliptic curve ( $c f$. Chapter 4); here $g=\mathrm{I}$ and End $\mathrm{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a quaternion algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$, and such an algebra simply has no twodimensional representations over $\mathbf{Q}$.

To replace the lattices, Weil showed that for a prime $l, l \neq p$, the points of A of $l$-power order look just as they would over $\mathbf{G}$. From them one can then form a free $\mathbf{Z}_{l}$-module of rank $2 g$ on which End $A$ acts. The corresponding results for $l=p$ took longer to find, since multiplication by $p^{m}$ is not a separable morphism. Its kernel $\mathrm{A}_{p^{m}}$ is however still a group scheme (not étale) of rank $\left(p^{m}\right)^{2 b}$, and the $\mathrm{A}_{p^{m}}$ fit together to form what Tate and Serre call a $p$-divisible group. Ideas beginning in the work of Dieudonné have recently been carried through to establish a correspondence between $p$-divisible groups over a perfect field and modules over a certain ring.

Over a finite field, Tate has proved that the homomorphisms from one abelian variety to another correspond precisely to the homomorphisms of these various modules. Using this, Tate, Serre and Honda have developed a complete classification of abelian varieties up to isogeny. My article rides the crest of this wave to results on the precise endomorphism rings and isomorphism types of abelian varieties over finite fields.

The theoretical basis of the work is Chapter 3 , which discusses a technique for passing from ideals of End A to varieties isogenous to A. (An alternative technique is discussed in the Appendix.) The theory is then applied in Chapter 4 to rederive the classical results of Deuring on elliptic curves. Chapter 5 discusses varieties with End A maximal commutative, and turns up some curious phenomena concerning separability. Chapter 6 shows how over the prime field the theory yields a complete classification of the elementary abelian varieties; at this point it should be clear to the reader how the theory can be applied to other problems. Finally, Chapter 7 considers some pleasant properties of " ordinary " varieties, which seem to be the right generalization of singular elliptic curves that are not supersingular.

I assume some familiarity with basic theorems on abelian varieties. For example, the Poincaré-Weil theorem that any abelian variety is isogenous to a product of elementary abelian varieties (those with only finite subgroups) is used without comment. For this material the reader is referred (inevitably) to Weil [22]. and Lang [10]. The other prerequisites are currently available only in fragmented form, and I have ventured to gather them into a coherent body in Chapters 1 and 2.

Indocti discant, et ament meminisse periti. Specific references for them are as follows. On $l$-adic representations : [10]. On finite group schemes : [13]. On p-divisible groups : [16], [20]. On generalized Dieudonné modules : [12]. On Tate's theorems for finite fields : [18], [19]. On Honda's theorem : [8], [24].

This paper is my Harvard doctoral thesis. At various stages during its preparation I was supported by an NSF Graduate Fellowship and a Putnam Fellowship. The typing was paid for from the contract NSF GP-6432. Finally, my work would not have been possible without Professor John Tate - obviously, since it rests on the lovely theorems for which all mathematicians can be grateful to him. But I have the additional privilege of thanking him here for his invaluable conversations and advice.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.
Introduction.
Chapter 1. - l-Adic Representations and p-Divisible Groups.
Chapter 2. - Classification up to Isogeny.
Chapter 3. - Isogenies and Kernel Ideals.
Chapter 4. - Elliptic Curves.
Chapter 5. - Principal Varieties.
Chapter 6. - Elementary Varieties over the Prime Field.
Chapter 7. -- Ordinary Elementary Varieties.
Appendix.
References.

## CHAPTER 1.

## $l$-Adic Representations and $p$-Divisible Groups.

1.i. l-Adic Representations. - Let A be an abelian variety over a perfect field $k$, and $l$ a prime $\neq p=$ char $k$. Multiplication by $l^{m}$ is a group homomorphism whose kernel $\mathrm{A}_{l^{m}}$ is a finite group scheme of rank $\left(l^{m}\right)^{2 g}$, where $g$ is the dimension of A. Being of rank prime to $p$, $\mathrm{A}_{l^{m}}$ is etale, and hence is completely described by ( I ) the group $\mathrm{A}_{l^{m}}(\bar{k})$ of its points in the algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of $k$ and (2) the action on that group of $\mathcal{G}$, the Galois group of $\bar{k}$ over $k$.

The $\mathrm{A}_{l^{m}}$ form an inverse limit system under $\mathrm{A}_{l^{m+1}} \xrightarrow{l} \mathrm{~A}_{l^{m}}$, and we can define $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ as $\lim _{\leftarrow-} \mathrm{A}_{l m}(\overline{\boldsymbol{k}})$. This is a free $\mathbf{Z}_{l}$-module of rank $2 g$, and $\mathcal{G}$ operates on it by $\mathbf{Z}_{l}$-linear maps. The $\mathbf{A}_{l^{m}}$ can all be recovered from it, since $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} / l^{m} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is isomorphic as a $\mathcal{G}$-module to $\mathrm{A}_{l m}(\overline{\boldsymbol{k}})$.

Since $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is free, we can embed it in a vector space $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_{l}} \mathbf{Q}_{l}$, which has dimension $2 g$ over $\mathbf{Q}_{l}$ and is a $\boldsymbol{Q}_{l}[\mathcal{G}]$-module. The maps

$$
l^{-m} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} / \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathbf{A} \underset{l^{m}}{\sim} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathbf{A} / l^{m} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathbf{A} \simeq \mathbf{A}_{l^{m}}(\bar{k})
$$

are $\mathcal{G}$-isomorphisms compatible with inclusion; thus $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\Lambda(l)=\lim \mathrm{A}_{l^{m}}(\bar{k})$, the set of all points in $\mathrm{A}(\bar{k})$ of $l$-power order. In particular, the finite subgroups of A defined over $k$ and of $l$-power order are given by those $\mathbf{Z}_{l}$-lattices in $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ which contain $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ and are taken into themselves by the action of $\mathcal{G}$.

If $k$ is replaced by a finite extension field, the lattice $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ remains the same; the only change is that the group $\mathcal{G}$ acting on it is replaced by a subgroup of finite index.

Let $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be a homomorphism of abelian varieties over $k$. It clearly takes $\mathrm{A}_{l m}$ to $\mathrm{B}_{l m}$, and so defines a map $\varphi_{l}: \mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B}$. Putting $\mathrm{T}_{l} \varphi=\varphi_{l}$ makes $\mathrm{T}_{l}$ a functor from abelian varieties over $k$ to $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[\mathcal{G}]$-modules. Extending $\varphi_{l}$ to a map $\varphi_{l}: \mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{l} \mathrm{~B}$ likewise makes $\mathrm{V}_{l}$ a functor.

- If $\varphi$ is an isogeny (i. e., surjective with finite kernel), $\varphi_{l}$ on $V_{l} A$ is an isomorphism. On $T_{l} \mathrm{~A}, \varphi_{l}$ is injective with finite cokernel, and $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~B} / \varphi_{l} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is isomorphic to the $l$-primary part $(\operatorname{ker} \varphi)_{l}$ of $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$. Alternatively, pulling back by $\varphi_{l}^{-1}$, we have $(\operatorname{ker} \varphi)_{l} \simeq \varphi_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ inside $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \simeq \mathrm{~A}(l)$.

Weil proved that Hom (A, B) is a free Z-module of finite rank, showing in fact that the map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{Z}_{l} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{z}_{l}[g]}\left(\mathbf{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B}\right)
$$

is injective. Over finite fields the result is much more precise :
Theorem (Tate). - Assume $k$ is finite. Then

$$
\operatorname{IIom}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{l} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{z}_{l}[\mathfrak{G}]}\left(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B}\right)
$$

Furthermore, on $\mathrm{A}(l)$ the action of the Frobenius automorphism (which generates $\mathcal{G}$ ) is the action of the Frobenius endomorphism $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of A over $k$. Thus the right-hand term of the isomorphism is simply all the $\mathbf{Z}_{l}$-linear $\psi: \mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B}$ which satisfy $\psi\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{l}=\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)_{l} \psi$.
1.2. The Module $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$. - Multiplication by $p^{m}$ is again a homomorphism, and its kernel $\mathrm{A}_{p^{m}}$ is a finite group scheme over $k$ of rank $\left(p^{m}\right)^{2 r}$. It is not étale, and so cannot be described by points in $\mathrm{A}(\bar{k})$. Now by definition a $p$-divisible group of height $h$ is a system

$$
\mathrm{G}_{0} \xrightarrow{l_{1}} \mathrm{G}_{1} \xrightarrow{l_{1}} \mathrm{G}_{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{u l} \stackrel{l_{l}}{\rightarrow} \ldots
$$

in which $\mathrm{G}_{m}$ is a finite commutative group scheme of rank $\left(p^{m}\right)^{h}$, the $i_{m}$ are group homomorphisms, and for all $m$

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{m} \xrightarrow{i_{m}} \mathrm{G}_{m+1} \xrightarrow{p^{\prime \prime \prime}} \mathrm{G}_{m+1}
$$

is exact. This definition is concocted precisely so that we can say the $\mathrm{A}_{p^{m}}$ form a $p$-divisible group $\mathrm{A}(p)$ of height 2 g .

Modules corresponding to such objects are constructed as follows. Let $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}(k)$ be the ring of infinite Witt vectors over $k$, i. e. the integers in the absolutely unramified complete extension field L of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ with residue field $k$. Let $\sigma$ be the automorphism of W induced by the Frobenius automorphism $x \mapsto x^{p}$ of $k$. Let $\mathcal{Q}=\mathrm{W}[\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{V}]$, where F and V are two indeterminates subject to the relations $\mathrm{FV}=\mathrm{VF}=p, \mathrm{~F} \lambda=\lambda^{\sigma} \mathrm{F}$ and $\lambda V=V \lambda^{\sigma}$ for $\lambda \in W$.

Let $\mathbf{W}_{n}$ be the $n$-th Witt group scheme. If $G$ is any commutative $k$-group scheme, its Dieudonné module $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{G})$ is

$$
\xrightarrow{\lim \operatorname{Hom}_{k-\mathrm{gb}} .}\left(\mathrm{G}, \mathbf{W}_{n}\right) \oplus\left[\mathrm{W}(\bar{k}) \underset{\mathbf{Z}}{\otimes} \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{k} \text {-gp }}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{G}_{m, \bar{k}}\right)\right]^{g} .
$$

Theorem (Dieudonné-Cartier-Oda). - M defines an anti-equivalence from the category of finite commutative group schemes over $k$ of $p$-poseer rank to the category of left $\mathfrak{Q}$-modules of finite W-length, taking a group of rank $p^{n}$ to a module of length $n$. It is compatible with perfect base extension, i. e., if $K / k$ is perfect, $M^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{K}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{K}) \otimes_{\mathrm{w}(k)} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{G})$.

From this Oda easily deduced the
Theorem. - If $\mathrm{G}=\left(\mathrm{G}_{n}\right)$ is a p-dipisible group of height $h, \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{G})=\lim _{\leftarrow} \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{G}_{n}\right)$
is a left $\mathfrak{C l}$-module $\wp$ hich is W -free of rank $h$. This gives an anti-equivalence of these two categories, compatible with perfect base extension.

If now A is our abelian variety, we denote by $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ the left $\mathfrak{C}$-module, W-free of rank $2 g$, which is associated to $\mathrm{A}(p)$ by this construction. From it $\mathrm{A}_{p^{m}}$ can be recovered as the finite group scheme whose Dieudonné module is $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} / p^{m} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$. More generally, the finite $p$-power subgroups of A defined over $k$ are given by those W -sublattices of $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ which are $\mathfrak{C}$-modules, i. e. taken into themselves by F and V . We can embed $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ in $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} \otimes_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{L}$; this is a $\mathfrak{B}$-module, where

$$
\mathfrak{J}=\mathbf{L} \otimes_{\mathrm{w}} \mathfrak{Q}=\mathrm{L}[\mathbf{F}, \mathrm{~V}]=\mathrm{L}\left[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}^{-1}\right] .
$$

Let $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be a homomorphism; it induces $\varphi_{p}: \mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ and $\varphi_{p}: \mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$, and $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_{p}$ gives a functor to $\mathbb{C}$-modules or $\mathfrak{B}$-modules. If $\varphi$ is an isogeny, $\varphi_{p}$ on $\mathrm{V}_{p}$ is an isomorphism; on $\mathrm{T}_{p}$ it is an injection with finite cokernel, and $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} / \varphi_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~B}$ is the Dieudonné module of the $p$-primary part $(\operatorname{ker} \varphi)_{p}$.

Theorem (Tate). - If $k$ is finite, then

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p} \sim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{c}( }\left(\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}\right) .
$$

Thus the use of Dieudonne modules gives a theory at $l=p$ corresponding to that for $l \neq p$. The only change is in the variance of the functor, and anyone upset by that can use dual modules in one case or the other.
1.3. Facts about $p$-Divisible Groups. - Any finite commutative group scheme $G$ over $k$ can be written uniquely as a product $G^{e t} \times \mathrm{G}^{0}$ with $\mathrm{G}^{e t}$ étale (i.e. $=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}$ with R separable over $k$ ) and $\mathrm{G}^{0}$ local (i. e. $=\operatorname{Spec} R$ with R local). This decomposition is compatible with the maps in a $p$-divisible group, so we have $\mathrm{A}(p)=\mathrm{A}(p)^{e t} \times \mathrm{A}(p)^{0}$. There is of course a corresponding decomposition of $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ into a direct sum of two $\mathfrak{a}$-modules.

Etale groups can be characterized as those for which the Frobenius $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}^{(p)}$ is bijective; this is equivalent to saying that F is bijective on the Dieudonné module $M(G)$. The groups sometimes called " of multiplicative type " (the duals of $p$-power étale groups) are characterized by the fact that V is bijective on their Dieudonné modules. These statements extend immediately to $p$-divisible groups.

If G is a finite group scheme, its Cartier dual $\mathrm{DG}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{G}, \mathbf{G}_{m}\right)$ is also a finite group scheme, and the double dual is canonically isomorphic to G. If now ( $\mathrm{G}_{m}$ ) is a $p$-divisible group, one defines its Serre dual as the $p$-divisible group $\left(\mathrm{DG}_{m}\right)$, where $\mathrm{DG}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{DG}_{m+1}$ is dual to the map $\mathrm{G}_{m+1} \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{G}_{m}$. If A is an abelian variety and $\hat{\mathrm{A}}$ the dual variety, then $\hat{\mathrm{A}}(p)$ is the Serre dual of $\mathrm{A}(p)$.

If $\mathrm{A}(p)^{e t}$ has height $h$, the same is true for the étale part of any $p$-divisible group isogenous to $\mathrm{A}(p)$ (i. e., a quotient of it by a finite subgroup). Since A and $\hat{\mathrm{A}}$ are isogenous, so are $\mathrm{A}(p)$ and $\hat{\mathrm{A}}(p)$. Thus the Serre dual of $\mathrm{A}(p)$ has an étale part of height $h$. But groups of multiplicative type are not étale, and so we deduce $h \leq g=\frac{1}{2}$ height $\mathrm{A}(p)$. If $h=g$, so $\mathrm{A}(p)$ is half-étale, we say A is ordinary. In simple terms, A is ordinary if and only if $\mathrm{A}(\bar{k})$ has $p^{m}$ points killed by $p$, this being the largest possible number.

## CHAPTER 2.

Classification up to Isogeny.

From here on we fix a finite field $k$ with $q=p^{a}$ elements. - Let A be an abelian variety over $k$. Any endomorphism $\varphi$ of A has a characteristic polynomial $P \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{~T}]$, a monic polynomial of degree 2 g such that if

$$
\mathrm{P}=\prod\left(\mathrm{T}-\alpha_{i}\right), \quad \operatorname{deg} \mathrm{Q}(\varphi)=\prod \mathrm{Q}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{Q} \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathrm{~T}]
$$

Then $P$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\varphi_{l}$ on $V_{l} A$; it is also the characteristic polynomial of $\varphi$ on $\mathrm{A}(p)$, i. e. the characteristic polynomial of $\varphi_{p}$ as an L-linear map of $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$.

In particular, the Frobenius endomorphism $\pi$ of A over $k$ has a characteristic polynomial $h_{\mathrm{A}}$. This is of special interest because of the

Theorem (Tate). - The qarieties A and B are isogenous over $k$ if and only if $h_{\mathrm{A}}=h_{\mathrm{B}}$.

Thus the polynomial determines the isogeny class; we now describe this correspondence in more detail.

On all the $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}, \pi_{l}$ acts semisimply. The algebra $\mathrm{E}=\mathbf{Q} \otimes \operatorname{End}_{k} \mathrm{~A}$ is semisimple with center $\Phi=\boldsymbol{Q}(\pi)$. The splitting of A up to isogeny into powers of elementary factors corresponds to the decomposition of E into simple factors, which in turn is given by the factorization of the center $\Phi$ into fields $\Phi_{j}$. This is determined by the factorization of $h_{\mathrm{A}}$ into $\mathbf{Q}$-irreducible factors $\ \mathrm{P}_{j}^{m_{j}}$. If A is $\mathrm{B}^{n}$, then E is an $n$ by $n$ matrix algebra over $\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{B})$, and $h_{\mathrm{A}}=h_{\mathrm{B}}^{n}$.

Thus we may as well assume A is elementary, whence $\Phi=\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ is a field. As the notation suggests, we identify the Frobenius endomorphism $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{A}}$ with an algebraic integer $\pi$. By the Weil " Riemann hypothesis $",|\pi|=q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in all embeddings of $\Phi$ in $\mathbf{G}$; such algebraic integers we will call Weil numbers. We now describe how E can be determined from $\pi$.

Let then A be elementary, $h_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{P}^{e}$, with P irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathrm{P}(\pi)=0$. Let $f=\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{P}$, so $e f=2 g$. Then E is a division algebra of dimension $e^{2}$ over its center, which is $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$. It therefore is determined up to isomorphism by its invariants, which are computed as follows. First, E does not split over the real primes of $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$, if there are any.

At all primes lying over $l \neq p, \mathrm{E}$ splits; thus $\mathrm{E}_{l}=\mathrm{E} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}$ is isomorphic to the sum $\oplus \mathrm{M}_{e}\left(\Phi_{j}\right)$ of $e$ by $e$ matrix algebras, where $\Phi \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}$ decomposes into the sum of fields $\oplus \Phi_{j}$. The space $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is free of rank $e$ over $\oplus \Phi_{j}$, and the action of $\mathrm{E}_{l}$ on it is the only possible one, namely the natural action of the matrix algebras on their respective vector spaces.

Suppose finally $\Phi \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}=\oplus \Phi_{v}$, corresponding to the factorization $\mathbf{P}=\prod \mathrm{P}_{v}$ in $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. The space $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ is $2 g$-dimensional over L, the unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ with degree $a$. On it $\pi_{p}$ acts by the endomorphism $\mathbf{F}^{a}$, which is in the center of $\mathfrak{B}=\mathrm{L}\left[\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{F}^{-1}\right]$. As a $\mathfrak{B}$-module it is a direct sum $\oplus \mathrm{V}_{v}$, where on $\mathrm{V}_{v} \pi$ satisfies $\mathrm{P}_{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{G}$ operates on $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ through $\mathfrak{G}_{b}=\mathfrak{G} / \mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\left(\mathrm{F}^{a}\right) \mathfrak{G}$, which is central simple of dimension $a^{2}$ over the field $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{v}}$. Its invariant $i_{v}$ is $\frac{f_{v} \text { ord } d_{v} \pi}{a}$, where $f_{v}$ is the residue degree at $\varphi$; this can also be written as $i_{\nu}=\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{p} \mathrm{P}_{\nu}(o)}{a}$, or as the equality $q^{-i_{v}}=\|\pi\|_{\rho}$, where $\left\|\|_{0}\right.$ is the normalized absolute value.

Now by Tate's theorem the commutant of $\mathfrak{B}_{p}$ is the image of $\mathrm{E}_{p}$. We check $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{V}_{v}=e n_{v}$, where $n_{v}=\left|\Phi_{v}: \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right|=\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{P}_{v}$, so $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_{v}} \varphi_{v}=a e$; hence $\mathrm{E}_{\imath}$ has degree $e^{2}$ over $\Phi_{v}$, as it should. Its invariant is the same $i_{o}$ as for $\mathfrak{B}_{v}$, since $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_{p}$ is contravariant. Since it is simple, its representation on $\mathrm{V}_{\text {, }}$, is necessarily just a sum of copies of its unique irreducible representation.

Thus given $\pi$ we can compute the invariants of E. Furthermore, $e$ is the period of E in the Brauer group of $\Phi$, and so is the least common denominator of all the $i_{v}$ (where we include $1 / 2$ if $\Phi$ has a real prime). Hence $\pi$ determines $e$, and so gives us $h_{\mathrm{A}}=p^{e}$ and determines A up to isogeny. Conversely, of course, $\pi$ is determined up to conjugacy by A. To top this off, we have the

Theorem (Honda). - This is a one-to-one correspondence, i. e. for every Weil number there is an elementary abelian pariety giving it.

We note that the characteristic polynomial of an elementary abelian variety is not in general irreducible. It is so if and only if $e=\mathrm{r}$, if and only if End A is commutative, if and only if there are no real primes and, for all $\rho$ over $p, a \mid f_{v} \operatorname{ord}_{p} \pi$.

We consider briefly the structure of Weil numbers. Suppose first there is a real prime; then in that embedding $\pi^{2}=q$, so $\pi= \pm \sqrt{q}$.

Case 1 : a even. - Here one of the conjugates of $\pi$ is the rational number $\pm p^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Hence $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{T})=\mathrm{T} \pm p^{\frac{a}{2}}$, and $\Phi=\mathbf{Q}$. At the unique real prime, $i_{\infty}=1 / 2$; hence $i_{p}=1 / 2$, as the sum of all invariants is $\operatorname{s}(\bmod \boldsymbol{Z})$. Thus $e=2$. Then $2=e f=2 g$, so $g=1$ and $A$ is an elliptic curve. E is the quaternion algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$ ramified only at $\infty$ and $p$. (Such an A is called a " supersingular elliptic curve with all endomorphisms defined "; cf. Chapter 4.)

Case 2: a odd. - Here $\Phi$ is the real quadratic extension $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$, and $f=2$. There are two infinite primes, with $i=1 / 2$ at both; there is a single $\varphi$ over $p$, and so $i_{v}=0$ since the sum must be $o(\bmod \mathbf{z})$. Then $e=2$, so $2 g=2.2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{A}=2$. The algebra E is the quaternion algebra over $\boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt{\bar{p}})$ ramified only at the two infinite primes.

Thus real primes are uncommon. Suppose then that $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ is totally imaginary. Let $\beta=\pi+\frac{q}{\pi}$. In every embedding $|\pi|=q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so $\pi \bar{\pi}=q$, and $\beta=\pi+\bar{\pi}$ is real. Thus $\boldsymbol{Q}(\beta)$ is totally real, and $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ is quadratic over it ( $\pi$ satisfies the equation $\pi^{2}-\beta \pi+q=0$ ). The fact that the solution of this equation is totally imaginary means that in every embedding of $\mathbf{Q}(\beta)$ in $\mathbf{R},|\beta|<2 \sqrt{q}$. Conversely, if $\beta$ is any totally real algebraic integer satisfying this condition, the solution of $\pi^{2}-\beta \pi+q=0$ will be a Weil number with $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ quadratic over $\mathbf{Q}(\beta)$.

Note finally that passing from $k$ to an extension of degree $s$ replaces $\pi$ by $\pi^{s}$. If $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)=\mathbf{Q}\left(\pi^{s}\right)$, then E is unchanged. It follows that End A is unchanged. Indeed, suppose $\varphi \in E$ is an endomorphism defined over the extension. Then for some $m, m \varphi \in \operatorname{End} A$, since EndA is a lattice in E. But $m \varphi$ vanishes on the subgroup $\mathrm{A}_{m}$, so there is a $\psi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ defined over $k$ with $\psi . m=m \varphi$, whence $\varphi=\psi$.

If $\mathbf{Q}(\pi) \neq \mathbf{Q}\left(\pi^{s}\right)$, however, E can change. An elementary variety A may stay elementary but acquire more endomorphisms; an example with A an elliptic curve is given in Chapter 4. Or, A may cease to be elementary. For an example, take Case 2 of the real primes, with $\pi= \pm \sqrt{q}$; passing to a quadratic extension makes $\pi$ rational, and the variety becomes isogenous to the product of two isomorphic supersingular elliptic curves.

## CHAPTER 3.

Isogenies and Kernel Ideals.
3.i. Preliminary Results on Endomorphism Rings. - We now begin the study of isomorphism classes lying in a given isogeny class. We know the isogeny class is determined by a suitable semi-simple algebra E and an element $\pi$ (generating its center) which represents the Frobenius. The object we consider will actually be a variety $A$ in the isogeny class together with a specific map $i_{\mathrm{A}}: \mathrm{E} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ End $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{Q}$ taking $\pi$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{A}$. This eliminates the confusion which otherwise tends to arise in comparing endomorphism rings of different varieties, although it imposes the (perhaps salutary) requirement of making the dependence on $i_{\mathrm{A}}$ explicit.

Given an isogeny $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$, we have an $i_{\mathrm{B}}$ naturally induced by $i_{\mathrm{A}}$ as follows. If $\operatorname{deg} \varphi=n$, there is an isogeny $\psi: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ such that $\psi \circ \varphi=n \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$, $\varphi \circ \psi=n . \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (obvious since $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A} / \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ and $\operatorname{ker} \varphi \subseteq \operatorname{ker} n . \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ). We define $i_{\mathrm{B}}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{n} \varphi \circ \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}(\alpha) \circ \psi$. Clearly $i_{\mathrm{B}}$ is an isomorphism; $i_{\mathrm{B}}(\pi)$ is $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{B}}$ because the Frobenius commutes with $k$-morphisms, giving us

$$
i_{\mathrm{B}}(\pi)=\frac{1}{n} \varphi \circ \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{A}} \circ \psi=\frac{1}{n} \varphi \circ \psi \circ \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{B}} .
$$

In this situation we will always assume that $B$ has this particular $i_{B}$ unless otherwise specified.

Consider the case $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}$, so $\varphi$ is an isogeny in End A. Then $\varphi=i_{\mathrm{A}}(\beta)$ for some invertible $\beta \in \mathrm{E}$, and $\psi=i_{\mathrm{A}}\left(n \beta^{-1}\right)$. The new $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ induced by $\varphi$ is given by

$$
i_{A}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{n} \varphi i_{\Lambda}(\alpha) \psi=i_{\Lambda}(\beta \alpha \beta-1),
$$

and thus is $i_{A}$ preceded by a conjugation in E.
Proposition 3.1. - All possible $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ arise from some isogeny in this say.
Proof. - Let $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ be given. Then $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1} . i_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ is an automorphism of E which takes $\pi$ to $\pi$ and hence is identity on the center. By the Skolem-Noether theorem ( $[1]$, p. 110) it is an inner automorphism, so $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1} . i_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=\beta \alpha \beta^{-1}$ for some $\beta \in E$. Now End $A$ is a lattice in End $A \otimes Q$, so multiplying $\beta$ by a large integer we may assume $i_{\mathrm{A}} \beta=\phi \in \operatorname{End} A$. Then $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ is induced by $\varphi$. I

Again let $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be an isogeny. For $l \neq p$ it induces $\varphi_{l}: \mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B}$ and an isomorphism $\varphi_{l}: \mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{l} \mathrm{~B}$. There are seemingly two natural
ways for E to act on $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~B}$ : we can compose $i_{\mathrm{B}}$ with the natural action of End $B \otimes Q$, or we can identify $V_{l} B$ with $V_{l} A$ via $\varphi_{l}$ and take the action on $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ given by $i_{\mathrm{A}}$. But as they should be, these two are the same. Indeed, the first takes $\alpha$ to $\left(\frac{1}{n} \varphi \circ i_{\mathrm{A}}(\alpha) \circ \psi\right)_{i}$. Now $\left(n . I_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{l}=n$, so functoriality gives $\frac{1}{n} \psi_{l}=\varphi_{l}^{-1}$ on $V_{l}$, and we see that the second method takes $\alpha$ to $\frac{1}{n} \varphi_{l} \circ i_{A}(\alpha)_{l} \circ \psi_{l}$.

We know that End $\mathrm{B} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{l}$ consists of those elements of $\operatorname{EndB} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}$ taking $\mathrm{T}_{\iota} \mathrm{B}$ to itself. Tracking back the identifications made then gives

Proposition 3.2.

$$
\left\{\alpha \mid i_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \in \operatorname{End} \mathrm{B} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{l}\right\}=\left\{\alpha \mid i_{\mathrm{A}}(\alpha)\left(\varphi_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B}\right) \subseteq \varphi_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B}\right\} . \quad \mid
$$

This is a quite computational criterion : $\varphi_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B}$ is the lattice in $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ whose quotient by $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is the $l$-primary part of $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$.

Similar results hold at $p:$ the $\operatorname{map} \varphi_{p}: \mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ is an isomorphism, and the two possible actions of E on $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~B}$ are the same. We have

Proposition 3.3.

$$
\left\{\alpha \mid i_{\mathbf{B}}(\alpha) \in \operatorname{End} \mathrm{B} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right\}=\left\{\alpha \mid i_{\mathrm{A}}(\alpha) \varphi_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~B} \subseteq \varphi_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~B}\right\} . \quad \mathrm{I}
$$

Here $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} / \varphi_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~B}$ is the Dieudonne module corresponding to the $p$-primary part of ker $\varphi$.

Example. - Let $i_{A}(\rho) \in$ End A be an isogeny, and apply the construction to it. Set $\mathrm{R}=i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}($ End $A), \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\left(i_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(\operatorname{End} \mathrm{~A})$. Then $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\rho^{-1} \mathrm{R} p$.

Indeed, $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is in End $\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{l}$ iff $i_{\mathrm{A}}(\alpha)_{l}$ takes $i_{\mathrm{A}}(\rho)_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ to itself, iff $i_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\rho \alpha \rho^{-1}\right)_{l}$ takes $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ to itself, iff $i_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\rho \rho_{\rho} \rho^{-1}\right) \in \operatorname{End} \mathrm{A} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{l}$. Up to change of variance, the same holds at $p$. Now EndA is determined by its localizations, so $i_{A}^{\prime}(\alpha) \in$ End A iff $i_{A}\left(\rho x \rho^{-1}\right) \in$ End A, iff $\rho \alpha \rho^{-1} \in R$, iff $\alpha \in \rho^{-1} R \rho$.

This example shows how the propositions will be used; they give us the localizations of EndB, and like any lattice EndB is determined by its localizations. To avoid misconceptions, it should be pointed out that the isomorphism type of EndB is not determined by the isomorphism types of its localizations. For an explicit example, let $B$ be a supersingular elliptic curve with all endomorphisms defined, so (cf. Chapter 4) End $B$ is a maximal order in the quaternion algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$ ramified at $\infty$ and $p$. For $l \neq p, \mathrm{E} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l} \simeq \mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)$; the localization of EndB is a maximal order in this, and all such are isomorphic ([5], p. soo). Furthermore, $\mathrm{E} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ is a division algebra, and so has a unique maximal order. But for most $p$ the quaternion algebra will have non-isomorphic maximal orders [7].

In summary, we have for each A an order $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}($ End A) in E; this order is determined up to conjugacy, which is the same thing as an isomorphism preserving $\pi$. For later calculations we will need to know that we can choose a single member of the conjugacy class for two varieties at once. More precisely, we have

Proposition 3.4. - Let A and B be abelian parieties over $k$, and suppose there is a ring isomorphism of EndB onto End A taking $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{B}}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{A}}$. Let $i_{\mathrm{A}}$ be given. Then there is an isogeny $\psi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ for $w$ hich $i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}(\operatorname{End} \mathrm{~A})=i_{\mathrm{B}}^{-1}(\operatorname{EndB})$.

Proof. - From the results stated in Chapter 2 we know A and B are isogenous; let $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be an isogeny. Let

$$
\left.i_{\overline{\mathrm{A}}} \overline{1}^{1} \operatorname{End} \mathrm{~A}\right)=\mathrm{R}, \quad i_{\overline{\mathrm{B}}^{1}}(\operatorname{End} \mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{R}^{\prime} .
$$

By the argument of Proposition 3.1, there is a $\rho \in R^{\prime}$ with $\rho R \rho^{-1}=R^{\prime}$. Then $i_{\mathrm{B}}(\rho): \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ is an isogeny giving us a new $i_{\mathrm{B}}^{\prime \prime}$ for which $\left(i_{\mathrm{B}}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1}($ End B$)=$ R. Hence $\psi=i_{\mathrm{B}}(\rho) \cdot \varphi$ has the required property. I

Any attempt to describe isomorphism classes seems to be naturally two-fold : find the orders R in E which can be endomorphism rings; then, given an $A$ with $i_{A}^{-1}(\operatorname{End} A)=R$, classify the isogenous $B$ giving the same order. It is possible that the problem in this generality has a reasonable solution, but I am inclined to doubt it. The approach I will take, at least, relies on a study of the ideals of $R$, and disgracefully little is known about ideal structure of nonmaximal orders. But the method will reduce any specific case to pure computation, and also leads to some interesting general results.

We end this preliminary section with a simple necessary condition on endomorphism rings.

Proposition 3.5. - If $\mathrm{R}=i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}($ End A$)$, then $\pi$ and $q \pi^{-1}$ are in R .
Proof. - Clearly $\pi \in R$, as $i_{\Lambda}(\pi)=\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{A}}$. For the other, recall that the Frobenius F over $\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z}$ takes A to the conjugate variety $\mathrm{A}^{(p)}$; doing this $a$ times brings us back to A , and $\mathrm{F}^{a}=\mathfrak{f}_{\mathrm{A}}$. Now $\operatorname{kerF} \subseteq \mathrm{A}_{p}$, and there is a functorial map $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{A}^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ with $\mathrm{FV}=\mathrm{VF}=p(c f .[12])$. Then $\mathrm{V}^{a}$ is an endomorphism of A with $\mathrm{F}^{a} \mathrm{~V}^{a}=p^{a}=q$, so $\mathrm{V}^{a}=i_{\mathrm{A}}\left(q \pi^{-1}\right)$. |

For elliptic curves, the only case studied before, the condition was just $\pi \in R$. This is true because there either $q \pi^{-1}=\pi$ (if $\pi$ is rational) or (cf. Chapter 4) $q \pi^{-1}$ is the conjugate of $\pi$ in a quadratic number field and so lies in the same orders as $\pi$. In the general case, however, $q \pi^{-1} \in \mathrm{R}$ is definitely a further restriction.
3.2. Kernel Ideals. - We now describe a way of constructing finite subgroups of an abelian variety. We fix a variety $A$ and an $i_{A}$; when possible we suppress $i_{\mathrm{A}}$ and write $\alpha$ for $i_{\mathrm{A}}(\alpha), \mathrm{R}$ for $\operatorname{End} \mathrm{A}$, and so on.

Let I be a left ideal of $R$; then $I$ is a lattice in $E$ if and only if I contains an isogeny. Indeed, if it is a lattice, it contains $n . r_{A}$ for large $n$; if it contains an isogeny $\rho$, it contains ( $\operatorname{deg} \rho$ ) $\varphi$ ' for all $\varphi \in R$. As no others will arise, " ideal" will from now on mean an ideal satisfying these equivalent conditions. If $A$ is elementary, of course, they say simply $I \neq 0$.

Definition. -- $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ is the intersection of the kernels of all elements of I . Clearly $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ is a finite subgroup, and so gives us an isogenous variety $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ associated with I . To construct it explicitly, take ideal generators $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ for $I$; then $A / H(I)$ is easily seen to be the image of $A$ under the map $\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{m}\right): \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{A}$. Hence it is the same as the variety constructed in ( $[17], \S 7$ ). A related construction is discussed in the appendix.

We now want to show that $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ depends only on the R -module structure of I. For this we need a criterion for two varieties isogenous to A to be isomorphic; what we get is

Proposition 3.6. -- Let $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ be tsoo finite subgroups of A , not necessarily étale. Then $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G}_{1} \simeq \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{G}_{2}$ if and only if for some isogeny $p \in \operatorname{End} \mathrm{~A}$ and some $\mathrm{o} \neq \mathrm{N} \in \mathbf{Z}, \rho^{-1} \mathrm{G}_{1}=(\mathrm{N})^{-1} \mathrm{G}_{2}$.

Proof. - Suppose $A / G_{1} \simeq A / G_{2}$. Then we have $\varphi_{i}: A \rightarrow B$ with $\operatorname{ker}_{\varphi_{i}}=\mathrm{G}_{i}, i=\mathrm{I}, 2$. For $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ large (e. g., $\left.\mathrm{N}_{1}=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{G}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{G}_{2} \supseteq \mathrm{G}_{1}$. Now $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{G}_{2}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{N}_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)$, so by the definition of quotient there is a $\sigma: B \rightarrow B$ such that $\sigma \varphi_{1}=N_{1} \varphi_{2}$. For $N_{2}$ large enough there is a $\rho: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ with $\rho_{1} \rho=\mathrm{N}_{2}\left(\sigma \varphi_{1}\right)$ (choose an $i_{\mathrm{A}}$ and look at the two lattices in E). Thus $\varphi_{1} \rho=N_{1} N_{2} \varphi_{2}$. Set $N=N_{1} N_{2}$; then

$$
\rho^{-1} G_{1}=\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{1} \rho=\operatorname{ker} N \varphi_{2}=N^{-1} \mathrm{G}_{2} .
$$

Conversely, $\quad \mathrm{A} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ shows that $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G}_{1} \simeq \mathrm{~A} / \rho^{-1} \mathrm{G}_{1} ;$ likewise $A / G_{2} \simeq A / N^{-1} G_{2}$, so the condition is sufficient. I

Proposition 3.7. - If I and J are isomorphic R-modules, then $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I}) \simeq \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J})$.

Proof. - The isomorphism of J to I extends (since both are lattices) to an E-isomorphism of E , and so is given by a scalar multiplication : $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{J} \lambda$. For some N we have $\mathrm{N} \lambda \in \mathrm{R}$, and $\mathrm{NI}=\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{N} \lambda)$. Clearly $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NI})=\mathrm{N}^{-1} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{N} \lambda))=(\mathrm{N} \lambda)^{-1} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J})$; thus the previous proposition applies. I

It is a fact of life that the converse of Proposition 3.7 is false. We do at least have the following criterion, which is clear from the correspondence between lattices and finite subgroups :

Proposition 3.8. - Let $\varphi$ be the quotient map $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I}))=\bigcap\left\{\rho_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \mid \rho \in \mathrm{I}\right\} \\
& \rho_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I}))=\mathbf{\sum \{ \rho _ { p } \mathrm { T } _ { p } \mathrm { A } | \rho \in \mathrm { I } \}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J})$ if and only if the right hand sides of these equalities are the same for I and J .

Definition. - I is a kernel ideal if $\mathrm{I}=\{\rho \mid \rho \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{o}\}$. (The terminology is derived from the analogous situation in Banach algebras.)

Every $I$ is contained in a kernel ideal $J$ with $H(J)=H(I)$, namely $\mathbf{J}=\{\rho \mid \rho \mathbf{H}(\mathrm{I})=\mathbf{o}\}$. Not all ideals need be kernel ideals. In addition, as examples in Chapter 6 show, the property of being a kernel ideal depends on $A$, not just on the ring $R$.

Proposition 3.9. - Let $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$. Then $i_{\mathrm{B}}^{-1}($ End B$)$ contains the right order of I , and equals it if I is a kernel ideal.

Proof. - The $\alpha$ we want are those such that

$$
\alpha_{l} \cap \rho_{l}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \subseteq \cap \rho_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{p}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\rho_{p}} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}\right) \subseteq \Sigma_{\rho_{p}} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} .
$$

That is, for all $\tau \in I$, we want

$$
\alpha_{l} \cap \rho_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \subseteq \tau_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}, \quad \text { i. e. } \quad(\tau \alpha)_{l} \cap \rho_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \subseteq \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} ;
$$

and likewise $(\tau \alpha)_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} \subseteq \Sigma_{\rho_{p}} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$. In particular the conditions imply

$$
(\tau \alpha)_{l} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \subseteq \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \quad \text { and } \quad(\tau \alpha)_{p} \mathbf{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} \subseteq \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A},
$$

so $\tau \alpha \in \mathrm{R}=i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}(\operatorname{End} \mathrm{~A})$. Certainly if $\tau \alpha \in \mathrm{I}$, i. e. $\alpha$ is in the right order of $I$, then the conditions are satisfied. Further, if the conditions hold and we set $J=I+I \alpha$, then $J$ is an ideal of $R$ with $H(J)=H(I)$. Thus if I is a kernel ideal, $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{J}$ and $\alpha$ is in the right order of I .

Example. - Let I be the principal ideal Rp. If $\sigma$ vanishes on $H(I)=k e r \rho$, then by the universal property there is a $\lambda: A \rightarrow A$ with $\lambda_{\rho}=\sigma$. Thus $R_{p}$ is a kernel ideal. We computed once before that the ring of $A / H(R \rho)$ is $\rho^{-1} R \rho$, the right order of $R \rho$. More generally, we have

Lemma 3.10. - If I is a kernel ideal, so is $\mathrm{I} \rho$ for any isogeny $\rho$.
Proof. - Let $\lambda \in \mathrm{R}$, and suppose that for all $x, \mathrm{I} \rho x=\mathrm{o}$ implies $\lambda x=\mathrm{o}$. Then in particular $\rho x=0$ implies $\lambda x=0$, so $\lambda H\left(R_{\rho}\right)=0$ and by the example $\lambda \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$.

Let $\lambda=\beta \rho ;$ thus for all $x, \mathrm{I} \rho x=0$ implies $\beta \rho x=0$. Now being an isogeny $\rho$ is surjective; so for all $y, \Gamma y=0$ implies $\beta y=0 . \quad$ As $l$ is a kernel ideal, $\beta \in I$, so $\lambda=\beta p \in I p$. |

Ann. Ec. Norm., (4), II. - Fasc. 4.

From this now we can prove the best converse possible for Proposition 3.7.

Theorem 3.11. - Let I and J be kernel ideals. Then $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I}) \simeq \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J})$ if and only if I and J are isomorphic R-modules, if and only if $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{J} \lambda$ for some invertible $\lambda \in \mathrm{E}$.

Proof. - Most of this was proved before. Suppose A/H(I) $\simeq \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J})$. By Proposition 3.6, then, $\rho^{-1} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{N}^{-1} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J})$. These equal $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I} \rho)$ and $H(J N)$. By the lemma, both $I \rho$ and $J N$ are kernel ideals, so $I \rho=J N$.

Finally we note that ideal multiplication corresponds to composition of isogenies. Specifically,

Proposition 3.12. - Let I be a left ideal of R , $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{B}$ the canonical map. Let J be a left ideal in $i_{\mathrm{B}}^{-1}(\operatorname{End} \mathrm{~B}), \psi: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{J})$. Then $\psi \circ \varphi$ is the canonical map of A onto $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{IJ})$.

Proof. - We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\psi \circ \varphi)_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~J}) \\
& =\varphi_{l}^{-1} \psi_{l}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathrm{~B} / \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{J}) \\
& =\varphi_{l}^{-1} \cap\left\{i_{\mathbf{B}}(\sigma)_{l}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathrm{~B} \mid \sigma \in \mathbf{J}\right\} \\
& =\cap\left\{\varphi_{l}^{-1} i_{\mathrm{B}}(\sigma)_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathbf{B} \mid \sigma \in \mathbf{J}\right\} \\
& =\cap\left\{i_{\mathrm{A}}(\sigma)_{l}^{-1} \varphi_{l}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathbf{B} \mid \sigma \in \mathbf{J}\right\} \\
& =\cap\left\{i_{\mathrm{A}}(\sigma)_{l}^{-1} \cap i_{\mathrm{A}}(\rho)_{q^{-1}} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \mid \rho \in \mathbf{I}, \sigma \in \mathbf{J}\right\} \\
& =\cap\left\{i_{\mathrm{A}}(\rho \sigma)_{l}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathbf{A} \mid \rho \in \mathbf{I}, \sigma \in \mathbf{J},\right. \\
& =\cap\left\{i_{A}(\tau)_{l}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{l} \mathbf{A} \mid \tau \in \mathbf{J}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar computation holds at $p$, and we simply compare with Proposition 3.8. |
3.3. Maximal Orders. - We can draw two immediate consequences from the theory developed in 3.2.

Theorem 3.13. - Every maximal order in E occurs as an endomorphism ring.

Proof. - Let S be a maximal order. As R is a lattice in E, we have $N S \subseteq R$ for some integer $N$. Let $I=R$.NS. This is a left ideal of $R$, and its right order contains $S$. Hence the endomorphism ring of $A / H(I)$ contains S ; as S is maximal, the two are equal. |

Theorem 3.14. - If EndA is a maximal order, so is EndA/H(I) for any I.

Proof. - In this case it is known ([5], p. 75) that the right order of I is also maximal.

By drawing much more on the theory of maximal orders [5], we can deduce a quite strong result.

Theorem 3.15. - Suppose EndA is a maximal order. Then every I is a kernel ideal, and rank $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ is the reduced norm $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{I})$.

Proof. - First of all, " reduced norm " must be explained. Since E is semi-simple, it is a direct sum of simple algebras, and the maximal order $\mathrm{R}=$ End A is necessarily just a direct sum of maximal orders in the components. In particular, projections on components are in R, so I is a direct sum of left ideals, one in each component. If now J is an ideal in a maximal order S of a simple algebra having dimension $e^{2}$ over its center, then the ordinary norm ( $=$ card $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{J}$ ) is an $e$-th power, and we call its $e$-th root the reduced norm of J. This is multiplicative under proper multiplication. Finally we let $N(I)$ be the product of the reduced norms of the components of I.

Next we observe that for $I=R \lambda, N(I)$ indeed equals rank $H(I)$. For $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})=\operatorname{deg} \lambda$, the constant term in the characteristic polynomial of $\lambda$. Since the same polynomial is the characteristic polynomial of $\lambda$ on $V_{l} A$, which is simply a direct sum of spaces acted on by their matrix algebras, the result is clear.

Now given any $I$, let $R^{\prime}$ be its right order. Then there is an $R^{\prime}$-ideal $J$ such that $I J=R \lambda$ and $N(J)$ is prime to rank $H(I)$. Indeed, for E simple this is a theorem of Nehrkorn ([5], p. ro6), and we just choose J appropriately on each component. By Proposition 3.12, rank $H(I)$. rank $H(J)=\operatorname{rank} H(R \lambda)$, and this is $N(R \lambda)=N(I) N(J)$. By the choice of J , then, rank $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ divides $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{I})$. But the same reasoning shows that rank $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{J})$ divides $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{J})$, and so we must have equality.

Finally, if I were not a kernel ideal, its associated kernel ideal would be a larger ideal with the same norm; clearly this is impossible.

Most of this proof is modeled on ([17], p. 56).
This theorem is a good example of the way in which facts about maximal orders can be transformed into facts about varieties, and shows why the absence of theory for non-maximal orders makes the general case much more complicated.

We can now make the simple (and classical) remark that, even for elliptic curves, not every variety isogenous to A need have the form $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$; i. e. not every finite subgroup of A has the form $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$. For (cf. Chapter 4) there is a curve B with EndB non-maximal; the proof of Theorem 3.13 shows $B$ is isogenous to an $A$ with End A maximal, and then Theorem 3.14 shows that B is not of the form $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$.

If we restrict to those A with End A maximal, however, the situation is more interesting. Theorem 3.15 shows that we have an action of the

Brandt groupoid of $E$ on the isomorphism classes of such $A$, but this action will not in general be transitive, even if E is commutative. This is a new phenomenon; as we will see in Chapter 5 , it is closely related to questions of separability.

## CHAPTER 4.

## Elliptic Curves.

The goal of this chapter is to illustrate the theory by studying an important special case in which everything can be computed explicitly. Most of the results are from Deuring's classical paper [6], which has been a model for the whole theory.
4.i. Weil Numbers and Isogeny Classes.

Definition ([6], p. 246). - An elliptic curve is supersingular if its endomorphism ring over $\bar{k}$ is non-commutative.

Theorem 4.1. - The isogeny classes of elliptic curves over $k$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the rational integers $\beta$ having $|\beta| \leq 2 \sqrt{q}$ and satisfying some one of the following conditions:
(1) $(\beta, p)=\mathrm{I}$;
(2) If $a$ is even : $\beta= \pm 2 \sqrt{q}$;
(3) If $a$ is even and $p \neq \mathrm{I} \bmod 3: \beta= \pm \sqrt{q}$;
(4) If $a$ is odd and $p=2$ or $3: \beta= \pm p^{\frac{a+1}{\frac{2}{2}}}$;
(5) If either (i) $a$ is odd or (ii) $a$ is even and $p \not \equiv \mathrm{I} \bmod 4: \beta=\mathrm{o}$.

The first of these are not supersingular; the second are and have all their endomorphisms defined over $k$; the rest are but do not have all their endomorphisms defined over $k$.

Proof. - If we are to get an elliptic curve, then in the notation of Chapter 2 we must have $e f=2 g=2$, so either $f=1, e=2$ or $e=1$, $f=2$. In the first case we have $h_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}=(\mathrm{X}-b)^{2}$, and in the second case $h_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{X}^{2}-\beta \mathrm{X}+q$.

The first case can occur only for $a$ even, and gives us two isogeny classes corresponding to $h_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{X}^{2}-\beta \mathrm{X}+q$ with $\beta= \pm 2 \sqrt{q}$. As we saw before, E is the unique quaternion algebra ramified only at $p$ and $\infty$; the curves are supersingular with all endomorphisms defined.

In the second case $e=\mathrm{I}$, so there are no real primes, and $|\beta|<2 \sqrt{q}$. A root $\pi$ of $\mathrm{X}^{2}-\beta \mathrm{X}+q=0$ is then a Weil number, but it may not give an elliptic curve; for that it must satisfy the additional conditions $a \mid$ ord $\mathrm{P}_{p}(\mathrm{o})$ for the factors $\mathrm{P}_{p}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$. To check these we need to know the decomposition of $p$ in $\Phi=\mathbf{Q}(\pi)=\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{\beta^{2}-4 q}\right)$.

Lemma. - In $\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{\beta^{2}-4 q}\right):$
(土) $p$ ramifies if
(i) $\beta=\mathrm{o}$ and $a$ is odd;
(ii) $\beta=0, a$ is even, and $p=2$;
(iii) $\beta= \pm \sqrt{q}, a$ is even, and $p=3$;
(iv) $\beta= \pm p^{\frac{a+1}{2}}$, a is odd, and $p=2$ or 3 .
(2) $p$ stays prime if
(i) $\beta=\mathrm{o}, a$ is even, and $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$;
(ii) $\beta= \pm \sqrt{q}$, a is even, and $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$.
(3) $p$ splits in all other cases.

Proof. - Write $\beta=p^{\iota} \lambda$, with $\lambda=0$ or $(\lambda, p)=\mathrm{I}$. If $\lambda=\beta=0$ we have $\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{-p^{a}}\right)$. For $a$ odd, $p$ ramifies; for $a$ even, $p=2$ ramifies $p \equiv \mathrm{I} \bmod 4 \mathrm{splits}, p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ stays prime.

If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $2 b<a$, then

$$
\beta^{2}-4 q=\left(p^{b}\right)^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 p^{a-2 b}\right) .
$$

As $\lambda^{2}-4 p^{a-2 b} \equiv \lambda^{2} \bmod 4 p$, the prime $p$ splits. Note that if $|\lambda| \geqslant 2$, then necessarily $2 b<a$, since $\beta^{2}<4 q$.

Say now $\lambda= \pm \mathrm{r}, 2 b \geqslant a$. As $\beta^{2}-4 p^{a}<0$, we have either $2 b=a$ or $2 b=a+1$ with $p=2$ or 3 . The first gives $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-} \overline{3})$, where $p=3$ ramifies, $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$ stays prime, and $p \equiv \mathrm{I} \bmod 3$ splits. The second gives either $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ with $p=2$ ramified or $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ with $p=3$ ramified. ||

Now if $p$ ramifies or stays prime, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is irreducible over $\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$ and we automatically have an elliptic curve. The Weil numbers we get, in the order listed in the lemma, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm i \sqrt{q}, \pm i, \quad \pm 3^{\frac{a}{2}} \frac{1 \pm i \sqrt{3}}{2}, \pm 2^{\frac{a+1}{2}}(\mathrm{x} \pm i), \\
& \pm 3^{\frac{a-1}{2}} \frac{3 \pm i \sqrt{3}}{2^{4}}, \quad \pm i \sqrt{q} \text { and } \pm p^{\frac{a}{2}} \frac{1 \pm i \sqrt{3}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second, second, third, fourth, sixth, second, and third powers of these respectively are rational, so all the curves are supersingular, the rest of their endomorphisms becoming defined over the extension of the stated degree.
(One could deduce without computation that some power of such a $\pi$ is rational. Indeed, since $\pi \bar{\pi}=q$ and there is a unique valuation over $p$ in $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$, we must have ord $\pi=\frac{1}{2}$ ord $q$. Thus all absolute values of $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{q}}$ are 1 , whence it is a root of unity.)

Suppose now $p$ splits as $\mathfrak{p p}^{\prime}$. We have $\mathrm{N} \pi=q$, so $(\pi)=\mathfrak{p}^{m} \mathfrak{p}^{\prime n}$ with $m+n=a$. The invariants at the two primes then are $\frac{m}{a}$ and $\frac{n}{a}$, so for $e=$ ı we need $m=\mathrm{o}$ or $n=\mathrm{o}$. As $\beta=\pi+\bar{\pi}$, the necessary and sufficient condition is that neither $\mathfrak{p}$ nor $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ divide $\beta$, i. e. $(\beta, p)=\mathrm{I}$. In this case $\pi^{s}$ is never rational, since $\left(\pi^{s}\right)=\mathfrak{p}^{a s}$ or $\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)^{a s}$; hence the curves are not supersingular. |

Remark. - We seem to be committing an abuse of language by talking of elliptic curves instead of abelian varieties of dimension r. This is, however, justified by a theorem of F. K. Schmidt ([3], p. 243) which says that every elliptic curve over a finite field has a rational point.

Example. - Over $\boldsymbol{k}=\mathbf{F}_{7}=\mathbf{Z} / 7 \mathbf{Z}$, we have I isogeny classes of elliptic curves $(5<2 \sqrt{7}<6)$, one of them supersingular. Not all its endomorphisms are defined over $k$; indeed, no supersingular curve can have all its endomorphisms defined over a prime field.

Over $\mathbf{F}_{49}$ there are 27 isogeny classes, all values $|\beta| \leq 14$ being admissible except $\beta= \pm 7$. Those coming by extension from $\mathbf{F}_{7}$ are $\beta=-14$, $-\mathbf{1 3},-10,-5,2$, ir ; to see this just note that if $\pi^{2}-\beta \pi+q=o$, then

$$
\left(\pi^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\beta^{2}-2 q\right) \pi^{2}+q^{2}=0
$$

Observe that $\beta$ and $-\beta$ give the same isogeny class in this extension.
Consider the supersingular curves with all endomorphisms defined; as soon as $a$ is even there are two isogeny classes of them, corresponding to $\beta=2 \sqrt{q}$ and $\beta=-2 \sqrt{q}$. When we make a quadratic extension these two fall together : any two supersingular curves are isogenous over a quadratic extension of a field where all their endomorphisms are defined. But the extension which identified these two classes created also a new isogeny class; there are two classes at each stage, even though any two fixed curves eventually become isogenous. It is this sort of non-stable behavior which is overlooked in a treatment like Deuring's which considers only endomorphism rings over $\bar{k}$. Such a treatment also loses sight of the curves with not all endomorphisms defined, which can form as many as three more isogeny classes.

### 4.2. Endomorphism Rings.

Theorem 4.2. - Let E be the endomorphism algebra of an isogeny class of elliptic curves. The orders in E which are endomorphism rings of curves in the class are as follows :
(土) If the curses are supersingular with all endomorphisms defined : the maximal orders;
(2) If the curves are not supersingular : all orders containing $\pi$;
(3) If the curses are supersingular with not all endomorphisms defined: the orders which contain $\pi$ and are maximal at $p$, i.e. have conductors prime to $p$.

Proof. - Take first case (1). It of course suffices to prove that End A is maximal everywhere locally. For $l \neq p, \mathrm{E} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}$ is $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)$ operating on the 2-dimensional $\boldsymbol{Q}_{l}$-space $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$. In $\mathrm{V}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is the lattice $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$. The set of matrices taking $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ to itself is then conjugate to the set taking any other lattice to itself, thus conjugate to $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{l}\right)$, and hence maximal.

For $l=p$, now, $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ is a $2 a$-dimensional space over $\Phi_{v}=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Acting. on it is the algebra

$$
\mathbf{L}\left[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}^{-1}\right] /\left(\mathbf{F}^{a}-\pi\right)=\mathbf{L}[\mathbf{F}] /\left(\mathbf{F}^{a}-\pi\right),
$$

which is central simple of invariant $\frac{1}{2}$ and dimension $a^{2}$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$. It has a unique $2 a$-dimensional representation, so we simply construct such a representation. Passing to a quadratic extension of $k$ leaves E and hence End A unchanged, so we may assume $\pi=p^{\frac{a}{2}}$. Let V be a 2 -dimensional L-space with basis $x, y$. Define

$$
\mathrm{F}(\alpha x+\beta y)=p^{\sigma} x+\alpha^{\sigma} y, \quad \text { where } \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{L}
$$

and $\sigma$ is the Frobenius; this gives an action of $L[F]$ with $F^{a}=p^{\frac{n}{2}}$.
Elements of the commutant in particular commute with the L-action and so are given by $2 \times 2$ matrices over L. Computing the action of F , we find that the commutant comprises the matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & p \beta^{\sigma} \\
\beta & \alpha^{\sigma}
\end{array}\right), \quad \alpha^{\sigma^{3}}=\alpha, \quad \beta^{\sigma^{z}}=\beta .
$$

This is the quaternion algebra, as it should be.
Suppose now T is any lattice in $\dot{\mathrm{V}}$ invariant under W ; it has then a basis of the form $p^{n} x, c x+p^{m} y$ with $c \in \mathrm{~L}$ and either $c=0$ or ord $c<n$. If $\mathrm{FT} \subseteq T$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
p^{n} y=\lambda p^{n} x+\mu c x+\mu p^{m} y \\
p^{n+1} x+c_{\sigma} y=\alpha p^{n} x+\beta c x+\beta p^{m} y
\end{gathered}
$$

for some $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta$ in $W$. The first equation gives ord $\mu=n-m$ and ord $c+$ ord $\mu \geqslant n$, i. e. $n \supseteq m$ and ord $c \supseteq m$. The second gives $\operatorname{ord} \beta=\operatorname{ord} c-m$ and then $m+\mathrm{I} \gtrsim \min \{2 \operatorname{ord} c-m, n\}$ with equality if $2 \operatorname{ord} c-m<n$. The equality cannot hold, since 2 ord $c$ is even while $2 m+\mathrm{I}$ is odd. Thus 2 ord $c-m \supseteq n, \quad m+\mathrm{I} \supseteq n$, which implies ord $c \geq n$ and so $c=0$. Thus $T$ has a basis $p^{n} x, p^{n} y$ or $p^{n} x, p^{n-1} y$, and
so clearly is taken to itself by the maximal order in the commutant (the matrices with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ integral).

Take now case (2). Here $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}(\pi)=2 \pi-\beta$ is divisible neither by $p$ nor by $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$, so $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]$ is maximal at $p$; the same then is true of any endomorphism ring. At $l \neq p, \mathrm{~V}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is free of rank I over the algebra $\mathrm{E}_{l}=\Phi_{l}$, and hence it contains a lattice with any prescribed order in $\Phi$. For the lattice to be invariant under the Galois group it is necessary and sufficient that its order contain $\pi$.

Choose then a curve $A_{0}$ in the isogeny class, and let $R$ be an order containing $\pi$. There are only finitely many primes $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}$ at which $\mathrm{R}_{l} \neq\left(\text { End } \mathrm{A}_{0}\right)_{l}$ since both are lattices. Choose a lattice $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ in $\mathrm{V}_{l_{1}} \mathrm{~A}_{0}$ which contains $\mathrm{T}_{l_{1}} \mathrm{~A}_{0}$ and has order $\mathrm{R}_{l_{1}}$, and let $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ be the quotient of $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ by the finite $l_{1}$-power subgroup $\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{T}_{l_{1}} \mathrm{~A}_{0}$. Then at $l \neq l_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ has the same $\mathrm{T}_{l}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{l_{1}} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \simeq \mathrm{~L}_{1}$, so End $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ is now correct at $l_{1}$ and unchanged elsewhere. Repeat this for $l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n}$.

Take finally case (3). At $l \neq p$ the same argument as in case (2) shows that we can get any order containing $\pi$. At $p$ however I claim the order must be maximal. Indeed, a base field extension gives a quaternion algebra where we know the order is maximal. Being a division algebra, the quaternion algebra at $p$ has a unique maximal order comprising all integral elements, and the intersection of that with any subfield is the maximal order there. But the argument at the end of Chapter 2 shows that this intersection gives the endomorphism ring over $k$. I

Porism 4.3. - The foregoing in fact proves a more general statement. Let E be the endomorphism algebra of an isogeny class of abelian varieties, and assume E is commutative. Let R be any order in E containing $\pi$. Then there is a variety $A$ in the isogeny class with $(\operatorname{End} A)_{l}=\mathrm{R}_{l}$ for all primes $l \neq p$.

Example. - The restriction of maximality at $p$ in case (3) is not vacuous. Indeed, in the course of Theorem 4.1 we proved that $\pi=3 \frac{1-\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ corresponds to a supersingular elliptic curve over $\mathbf{F}_{9}$. Here $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]$ has conductor 3 in the maximal order and so is not a possible endomorphism ring.

Corollary 4.4. - A supersingular elliptic curve has no point of order $p$ in $\mathrm{A}(\bar{k})$, while one not supersingular is ordinary and has $p$ points killed by $p$.

Proof. - If the curve is supersingular we may assume all its endomorphisms are defined, in which case our computation shows that $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{L}[\mathrm{F}]$-module; hence $\mathrm{A}(p)$ cannot have an étale summand. If now A is not supersingular, we know that $\pi$ is a unit at one of the valuations $\rho$ over $p$. Hence $F$ is invertible on $T_{v} \subset V_{v}$, since $\mathrm{F}^{a}=\pi$. Thus one half of $\mathrm{A}(p)$ is étale, giving $p$ points killed by $p$ in $\mathrm{A}(\bar{k})$.

### 4.3. Class Groups and Isomorphism Classes.

Theorem 4.5. - Let E be the endomorphism algebra of an isogeny class of elliptic curves, R an order in it which is a possible endomorphism ring. Then every ideal of R is a kernel ideal for esery A with $\operatorname{End} \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{R}$.

If E is commutative, the isomorphism classes of curves with endomorphism ring R form a principal homogeneous space over the ideal class group of R .

If E is noncommutative, the number of isomorphism classes equals the class number of R (the classes are a homogeneous space for the Brandt groupoid). Each R has one or two isomorphism classes of curves with order R , according as the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in R with $\mathfrak{p}^{2}=p$ is or is not principal.

Proof. - First we show that every ideal is a kernel ideal. For E noncommutative this follows from Proposition 3.15, so we may assume E commutative. We must show then that an ideal $I$ is determined by the sets $\cap\left\{\rho^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A} \mid \rho \in \mathrm{I}\right\}$ and $\Sigma\left\{\rho \mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} \mid \rho \in \mathrm{I}\right\}=\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$. At $p$ the order is maximal, so $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ is a sum of free modules and $\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ determines the localization of I at $p$.

For $l \neq p$ it is perhaps easier to understand the situation if we dualize. Let $\mathrm{X}_{l}(\mathrm{~A})$ be the $Q_{l}$-dual space of $\mathrm{V}_{l}(\mathrm{~A})$, and $\mathrm{S}_{l}(\mathrm{~A})$ the dual lattice of $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$. Then the dual of $\varphi_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~T}_{l}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I}))$ is simply I. $\mathrm{S}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$. Now R is an order in a quadratic number field, and $\mathrm{S}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ like $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is a rank one module whose order is $R_{l}$. It therefore is invertible, i. e. free; this is a pleasant feature of orders in quadratic fields. Hence I. $S_{l} A$ does determine the localization of I at $l$.

Take E commutative. As already remarked, the ideals of R with order $R$ are all invertible, and conversely all invertible ideals of $R$ have order R. These ideals modulo scalar multiplication form the ideal class group of R. Theorems 3.9, 3.11 and 3.12 show that this ideal class group operates freely on the isomorphism classes of curves with order R. What we must do is show that there is only one orbit.

For this we let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $A$ with $\operatorname{End} A / G=R$; we claim $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ for some ideal I . At $l \neq p, \mathrm{G}$ corresponds to a lattice including $T_{l} A$, or to a sublattice of $S_{l} A$. Since $S_{l} A$ is free of rank one, this is indeed given by $\mathrm{I}_{l} . \mathrm{S}_{l}$ A for some local ideal $\mathrm{I}_{l}$. At $p$, we know $\mathrm{R}_{p}$ is maximal, and the fact that we get all the lattices from ideals is a special case of a computation we will do in Chapter 5; we therefore omit it here. As $G$ is finite, $I_{l}=\mathbf{R}_{l}$ for all but finitely many $l$. Hence there is a lattice I whose localizations are the $\mathrm{I}_{l}$; it is an ideal because it is one locally, and clearly then $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$.

Finally, suppose E noncommutative. As before the ideal classes operate on the isomorphism classes. Again we must show there is only one orbit, and again we simply look at lattices. At $l \neq p$ we have (as we
saw) $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{l}\right)$ acting on $\mathbf{Z}_{l} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{l}$, and obviously every lattice here is given by some ideal. At $p$ we computed all the invariant lattices in the course of proving Theorem 4.2, and they obviously are all given by powers of the maximal ideal generated by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & p \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

This proves all but the very last statement. To get it, take an A with End $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{R}$. By Proposition 3.4, all the other B we want can be gotten from ideals I having $i_{\mathrm{B}}^{-1} \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I}))=\mathrm{R}$; by Proposition 3.9, these are the two-sided ideals. But every two-sided ideal in $R$ has the form $n \mathrm{R}$ or $n \mathfrak{p}$ ([6], p. 263); these represent one ideal class if $p$ is principal, two otherwise. |

Remark. - The correspondence between ideal classes and isomorphism classes has one interesting consequence. As we saw earlier, a base field extension can leave endomorphism algebras unchanged and still make two isogeny classes fall together. But if two curves are isogenous and not isomorphic, no base field extension leaving their endomorphism rings unchanged can make them isomorphic.

Example: Elliptic curves over $\mathbf{F}_{7}$. - With isogeny classes indexed by $\beta$ as before, we have the following orders :
$\beta=0, \pi=\sqrt{-7}$. The order $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]$ has index 2 ; both it and the maximal order have class number $h=\mathrm{r}$;
$\beta= \pm \mathbf{I}, \pi=\frac{1 \pm 3 \sqrt{-3}}{2}$. The order $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]$ has index 3 ; for it and the maximal order, $h=\mathrm{I}$;

$$
\beta= \pm 2, \pi=\mathrm{x} \pm \sqrt{-6} . \quad \text { Here } \mathbf{z}[\pi] \text { is maximal, } h=2
$$

$$
\beta= \pm 3, \pi=\frac{3 \pm \sqrt{-19}}{2} . \quad \text { Again } \mathbf{z}[\pi] \text { is maximal, but } h=\mathbf{I}
$$

$\beta= \pm 4, \pi=2 \pm \sqrt{-3}$. The order $\mathbf{z}[\pi]$ has index 2 ; for it and the maximal order, $h=\mathrm{I}$;

$$
\beta= \pm 5, \pi=\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{-3}}{2} . \quad \text { Here } \mathbf{z}[\pi] \text { is maximal, } h=\mathbf{I}
$$

All in all there are 18 isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbf{F}_{7}$. Note that the case of $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]$ for $\beta=0$ shows that the endomorphism ring of a supersingular curve with not all endomorphisms defined need not be the maximal order in $E$, even though it is the intersection with $E$ of a maximal order in the quaternion algebra.

We owe it to the reader now to mention a fact which has thus far been suppressed. Elliptic curves are simple enough that, besides studying them via their endomorphism rings, one can put them in normal forms and study those. If $p \neq 2,3$ for example, any curve is isomorphic to one of the form $Y^{2}=X^{3}+A X+B$, two of these being isomorphic iff
$\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\mathrm{AC}^{4}, \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}=\mathrm{BC}^{6}$ for some $\mathrm{C} \in k$ ([3], p. 2II). (The condition that a curve of this form be nonsingular, and so give an elliptic curve, is the well-known $4 \mathrm{~A}^{3}+{ }_{27} \mathrm{~B}^{2} \neq \mathrm{o}$.) Using this we can check the number of isomorphism classes over $\mathbf{F}_{7}$. The normal form also yields the $j$-invariant and so lends itself to computing the number of classes which fall together over $\bar{k}$; for this use ([9], §2).

The two approaches are complementary, not equivalent; comparing them gives interesting relations on class numbers ([6], § 10). Presumably more such relations could be found from moduli for other abelian varieties.

## CHAPTER 5.

## Principal Varieties.

Definition. - An abelian pariety A is principal if it is elementary, E is commutative, and EndA is the maximal order in E.
(Using the results of Chapter 2, it is easy to verify that this agrees with the definition in [17] where the algebra of complex multiplication is taken as all of E.)

Theorem 5.1. - Let A be principal. Then the ideal class group of $\mathrm{R}=i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{End} \mathrm{A})$ acts freely on the isomorphism classes of principal sarieties isogenous to A .

The number of orbits is $\prod_{v} \mathrm{~N}_{s}$, where o ranges through the saluations of E over $p$, and $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ is defined as follosss. Let $e_{,}$and $f_{0}$ be the ramification index and residue degree of $\mathrm{E}_{v}$ over $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and set $g_{p}=\left(f_{p}, a\right)$. Then $\mathrm{N}_{v}$ is the number of ordered $g_{o}$-tuples ( $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{g_{0}}$ ) satisfying

$$
0 \leq n_{1}, n_{\Omega}, \ldots, n_{g_{v}} \leq e_{0}
$$

and

$$
\sum n_{i}=\frac{g_{v}, \operatorname{ord}_{,} \pi}{a}
$$

Furthermore, $t \times p$ parieties are in the same orbit if and only if there is a separable isogeny between them.

Proof. - The idea of the proof is simply to construct the representation of $\mathrm{E}_{p}$ on $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ in a manageable form and compute the lattices involved. We already know every ideal is a kernel ideal; and Theorem 3.11 shows that the class group acts, and that every orbit is a principal homogeneous space. Our basic concern then will be with the number of orbits. Note also that in any ideal class there is an ideal prime to $p$; Theorem 3.15
then shows that the corresponding isogeny has degree prime to $p$, and hence is separable. Thus two curves in the same orbit are connected by separable isogenies.

At $l \neq p, \mathrm{~T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ is rank one over $\mathrm{R}_{l}$, and so is free since $\mathrm{R}_{l}$ is semi-local and integrally closed. Hence just as before every other lattice can be gotten from it by an ideal of $\mathbf{R}_{l}$. Thus the question of counting orbits involves only $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$. All ideals in $\mathrm{R}_{p}$ are principal, so we must count the number of admissible lattices in $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ modulo scalar multiplication.

We first must construct the representation. There is one 2 g -dimensional L-space naturally given to us, namely $\mathrm{L} \otimes_{\mathbf{a}_{p}} \mathrm{E}_{p}$. Now $\mathrm{E}_{p}=\oplus \mathrm{E}_{r}$, and $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{p} \simeq \bigoplus\left(\mathrm{~L} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{\varphi}\right)$. On this we have L acting by left multiplication, $\mathrm{E}_{p}$ by right multiplication.

The field $\mathrm{L} \cap \mathrm{E}_{v}$ has degree $g_{v}=\left(f_{v}, a\right)$, and $\mathrm{LE} \mathrm{E}_{v}$ over $\mathrm{E}_{\varphi}$ has degree $a / g_{v}$. Then $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{p}$ is a sum of $g^{\prime}$, copies of the composite extension :

$$
\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{v} \sim \mathrm{LE}_{p} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathrm{LE}_{v} .
$$

If $\sigma$ is the Frobenius of L over $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, the map giving this identification is

$$
\omega \otimes \beta \mapsto\left\langle\omega \beta, \sigma(\omega) \beta, \ldots, \sigma_{v}^{v_{v}-1}(\omega) \beta\right\rangle .
$$

An element $\lambda$ in $L$ acts on the direct sum then by the diagonal matrix

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda, \sigma(\lambda), \ldots, \sigma_{v}^{\sigma_{v}-1}(\lambda)\right)
$$

Furthermore, $\sigma$ acting on the L factor of the tensor product takes the $\omega \otimes \beta$ above to

$$
\left\langle\sigma(\omega) \beta, \sigma^{2}(\omega) \beta, \ldots, \sigma_{0}^{*}(\omega) \beta\right\rangle .
$$

Here $\sigma^{j^{\nu}}(\omega) \beta=\tau(\omega \beta)$, where $\tau$ is the Frobenius of $\mathrm{LE}_{\rho}$ over $\mathrm{E}_{\rho}$; thus $\sigma$ acts by a cyclic permutation followed by $\tau$ in the last place.

Since $E$ is commutative we have $a \mid f_{0}, \operatorname{ord}_{p} \pi$, whence $a / g_{p}$ divides $\operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi$. This is precisely the condition for $\pi$ to be a norm in the extension $\mathrm{LE}_{\varphi} / \mathrm{E}_{\varphi}$, which is unramified of degree $a / \mathrm{g}_{v}$. Hence we can choose an $\alpha \in \mathrm{LE}_{v}$ with

$$
\mathrm{N} \alpha=\alpha \alpha^{\tau} \ldots \alpha^{\tau^{-1+\frac{n}{\varepsilon_{v}}}}=\pi .
$$

Let $u=\langle\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}, \ldots, \mathrm{r}, \alpha\rangle \in \oplus \mathrm{LE}_{\varsigma}$, and define

$$
\mathrm{F}=u \sigma
$$

Then $F \lambda=\lambda^{\sigma} F$ for all $\lambda \in L$, and

$$
\mathrm{F}^{a}=(u \sigma)^{a}=u u^{\sigma} \ldots u^{\sigma u-1} \sigma^{a}=\mathrm{N} u=\langle\mathrm{N} \alpha, \ldots, \mathrm{~N} \alpha\rangle=\pi
$$

Thus we have constructed the algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{v}$ acting on $\oplus \mathrm{LE}_{p}$. As this space has the right dimension, it is isomorphic to the $\varphi$-component of $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$.

Now we must find the lattices in $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ invariant under $\mathrm{W}[\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{V}]$ and also under $R_{p}$. But $R_{p}$ is the direct sum $\oplus R_{\text {o }}$ of the maximal orders in $\mathrm{E}_{c}$, and in particular it contains the projections onto components. Hence any invariant lattice is a sum of invariant components. We may therefore restrict to a single component, and later multiply together the number of classes in each.

Since $L$ is unramified, $W \otimes R_{v}$ is the maximal order in $L \otimes E_{c}$, and so in $\oplus \mathrm{LE}_{v}$ goes onto $\oplus \mathcal{O}_{p}$, the sum of the maximal orders. Thus any lattice in $\oplus \mathrm{LE}_{v}$ invariant under W and $\mathrm{R}_{v}$ is a $\oplus \mathcal{O}_{p}$-module, i. e. a fractional ideal in each summand. It thus has the form $\left(\oplus \mathcal{O}_{v}\right)$ $\left\langle t^{z_{1}}, t^{z_{2}}, \ldots, t^{z_{s}}\right\rangle$, where $t$ is a uniformizer of $\mathrm{LE}_{\varphi}$. We can choose $t$ to lie in $\mathrm{E}_{6}$, and so be invariant under -.

It is easy to write out the condition that the lattice be invariant under $F$ and V. We have

$$
\mathrm{F}\left\langle t^{z_{1}} \ldots t^{\varepsilon_{B}}\right\rangle=\left\langle t^{z_{3}} \ldots t^{\varepsilon_{5}}, \alpha t^{\varepsilon_{1}}\right\rangle
$$

This must lie in the lattice, giving the conditions

$$
\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{n} \leq \varepsilon_{3} \leq \cdots \leq \varepsilon_{5} \leq \varepsilon_{1}+\operatorname{ord} \alpha .
$$

We note

$$
\operatorname{ord} \alpha=\frac{\operatorname{ord} \pi}{\left|\mathrm{LE}_{v}: \mathrm{E}_{v}\right|}=\frac{g_{v}, \operatorname{ord}_{\nu} \pi}{a} .
$$

Similarly, since $\mathrm{V}=p \mathrm{~F}^{-1}$, we get

$$
\mathrm{V}\left\langle t^{z_{1}} \ldots t^{z_{s}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} p t^{z_{3}}, p t^{z_{1}}, \ldots, p t^{\varepsilon_{s-1}}\right\rangle,
$$

giving the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{v}+\varepsilon_{1} \geq \varepsilon_{\Omega}, \quad & e_{v}+\varepsilon_{2} \geq \varepsilon_{3}, \quad \ldots, \quad e_{v}+\varepsilon_{g-1} \geq \varepsilon_{g} \\
& e_{v}+\varepsilon_{g}-\operatorname{ord} \alpha \geq \varepsilon_{1} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

here we have used ord $p=e_{\rho}$, ord $\tau^{-1} \frac{1}{\alpha}=-\operatorname{ord} \alpha$.
The conditions become simpler if we introduce integers

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=\varepsilon_{9}-\varepsilon_{1}, \quad n_{2}=\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{2}, \quad \ldots, \quad n_{g-1}=\varepsilon_{g}-\varepsilon_{b-1}, \\
n_{g}=\frac{g_{5} \text { ord }_{3} \pi}{a}-n_{1}-n_{2}-\ldots-n_{5-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We then have simply

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq n_{i} \leq e_{\varphi}, \\
\sum n_{i}=\frac{g_{v}, \text { ord }, \pi}{a} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Two lattices can be taken to each other by scalars from $R_{r}$ if and only if the corresponding $\varepsilon_{i}$ all differ by the same constant, since $R_{r}$ acts diagonally on $\oplus \mathrm{LE}_{\varphi}$. This difference is precisely what drops out when we pass to the $n_{i}$, so we have now computed the number of orbits.

Finally suppose we can get from A to another variety by separable isogeny. On the lattices this does something (it matters not what) at $l \neq p$ and replaces $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ by a lattice differing from it only in the étale components. Now on an étale component $F$ is invertible, so $\pi=F^{a}$ is a unit and $\operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi=0$. Hence $\mathrm{N}_{v}=1$ and all lattices in that component are in the same orbit. Thus A is changed only to a variety in the same orbit of the class group.

Without exploring in any detail the behavior of the $\mathrm{N}_{\rho}$, we can at least make one observation. If ord $\pi=0$, ord $\pi=a e_{v}=\operatorname{ord} q$, or $g_{v}=\mathrm{r}$, we have $\mathrm{N}_{v}=1$; and it is easy to see that these are the only cases for which $\mathrm{N}_{v}=\mathrm{I}$. Now pass to the extension of $k$ with degree $s$, assuming that E stays unchanged. Then $f_{0}$ and $e_{v}$ stay the same, but $a$ is replaced by $s a$ and hence $g_{v}$ may increase. If we assume that $E$ is the endomorphism algebra over $\bar{k}$, then eventually $g_{\nu}=f_{p}$. Thus the number of orbits remains equal to 1 if and only if, for every $\varphi$, either $\pi$ is a unit, $q \pi^{-1}$ is a unit, or $\mathrm{E}_{p}$ is totally ramified over $\boldsymbol{Q}_{p}$.

At this point the reader clearly deserves an example of a case with more than one orbit. Here is one having 9 orbits; all of its endomorphisms are defined over $k$.

Example. - Consider $x^{3}-\overline{3} x-1 . M o d_{2}$ this is $x^{3}+x+1$, which gives the cubic extension of $\boldsymbol{F}_{2}$. Hence it is irreducible over $\boldsymbol{Q}_{2}$, and a root of it gives the cubic unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$. It is a fortiori irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$. Solving by the usual formula we find that it has the three real roots $2 \cos \frac{\pi}{18}, 2 \cos \frac{7 \pi}{18}, 2 \cos \frac{13 \pi}{18}$. All three are less than 2 in absolute value.

Let $\beta$ be 2 times a root of this equation. As the extension at $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$ is unramified, there is a single valuation over 2 in $\boldsymbol{Q}(\beta)$, and $\operatorname{ord} 2=\operatorname{ord} \beta=\mathrm{I}$. All absolute values of conjugates of $\beta$ are $<4<2 \sqrt{8}$. Thus if we let $\pi$ be a root of $\pi^{2}-\beta \pi+8=0$, $\pi$ will be a Weil number corresponding to an isogeny class of abelian varieties over $\mathbf{F}_{8}$. We have $\beta^{3}-12 \beta-8=0$, so the minimal equation for $\pi$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ is

$$
P(X)=X^{6}+21 X^{4}-X^{3}+168 X^{2}+8^{3} .
$$

Over $\mathbf{Q}_{2}(\beta)$ the equation $\pi^{2}-\beta \pi+8$ must factor; for otherwise its two conjugate roots would have the same order, which is clearly impossible since their sum $\beta$ has order I . In fact, its roots $\pi, \pi$ must satisfy ord $\pi=\mathrm{I}$, ord $\bar{\pi}=2$. In other words, there are two valuations on $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ over 2 , with $\operatorname{ord}_{1} \pi=1, \operatorname{ord}_{2} \pi=2$. Then $\mathbf{Q}(\pi) \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}_{2}$ splits into two copies of $\mathbf{Q}_{2}(\beta)$, each giving $e_{v}=$ I and $f_{p}=3$. There are no real primes, and at the two valuations over 2 we have $a=3$ dividing $f_{p} \operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi=3 \operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi$. Therefore E is commutative, $\mathrm{E}=\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$.

We have $g_{v}=\left(a, f_{r}\right)=3$ for both $\rho$. At $\nu_{1}$ we have $\frac{g_{v} \operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi}{a}=1$, so $\mathrm{N}_{v}$ is the number of solutions of $\mathrm{o} \leq n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3} \leq \mathrm{I}, \Sigma n_{i}=1$; thus $\mathrm{N}_{v}=3$. For $\varphi_{2}$ we have $\frac{g_{v} \operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi}{a}=2$, and again $\mathrm{N}_{v}=3$. (It is easy to see in general that $\pi$ and $\bar{\pi}=q / \pi$ will give the same N.) Thus there are 9 orbits for the class group.

Finally we must show that no base field extension can change E; equivalently, no power of $\pi$ can fall into a proper subfield of $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$. Now the subfields of $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ are $\mathbf{Q}$, the unique $\mathbf{Q}(\beta)$ of degree 3 , and possibly a quadratic extension of $\mathbf{Q}$. The powers of $\pi$ have different valuations at $\varphi_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$, so they can never lie in the field $\mathbf{Q}(\beta)$ which has a unique valuation over 2. We can finish things off then by proving simply that $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ does not have a quadratic subfield.

Suppose to the contrary $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m}) \subseteq \mathbf{Q}(\pi)$. Then $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)=\mathbf{Q}(\beta, \sqrt{m})$, so $X^{2}-\beta X+8$ has a root

$$
\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \sqrt{m}, \quad \alpha_{i} \in \mathbf{Q}(\beta), \quad \alpha_{1} \neq \mathbf{o} .
$$

This means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \alpha_{11} \alpha_{1}-\beta \alpha_{1}=0, \\
& \alpha_{11}^{2}+m \alpha_{1}^{2}-\beta \alpha_{0}+8=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which reduces to

$$
\beta^{2}-32=n \alpha_{1}^{2}
$$

with $n=4 \mathrm{~m}$. Writing $\alpha_{1}=b+c \beta+d \beta^{2}$ and recalling $\beta^{3}={ }_{12} \beta+8$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n\left(b^{2}+16 c d\right)=-32, \\
& n\left(8 d^{2}+2 b c+24 c d\right)=0, \\
& n\left(c^{2}+12 d^{2}+2 b d\right)=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which give us

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 d^{2}+b c+12 c d=0, \\
0 \neq b^{2}+16 c d=-32\left(c^{2}+12 d^{2}+2 b d\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The second of these is impossible if $d=\mathrm{o}$, so $d \neq \mathrm{o}$; by homogeneity we may set $d=1$. Then $c(b+12)=-4$, so $u=b+12 \neq 0$. Solving for $b$ and $c$ in terms of $u$, we get

$$
u^{2}+40 u^{3}-240 u^{2}-64 u+512=0 .
$$

Any root of this would be $\pm 2^{r}, r \leq 9$; in fact $r \leq 3$ because otherwise all terms but 5 I 2 are divisible by $2^{10}$. The only solution $\bmod 7$ is $u \equiv 3$, so $u=-4$ is the only possibility, and it is not a root. Thus the supposition is untenable, and there is no quadratic subfield.

One consequence of Theorem 5.1 is that on principal varieties, separable isogeny is an equivalence relation. This, however, is true in general.

Theorem 5.2. - For abelian sarieties oper a finite field, separable isogeny is an equivalence relation.

Proof. - Let $\varphi: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ be a separable isogeny, with ker $\varphi$ the finite étale subgroup $G$. We must prove there is a separable isogeny $B \rightarrow A$. If $n=\operatorname{rank} G$ is prime to $p, G$ is contained in $\operatorname{ker}\left(n . \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, and there is a $\psi: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ with $\psi . \varphi=n$; since $n . \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is separable, $\psi$ is also. In general we can divide $A$ by the direct factor of $G$ of order prime to $p$, and the result will be a variety having a separable isogeny to $A$. Replacing $A$ by this, we may assume $G$ is an étale $p$-power group.

Since G has Dieudonné module $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} / \varphi_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~B}, \varphi_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~B}$ contains all of $\left(\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{0}$. Let $p^{r}=\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{G}$, and let $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ be the kernel of $p^{r}$ on $\mathrm{A}(p)^{e t}$, i. e. all points in $\mathrm{A}(k)$ killed by $p^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\mathrm{G} \subseteq \mathrm{G}_{1}$, so the map $\rho: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ factors as $\psi \stackrel{\circ}{ }, \psi: \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ separable.

We have now $p_{p} \mathrm{~T}_{p}\left(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{G}_{1}\right)=p^{r} \mathrm{~T}_{p}(\mathbf{A})^{e t} \oplus \mathrm{~T}_{p}(\mathbf{A})^{n}$. Clearly this is isomorphic as an $\mathcal{C}$-module to $\mathrm{T}_{p}(\mathrm{~A})$. That is, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{c l}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{G}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ contains an isomorphism. The set of isomorphisms then is open and so contains an element $\tau$ from $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G}_{1}\right)$. Then $\tau: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ is an isogeny with $\tau_{p}$ an isomorphism, whence $\operatorname{deg} \tau$ is prime to $p$. By the earlier argument there is a separable isogeny $A / G_{1} \rightarrow A$, and we compose it with $\psi$.

I am told that Shimura has a counterexample to this statement when $k$ is not finite.

The methods of Theorem 5.1 can be used in other situations, limited only by the reader's patience in calculating lattices. As an example, we prove a result recently derived by Shimura using other methods.

Theorem 5.3. - Let A be an elementary abelian pariety with E commutative. Let K be the totally real subfield of index 2 in E , and assume that $p$ splits completely in K . Assume also that $\mathrm{R}=i_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{End} \mathrm{A})$ contains the maximal order of K . Then :

1. $\mathrm{R}_{p}$ is maximal;
2. The class group of R operates freely on the isomorphism classes with order R ; there are $2^{s}$ orbits, where $s$ is the number of prime factors of $p$ in K staying prime in E ;
3. Two classes are in the same orbit if and only if there is a separable isogeny betspeen them.

Proof. - Here, as for elliptic curves, any rank i R-module with order R is invertible; this is easy to prove directly, and is also a special case of a theorem in [4]. Thus it makes sense to talk about the class group. Also, every ideal I has its localizations at $l \neq p$ determined, and every lattice away from $p$ can be obtained from an ideal. What we will do is look
closely at the invariant lattices at $p$ and deduce that $\mathrm{R}_{p}$ is the maximal order. All the results will then follow from the argument of Theorem 5.1.

We group together the valuations $\varphi$ over $p$ having the same restriction $\varphi \mathrm{K}$ to K . If $\varphi \mathrm{K}$ ramifies or is undecomposed, so there is a unique $\varphi$ over it, then $\pi \pi^{\prime}=q=p^{a}$ gives $\operatorname{ord}_{p} \pi=\frac{a}{2} \operatorname{ord}_{r} p$. If $\rho \mathrm{K}$ ramifies this is $a$; if $\varphi \mathrm{K}$ is undecomposed it is $\frac{a}{2}$ (and $a$ is even). If $\varphi \mathrm{K}$ splits into $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{\rho} \pi+\operatorname{ord}_{p} \pi=a$. As E is commutative, $a \mid f_{v} \operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi$. This is automatic in the first two cases, but in the third (since $f_{v}=f_{v^{\prime}}=\mathbf{1}$ ) it gives $\operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi=a$, $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{\prime}} \pi=0$.

Inside $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{p}=\mathrm{L} \otimes\left(\oplus \mathrm{E}_{r}\right)$ is the subalgebra $\mathrm{L} \otimes\left(\oplus \mathrm{K}_{v \mathrm{k}}\right)$. Group
 summands in each. Since R contains the maximal order of $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{R}_{p}$ contains the projections on these summands, and an invariant lattice is a sum of invariant parts. Hence we can restrict ourselves to a single $\rho \mathrm{K}$.

We have in all cases $\mathrm{K}_{v \mathrm{~K}}=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and thus $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{K}_{v \mathrm{~K}}=\mathrm{L}$. Suppose first $\mathrm{E}_{\iota}$ is an unramified extension. Then $\operatorname{ord}_{s} \pi=\frac{a}{2}, a$ is even, and $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{\imath} \simeq \mathrm{L} \oplus \mathrm{L} . \quad$ As before there is an $\alpha \in \mathrm{W}$ with norm $\pi$ in $\mathrm{E}_{v} \subseteq \mathrm{~L}$, and $\operatorname{ord}_{v} \alpha=\mathrm{I}$. We set $u=\langle\mathrm{I}, \alpha\rangle, \mathrm{F}=u \sigma$. Suppose now we have a W-lattice invariant under F and V . As a W-lattice it has a basis ( $p^{n}, o$, $\left(\mu, p^{m}\right)$ with $\mu=0$ or ord $\mu<n$. If $\mathrm{F}\left(p^{n}, o\right)$ is in the lattice, then

$$
\left(0, \alpha p^{n}\right)=\left(\gamma p^{n} ; 0\right)+\left(\delta \mu, \delta^{\sigma} p^{m}\right) \quad \text { for some } \quad \gamma, \delta \in W
$$

this gives us $m \leq n+\mathrm{I}$ and $\operatorname{ord} \mu \geq m-\mathrm{I}$. If

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(\mu, p^{m \prime}\right) \equiv\left(p^{m}, \alpha \mu^{\sigma}\right)=\left(\gamma p^{\mu}, o\right)+\left(\partial \mu, \delta \rho^{\prime} \mu^{m}\right)
$$

we get

$$
\text { ord } \delta=1+\operatorname{ord} \mu-m \quad \text { and then } \quad m \geq \min \{1+2 \operatorname{ord} \mu-m, n\}
$$

with equality if the first is smaller. If ord $\mu<m$ the first is $\leq m-\mathrm{I}$, impossible since $n \gtrsim m$. Thus ord $\mu \searrow m$, so the first is $\searrow m$, whence $m \geqq n$. Hence $n=m \leqq$ ord $\mu, \mu=o$, and the lattice basis is $\left(p^{n}, o\right)$, $\left(o, p^{n}\right)$. This clearly is invariant under the full maximal order of $\mathrm{E}_{\varphi}$.

Suppose next $\mathrm{E}_{p}$ is ramified. Then $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{p}=\mathrm{LE}_{r}$, a field. Choose $\alpha$ in it with $\mathrm{N} \alpha=\pi$, whence ord $_{c} \alpha=\mathrm{I}$. Choose $c$ in $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ Eisenstein, i.e.

$$
c^{2}=r_{1} c+r_{2}, \quad \text { with } \quad p\left|r_{1}, p^{2}\right| r_{2}, p^{2} \mid r_{2},
$$

so $\operatorname{ord} c=\mathrm{I}$ and $c$ generates the maximal order of $\mathrm{E}_{o}$ over $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$. Let $\alpha=d+b c, d, b \in L ;$ then $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathbf{L}} b=o, \operatorname{ord}_{\mathbf{L}} d>o . \quad$ Any W-lattice in LE, has a basis of the form $p^{n}, \mu+p^{m} c$ with $\mu \in L, \mu=0$ or $\operatorname{ord}_{L} \mu<n$. Suppose $\mathrm{F} p^{n}=\alpha p^{n}=d p^{n}+b p^{n} c$ is in the lattice; that gives $n \geq m$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{L}} \mu \geq m$. We may divide by $p^{m}$ and assume $m=0$.

$$
\mathbf{F}(\mu+c)=\left(d \mu^{\sigma}+b r_{2}\right)+\left(d+b \mu^{\sigma}+b r_{1}\right) c ;
$$

suppose this is $\gamma p^{n}+\delta \mu+\delta c$. Then $\delta=d+b \mu^{\sigma}+b r_{1}$, and the other terms must give $d \mu^{\sigma}+b r_{2}$. If $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{L}} \mu=0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{L}} \delta=0$; but $\operatorname{ord}\left(d \mu^{\sigma}+b r_{2}\right)>o$, so $\operatorname{ord}\left(\gamma p^{n}\right)=o, n=o, \mu=0$ and we have the basis r, $c$. If $\operatorname{ord}_{\text {L. }} \mu>0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(d \mu^{\sigma}+b r_{2}\right)=$ I. Hence $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathrm{L}} \delta \mu \geq 2$, so $n \leq \mathrm{I}$. Again $\mu=0$. We have thus the lattices $\mathrm{I}, c$ and $p, c$; these are taken to themselves by $c$, and so by the entire maximal order.

Suppose finally E splits over $\mathbf{K}_{v \mathbf{k}}$. We have

$$
\mathrm{L} \otimes\left(\mathbf{E}_{v} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{v^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{L} \oplus \mathrm{~L}, \quad \text { with } \quad \alpha_{1} \in \mathrm{E}_{v}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathrm{E}_{v^{\prime}}
$$

satisfying $\mathrm{N} \alpha_{i}=\pi$. Here ord $\alpha_{1}=1$, ord $\alpha_{2}=0 . \quad \mathrm{F}=(\alpha, \alpha)(\sigma, \sigma) . \quad \mathrm{A}$ W-lattice again has the form $\left(p^{n}, o\right),\left(\mu, p^{m}\right)$ with $\mu=0$ or ord $\mu<n$. Now

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(\mu, p^{m}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1} \mu^{\tau}, \alpha_{2} p^{m}\right) ;
$$

for this to be in the lattice we need $p^{n} \mid \alpha_{1} \mu^{\sigma}-\alpha_{2} \mu$. This implies $p^{n} \mid \mu$, and we are through.

Remarks. - 1. With E as in the above theorem, any order R containing $\pi$ and the maximal order of K actually occurs as an endomorphism ring : cf. Porism 4.3.
2. The theorem fails without the hypothesis on the splitting of $p$ in K . Indeed, let $E$ be the field of the Example (after 5.1). Carrying out the computation shows that

$$
\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{p}=\mathrm{L} \otimes(\mathrm{~L} \oplus \mathrm{~L}) \simeq(\mathbf{L} \oplus \mathrm{L}) \oplus(\mathrm{L} \oplus \mathbf{L}) \oplus(\mathrm{L} \oplus \mathrm{~L})
$$

contains a W-lattice with basis

$$
(p, \mathrm{o}),(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{1}) ; \quad(p, \mathrm{o}),(\mathrm{o}, \mu) ; \quad(p, \mathrm{o}),\left(0, \mu^{2}\right)
$$

which is taken to itself by F and V and the maximal order of $\mathrm{K}_{p}$ but not by the maximal order of $\mathrm{E}_{p}$.

## CHAPTER 6.

## Elementary Varieties over the Prime Field.

We assume throughout this chapter that our abelian varieties are elementary and are defined over the prime field $\mathbf{F}_{p}$.

Theorem 6.1. - Assume $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ has no real prime. Then:

1. E is commutative;
2. All orders R in E containing $\pi$ and $p \pi^{-1}$ are endomorphism rings;
3. For each such R, the isomorphism classes of isogenous varieties with endomorphism ring R correspond bijectively to the isomorphism classes of lattices in E with order R .

Proof. - Since $a={ }_{\mathrm{I}}, \frac{f_{1}, \text { ord }_{p} \pi}{a}$ is always an integer, and so $\mathrm{E}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}=\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$ is commutative. By Porism 4.3, we can get any order containing $\pi$ at all $l \neq p$. But here $|\mathbf{Q}(\pi): \mathbf{Q}|=2 g=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathrm{~V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$, since $\mathrm{L}=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Thus $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ is free ranki over $\mathrm{E}_{p}$, so at $p$ we can again choose any lattice invariant under $\mathrm{F}=\pi$ and $\mathrm{V}=p \pi^{-1}$. Hence we get all the stated endomorphism rings.

Suppose now such an R is given. For all but finitely many $l, \mathrm{R}_{l}$ will be maximal, and so $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ will be free rank i over it. At the other $l$, and at $p$, we can select a lattice free rank r over $\mathrm{R}_{l}$; by an isogeny then we can get an A with $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ and all $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ free ranki. For such an A clearly every ideal of R is a kernel ideal, and those giving varieties with endomorphism ring $R$ are those whose order is precisely $R$. Once we note that every lattice with order R is isomorphic to an ideal of R , Theorem 3.11 completes the proof

Remarks. - 1. As in the similar situation in [21], it is necessary to pass to the special variety $A$ in the proof. Lattices with order $R$ need not be projective R-modules; in particular, one can find a lattice T and distinct ideals I $\subset \mathrm{J}$ with IT $=\mathrm{JT}(c f$. [4]). This shows that for an appropriately chosen A, not all ideals are kernel ideals, and hence the property of being a kernel ideal depends on the variety chosen.
2. Inside the isogeny class and the class of varieties with order R there is a naturally defined subset : the varieties with $\mathrm{T}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ and all $\mathrm{T}_{l} \mathrm{~A}$ free. From these and only these can we get all others as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$. They form a principal homogeneous space over the class group of $R$ (isomorphism classes of invertible ideals). It would be interesting to know whether there are other special properties that they share.

For completeness we should discuss the case in which there is a real prime. As we know, $\Phi=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ and E is the quaternion algebra over $\Phi$ ramified only at the two real primes. Since $\sqrt{p}$ and $-\sqrt{p}$ are conjugate, there is only one isogeny class.

Theorem 6.2. - The class number of E equals the number of isomorphism classes with endomorphism ring a maximal order. If $p \neq \mathrm{I} \bmod 4$, these are all; if $p \equiv \mathrm{I} \bmod 4$ there are others belonging to orders of index 8 and 16.

Proof. - The prominence of 2 comes from the fact that the endomorphism ring must contain $\mathbf{Z}[\pi]=\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{\bar{p}}]$, which is the maximal order
in $\Phi$ if $p \not \equiv I \bmod 4$ but has index 2 otherwise. For $p \equiv \mathrm{I} \bmod 4$, then, we will have to look more carefully over $\mathbf{Q}_{2}$.

Suppose first we take an $l \neq p$ (and $l \neq 2$ if $p \equiv \mathrm{I} \bmod 4)$. If $l$ stays prime or ramifies in $\Phi$, then $\Phi_{l}$ is a field, and $\mathrm{E} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{l}$ is $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Phi_{l}\right)$ acting on a 2 -dimensional $\Phi_{l}$-space. The endomorphism ring contains $\sqrt{p}$ and so the whole maximal order of $\Phi_{l}$, so the lattices we want are $\Phi_{l}$-lattices. As with elliptic curves, the orders in $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Phi_{l}\right)$ preserving them are maximal, and all the lattices are conjugate.

If $l$ splits in $\Phi$, then $\Phi_{l}=\mathbf{Q}_{l} \oplus \mathbf{Q}_{l}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{l}$ is $\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{l}\right) \oplus \mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)$ in its natural representation. Since we have the maximal order in $\Phi_{l}$, we have projections on the summands, and any admissible lattice is a sum of admissible parts. Hence we get a maximal order in each $\mathbf{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{l}\right)$, i. e. a maximal order in $\mathrm{E}_{\ell}$, and again we can pass from any lattice to any other.

At $p$ we have a field $\Phi_{p}$. Over $\mathrm{L}=\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathrm{~V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ is a 4-dimensional space, and $\mathfrak{B}$ acts on it through an algebra central simple of degree I ; that is, $\mathfrak{G}_{p}=\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Thus $\mathrm{V}_{p} \mathrm{~A}$ is a ${ }_{2}$-dimensional $\Phi_{p}$-space, and $\mathrm{E}_{p}=\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Phi_{p}\right)$. The argument then is just as for $\Phi_{l}$.

This finishes the proof for $p \not \equiv \mathrm{I} \bmod 4$. Suppose now $p \equiv 5 \bmod 8$, so 2 stays prime in $\Phi$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$. Let $t=\frac{1+\sqrt{p}}{2}$, so that $\mathrm{I}, t$ are a $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$-basis of the maximal order in $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2}$. Let $L$ be any $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$-lattice in $V_{2} A$, with basis $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}, \varphi_{4}$. It is easy to see that we can choose these with $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ independent over $\Phi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{3}, \varphi_{4}$ integral combinations over $\Phi_{2}$. Subtracting multiples of $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ we can then make $\rho_{3}, \varphi_{4}$ into $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$-linear combinations of $t \varphi_{1}$ and $t \varphi_{2}$. Changing by unimodular $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$-matrices we may assume $\varphi_{3}=b t \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{4}=c t \varphi_{1}$ with $b \mid c$. For this to be preserved by $\mathbf{Z}_{2}[\pi]=\mathbf{Z}_{2}+2 t \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ we need $b|2, c| 2$. Thus the possible lattices are $\left\{\rho_{1}, t v_{1}, \varphi_{2}, t v_{2}\right\},\left\{\varphi_{1}, t v_{1}, \nu_{2}, 2 t v_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\rho_{1}, 2 t v_{1}, \nu_{2}, 2 t v_{2}\right\}$.

Writing the elements of $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ as matrices in the basis $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$, we find that the first gives us a maximal order; the second, an order of index 8; and the third, an order of index 16 .

Suppose finally $p \equiv \mathrm{r} \bmod 8$, so $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{2}=\mathbf{Q}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Q}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{2}\right) \oplus \mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{2}\right)$. If $L$ is any $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$-lattice in $\mathbf{Q}_{2}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{Q}_{2}^{2}$, we can choose a basis of four elements so that the first two lie in the first summand. Conjugating by an element of $E_{2}$ to change basis in each summand, we may assume the basis is of the form

$$
(\mathbf{I}, o, 0, o), \quad(0, \mathrm{I}, 0,0), \quad(a, b, \mathbf{1}, o), \quad(c, d, o, \mathbf{1}) .
$$

This must be preserved by $(\sqrt{p},-\sqrt{p})$ in $\mathbf{Q}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Q}_{2}$, or (equivalently) by ( $2 \sqrt{ } \bar{p}, o$ ), which means that $2 a, 2 b, 2 c, 2 d \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. Since we can change $a, b, c, d$ by elements of $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ and can permute the basis elements
in each summand, we see that every lattice is similar to one of the following :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{o}, \boldsymbol{o}, \boldsymbol{o}), \quad(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{o}, \boldsymbol{o}), \quad(0,0, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{o}), \quad(\mathbf{o}, \boldsymbol{o}, 0,1), \\
& \text { " , } \quad,\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,1,0\right),(0,0,0,1) \text {, } \\
& \text { " , " }\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1,0\right),(0,0,0,1) \text {, } \\
& ", \quad,\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,1,0\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0,1\right) \text {, } \\
& \text { ", , } \quad,\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1,0\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0,1\right) \text {, } \\
& " \quad, \quad\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1,0\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0,1\right) \text {, } \\
& ", \quad \text { " }\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1,0\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, o, 1\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The first of these gives a maximal order; the others, orders of index 8 and 16 .

The class number of E is investigated in [7].

## CHAPTER 7.

Ordinary Elementary Varieties.

Proposition 7.1. - Let $\pi$ be the Weil number of an isogeny class of elementary sarieties. Then the varieties are ordinary if and only if there are no real primes and, in the notation of Chapter $2,(p, \beta)=\mathrm{r}$.

Proof. - There are no real primes, since they give either supersingular elliptic curves or twisted products thereof. If $(p, \beta)=\mathrm{I}$, then over any $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(\beta)$ the equation $\mathrm{X}^{2}-\beta \mathrm{X}+q=0$ must split with roots of orders $o$ and ord $q$. Hence half the places have $\operatorname{ord}_{p} \pi=0$, so $\pi$ and hence F are units on $\mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{~A}$ and the summand is étale. Conversely, if $\mathrm{A}(p)$ is half étale, this argument will show ord $\beta=\mathrm{o}$ for all $\rho$ and so $(p, \beta)=\mathrm{I}$. |

We assume from now on that, unless otherwise stated, our abelian varieties are elementary and ordinary.

Theorem 7.2. - End A is commutative, and is unchanged by base field extension. The principal sarieties in an isogeny class are a principal homogeneous space for the ideal class group.

Proof. - We have seen that there are no real primes, and that $\operatorname{ord}_{v} \pi$ is o or ord $q$ at every $\varphi$. Hence $\|\pi\|,=q^{-i_{v}}$ for an integer $i_{v}$, and End A
is commutative. The same is true after base field extension, so by dimension count E and hence End A are unchanged. The final statement follows from Theorem 5.1 and the comments following it.

The major result of this chapter is that for ordinary elementary varieties, all conceivable endomorphism rings actually occur. We first need a result of some interest in itself :

Proposition 7.3. - Let R be a local ring which is a finitely generated free $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-module. Let W be the ring of integers in the unramified extension L of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ with degree a, and set $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{W} \otimes \mathrm{R}$. Then if $\alpha$ is a unit in R , it is the norm of an element in S .

Proof. - Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{a}$ be a basis of S over R giving a basis of $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{p} \mathrm{S}$ over $K=R / p R$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is the maximal ideal of $R$. (For example, we can take $e_{i}=\zeta^{p i-1}$, where $\zeta^{p^{a}}=$ r.) Consider the norm form

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{a}\right)=\mathrm{N}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathrm{X}_{i} e_{i}\right)=\prod_{\sigma_{i}}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathrm{X}_{i} \sigma_{j}\left(e_{i}\right)\right),
$$

which maps $S$ into $R$. Since $R$ is complete, we can apply Hensel's lemma if we show that $\overline{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{a}\right)=\bar{\alpha}$ has a simple root in $\mathrm{K}^{a}$.

Now $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ is the norm form from $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{pS}$, which maps onto K because K is finite and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{p} \mathrm{S}$ is separable over K . Thus it assumes the value $\bar{\alpha} \neq \mathrm{o}$; I claim now that all roots $x$ of $\mathrm{NX}=\bar{\alpha}$ are simple. Indeed, $x$ is invertible since $\mathrm{N} x \neq \mathrm{o}$, and the derivative of $\mathrm{N} x=\boldsymbol{\prod} \sigma_{i} x$ along $\bar{e}_{i}$ is

$$
\mathbf{\Sigma} \sigma_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}\right) \prod_{j / i} \sigma_{j}(x)=\operatorname{Tr} \frac{\bar{e}_{i}}{x} \mathbf{N}(x) .
$$

Here for some $i, \operatorname{Tr} \frac{\bar{e}_{i}}{x} \neq \mathrm{o}$, since the extension is separable. Thus Hensel's lemma applies. I

Theorem 7.4. - The possible endomorphism rings are precisely those orders in $\mathrm{E}=\Phi$ which contain $\pi$ and $q \pi^{-1}$.

Proof. - We know by Porism 4.3 that we only need to consider the situation at $p$. There we must study the orders in $\mathrm{E}_{p}=\oplus \mathrm{E}_{\phi}$. If R is one, let $m_{r}(\mathbf{R})=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R} \mid \operatorname{ord}_{r} x>0\right\}$; clearly these all are ideals of $\mathbf{R}$. I claim they are maximal. Indeed, suppose $y \in R, y \notin m_{1}(\mathbf{R})$. Write $y=\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots\right\rangle$, so $y_{1}$ is a unit in $\mathrm{E}_{1}$. Taking its characteristic polynomial and noting that its constant term is the unit $\mathrm{N} y_{1}$, we see that $\frac{1}{y_{1}}$ is a polynomial in $y_{1}$ with $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-coefficients. Taking that same polynomial in $y$ gives us an element of $y \mathrm{R}$ of the form $\left\langle\frac{1}{y_{1}}, \ldots\right\rangle$, and multiplying gives $\langle\mathrm{I}, \ldots\rangle \in y \mathrm{R}$.

Now let $\mathcal{O}_{v}$ be the maximal order in $\mathrm{E}_{v}$, so $\oplus \mathcal{O}_{v}$ is the maximal order in $\mathrm{E}_{p}$. As R is an order, we have $p^{n}\left(\oplus \mathcal{O}_{v}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{R}$ for $n$ large enough. Take then the element $\langle\mathrm{I}, \ldots\rangle$ in $y \mathrm{R}+m_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{R})$ and raise it to a high power; those entries which were units stay units, and the others increase steadily in ord. Eventually the others then are all in $m_{1}(R)$, so we can subtract them off and get an element whose entries are all units and zeros. The same argument as before with characteristic polynomials gives us then an element in $y R+m_{1}(R)$ of the form $\langle\tau \ldots, o \ldots o\rangle$. Since $x \in R$, $\langle\mathrm{o} \ldots \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I} \ldots \mathrm{I}\rangle$ is in R and so in $m_{1}(\mathrm{R})$. Adding gives $\mathrm{I} \in y \mathrm{R}+m_{1}(\mathrm{R})$, and thus $m_{1}(\mathbf{R})$ is maximal.

This argument shows also that an element not in any $m_{v}(\mathrm{R})$ is invertible, so they are the only maximal ideals. The topology defined by the radical $\cap m_{v}(\mathrm{R})$ is the $p$-adic topology, so R is semi-local and complete. Hence it is a composite of finitely many local rings, one for each maximal ideal. This does not mean that there is one summand for each $\mathrm{E}_{v}$, since some of the $m_{c}(\mathbf{R})$ may coincide; but it does give enough structure to allow us to prove the theorem.

Assume that R contains $\pi$ and $q \pi^{-1}$. We will define an action of F on $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{p}$ for which $\mathrm{W} \otimes \mathrm{R}$ is invariant under F and V . As $\mathrm{W} \otimes \mathrm{R}$ is a subring, those elements of $\mathrm{I} \otimes \mathrm{E}_{p}$ taking it to itself are just those lying in it, i.e. R; this will complete the proof.

As we saw, $R$ is a sum of certain local rings $\oplus R_{j}$. We know that in each $\mathrm{E}_{\rho}$ either $\pi$ or $\frac{\pi}{q}$ is a unit, since the varieties are ordinary. The same is true in each $\mathrm{R}_{j}$, since the maximal ideals of the $\mathrm{R}_{j}$ are given by the valuations. Then the image $\pi_{j}$ of $\pi$ in $\mathrm{R}_{j}$ is a norm from $\mathrm{W} \otimes \mathrm{R}_{j}$; this is clear from the proposition if $\pi_{j}$ is a unit, and holds if $\frac{\pi_{j}}{q}$ is a unit because $q=p^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{N} p$. Adding together the elements in the various $\mathrm{W} \otimes \mathrm{R}_{j}$ whose norms are $\pi_{j}$, we find an element $u \in \mathrm{~W} \otimes\left(\oplus \mathrm{R}_{j}\right)=\mathrm{W} \otimes \mathrm{R}$ whose norm is $\pi$. Note that at every $j$ the element we take is either a unit or $p$ times a unit; the argument given before shows that if a unit is in an order so is its inverse, and therefore we have $p u^{-1} \in W \otimes R$.

Finally, we let F act on $\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{E}$ by $\mathrm{F}=u \sigma$. As in Theorem 5.1, this gives the correct algebra representation. Since $\sigma$ operates only on the L factor, it preserves $W$ and so also $W \otimes R$. The ring $W \otimes R$ contains $u$, so $u$ preserves it, and $\mathrm{W} \otimes \mathrm{R}$ is invariant under F . The same argument shows it is invariant under $\mathrm{V}=p \mathrm{~F}^{-1}=\sigma^{-1} p u^{-1}$, and we are done.

Example. - The theorem may fail for non-ordinary varieties, even if E is commutative and stable under base field extension.

Indeed, let $\beta=6+\sqrt{29}$, in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{29})$. Then $|\beta|<2.7$, so $\pi^{2}-\beta \pi+49=0$ gives a Weil number $\pi$.

In $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{29}), 7=(6+\sqrt{29})(6-\sqrt{29})$; there are two valuations over 7 , giving ord, $\beta=1$, ord $\beta=0$. At ord, the equation $X^{2}-\beta X+49=0$ splits in $Q_{i}$, giving ord ${ }_{21} \pi=0$, ord ${ }_{22} \pi=2$. Both of these are divisible by $a=2$, so the condition for commutativity holds here.

At ord or $_{1}$ we have $7 \mid \beta$ in $Q_{i}$, so $\sqrt{29} \equiv 1 \bmod 7$. Then

$$
\frac{7}{\beta}=6-\sqrt{29} \equiv 5 \bmod 7, \quad \text { so } \quad \frac{\beta}{7} \equiv \frac{1}{5} \equiv 3 \bmod 7 \quad \text { in } \mathbf{Z}_{i} .
$$

The equation $\mathrm{X}^{2}--\beta \mathrm{X}+49=\mathrm{o}$ has solution $\mathrm{X}={ }_{7} \mathrm{Y}$, where

$$
\mathrm{Y}^{2}-\frac{\beta}{7} \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{o} .
$$

Mod 7 this is $\mathrm{Y}^{2}-3 \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{o}$, which has no solution $\bmod 7$. Thus Y generates the unramified quadratic extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{7}$, and $\pi=7 \mathrm{Y}$ has $\operatorname{ord}_{4} \pi=I$ for the unique valuation over ord ${ }_{4}$. Here the commutativity condition is also satisfied, so $\mathrm{E}=\Phi$.

To show stability we must show that no power of $\pi$ falls into a proper subfield. Now consideration of ord, shows that no power can lie in $\mathbf{Q}(\beta)$, and the only possibility is that some power is in a different quadratic subfield. But a direct computation like that after 5.1 shows that there are no other quadratic subfields.

Now Theorem 5.3 applies, and any endomorphism ring containing the maximal order $\mathbf{Z}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{29}}{2}\right)$ of $\mathbf{Q}(\beta)$ must be maximal at 7 . As 7 is not ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\pi)$, this means the discriminant of any such endomorphism ring must be prime to 7 . But the discriminant of $\mathbf{Z}\left(\pi, \frac{1+\sqrt{29}}{2}\right)$ over $\mathbf{Z}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{29}}{2}\right)$ is $\beta^{2}-4.49$, which is not prime to 7 ; hence the discriminant over $\mathbf{Z}$ is not prime to 7 . Thus $\mathbf{Z}\left(\pi, \frac{1+\sqrt{29}}{2}\right)$ is an order containing $\pi$ and $\frac{49}{\pi}=\beta-\pi$ which is not an endomorphism ring.

We should mention an additional nice property of ordinary varieties, one which (in a sense) is already in the literature [11] : such varieties have canonical liftings to characteristic o.

Finally, lest the reader in his enthusiasm overdraw the analogy with elliptic curves, it should be pointed out that End A need not be maximal at $p$. For an example, let $\beta=\mathrm{I}+2 \sqrt{2}$, defining a Weil number $\pi$ over $\mathbf{F}_{8}$. Here $(\beta, 2)=1$, so the varieties are ordinary, but

$$
\mathbf{Z}[\pi, 8 / \pi] \cap \mathbf{Q}(\beta)=\mathbf{Z}[\beta]=\mathbf{Z}[2 \sqrt{2}] .
$$

## APPENDIX.

For elliptic curves, Serre [14], [15] has defined an action of ideals on varieties closely related to the definition of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ in Chapter 3. This appendix shows to what extent the two definitions can differ.

To be gencral for a moment, let R be a noetherian ring, G a commutative group scheme over a field $k$ with R operating on G . Let M be a finitely generated left R -module. Then for every $k$-algebra B we have an abelian group $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{B}))$; clearly this gives a group functor. If $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow o$ is an exact sequence of $R$-modules, then for all $B$

$$
o \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~B})) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~B})) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~B}))
$$

is exact.
Proposition A.1.- $\mathrm{B} \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{B}))$ is a representable group functor.
Proof. - An exact sequence $\mathrm{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \rightarrow 0$ gives for every B the exact sequence

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~B})) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~B})^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~B})^{m} .
$$

Thus the functor in question is the functor kernel of $\mathrm{G}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}^{n}$, which is known to be representable by a commutative group scheme. |

We denote the group scheme so defined by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{G})$. Then clearly $\mathrm{M} \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{G})$ defines an additive functor from finitely generated left R-modules to commutative group schemes. It is left exact, its values are in an abelian category, and there are enough projective R-modules; hence by the usual process we may make the

Definition. - $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{R}}^{n}(-, \mathrm{G})$ are the derived functors of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(-, \mathrm{G})$.
These again are additive functors from modules to group schemes, and a short exact sequence of modules gives a long exact sequence of group schemes. They have been introduced independently by Giraud [23].

This is not the place to study the behavior of these mixed (module, module scheme) Ext groups. We note only that a short exact sequence $\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}$ of commutative group schemes with R-operation gives a long exact sequence of Ext groups. Indeed, for that we need only the exactness of

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{G}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{H}) \rightarrow 0
$$

for P a finitely generated projective, which follows by additivity from the trivial case $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{R}$.
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Returning now to our specific situation, let A be an abelian varicty with End $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{R}$, and let I be a left ideal in R which is a lattice. Then

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}
$$

gives us

$$
\mathrm{o} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{R}}^{1}(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0},
$$

the last o coming because R is projective. If $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ span I as an R -module, then $\mathrm{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I} \rightarrow 0$ is the start of a resolution, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$ is by definition the kernel of $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right): \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Comparing with the definition in 3.2 , we have

Proposition A.2. $-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$. I
But $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$ need not equal $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$; clearly it will if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{R}}^{1}(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})=\mathrm{o}$. Having the Ext group, however, we can be a little more specific. Since $R / I$ is finite and the functor is additive, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^{1}(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$ is annihilated by some integer. By construction $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$ is a subgroup of an abelian variety, and so the only such quotients of it are finite. Noting finally that the image of A must be connected, we have

Proposition A.3. - $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})$ is the connected component of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$; the quotient of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$ by its connected component is $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{R}}^{1}(\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$. |

Corollary A.4. - If I is projective, $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I})=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{A})$.
Proof. - In this case $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$ is a direct summand of some $\mathrm{A}^{n}$, and hence is connected.

In [14] and [15], Serre considered the case where A is an elliptic curve and $I$ is an ideal whose order is $R$; there he used the $\operatorname{Hom}_{\sharp}(I, A)$ definition. This, we now see, gave an abelian variety only because such an I is invertible and hence projective ([2], p. 148). The following example shows that in higher dimensions $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$ need not be connected, even in the classical case $k=\mathbf{G}$. (It therefore also shows, of course, the nontriviality of the Ext theory constructed above.)

Example. - Let $\alpha$ be $(-2+\sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and let $\mathrm{F}=\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$. The field F is a totally complex quadratic extension of a totally real field; the equation for $\alpha$ is $\alpha^{\prime}=-4 \alpha^{2}-2$. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be the order spanned over $\mathbf{Z}$ by $\left\{1,2 \alpha, 2 \alpha^{2}, 2 \alpha^{3}\right\}$; then R is the order of the (non-invertible) module $\mathrm{M}=\left\{\mathrm{I}, \alpha, 2 \alpha^{2}, 2 \alpha^{3}\right\}$, and so also of the ideal $\mathrm{I}=\left\{2,2 \alpha, 4 \alpha^{2}, 4 \alpha^{3}\right\}$.

As an R-module, I is spanned by 2 and $2 \alpha$. The kernel of the map $(2,2 \alpha): \mathrm{R} \oplus \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}$ is $\{(x, y) \mid x+\alpha y=0\}$, which is isomorphic to the ideal $\{y \in \mathrm{R} \mid \alpha y \in \mathrm{R}\}$ spanned by $\left\{2,2 \alpha, 2 \alpha^{2}, 2 \alpha^{3}\right\}$. Thus we have an exact sequence $\mathrm{R}^{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}$.

Define now $p: F \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ by two non-conjugate embeddings. Since $F$ has no imaginary subfields, $\mathrm{A}=\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C} / \rho(\mathrm{M})$ is an abelian variety with endomorphism ring R , the R -operation being induced from multiplication by $\varphi(R)$ on $\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ ([17], p. 45-46).

By definition $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{A})$ is the kernel of the map $\mathrm{A}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}^{1}$, i. e. the common kernel of the maps $\mathrm{A}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}$ given by taking $(x, y)$ to $2 y-2 \alpha x$, $2 \alpha y-2 \alpha^{2} x, 2 \alpha^{2} y-2 \alpha^{3} x$, and $2 \alpha^{3} y-2 \alpha^{4} x$. Suppose we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=u_{1} \varphi(\mathrm{I})+u_{1} \varphi(\alpha)+u_{2} p\left(x \alpha^{2}\right)+u_{3} \varphi\left(x x^{\prime}\right), \\
& r=r_{0} \varphi(\mathrm{l})+r_{1} \varphi(\alpha)+r_{2} \varphi\left(x x^{2}\right)+r_{3} p\left(n \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so the $u_{i}$ and $\varphi_{i}$ are reals modi. The condition that $(x, y)$ go to o in A under all four maps is easily seen to be equivalent to a set of congruences $\bmod \mathrm{r}$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 r_{2}-u_{1}+8 u_{3} \equiv 0, & 2 r_{3}-2 u_{2} \equiv 0 \\
r_{1}-u_{0} \equiv 0, & r_{0}+4 u_{3} \equiv 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution set of these has two components, corresponding to $\varphi_{3} \equiv u_{\text {, }}$ and $s_{3} \equiv u_{2}+\frac{1}{3}$.
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